

## STONE'S DECOMPOSITION OF THE RENEWAL MEASURE VIA BANACH-ALGEBRAIC TECHNIQUES

M. S. SGIBNEV

(Communicated by Claudia M. Neuhauser)

ABSTRACT. A Banach-algebraic approach to Stone's decomposition of the renewal measure is discussed. Estimates of the rate of convergence in a key renewal theorem are given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F$  be a probability distribution on  $\mathbf{R}$  with positive mean  $\mu = \int_{\mathbf{R}} x F(dx)$ , and let  $H = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{n*}$  be the corresponding renewal measure; here  $F^{1*} := F$ ,  $F^{(n+1)*} := F * F^{n*}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $F^{0*} := \delta$ , the atomic measure of unit mass at the origin. Suppose  $F$  is *spread-out*, i.e., for some  $m \geq 1$ ,  $F^{m*}$  has a nonzero absolutely continuous component. Stone [14] showed that then there exists a decomposition  $H = H_1 + H_2$ , where  $H_2$  is a finite measure and  $H_1$  is absolutely continuous with bounded continuous density  $h(x)$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \mu^{-1}$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} h(x) = 0$ . Under additional assumptions,  $h(x)$  has further nice properties [14, Theorem].

The measures  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are constructed as follows. There exists an integer  $p \geq 1$  such that  $F^{p*} = F_1 + F_2$ , where  $F_1 \neq 0$  has a continuous density with compact support. Put  $Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_2^{pn*}$ . Then  $H_1 := F_1 * Q * H$  and  $H_2 := Q * \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F^{n*}$  yield the desired decomposition [14] (see also [1, Theorem 2.6.2]).

In the present paper, we obtain Stone's decomposition  $H = H_1 + H_2$  by using Banach-algebraic techniques, which will allow us to extract detailed information about the asymptotic properties of the terms  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . We will show that, under suitable hypotheses,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  belong to specific Banach algebras of measures. In this connection, it is appropriate to mention the paper by R. Grübel [4] as a major contribution to renewal theory based on Banach algebra techniques.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Our discussion will rely on Banach algebras of measures with submultiplicative weights.

---

Received by the editors August 25, 2000 and, in revised form, February 19, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60K05.

*Key words and phrases*. Stone's decomposition, renewal measure, asymptotic behavior, submultiplicative function, spread-out distribution, Banach algebra.

This research was supported by Grant 99-01-00504 of the Russian Foundation of Basic Research.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $\varphi(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , is called *submultiplicative* if  $\varphi(x)$  is a finite, positive, Borel-measurable function with the following properties:

$$\varphi(0) = 1, \quad \varphi(x + y) \leq \varphi(x)\varphi(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbf{R}.$$

We give some examples of such functions on  $[0, \infty)$ :  $\varphi(x) = (1 + x)^r$ ,  $r > 0$ ;  $\varphi(x) = \exp(cx^\alpha)$  with  $c > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ;  $\varphi(x) = \exp(rx)$  with  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ . Moreover, if  $R(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$ , is a positive, ultimately nondecreasing regularly varying function at infinity with a nonnegative exponent  $\alpha$  (i.e.,  $R(tx)/R(x) \rightarrow t^\alpha$  for  $t > 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  [3, Section VIII.8]), then there exist a nondecreasing submultiplicative function  $\varphi(x)$  and a point  $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $c_1R(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq c_2R(x)$  for all  $x \geq x_0$ , where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are some positive constants [9, Proposition]. The product of a finite number of submultiplicative functions is again a submultiplicative function.

It is well known [5, Section 7.6] that

$$(2.1) \quad -\infty < r_1 := \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} = \sup_{x < 0} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} \\ \leq \inf_{x > 0} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} =: r_2 < \infty$$

and  $M(h) := \sup_{|x| \leq h} \varphi(x) < \infty \forall h > 0$ .

