

CONTINUOUS TRANSFORMATION OF BAIRE MEASURES INTO LEBESGUE MEASURE

HANS G. KELLERER

(Communicated by David Preiss)

ABSTRACT. A recent result by Wulbert on the existence of continuous functions with measure zero level sets is slightly extended and its proof is considerably simplified. As a by-product, a criterion is established for a Baire measure to allow a continuous transformation into Lebesgue measure.

INTRODUCTION

As outlined in the survey [5] by Wulbert, the following result is of interest in L_1 -approximation theory:

(*) *Given a nonatomic σ -finite measure space (X, \mathfrak{A}, μ) , for any finite dimensional subspace \mathcal{K} of $\mathcal{L}_1(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ there is an extreme element g in the unit ball of the dual space, i.e. satisfying*

$$(1) \quad g(x) = \pm 1 \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-almost all } x \in X,$$

which annihilates \mathcal{K} , i.e. satisfies

$$(2) \quad \int_X fg d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{K}.$$

One approach to this result uses Liapunov's convexity theorem, as has been carried out by Phelps and Dye [4, Theorem 2.5]. An alternative, yielding some additional insight, consists in applying the Borsuk–Ulam theorem on antipodal mappings, as has been done by Hobby and Rice [1] in the classical case $X = [0, 1]$ and $\mu = \lambda$ ($:=$ Lebesgue measure). In fact, this approach has been anticipated in a stochastic context by the present author [2, Satz 3] for arbitrary measure spaces. The additional tool there is a transformation of the underlying measure μ into Lebesgue measure, as is always available under the – obviously necessary – condition that μ is nonatomic and σ -finite. Actually, all that is needed is an \mathfrak{A} -measurable function h with measure zero level sets, i.e.

$$(3) \quad \mu(\{x : h(x) = y\}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbf{R}.$$

In a recent paper [6] Wulbert proves that in the topological situation the function h may even be chosen to be continuous, which yields for (*) above a solution g being the sign of a continuous function. Since his construction is highly involved, a simple proof seemed to be desirable. On the way it turned out that by a slight generalization the question raised by the title of this paper finds a complete answer.

Received by the editors January 5, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28C15; Secondary 46G10.

Key words and phrases. Baire measures, measure transformation, Lebesgue measure.

PRELIMINARIES

In proving the main results, three simple lemmata will be used. First, the notion of moderatedness has to be extended from Borel to Baire measures:

Definition 1. For an arbitrary topological space X denote by $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ the Baire σ -algebra, generated by the space $\mathcal{C}(X)$ of continuous functions. Then a measure μ on $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ is called “moderated”, if $\mathcal{C}(X)$ contains functions $f_n, n \in \mathbf{N}$, satisfying

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \{x : f_n(x) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\{x : f_n(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

While any moderated Baire measure is σ -finite, the converse fails even for nonatomic measures. Indeed, choose an open base $G_n, n \in \mathbf{N}$, for $X = [0, 1]$ and measurable functions $f_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that

$$\int_{G_n} f_n d\lambda = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \lambda(\{x : f_n(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty.$$

The last condition implies

$$\lambda(\{x : f_n(x) = 0 \text{ for almost all } n \in \mathbf{N}\}) = 1,$$

hence $f := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} f_n$ is λ -almost finite and thus $d\mu = f d\lambda$ defines a σ -finite measure. Due to $\mu(G) = \infty$ for all open sets $G \neq \emptyset$, however, it fails to be moderated (this example also solves the final problem in [6]).

The following criterion will be required in the sequel:

Lemma 1. *A Baire measure $\mu|_{\mathfrak{C}(X)}$ is moderated if and only if $\mathcal{C}(X)$ contains a μ -integrable strictly positive function f .*

Proof. Given functions $f_n, n \in \mathbf{N}$, according to Definition 1, choose constants $\gamma_n > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \gamma_n < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \gamma_n \mu(\{x : f_n(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty.$$

Then the function

$$f := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (|f_n| \wedge \gamma_n)$$

meets all requirements. Conversely, if f is strictly positive and μ -integrable, then the functions

$$f_n := \left(f - \frac{1}{n}\right)^+, n \in \mathbf{N},$$

satisfy the conditions of Definition 1. □

Next, some notation has to be introduced:

Definition 2. For a Hausdorff topological space Y denote by $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$ the Borel σ -algebra and by $M(Y)$ the space of all finite measures ν on $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$ endowed with the weak (narrow) topology. Then

$$\delta(\nu) := \max_{y \in Y} \nu(\{y\}) \quad \text{for } \nu \in M(Y).$$

The following fact will be used only for $Y = \mathbf{R}$, but is of independent interest:

Lemma 2. *If Y is a second countable metrizable space, then the functional δ is upper semicontinuous.*

Proof. Fix $\nu_0 \in M(Y)$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ with $\delta(\nu_0) < \delta_0$. Since Y is second countable, ν_0 -atoms are singletons, which is easily seen to imply that Y may be partitioned into a finite number of sets $B_m \in \mathfrak{B}(Y)$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, with $\nu_0(B_m) < \delta_0$. Since ν_0 is outer regular, this yields a cover of Y by open sets G_m , $1 \leq m \leq n$, with $\nu_0(G_m) < \delta_0$. Now in a metrizable space each open set G can be approximated from inside by a closed set F with measure zero boundary ∂F (choose a metric d and consider the closed sets $F_t := \{y : d(y, z) \geq t \text{ for } z \notin G\}$, having disjoint boundaries for different values $t > 0$). Thus there are closed sets $F_m \subset G_m$ with

$$\nu_0(F_m) > \nu_0(G_m) - \frac{1}{n} \delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_0(\partial F_m) = 0.$$

Adjoining to F_1, \dots, F_n the set

$$F_0 := Y \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} (F_m \setminus \partial F_m)$$

yields a cover of Y by closed sets F_m , $0 \leq m \leq n$, with $\nu_0(F_m) < \delta_0$ for all m , because also

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0(F_0) &= \nu_0\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} G_m\right) - \nu_0\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} F_m\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} \nu_0(G_m \setminus F_m) < \delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the weakly open set

$$M_0 := \bigcap_{0 \leq m \leq n} \{\nu \in M(Y) : \nu(F_m) < \delta_0\}$$

contains ν_0 and satisfies $\delta(\nu) < \delta_0$ for all $\nu \in M_0$, as had to be shown. \square

The final auxiliary result is the essential content of [6, Lemma 4.1] (for a similar argument see the proof of [3, Lemma 1]):

Lemma 3. *If Y is a Hausdorff topological vector space, then for any $\nu \in M(Y)$ there are only countably many one-dimensional affine subspaces S of Y satisfying the inequality $\nu(S) > \delta(\nu)$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{S} denote the class of all one-dimensional affine (closed, hence Borel measurable) subspaces S of Y and A be the set of points $y \in Y$ with $\nu(\{y\}) > 0$. Let, moreover, the measure ν_0 be defined by $d\nu_0 = 1_{X \setminus A} d\nu$. Then $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ satisfies $\nu(S) \leq \delta(\nu)$, whenever (a) S intersects A in at most one point and (b) S is a ν_0 -null set. But there are only countably many exceptions of (a), because A is countable, and this holds as well for (b), because two sets $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ meet in at most one point and thus are ν_0 -almost disjoint. \square

MAIN RESULTS

No further auxiliary results on nonatomic measures (as in Section 3 of [6]) are needed to establish the following extension of Wulbert's main result:

Proposition 1. *Let μ be a nonatomic σ -finite Baire measure on some topological space X and define the topology in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ by the (finite or infinite) uniform norm. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_0 := \{h \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \mu(\{x : h(x) = y\}) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

is a dense subset of $\mathcal{C}(X)$.

Proof. 1. Since μ may be replaced by any dominating measure, it is sufficient to consider the case of a probability measure. Since, moreover, μ is nonatomic, there exists a Baire measurable function $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with

$$\mu(\{x : h(x) = y\}) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq 1.$$

Let $h_n \in \mathcal{C}(X), n \in \mathbf{N}$, be an L_1 -approximation of h , i.e. satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |h_n - h| d\mu = 0,$$

where $0 \leq h_n \leq 1$ may and will be assumed. Then $h_n \rightarrow h$ in μ -measure and thus the distributions $\mu \circ h_n^{-1}$ converge weakly to $\mu \circ h^{-1}$. Since this distribution is nonatomic, Lemma 2 yields

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(\mu \circ h_n^{-1}) \leq \delta(\mu \circ h^{-1}) = 0.$$

2. Now, with $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ being fixed, define

$$g_t := f + t h_n \in \mathcal{C}(X) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then an application of Lemma 3 to $Y = \mathbf{R}^2$ and the joint distribution ν of (f, h_n) shows the inequality $\delta(\mu \circ g_t^{-1}) \leq \delta(\nu)$ to hold, except for countably many values of t . Combined with the trivial inequality $\delta(\nu) \leq \delta(\mu \circ h_n^{-1})$ this provides a dense subset T of \mathbf{R} such that

$$\delta(\mu \circ g_t^{-1}) \leq \delta(\mu \circ h_n^{-1}) \quad \text{for } t \in T.$$

