PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 130, Number 8, Pages 2319–2324
S 0002-9939(02)06297-4
Article electronically published on January 17, 2002

L^1 CONVERGENCE OF THE RECONSTRUCTION FORMULA FOR THE POTENTIAL FUNCTION

YA-TING CHEN, Y. H. CHENG, C. K. LAW, AND J. TSAY

(Communicated by Carmen C. Chicone)

ABSTRACT. It is known that the potential function of the Sturm-Liouville problem can be reconstructed from the nodal data by a pointwise limit. We show that this convergence is in fact L^1.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the Sturm-Liouville problem

$$-y'' + q(x)y = \lambda y$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} y(0)\cos\alpha + y'(0)\sin\alpha = 0, \\ y(1)\cos\beta + y'(1)\sin\beta = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $q \in L^1(0,1)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in [0,\pi)$.

In 1988, J.R. McLaughlin [8] showed that knowledge of the nodal set of the eigenfunctions alone can determine the potential function of the Sturm-Liouville problem up to a constant. This is the so-called inverse nodal problem [1]. C.F. Yang [13] showed that this uniqueness result is valid for any $q \in L^1(0,1)$. Independently C.L. Shen [10] had similar ideas for the density function ρ of the string equation $y'' + \lambda\rho y = 0$. See also [1, 11, 12]. The uniqueness result holds for the situation that ρ is only assumed to be of bounded variation [3].

The inverse nodal problem in two dimension is much more difficult. Hald and McLaughlin [2] proved the uniqueness result for the potential function of the Schrödinger operator defined on a rectangular domain in $\mathbf{R}^2$. Some results for density function of string equation on a membrane can be found in [9, 7].

In this note we concern ourselves with the reconstruction of the potential function of the one-dimensional Sturm-Liouville problem. Law-Shen-Yang [4], improving a result of X.F. Yang [13], gave a reconstruction formula for the potential function q.

Let λ_n be the n-th eigenvalue, $s_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n}$ and $x_i^{(n)}$ be the i-th nodal point of the n-th eigenfunction y_n. In other words, $y_n(x_i^{(n)}) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$. Let $I_i^{(n)} = (x_i^{(n)}, x_{i+1}^{(n)})$, and $l_i^{(n)}$ be the nodal length where $l_i^{(n)} = |I_i^{(n)}| = x_{i+1}^{(n)} - x_i^{(n)}$.

Received by the editors November 21, 2000 and, in revised form, February 20, 2001.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34A55; Secondary 34B24.
Key words and phrases. Inverse nodal problem, potential function, reconstruction formula.

©2002 American Mathematical Society

Define $x_0^{(n)} = 0$, $x_n^{(n)} = 1$. We also define the function $j_n(x)$ to be the largest index j such that $0 \le x_j^{(n)} \le x$. Thus, $j = j_n(x)$ if and only if $x \in [x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)})$.

Theorem 1.1 ([4]). *The potential function $q \in L^1(0,1)$ satisfies*

$$q(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 2s_n^2 \left(\frac{s_n l_j^{(n)}}{\pi} - 1 \right)$$

for a.e. $x \in (0,1)$, with $j = j_n(x)$.

Note that Theorem 1.1, with the asymptotic expression for s_n (see [5, Lemma 2] and [6, Lemma 2.2]) implies that $q(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n(x)$, where F_n is determined only by the nodal data and the constant $\int_0^1 q$:

(a) If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ or α, $\beta > 0$, then

$$F_n(x) = 2n^2\pi^2 \left\{ n l_j^{(n)} - 1 + \frac{l_j^{(n)}}{n\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 q - \mathrm{scot}\alpha + \mathrm{scot}\beta \right) \right\} .$$

(b) If $\alpha = 0 < \beta$ or $\beta = 0 < \alpha$, then

$$F_n(x) = 2(n - \frac{1}{2})^2\pi^2 \left\{ (n - \frac{1}{2}) l_j^{(n)} - 1 + \frac{l_j^{(n)}}{n\pi^2} (\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 q - \mathrm{scot}\alpha + \mathrm{scot}\beta) \right\} .$$

Here, $\mathrm{scot}\gamma = 0$ if $\gamma = 0$; $\mathrm{scot}\gamma = \cot\gamma$ otherwise.

Since q is in L^1, one may ask whether the convergence is in fact L^1. The answer is affirmative.