Consider the collection  $S(\varphi)$  of all complex-valued measures  $\kappa$  such that  $\|\kappa\|_\varphi := \int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi(x) |\kappa|(dx) < \infty$ ; here  $|\kappa|$  stands for the total variation of  $\kappa$ . The collection  $S(\varphi)$  is a Banach algebra with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$  by the usual operations of addition and scalar multiplication of measures, the product of two elements  $\nu$  and  $\kappa$  of  $S(\varphi)$  is defined as their convolution  $\nu * \kappa$  [5, Section 4.16]. The unit element of  $S(\varphi)$  is the measure  $\delta$ . Define the Laplace transform of a measure  $\kappa$  as  $\widehat{\kappa}(s) := \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(sx) \kappa(dx)$ . Then relation (2.1) implies that the Laplace transform of any  $\kappa \in S(\varphi)$  converges absolutely with respect to  $|\kappa|$  for all  $s$  in the strip  $\Pi(r_1, r_2) := \{s \in \mathbf{C} : r_1 \leq \Re s \leq r_2\}$ .

Let  $\nu$  be a finite complex-valued measure. Denote by  $T\nu$  the  $\sigma$ -finite measure with the density  $v(x; \nu) := \nu((x, \infty))$  for  $x \geq 0$  and  $v(x; \nu) := -\nu((-\infty, x])$  for  $x < 0$ . In the case  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |x| |\nu|(dx) < \infty$ ,  $T\nu$  is a finite measure whose Laplace transform is given by  $(T\nu)^\wedge(s) = [\hat{\nu}(s) - \hat{\nu}(0)]/s$ ,  $\Re s = 0$ , the value  $(T\nu)^\wedge(0)$  being defined by continuity as  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} x \nu(dx) < \infty$ .

The absolutely continuous part of any distribution  $F$  will be denoted by  $F_c$ , and its singular component by  $F_s$ , i.e.,  $F_s = F - F_c$ .

### 3. AN ABSTRACT THEOREM

Let  $S(r_1, r_2)$  be the Banach algebra  $S(\varphi)$  with  $\varphi(x) = \max(e^{r_1x}, e^{r_2x})$ , where  $r_1 \leq 0 \leq r_2$ . In this section, we shall consider Banach algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  of measures such that (i)  $\mathcal{A} \subset S(r_1, r_2)$  and (ii) each homomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathbf{C}$  is the restriction to  $\mathcal{A}$  of some homomorphism  $S(r_1, r_2) \mapsto \mathbf{C}$ . Property (ii) can be restated as follows: Each maximal ideal  $M$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is of the form  $M_1 \cap \mathcal{A}$ , where  $M_1$  is a maximal ideal of  $S(r_1, r_2)$ . It follows from the general theory of Banach algebras that if  $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$  is invertible in  $S(r_1, r_2)$ , then  $\nu^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

In Sections 4 and 5 we apply the results of this section to the special case  $\mathcal{A} = S(\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi(x)$  is an *arbitrary* submultiplicative function.

In what follows,  $F$  will denote a spread-out probability distribution with finite mean  $\mu > 0$  such that  $F \in S(r_1, r_2)$ ,  $r_1 \leq 0 \leq r_2$ ;  $(F^{m*})_s^\wedge(r_i) < 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , for

some integer  $m \geq 1$ ; and  $\hat{F}(s) \neq 1 \forall s \in \Pi(r_1, r_2) \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $L$  be the restriction of Lebesgue measure to  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra having properties (i) and (ii). Suppose  $F, TF \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then the renewal measure  $H = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{n*}$  admits a Stone-type decomposition  $H = H_1 + H_2$ , where  $H_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $H_1 = L/\mu + rTH_2$  for some  $r > r_2$ . If, in addition,  $T^2F \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $H_1 - L/\mu \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $r > r_2$ . The function

$$v(s) := \frac{(s - r)[1 - \hat{F}(s)]}{s}, \quad s \in \Pi(r_1, r_2),$$

is the Laplace transform of the measure  $V := \delta - F + rTF \in \mathcal{A}$ , the value  $v(0)$  being defined by continuity as  $r\mu$ . By Lemma 2 of [10] with obvious changes, there exists  $W := V^{-1} \in S(r_1, r_2)$ , and hence  $W \in \mathcal{A}$ . We have  $\hat{W}(s) = 1/v(s)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \hat{H}(s) &:= \frac{1}{1 - \hat{F}(s)} = \frac{(s - r)\hat{W}(s)}{s} = \hat{W}(s) - \frac{r\hat{W}(0)}{s} - \frac{r[\hat{W}(s) - \hat{W}(0)]}{s} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\mu s} + \hat{W}(s) - r(TW)^\wedge(s), \quad \Re s = 0, s \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $H_2 := W$  and  $H_1 := L/\mu - rTW$ . The desired decomposition follows from (3.1) [10, Lemmas 3 and 4]. (Note that in the case  $F([0, \infty)) = 1$ , relation (3.1) is the Laplace-transform version of the decomposition  $H = H_1 + H_2$ .)

Finally, let  $T^2F \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (TW)^\wedge(s) &= \frac{\hat{W}(s) - \hat{W}(0)}{s} = -\frac{v(s) - v(0)}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{v(s)v(0)} \\ &= -\hat{W}(s)(TV)^\wedge(s)/v(0) = \hat{W}(s)[(TF)^\wedge(s) - r(T^2F)^\wedge(s)]/v(0). \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $TW = W*(TF - rT^2F)/v(0) \in \mathcal{A}$ , and hence the second assertion of the theorem follows.  $\square$

#### 4. SUBMULTIPLICATIVE CASE

This section deals with submultiplicative moments of the measures  $H_1 - L/\mu$  and  $H_2$  of the decomposition given by Theorem 3.1.

Let  $\varphi(x), x \in \mathbf{R}$ , be a submultiplicative function such that  $r_1 \leq 0 \leq r_2$ . By Theorem 1 of [7],  $\mathcal{A} := S(\varphi)$  satisfies properties (i) and (ii) of the preceding section, and hence Theorem 3.1 applies. We note some nuances. Relation  $TF \in S(\varphi)$  implies  $F \in S(\varphi)$ . Actually,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \varphi(x)F((x, \infty)) dx &\geq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \inf_{x \in [k, k+1)} \varphi(x)F((k + 1, k + 2]) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{M(1)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{k+1}^{k+2} \varphi(x) F(dx) = \frac{1}{M(1)} \int_1^\infty \varphi(x) F(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Since, obviously,  $\int_0^1 \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$ , we have  $\int_0^\infty \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$ . Similarly,  $\int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$ . Therefore, instead of the hypotheses  $F, TF \in S(\varphi)$  in Theorem 3.1, we may assume only  $TF \in S(\varphi)$ . Similarly, the set of conditions  $F, TF, T^2F \in S(\varphi)$  may be replaced by  $T^2F \in S(\varphi)$ . Suppose now that  $\varphi(x)/\exp(r_1x)$

is nonincreasing on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $\varphi(x)/\exp(r_2x)$  is nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ . Theorem 3 of [11] implies that if  $r_1 = 0 = r_2$  and  $\int_{\mathbf{R}}(1 + |x|)^k \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$  for some integer  $k \geq 1$ , or if  $r_1 < 0 = r_2$  and  $\int_0^\infty (1 + x)^k \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$ , or if  $r_1 = 0 < r_2$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^0 (1 + |x|)^k \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$ , then  $T^k F \in S(\varphi)$ . If  $r_1 < 0 < r_2$ , then  $F \in S(\varphi) \Rightarrow T^k F \in S(\varphi) \forall k \geq 1$  [11, Theorem 2]. Suppose now that  $r_1 = 0 = r_2$ . Then, instead of the hypotheses  $F, TF \in S(\varphi)$  in Theorem 3.1, we may assume only  $F \in S(\varphi_1)$ , where  $\varphi_1(x) := (1 + |x|)\varphi(x)$ . Similarly, the set of conditions  $F, TF, T^2F \in S(\varphi)$  may be replaced by  $F \in S(\varphi_2)$ , where  $\varphi_2(x) := (1 + |x|)^2\varphi(x)$ . In the latter case,  $H_2$  will be in  $S(\varphi_1)$ . Suppose  $r_1 < 0 < r_2$ . Then the set of conditions  $F, TF, T^2F \in S(\varphi)$  may be replaced by  $F \in S(\varphi)$ . The intermediary cases  $r_1 < 0 = r_2$  and  $r_1 = 0 < r_2$  are dealt with in a similar way.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $\varphi(x)$  be a submultiplicative function such that  $r_1 \leq 0 \leq r_2$ . Suppose that  $\varphi(x)$  is nonincreasing on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ . Assume  $TF \in S(\varphi)$ . Fix any  $h > 0$ . Then*

$$|H - L/\mu|((x, x + h]) = o(1/\varphi(x)) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* For the sake of definiteness, we consider the case  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . By Theorem 1,  $H_2 \in S(\varphi)$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x)|H - L/\mu|((x, x + h]) &\leq \int_x^{x+h} \varphi(y) |H_2|(dy) + r \int_x^{x+h} \varphi(y) |H_2((y, \infty))| dy \\ &\leq \int_x^\infty \varphi(y) |H_2|(dy) + rhM(h)\varphi(x)|H_2|((x, \infty)) \\ &\leq [1 + rhM(h)] \int_x^\infty \varphi(y) |H_2|(dy) = o(1) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 4.2.* In the case  $r_1 = r_2 = 0$ , the assertion of Corollary 4.1 was obtained in [6, Corollary 3] (see also [1, Theorem 2.6.4 (b)], where  $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ ).

## 5. CONVERGENCE RATES IN A KEY RENEWAL THEOREM

Consider the renewal equation

$$(5.1) \quad X(t) = g(t) + \int_{\mathbf{R}} X(t - y) F(dy) =: g(t) + X * F(t),$$

where  $g \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  and  $F$  is a spread-out probability distribution on  $\mathbf{R}$  with positive mean  $\mu$ . The function  $X(t) := g * H(t) + c$  is clearly a solution to (5.1); here  $c$  is any constant. So the asymptotic properties of the solution to (5.1) are those of the convolution  $g * H(t)$ , which, under various assumptions, have been studied by several authors [12, 13, 8, 2, 1]. Some properties of  $X(t)$  were later rediscovered in a slightly more general setting [15, Theorem 5.1]. Usually, assertions about the asymptotic behavior of  $g * H(t)$  are called *key renewal theorems*. As pointed out in [2] and carried out in [1], Stone's decomposition allows us to obtain an elegant proof of a key renewal theorem, which in simplified form can be stated as follows:

$$(5.2) \quad g * H(t) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \mu^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x) dx & \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \\ 0 & \text{as } t \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases}$$

provided that  $g(x)$  is bounded and  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 0$ .

In this section, we shall obtain submultiplicative rates of convergence in (5.2) by means of the Stone-type decomposition of Theorem 3.1 with  $\mathcal{A} = S(\varphi)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\varphi(x)$  be a submultiplicative function such that  $r_1 \leq 0 \leq r_2$ , and let  $g(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , be a Borel-measurable function such that (a)  $g \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$ , (b)  $g \cdot \varphi \in L_\infty(\mathbf{R})$ , (c)  $g(x)\varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  outside a set of Lebesgue measure zero, and (d)  $\varphi(t) \int_t^\infty |g(x)| dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varphi(t) \int_{-\infty}^t |g(x)| dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . Suppose  $T^2F \in S(\varphi)$ . Then, as  $t$  approaches  $\pm\infty$  outside a set of Lebesgue measure zero,*

$$\sup_{\alpha: |\alpha| \leq |g|} \left| \alpha * H(t) - \mu^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \alpha(x) dx \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)}\right)$$