3. Next, consider the sets

$$\mathcal{G}_n := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \delta(\mu \circ g^{-1}) < \delta(\mu \circ h_n^{-1}) + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Since the mapping $\mathcal{C}(X) \ni g \mapsto \mu \circ g^{-1} \in M(\mathbf{R})$ is continuous, they are open subsets of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ by Lemma 2. Since, moreover, they are dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ by part 2 of the proof and $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a Baire space, the set $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{G}_n$ is as well dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$. Since, finally, this intersection equals \mathcal{C}_0 by part 1 of the proof, the assertion is established.* □

Now the concluding criterion is an easy consequence:

Proposition 2. *A Baire measure μ on a topological space X allows a continuous transformation φ into Lebesgue measure on the interval $[0, \mu(X)]$ resp. \mathbf{R}_+ if and only if it is nonatomic and moderated.*

Proof. The condition is necessary, as is clear for “nonatomic” and follows for “moderated” from Lemma 1. To prove sufficiency choose a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ according to Lemma 1 and a function $h \in \mathcal{C}_0$ with $\|h - (\frac{1}{f} + 1)\| < 1$ according to Proposition 1. Then $h \geq 0$, and it follows from

$$\mu(\{x : h(x) \leq y\}) \leq \mu\left(\left\{x : f(x) \geq \frac{1}{y}\right\}\right) < \infty \quad \text{for } y > 0,$$

that the “distribution function”

$$\psi(y) := \mu(\{x : h(x) \leq y\}) \quad \text{for } y \geq 0$$

* The original proof of Proposition 1 used arguments from measure theory, resulting in

$$\delta(\mu \circ (f + \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{t_n}{2^n} h_n)^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda^{\mathbf{N}}\text{-almost all } (t_n, n \in \mathbf{N}) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbf{N}}.$$

Following a suggestion by the referee, it was slightly simplified by using topological arguments.

is continuous and finite-valued. Using the “inverse function”

$$\psi^{-1}(z) := \max \{y : \psi(y) \leq z\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq z < \mu(X),$$

it is now easily checked that

$$\mu(\{x : \psi \circ h(x) \leq y\}) = y \quad \text{for } 0 \leq y < \mu(X),$$

i.e. the continuous function $\varphi := \psi \circ h$ is appropriate. \square

Finally, it should be mentioned that for infinite μ a continuous transformation into Lebesgue measure on the whole real line is as well possible. Indeed, to replace $X = \mathbf{R}_+$ by $Y = \mathbf{R}$, it suffices to choose for the first step a continuous function h with the properties

$$\lambda(\{x : |h(x)| = y\}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\{x : |h(x)| \leq y\}) < \infty \quad \text{for all } y \geq 0,$$

satisfying in addition

$$\lambda(\{x : h(x) \leq 0\}) = \infty = \lambda(\{x : h(x) \geq 0\})$$

(take for instance $h(x) = x^2 \sin x$). The second step then uses the “two-sided” distribution function ψ , being defined by $\lambda(\{x : 0 \leq h(x) \leq y\})$ for $y \geq 0$ and by $-\lambda(\{x : y \leq h(x) \leq 0\})$ for $y \leq 0$, respectively.

ADDED IN PROOF

As the referee asked for a direct solution, here is a continuous transformation φ of Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}_+ into Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R} : define mappings $\varphi_n : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, by affine interpolation of the points

$$(0, 0), \left(\frac{1}{2(n+1)}, n\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right), \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n+1)}, n\right), (1, 0)$$

and let φ be composed of the translates of φ_n resp. $-\varphi_n$ to the intervals $[2n - 2, 2n - 1]$ resp. $[2n - 1, 2n]$.

REFERENCES

1. Hobby, C.R. and J.R. Rice, *A moment problem in L_1 -approximation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16(1965)665-670. MR **31**:2550
2. Kellerer, H.G., *Zur Existenz analoger Bereiche*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb. 1(1963)240-246. MR **28**:182
3. Kellerer, H.G., *A topological version of Liapunov's theorem*, Arch. Math. 72(1999) 206-213. MR **2000c**:28019
4. Phelps, R.R., *Uniqueness of Hahn-Banach extensions and unique best approximation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95(1960)238-255. MR **22**:3964
5. Wulbert, D.E., *Liapunov's and related theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 108(1990) 955-960. MR **90m**:46074
6. Wulbert, D.E., *Annihilating a subspace of L_1 with the sign of a continuous function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128(2000)2431-2438. MR **2000m**:46036

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, THERESIENSTRASSE 39, D-80333 MUNICH, GERMANY

E-mail address: hans_g.kellerer@mathematik.uni-muenchen.de