

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF BILATERAL OPERATOR WEIGHTED SHIFTS BEING COWEN-DOUGLAS OPERATORS

YOU QING JI, JUEXIAN LI, AND YIHUA YANG

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. It is characterized when a bilateral operator weighted shift is a Cowen-Douglas operator.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the complex plane,  $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}$  ( $n < \infty$ ) and  $\{W_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  a sequence of uniformly bounded invertible linear operators on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . A bounded linear operator  $S$  on  $\mathcal{K} := \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbb{C}^n$  is called a (forward) bilateral operator weighted shift with the weight sequence  $\{W_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ , denoted by  $S \sim \{W_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ , if

$$S(\cdots, x_{-1}, \hat{x}_0, x_1, \cdots) = (\cdots, W_{-1}x_{-2}, \widehat{W_0x_{-1}}, W_1x_0, \cdots), \quad \forall x = (x_k) \in \mathcal{K}.$$

It can be easily shown that  $\mathcal{K}_+ := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{C}^n$  is an invariant subspace of  $S$ . Also,  $S_+ := S|_{\mathcal{K}_+}$  is called a (forward) unilateral operator weighted shift with the weight sequence  $\{W_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ , denoted by  $S \sim \{W_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ . In general,  $S$  and  $S_+$  are called by a joint name operator weighted shift. Their adjoint operators  $S^*$  and  $S_+^*$  are referred to as backward operator weighted shifts, and  $n$  is said to be their multiplicity. Operator weighted shifts were first defined by A. Lambert [4]. When  $n = 1$ , they are exactly scalar weighted shifts which have been widely studied (see [6]).

First, we recall some notations and terminologies (see, for example, [2]).

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex separable Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the set of all bounded linear operators acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $\sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma_p(T)$  denote the spectrum and the point spectrum of  $T$ , respectively. Set  $\text{nul } T = \dim \ker T$ . We write  $r(T)$  for the spectral radius of  $T$  and let  $r_1(T) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (m(T^k))^{1/k}$ , where  $m(T) := \inf\{\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1\}$ . Recall that  $T$  is called a Fredholm operator if  $\text{ran } T$ , the range of  $T$ , is closed and both  $\text{nul } T$  and  $\text{nul } T^*$  are finite. In this case, the index of  $T$  is defined by  $\text{ind } T = \text{nul } T - \text{nul } T^*$ . Moreover,

$$\rho_F(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is Fredholm}\}$$

and  $\sigma_e(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_F(T)$  will denote the Fredholm domain and the essential spectrum of  $T$ , respectively.

---

Received by the editors November 1, 1999.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B10, 47B37.

*Key words and phrases*. Bilateral operator weighted shift, Cowen-Douglas operator.

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (19901011).

The second author was supported by NEF of Liaoning Education Commission of China.

Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $m$  a natural number.  $\mathcal{B}_m(\Omega)$  denotes the set of operators  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying:

- (a)  $\Omega \subset \sigma(T)$ ,
- (b)  $\text{ran}(T - \lambda) = \mathcal{H}, \forall \lambda \in \Omega$ ,
- (c)  $\bigvee \{\ker(T - \lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega\} = \mathcal{H}$  and
- (d)  $\text{mul}(T - \lambda) = m, \forall \lambda \in \Omega$ .

Call an operator in  $\mathcal{B}_m(\Omega)$  a Cowen-Douglas operator.

Clearly, if  $T \in \mathcal{B}_m(\Omega)$ , then  $\Omega \subset \rho_F(T)$  and  $\text{ind}(T - \lambda) = m$  for every  $\lambda \in \Omega$ .

Originally, Cowen-Douglas operators were introduced as using the method of complex geometry to developing operator theory (see [1]). However, it has been presented recently that they are closely related to the structure of bounded linear operators (see [3]).

The backward unilateral shift, i.e., all its weights  $W_k$ 's are the identity operator on  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the simplest Cowen-Douglas operator. Thus, it is a proper problem when an operator weighted shift is a Cowen-Douglas operator. It is not hard to show  $\sigma_p(S_+) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $S_+$  cannot be a Cowen-Douglas operator. When  $S_+^*$  is a Cowen-Douglas operator has been characterized in [5]. In this note, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $S \sim \{W_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  be a bilateral operator weighted shift with multiplicity  $n$ . Then  $S$  is a Cowen-Douglas operator if and only if there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \rho_F(S)$  such that  $\text{ind}(S - \lambda_0) = n$ .*

*Remark 1.* For  $S \sim \{W_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ , it can be shown that  $S^*$  is unitarily equivalent to the bilateral operator weighted shift with the weight sequence  $\{W_{1-k}^*\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ . Thus, the theorem is also applicable for backward bilateral operator weighted shifts.

