

RELATIVE BRAUER GROUPS AND m -TORSION

ELI ALJADJEFF AND JACK SONN

(Communicated by David E. Rohrlich)

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field and $Br(K)$ its Brauer group. If L/K is a field extension, then the relative Brauer group $Br(L/K)$ is the kernel of the restriction map $res_{L/K} : Br(K) \rightarrow Br(L)$. A subgroup of $Br(K)$ is called an algebraic relative Brauer group if it is of the form $Br(L/K)$ for some algebraic extension L/K . In this paper, we consider the m -torsion subgroup $Br_m(K)$ consisting of the elements of $Br(K)$ killed by m , where m is a positive integer, and ask whether it is an algebraic relative Brauer group. The case $K = \mathbb{Q}$ is already interesting: the answer is yes for m squarefree, and we do not know the answer for m arbitrary. A counterexample is given with a two-dimensional local field $K = k((t))$ and $m = 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and $Br(K)$ its Brauer group. If L/K is a field extension, then the relative Brauer group $Br(L/K)$ is the kernel of the restriction map $res_{L/K} : Br(K) \rightarrow Br(L)$. Relative Brauer groups have been studied by Fein and Schacher (see e.g. [1, 2, 3].) Every subgroup of $Br(K)$ is a relative Brauer group $Br(L/K)$ for some extension L/K [1], and the question arises as to which subgroups of $Br(K)$ are *algebraic relative Brauer groups*, i.e., of the form $Br(L/K)$ with L/K an algebraic extension. For example, if L/K is a finite extension of number fields, then $Br(L/K)$ is infinite [1], so no finite subgroup of $Br(K)$ is an algebraic relative Brauer group. In this paper, we consider the m -torsion subgroup $Br_m(K)$ consisting of the elements of $Br(K)$ killed by m , where m is a positive integer, and ask when is it an algebraic relative Brauer group. For example, if K is a (p -adic) local field, then $Br(K) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, so $Br_m(K)$ is an algebraic relative Brauer group for all m . This is not surprising, since this Brauer group is “small.” The next natural field to look at is a number field, e.g., the rational field \mathbb{Q} . Here the situation is somewhat surprising: $Br_m(\mathbb{Q})$ is an algebraic relative Brauer group for all squarefree m , and the question for arbitrary m remains open. In order to construct a counterexample, we take K to be a “two-dimensional local field” $k((t))$ and prove that $Br_2(K)$ is not an algebraic relative Brauer group. We believe that the situation where the m -torsion subgroup of the Brauer group is an algebraic relative Brauer group should be exceptional for general fields.

Received by the editors November 20, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11R52, 11S25, 12F05, 12G05.

This research was supported by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion.

©2001 American Mathematical Society

2. REDUCTION

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a field and $Br(K)$ its Brauer group. Let m_1, m_2 be relatively prime positive integers. Let L_1, L_2 be algebraic extensions of K such that every prime dividing $[L_i : K]$ divides m_i , $i = 1, 2$. (p divides $[L_i : K]$ iff p divides $[F : K]$ for some finite subextension F/K of L_i/K .) Assume that the relative Brauer group $Br(L_i/K)$ equals the m_i -torsion subgroup $Br_{m_i}(K)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $Br(L_1L_2/K) = Br_{m_1m_2}(K)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $Br(L_1L_2/K) \supseteq Br_{m_1m_2}(K)$. For the opposite inclusion, let $[A] \in Br(L_1L_2/K)$. Then $[A] \in Br(F/K)$ for some finite extension F/K , $F \subseteq L_1L_2$. Let $F = K(\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma)$. $\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i^{(1)} \alpha_i^{(2)}$, $\alpha_i^{(j)} \in L_j$, and similarly for β, \dots, γ . Then $F \subseteq E_1E_2$, where $E_j = K(\{\alpha_i^{(j)}, \beta_i^{(j)}, \dots, \gamma_i^{(j)}\})$, $E_j \subseteq L_j$, so $[A] \in Br(E_1E_2/K)$, $[E_i : K] = n_i$, where $p|n_i \Rightarrow p|m_i$. In particular, $(n_1, n_2) = 1$. Writing $E = E_1E_2$, we have, noting that $[E : E_1] = n_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= cor_{E/E_1} res_{E/K} [A] = cor_{E/E_1} res_{E/E_1} res_{E_1/K} [A] = n_2 res_{E_1/K} [A] \\ &= res_{E_1/K} (n_2 [A]) \implies n_2 [A] \in Br_{m_1}(K). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $m_1n_2[A] = 0$. Similarly, $m_2n_1[A] = 0$. Hence $(m_1n_2, m_2n_1)[A] = 0$, and $(m_1n_2, m_2n_1) = d_1d_2$, where $d_i = (m_i, n_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, so $d_1d_2|m_1m_2$, whence $[A] \in Br_{m_1m_2}(K)$. □