and  $\sup_{\alpha: |\alpha| \leq |g|} |\alpha * H(t)| = o(1/\varphi(t))$ , the  $\alpha(x)$  being Borel-measurable functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1 with  $\mathcal{A} = S(\varphi)$ , both  $H_1 - L/\mu$  and  $H_2$  are elements of  $S(\varphi)$ . Choose  $\tilde{g} \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\tilde{g} = g$  a.e.,  $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\tilde{g}(x)|\varphi(x) < \infty$ , and  $\tilde{g}(x)\varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  in the usual sense. It suffices to put  $\tilde{g}(x) = 0$  on  $\{x \in \mathbf{R} : |g(x)|\varphi(x) > \|g \cdot \varphi\|_\infty\}$  and on a set, say  $B$ , of Lebesgue measure zero such that  $\lim_{x \notin B, |x| \rightarrow \infty} g(x)\varphi(x) = 0$ ; otherwise,  $\tilde{g}(x) := g(x)$ . By Fubini's theorem, the sets  $A_1 := \{x : |\tilde{g}| * |H_1 - L/\mu|(x) \neq |g| * |H_1 - L/\mu|(x)\}$  and  $A_2 := \{x : |\tilde{g}| * |H_2|(x) \neq |g| * |H_2|(x)\}$  are both of Lebesgue measure zero. Set  $A := A_1 \cup A_2$ . We have

$$\varphi(t)|\tilde{g}| * |H_2|(t) \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\tilde{g}(t-x)|\varphi(t-x)\varphi(x)|H_2|(dx).$$

By dominated convergence, it follows from the hypotheses of the theorem that the right-hand side tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and so does the left-hand side. By the same reasons,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)|\tilde{g}| * |H_1 - L/\mu|(t) = 0$ . Hence both  $\varphi(t)|g| * |H_1 - L/\mu|(t)$  and  $\varphi(t)|g| * |H_2|(t)$  tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , remaining outside the set  $A$  of Lebesgue measure zero. The first assertion of the theorem now follows from the obvious inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha * H(t) - \mu^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \alpha(x) dx \right| &\leq |g| * |H_1 - L/\mu|(t) + |g| * |H_2|(t) \\ &\quad + \mu^{-1} \int_t^\infty |g(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

and condition (d). The case  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  is dealt with in a similar way. □

REFERENCES

1. G. Alsmeyer, *Erneuerungstheorie*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1991. MR **92f**:60148
2. E. Arjas, E. Nummelin, R. L. Tweedie, *Uniform limit theorems for non-singular renewal and Markov renewal processes*. J. Appl. Probab. **15** (1978), 112–125. MR **57**:7798
3. W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications II*, Wiley, New York, 1966. MR **35**:1048
4. R. Grübel, *On subordinated distributions and generalized renewal measures*. Ann. Probab. **15** (1987), 394–415. MR **88d**:60045
5. E. Hille, R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 31, Providence, RI, 1957. MR **19**:664d
6. B. A. Rogozin, *Asymptotics of renewal functions*. Theory Probab. Appl. **21** (1976), 669–686. MR **54**:8911
7. B. A. Rogozin, M. S. Sgibnev, *Banach algebras of measures on the line*. Siberian Math. J. **21** (1980), 265–273. MR **81e**:43003

8. M. Schäl, *Über Lösungen einer Erneuerungsgleichung*. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **36** (1971), 89–98. MR **48**:12659
9. M. S. Sgibnev, *Submultiplicative moments of the supremum of a random walk with negative drift*. Statist. Probab. Lett. **32** (1997), 377–383. MR **99e**:60158
10. M. S. Sgibnev, *Exact asymptotic behaviour in a renewal theorem for convolution equivalent distributions with exponential tails*. SUT Journal of Mathematics **35** (1999), 247–262. MR **2000m**:60102
11. M. S. Sgibnev, *An asymptotic expansion for the distribution of the supremum of a random walk*. Studia Math. **140** (2000), 41–55. MR **2001g**:60109
12. W. L. Smith, *Regenerative stochastic processes*. Proc. R. Soc. London A **232** (1955), 6–31. MR **17**:502b
13. W. L. Smith, *Remarks on the paper ‘Regenerative stochastic processes’*. Proc. R. Soc. London A **256** (1960), 496–501. MR **22**:6025
14. C. Stone, *On absolutely continuous components and renewal theory*. Ann. Math. Statist. **37** (1966), 271–275. MR **33**:4981
15. N. B. Yengibarian, *Renewal equation on the whole line*. Stochastic Process. Appl. **85** (2000), 237–247. MR **2001d**:60097b

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SIBERIAN BRANCH OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,  
NOVOSIBIRSK 90, 630090 RUSSIA

*E-mail address*: `sgibnev@math.nsc.ru`