## 2. SOME LEMMAS

Note that a bilateral operator weighted shift  $S \sim \{W_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  can be represented as the following operator matrix:

$$(2.1) \quad S = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & \ddots & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & W_{-1} & 0 & & \\ & & & W_0 & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & W_1 & 0 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & \ddots \end{array} \right) := \begin{pmatrix} S_- & 0 \\ F & S_+ \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $S_+$  ( $S_-$ , resp.) is a forward (backward, resp.) unilateral operator weighted shift with the weight sequence  $\{W_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$  ( $\{W_{-k}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ , resp.), and  $F$  is an  $n$  rank operator. Therefore, we can immediately obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $S$  be given as in (2.1). Then  $\sigma_e(S) = \sigma_e(S_-) \cup \sigma_e(S_+)$  and*

$$(2.2) \quad \text{ind}(S - \lambda) = \text{ind}(S_- - \lambda) + \text{ind}(S_+ - \lambda), \forall \lambda \in \rho_F(S).$$

In [5],  $\sigma_e(S_+)$  and the indices associated with all holes in  $\sigma_e(S_+)$  have been described. For convenience, we draw the following conclusions.

**Lemma 2** ([5]). *Each  $S_+$  is unitarily equivalent to an upper triangular operator matrix  $(S_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$  on  $(l_+^2)^{(n)} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n l_+^2$ , where  $l_+^2 = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{C}$ , each  $S_{ij}$  is a unilateral scalar weighted shift, and each  $S_{ii}$  is injective. Moreover,  $r(S_{ii}) \geq r(S_{(i+1)(i+1)})$ ,  $\sigma_e(S_+) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_e(S_{ii})$ , and  $\sigma(S_+) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma(S_{ii})$ .*

By Theorem 4 and Theorem 6 in [6], we have that

$$\sigma(S_{ii}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq r(S_{ii})\}$$

and

$$\sigma_e(S_{ii}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : r_1(S_{ii}) \leq |\lambda| \leq r(S_{ii})\}.$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a hole of  $\sigma_e(S_+)$ . It follows from Lemma 2 and the above formulas that one and only one of the following two situations must occur:

- (a)  $\Omega = \{\lambda : |\lambda| < \delta\}$ , where  $\delta = \min\{r_1(S_{ii}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$ ;
- (b) there exist  $i_0$  and  $j_0$ ,  $1 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq n$ , such that  $\Omega = \{\lambda : r(S_{j_0 j_0}) < |\lambda| < r_1(S_{i_0 i_0})\}$ .

**Lemma 3** ([5]). (1) *If the situation (a) occurs, then  $\text{ind}(S_+ - \lambda) = -n, \forall \lambda \in \Omega$ ;*  
 (2) *If the situation (b) occurs, then  $\text{ind}(S_+ - \lambda) = -i_0, \forall \lambda \in \Omega$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM AND ITS COROLLARIES

Now, we are ready to prove our theorem.

*Proof of the Theorem.* Suppose that there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \rho_F(S)$  such that  $\text{ind}(S - \lambda_0) = n$ . Taking a basis  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , there exists a basis  $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $W_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes d_j$ , identifying  $d_j$  and  $e_j$  with  $(\dots, 0, d_j)$  in  $\mathcal{K}_-$  and  $(e_j, 0, \dots)$  in  $\mathcal{K}_+$ , respectively. Then  $F$ , as in (2.1), is identical with  $\sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes d_j$ .

Since  $0 \leq \text{nul}(S_- - \lambda_0) \leq n$  and  $\text{nul}(S_- - \lambda_0)^* = \text{nul}(S_+ - \lambda_0) = 0$  it follows from (2.1) that

$$\text{nul}(S_+ - \lambda_0)^* = \text{ind}(S_+ - \lambda_0) = 0$$

and

$$\text{ind}(S_- - \lambda_0) = \text{nul}(S_- - \lambda_0) = \text{ind}(S - \lambda_0) = n.$$

Thus, we have that  $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma(S_+) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq r(S_+)\}$  (see [4]). Let  $\Omega_0$  be the component of  $\rho_F(S_-)$  containing  $\lambda_0$ . Then it follows from Lemma 3 that  $\Omega_0$  is an open disk with the center at 0. It implies that  $\sigma(S_+) \subset \Omega_0$ . By Proposition 2.3 in [5], moreover, we have that  $S_- \in \mathcal{B}_n(\Omega_0)$ . Set  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \sigma(S_+)$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a connected open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will prove that  $S \in \mathcal{B}_n(\Omega)$ . For this purpose, it suffices to show the following statements:

- (i)  $\Omega \subset \rho_F(S)$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{ind}(S - \lambda) = n$  and  $\ker(S - \lambda)^* = \{0\}, \forall \lambda \in \Omega$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_- \bigoplus \mathcal{K}_+ = \bigvee \{\ker(S - \lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega\}$ .