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose for each prime p dividing m , p^r is the exact power of p dividing m and there exists an algebraic extension $L^{(p)}/K$ of p -power degree (possibly p^∞) such that $Br_{p^r}(K) = Br(L^{(p)})$. Then $Br_m(K) = Br(L/K)$ with L equal to the composite of the $L^{(p)}$, $p|m$.*

3. m -TORSION OVER \mathbb{Q}

Theorem 3.1. *Let l be an odd prime. Let S_0 denote the set of primes p satisfying $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$, and set $S := S_0 \cup \{l\}$. Define L to be the extension of \mathbb{Q} generated by the l th roots of the elements of S . Then $Br(L/\mathbb{Q}) = Br_l(\mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. Note that the set S is infinite by Dirichlet’s density theorem. Let $\alpha = [A] \in Br_l(\mathbb{Q})$, $E \subset L$, E/\mathbb{Q} finite. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Br(E) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_p \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} Br(E_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow res & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Br(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_p Br(\mathbb{Q}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequences are the fundamental exact sequences of $Br(\mathbb{Q})$, $Br(E)$, and the middle vertical arrow is for each p , the direct sum of the restriction maps $res_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ for $\mathfrak{p}|p$.

We want to prove that L splits α , so we will show that some finite subextension E of L splits α . If $(\alpha_p)_p$ is the image of α in $\bigoplus_p Br(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we seek an E such that $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits α_p for all p and all $\mathfrak{p}|p$. Of course we need only consider the finitely many p for which $\alpha_p \neq 0$, hence if we can find, for each such p , a finite extension $E^{(p)} \subset L$ such that $E_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(p)}$ splits α_p for all $\mathfrak{p}|p$, then the composite E of the $E^{(p)}$ will split α .

There are two cases:

Case 1. $p \in S$.

In this case, take $E^{(p)} = \mathbb{Q}(p^{1/l})$ which is contained in L by definition. p is totally ramified of degree l at p , $[E_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(p)} : \mathbb{Q}_p] = l$, hence $E_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(p)}$ splits α_p for every $\mathfrak{p}|p$ (there is only one $\mathfrak{p}|p$ in $E^{(p)}$).

Case 2. $p \notin S$.

It suffices to find a prime $q \in S$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/l})$ has local degree l at p . Choose $q \in S$ such that q is a primitive root mod p , by the Chinese remainder theorem and Dirichlet's density theorem. Since $p \notin S$, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$, so adjoining an l th root of q to \mathbb{F}_p gives an extension of degree p . This insures that p remains prime in $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/l})$, so taking $E^{(p)} = \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/l})$, we are done in this case, similar to Case 1, since again there is only one prime of $E^{(p)}$ above p . This proves $Br(L/\mathbb{Q}) \supseteq Br_l(\mathbb{Q})$.

In the opposite direction, let $\alpha \in Br(L/\mathbb{Q})$. Then $\alpha \in Br(L'/\mathbb{Q})$ for some finite subextension L'/\mathbb{Q} of L/\mathbb{Q} . Since every finite subextension of L/\mathbb{Q} is contained in a finite composite of extensions $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/l})$, we may assume that L' is such a composite. Observe that $[L' : \mathbb{Q}]$ is a power of l ; in fact, it is l^n , where L' is the composite of n of the fields $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/l})$. (Indeed, if we write $L' = L''(q^{1/l})$ with L'' a smaller composite, then q is totally ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/l})$ and unramified in L'' , so $L''(q^{1/l})/L''$ is totally ramified at q .) Hence α has order a power of l , by a restriction-corestriction argument. To show $\alpha \in Br_l(\mathbb{Q})$, it suffices to show that α does not have order larger than l , i.e., at most one of the local invariants has order larger than l , for which it suffices to show that for all primes p , with one possible exception $p = l$, $[L'_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ is not divisible by l^2 for at least one $\mathfrak{p}|p$ in L' . In fact, we will show this for all $\mathfrak{p}|p$ in L' . For $p = \infty$ this is trivial since l is odd.