Theorem 1.2. *F_n converges to q in L^1.*

2. Proof

Lemma 2.1 ([5]). *Let $q \in L^1$. Then*

$$l_i^{(n)} = \frac{\pi}{s_n} + \frac{1}{2s_n^2} \int_{x_i^{(n)}}^{x_{i+1}^{(n)}} (1 + \alpha_0 \cos(2s_n y))\, q(y) dy + o(\frac{1}{s_n^3}) \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where $\alpha_0 = 1$ if $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha_0 = -1$ if $\alpha = 0$.

In the above lemma, the order estimate is independent of i. As a result,

$$\frac{s_n l_i^{(n)}}{\pi} = 1 + O(\frac{1}{s_n}) = 1 + O(\frac{1}{n}) \ .$$

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose the sequence $f_k \in C[0,1]$ converges to f in L^1. For any $\epsilon > 0$, then with $j = j_n(x)$,*

$$\| \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} (f_k(y) - f(y)) dy \|_1 < \epsilon$$

for all sufficiently large n and k.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 and the observation that the integral $\int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}(f_k(y)-f(y))\,dy$ is constant on any nodal interval $I_j^{(n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \left|\frac{s_n}{\pi}\int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}(f_k(y)-f(y))\,dy\right|\,dx\\
&=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{s_n l_i^{(n)}}{\pi}\left|\int_{x_i^{(n)}}^{x_{i+1}^{(n)}}(f_k(y)-f(y))\,dy\right|\\
&\le \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(1+O(\frac{1}{n}))\int_{x_i^{(n)}}^{x_{i+1}^{(n)}}|f_k(y)-f(y)|\,dy\\
&=(1+O(\frac{1}{n}))\int_0^1|f_k(y)-f(y)|\,dy\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence converges to 0 as $k\longrightarrow\infty$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $q\in L^1(0,1)$. Then as $n\longrightarrow\infty$, with $j=j_n(x)$,*

$$\left\|\frac{s_n}{\pi}\int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}q(y)dy-q(x)\right\|_1\longrightarrow 0\ .$$

Proof. We first show that the result holds if q is continuous on [0,1]. Let $M=\max_{x\in(0,1)}|q(x)|$ and $x_0\in[x,y]$. By intermediate value theorem, there exists $\xi\in(x,y)$ such that

$$\left|\frac{1}{y-x}\int_x^y q-q(x_0)\right|=|q(\xi)-q(x_0)|\ .$$

Due to the uniform continuity of q there is a $\delta>0$ such that the difference is small whenever $|y-x|<\delta$. Hence, given $\epsilon>0$, when n is large enough such that $l_j^{(n)}<\delta$ and $|\frac{s_n l_j^{(n)}}{\pi}-1|<\min\{\frac{\epsilon}{M},1\}$, with $j=j_n(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{s_n}{\pi}\int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}q(y)\,dy-q(x)\right|\\
&\le \left|\frac{s_n(x_{j+1}^{(n)}-x_j^{(n)})}{\pi}\left[\frac{1}{x_{j+1}^{(n)}-x_j^{(n)}}\int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}q-q(x)\right]\right|+|q(x)|\left|\frac{s_n l_j^{(n)}}{\pi}-1\right|\\
&\le 2\epsilon\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $q\in C[0,1]$, then $\|\frac{s_n}{\pi}\int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}q(y)\,dy-q(x)\|_1$ can be arbitrarily small for all large n.

Since $C[0,1]$ is dense in $L^1(0,1)$, for any $q \in L^1(0,1)$ there exists a sequence $q_k \in C[0,1]$ that converges to q in $L^1(0,1)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \left| \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} q(y)dy - q(x) \right| \, dx \\
&\le \int_0^1 \left| \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} (q(y) - q_k(y))dy \right| \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \left| \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} q_k(y) \, dy - q_k(x) \right| \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 |q_k(x) - q(x)| \, dx \ .
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, fix k large enough such that the first and last terms are smaller than ϵ. Then for all n large enough, the second term is smaller than 2ϵ by above. Hence, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} q(y)dy - q(x) \right\|_1 \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since

$$\left| F_n(x) - 2s_n^2 (\frac{s_n l_j^{(n)}}{\pi} - 1) \right| = O(\frac{1}{n}),$$

by an asymptotic estimate of s_n, it suffices to show that as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| 2s_n^2 (\frac{s_n l_j^{(n)}}{\pi} - 1) - q \right\|_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

By (2.1), we have

$$2s_n^2 \left(\frac{s_n l_j^{(n)}}{\pi} - 1 \right) = \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} q + \frac{\alpha_0 s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} \cos(2s_n y) q(y) \, dy + o(1) \ .$$

Hence we only need to prove that as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} q - q \right\|_1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} \cos(2s_n y) q(y) \, dy \right\|_1 \longrightarrow 0 \ . \tag{2.2}$$

The first limit holds because of Lemma 2.3. By the proof of [4, Theorem 3.2], the sequence of functions

$$h_n(x) = \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} \cos(2s_n y)q(y)\ dy$$

converges to 0 for a.e. $x \in (0,1)$. Furthermore,

$$|h_n(x)| \leq \frac{s_n}{\pi} \int_{x_j^{(n)}}^{x_{j+1}^{(n)}} |q(y)|dy = g_n(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 g_n(x)dx &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{s_n l_i^{(n)}}{\pi} \int_{x_i^{(n)}}^{x_{i+1}^{(n)}} |q(y)|dy \\ &= \left(1 + O(\frac{1}{n})\right) \|q\|_1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to show that (2.2) is valid. The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgement

We thank Ngai-Ming Mok of the University of Hong Kong for suggesting the problem. The authors are partially supported by National Science Council, Taiwan, Republic of China.

References

[1] O.H. Hald and J.R. McLaughlin, *Solutions of inverse nodal problems*, Inverse Problems, **5** (1989), 307–347. MR **90c:**34015

[2] O.H. Hald and J.R. McLaughlin, *Inverse problems: finding the potential from nodal lines*, AMS Memoir, **119**, No. 572 (1996). MR **97d:**35240

[3] O.H. Hald and J.R. McLaughlin, *Inverse problems: recovery of BV coefficients from nodes*, Inverse Problems, **14** (1998), 245–273. MR **99b:**34028

[4] C.K. Law, C.L. Shen and C.F. Yang, *The inverse nodal problem on the smoothness of the potential function*, Inverse Problems, **15** (1999), 253–263. MR **2000a:**34020

[5] C.K. Law, C.L. Shen and C.F. Yang, *Errata: The inverse nodal problem on the smoothness of the potential function*, Inverse Problems, **17** (2001), no. 2, 361–363.

[6] C.K. Law and J. Tsay, *On the well-posedness of the inverse nodal problem*, Inverse Problems, **17** (2001), no. 5, 1493–1512.

[7] C.J. Lee and J.R. McLaughlin, *Finding the density for a membrane from nodal lines*, Inverse Problems in Wave Propagation, eds. G. Chavent et al., Springer, New York (1997), 325–345. MR **98h:**35236

[8] J.R. McLaughlin, *Inverse spectral theory using nodal points as data – a uniqueness result*, J. Diff. Eqns. **73** (1988), 354–362. MR **89f:**34035

[9] J.R. McLaughlin, *Solving inverse problems with spectral data*, Surveys on Solution Methods for Inverse Problems, eds. D. Colton et al., Springer, New York (2000), 169–194. MR **2001f:**35431

[10] C.L. Shen, *On the nodal sets of the eigenfunctions of the string equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **19** (1988), 1419–1424. MR **89j:**34035

[11] C.L. Shen, *On the nodal sets of the eigenfunctions of certain homogeneous and nonhomogeneous membranes*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **24** (1993) 1277–1282. MR **94i:**35138

[12] C.L. Shen and T.M. Tsai, *On uniform approximation of the density function of a string equation using eigenvalues and nodal points and some related inverse problems*, Inverse Problems, **11** (1995), 1113–1123. MR **96g:**34021

[13] X.F. Yang, *A solution of the inverse nodal problem*, Inverse Problems, **13** (1997), 203–213. MR **98c:**34017

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 80424, Republic of China

E-mail address: `chenyt@math.nsysu.edu.tw`

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 80424, Republic of China

E-mail address: `jengyh@math.nsysu.edu.tw`

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 80424, Republic of China

E-mail address: `law@math.nsysu.edu.tw`

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 80424, Republic of China

E-mail address: `tsay@math.nsysu.edu.tw`