Obviously,  $\Omega \subset \rho_F(S_-) \cap \rho_F(S_+)$ . From Lemma 1, (i) is immediate. Also, by the continuity of index, (ii) holds. Finally, it follows from Proposition 1.51 in [3] that there exist holomorphic  $\mathcal{K}_-$ -valued functions  $\{f_i(\lambda)\}_{i=1}^n$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\{f_i(\lambda)\}_{i=1}^n$  forms a basis of  $\ker(S_- - \lambda)$  for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$ . Now, set

$$g_i(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n (f_i(\lambda), d_j)(\lambda - S_+)^{-1} e_j, \forall \lambda \in \Omega,$$

and

$$h_i(\lambda) = f_i(\lambda) \oplus g_i(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in \Omega.$$

Then  $h_i(\lambda) \in \ker(S - \lambda)$ . To prove (iii), it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{M} := \bigvee\{h_i(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \mathcal{K}$ . Suppose that there exists some  $x \in \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{M}$ . Write  $x = y \oplus z$  with  $y$  in  $\mathcal{K}_-$  and  $z$  in  $\mathcal{K}_+$ . It follows that

$$0 = (h_i(\lambda), x) = (f_i(\lambda), y) + (g_i(\lambda), z), \forall \lambda \in \Omega.$$

Namely,

$$(f_i(\lambda), y) = -(g_i(\lambda), z) = \sum_{j=1}^n (f_i(\lambda), d_j)((S_+ - \lambda)^{-1}e_j, z), \forall \lambda \in \Omega.$$

Thus, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} (f_1(\lambda), y) \\ \vdots \\ (f_n(\lambda), y) \end{pmatrix} = G(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} ((S_+ - \lambda)^{-1}e_1, z) \\ \vdots \\ ((S_+ - \lambda)^{-1}e_n, z) \end{pmatrix}, \forall \lambda \in \Omega,$$

where  $G(\lambda) = ((f_i(\lambda), d_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is a Gram matrix. So, it is invertible because both  $\{f_i(\lambda)\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^n$  are linear independent. It implies that

$$(G(\lambda))^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (f_1(\lambda), y) \\ \vdots \\ (f_n(\lambda), y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ((S_+ - \lambda)^{-1}e_1, z) \\ \vdots \\ ((S_+ - \lambda)^{-1}e_n, z) \end{pmatrix}, \forall \lambda \in \Omega.$$

The left side of the equality is holomorphic on  $\Omega_0$ . Meanwhile, the right side is holomorphic on  $\{\lambda : |\lambda| > r(S_+)\}$  and  $((S_+ - \lambda)^{-1}e_i, z) \rightarrow 0$  ( $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence, it follows from the Liouville Theorem that

$$(f_i(\lambda), y) = 0, \forall \lambda \in \Omega_0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$((\lambda - S_+)^{-1}e_i, z) = 0, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(S_+), i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

However,  $\bigvee\{f_i(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega_0, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \bigvee\{\ker(S_- - \lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega_0\} = \mathcal{K}_-$ . So,  $y = 0$ . Also, note that

$$0 = ((\lambda - S_+)^{-1}e_i, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (S_+^k e_i, z) \lambda^{-(k+1)}, \forall \lambda > \|S_+\|.$$

It follows that  $(S_+^k e_i, z) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Moreover, it can be verified that  $\bigvee\{S_+^k e_i : k \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \mathcal{K}_+$ . Thus,  $z = 0$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K}$ .

Conversely, if  $S$  is a Cowen-Douglas operator, i.e.,  $S \in \mathcal{B}_m(\Omega)$ , then it is clear that  $m \leq n$ . Imitating the case  $n = 1$ , we can show that  $\sigma(S)$ ,  $\sigma_e(S)$  and  $\sigma_p(S)$  are circular symmetric about the origin. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\Omega$  is an annular domain that contains 1. For each natural number  $l$ , set  $\lambda_l = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{l}}$ , where  $i$  denotes the imaginary unit. Then  $\lambda_l \in \Omega$  for all  $l$  and  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_l = 1$ . Now, it follows from Proposition 1.41 in [3] that

$$\bigvee\{\ker(S - \lambda_l) : l \geq 1\} = \bigvee\{\ker(S - \lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega\} = \mathcal{K}.$$