Case 1. $p \notin S$. p is unramified in L' so $L'_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a cyclic extension which is a composite of cyclic unramified extensions of degree $\leq l$, hence of degree dividing the least common multiple of integers $\leq l$, hence not divisible by l^2 .

Case 2. $p \in S$, $p \neq l$. Without loss of generality, L' contains $\mathbb{Q}(p^{1/l})$, which is totally ramified of degree l at p . For $q \in S$, $q \neq p$, q is an m th power mod p since $(m, p-1) = 1$ ($q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$). Hence the polynomial $x^m - q$ has a root in \mathbb{Q}_p . It follows that for every $\mathfrak{p}|p$ in L' , $L'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a composite of $\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/l})$ with $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$, where ζ is some l th root of unity. Hence $[\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ divides $l-1$. Therefore, $[L'_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ is not divisible by l^2 for every $\mathfrak{p}|p$ in L' . \square

By Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 2.2, we have

Corollary 3.2. *If m is an odd squarefree integer, then there exists an algebraic extension L of \mathbb{Q} such that $Br_m(\mathbb{Q}) = Br(L/\mathbb{Q})$.*

We now turn to the case $m = 2$.

Theorem 3.3. *There is a composite L of quadratic extensions of \mathbb{Q} such that $Br_2(\mathbb{Q}) = Br(L/\mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. Let us call a set S of odd primes *perfect* iff:

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for every $p \in S$, and

for any two distinct primes $p, q \in S$, p is a quadratic residue modulo q .

There exists a (nonunique) maximal perfect set M (by recursive construction or by Zorn's Lemma). Set $L := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \{\sqrt{p}|p \in M\})$. We show $Br_2(\mathbb{Q}) = Br(L/\mathbb{Q})$.

Claim. For every prime p (including ∞), $[L_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ is even, and is equal to 2 if $p \neq 2$.

Let us first show that the claim implies the result. Consider an element in $Br(L/\mathbb{Q})$. As before, restriction-corestriction implies that the element has 2-power order. It cannot have order bigger than two since $[L_p : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ is bigger than two at only one prime. Conversely, any element of $Br_2(\mathbb{Q})$ is split by L , since it is split by L locally at every prime. \square

Proof of the Claim. For $p = \infty$ it is clear since $\sqrt{-1} \in L$. For $p \in M$, $L_p = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})$ since M is perfect. Finally, let $p \notin M$. Then L_p/\mathbb{Q}_p is unramified, hence of degree 1 or 2. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then the degree is 2 since $\sqrt{-1} \notin \mathbb{Q}_p$, so assume that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and contrarily that the degree is 1. Then for every $q \in M$, q is a quadratic residue mod p , which implies, by quadratic reciprocity, that $M \cup \{p\}$ is perfect, contradicting the maximality of M . \square

Corollary 3.4. *If m is a positive squarefree integer, then there exists an algebraic extension L of \mathbb{Q} such that $Br_m(\mathbb{Q}) = Br(L/\mathbb{Q})$.*

4. A COUNTEREXAMPLE

It is conceivable that for any number field K and any m , there exists an algebraic extension L/K such that $Br_m(K) = Br(L/K)$; in any event, we have no counterexample to this for K a number field. We therefore give a counterexample with K a “two-dimensional local field”.

Let K be a Laurent series field $k((t))$, where k is any nonarchimedean local field containing $\sqrt{-1}$.¹ We show that there is no algebraic extension L of K such that $Br_2(K) = Br(L/K)$. Suppose L were such an extension. By a theorem of Witt [5, p. 186],

$$Br(K) \cong Br(k) \oplus Hom(G_k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

where G_k denotes the absolute Galois group of k . Extracting 2-torsion,

$$Br_2(K) \cong Br_2(k) \oplus Hom(G_k^{(2)}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$$

where $G_k^{(2)}$ denotes the maximal elementary abelian 2-quotient of G_k . These are finite groups by local class field theory, hence, without loss of generality, L/K is a finite extension. Let L_1/K denote the maximal subextension of L/K which is unramified (constant) with respect to t . Then $L_1 = \ell_1((t))$, ℓ_1/k finite. We claim $[\ell_1 : k] = 2$. If $[\ell_1 : k] > 2$, L_1 would split a constant algebra (coming from $Br(k)$) of order > 2 , hence so would L , contrary to hypothesis. If $[\ell_1 : k] = 1$, then L/K would be totally ramified, $L = k((u))$ (u a local uniformizer for L), and L would not split a constant algebra of order 2.

L/L_1 is totally (and tamely) ramified, so $L = L_1(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$, where π is a local uniformizer of $L_1 = \ell_1((t))$ as above, so $\pi = ct$, $c \in \ell_1^*$. Now $e = [L : L_1]$ is even, for otherwise, $Br_2(K)$ would equal $Br(L_1/K)$. This is impossible as follows: write $\ell_1 = k(\sqrt{a})$ and choose $b \in k^*$ so that a, b are multiplicatively independent in k^*/k^{*2} (such a b exists!). Then L_1 does not split the quaternion algebra (b, t) .

Since $e = [L : L_1]$ is even, L contains $L_1(\sqrt{ct}) =: L_2$. Consider the fourth power symbol algebra $(a, t)_4$ over $K = k((t))$. If L splits this algebra which has exponent four, we have a contradiction. Suppose not. By [4, p. 261], $(a, t)_4 \otimes_K L_1$ is Brauer equivalent to the quaternion algebra (\sqrt{a}, t) over L_1 . Tensoring this up to L_2 gives

¹We thank the referee for observing that the above proof holds for k any nonarchimedean local field containing $\sqrt{-1}$; in the original version k was \mathbb{Q}_p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

$(\sqrt{a}, t) = (\sqrt{a}, c^{-1}ct) \sim (\sqrt{a}, c^{-1})(\sqrt{a}, ct) \sim (\sqrt{a}, c^{-1})$. By assumption this is not split. (\sqrt{a}, c^{-1}) is a constant algebra, defined over ℓ_1 . But $Br_2(\ell_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (ℓ_1 is a local field). Take an algebra class $[A]$ of exponent four in $Br(k)$. Its restriction to L_1 has exponent two, hence is equivalent to (\sqrt{a}, c^{-1}) . Let $[A]$ also denote the corresponding (constant) algebra class in $Br(K)$, and set $[B] := [A]^{-1}[(a, t)_4] \in Br(K)$. Then L_2 splits B , whereas $[B]^2 = [A]^{-2}[(a, t)_4]^2 = [A]^{-2}[(a, t)]$ which is not split because the first factor is a constant algebra class of order two and the second is a nonconstant algebra class of order two. Thus $[B]$ is an algebra class of order four in $Br(K)$ which is split by L , contradiction. We conclude $Br_2(K) \neq Br(L/K)$ for all algebraic extensions L/K .

REFERENCES

1. B. Fein and M. Schacher, *Relative Brauer groups I*, J. R. Ang. Math. **321** (1981), 179-194. MR **82f**:12027
2. B. Fein, W. Kantor, and M. Schacher, *Relative Brauer groups II*, J. R. Ang. Math. **328** (1981), 39-57. MR **83a**:12018
3. B. Fein and M. Schacher, *Relative Brauer groups III*, J. R. Ang. Math. **335** (1982), 37-39. MR **83j**:12009
4. I. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1975. MR **52**:13910
5. J.-P. Serre, *Local Fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. MR **82e**:12016

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION, 32000 HAIFA, ISRAEL
E-mail address: aljadeff@math.technion.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION, 32000 HAIFA, ISRAEL
E-mail address: sonn@math.technion.ac.il