Taking a basis  $\{f_j^{(0)}\}_{j=1}^m$  of  $\ker(S - 1)$ , let  $f_j^{(0)} = (x_k^{(j)})_{-\infty < k < +\infty}$ , where  $x_k^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  for all  $k$ . Then  $Sf_j^{(0)} = f_j^{(0)}$  implies that  $W_{k+1}x_k^{(j)} = x_{k+1}^{(j)}$  for all  $k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Since  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \|e^{i\frac{k\pi}{l}}x_k^{(j)}\|^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \|x_k^{(j)}\|^2 < \infty$ ,  $f_j^{(l)} := (e^{i\frac{k\pi}{l}}x_k^{(j)})_{-\infty < k < +\infty}$  is in  $\mathcal{K}$  and

$$W_{k+1}e^{i\frac{k\pi}{l}}x_k^{(j)} = e^{i\frac{k\pi}{l}}W_{k+1}x_k^{(j)} = e^{i\frac{k\pi}{l}}x_{k+1}^{(j)} = \lambda_l e^{i\frac{(k+1)\pi}{l}}x_{k+1}^{(j)}.$$

Thus,  $Sf_j^{(l)} = \lambda_l f_j^{(l)}$ . Clearly,  $\{f_1^{(l)}, \dots, f_m^{(l)}\}$  is linear independent. So, it is a basis of  $\ker(S - \lambda_l)$ . Hence,

$$\bigvee \{f_j^{(l)} : l \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq m\} = \mathcal{K}.$$

Assume that  $m < n$ . Then there exists a non-zero vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $x \perp x_0^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . So,  $x \perp \bigvee \{f_j^{(l)} : l \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ . This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

By the Theorem and its proof, we immediately get the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $S$  be a bilateral scalar weighted shift. Then either  $S$  or  $S^*$  is a Cowen-Douglas operator if and only if  $\sigma_e(S) \neq \sigma(S)$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $S$  be a bilateral operator weighted shift. If either  $S$  or  $S^*$  is a Cowen-Douglas operator, then  $\sigma_e(S)$  is not connected.*

*Remark 2.* An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be strongly irreducible if it does not commute with any nontrivial idempotents. A lot of work has been done studying strongly irreducible operators (cf. [3]). By the Riesz decomposition theorem, if  $\sigma(T)$  is not connected, then  $T$  is not strongly irreducible. For a unilateral operator weighted shift  $S_+$ , it follows from Theorem 3.1 in [5] that if  $\sigma_e(S_+)$  is not connected, then  $S_+$  is not strongly irreducible. For a bilateral operator weighted shift  $S$ , however, the following example shows that a similar claim is false even though  $S$  is a Cowen-Douglas operator.

**Example.** Let  $w_k = 2$  for  $k < 0$ ,  $w_k = 1$  for  $k \geq 0$  and let  $S \sim \{w_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  be a bilateral scalar weighted shift. By Lemma 1,  $\sigma_e(S) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = 1 \text{ or } 2\}$ , which is not connected. Also, by Theorem 9 in [6],  $\text{ind}(S - \lambda) = 1$  for all  $\lambda \in \Omega := \{\lambda : 1 < |\lambda| < 2\}$ . Thus, it follows from our theorem that  $S \in \mathcal{B}_1(\Omega)$ . Hence,  $S$  is strongly irreducible (see [2]).

REFERENCES

[1] M. J. Cowen and R. G. Douglas, *Complex geometry and operator theory*, Acta Math., **141** (1978), 187-261. MR **80f**:47012  
 [2] R. Gellar, *Operators commuting with a weighted shift*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **23** (1969), 538-545. MR **41**:4277a  
 [3] C. Jiang and Z. Wang, *Strongly irreducible operators on Hilbert space*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. 389, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, Essex, 1998. MR **2000c**:47033  
 [4] A. Lambert, *Unitary equivalence and reducibility of invertibly weighted shifts*, Bull Austral. Math. Soc., **5** (1971), 157-173. MR **45**:4196

- [5] J. Li, Y. Ji and S. Sun, *The essential spectrum and Banach reducibility of operator weighted shifts* (in Chinese), it to appear in Acta Math. Sinica.
- [6] A. L. Shields, *Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory*, Math. Surveys, Vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974, 49-128. MR **50**:14341

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address*: `jiyqji@public.cc.jl.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LIAONING UNIVERSITY, SHENYANG 110036, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

CHANGCHUN ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE FOR ADULTS, CHANGCHUN 130000, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA