

NORMAL BASES FOR HOPF-GALOIS ALGEBRAS

H. F. KREIMER

(Communicated by Martin Lorenz)

ABSTRACT. Let H be a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring R such that H is a finitely generated, projective module over R , let A be a right H -comodule algebra, and let B be the subalgebra of H -coinvariant elements of A . If A is a Galois extension of B and B is a local subalgebra of the center of A , then A is a cleft right H -comodule algebra or, equivalently, there is a normal basis for A over B .

Let R be a commutative ring, and let H be a Hopf algebra over R , which is a finitely generated, projective module over R . When there is no notation to indicate otherwise, the bifunctors \otimes and Hom are applied to the category of R -modules. A right H -comodule algebra A is an algebra over R and a right H -comodule such that the comodule map α of A into $A \otimes H$ is a homomorphism of algebras. Use the sigma notations $\sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$ for the coproduct of an element h of H and $\sum_{(a)} a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$ for the element $\alpha(a)$ of $A \otimes H$, a in A . An element a of A is called H -coinvariant if $\sum_{(a)} a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} = a \otimes 1$, and the set B of H -coinvariant elements of A is a subalgebra of A . If the extension of α to a left A -module homomorphism of $A \otimes A$ into $A \otimes H$ is surjective, then A is called an H -Galois extension of B [4, Def. 1.4], and an H -Galois extension A of B is said to have a normal basis if there is a left B -module, right H -comodule isomorphism of $B \otimes H$ onto A [4, Def. 2.6]. Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi introduced the notion of a cleft right H -comodule algebra and proved that a right H -comodule algebra is cleft if, and only if, it is a Galois extension with normal basis [2, Thm. 9].

Now assume that B is contained in the center of A . Then $B \otimes H$ is a Hopf algebra and A is a right $B \otimes H$ -comodule algebra over the ring B . Thus R may be replaced by B so that the subalgebra of H -coinvariant elements of A is the ground ring R . If A is a Galois extension of R , A is called an H -Galois algebra. In this case, the extension of α is a left A -module isomorphism γ of $A \otimes A$ onto $A \otimes H$ by [4, Thm. 1.7]. Henceforth, assume that A is an H -Galois algebra. Then A is cleft whenever there is a right H -comodule isomorphism of H onto A . But a right H -comodule is a left module over the dual algebra $H^* = \text{Hom}(H, R)$, and because H is a projective module over R , it can be shown that A is cleft exactly when there is a left H^* -module isomorphism of H onto A . Recently, D. Rumynin [5, §2.4] raised the question of whether H -Galois algebras over a local ring R are cleft. In

Received by the editors April 11, 2001 and, in revised form, May 23, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16W30.

Key words and phrases. Cleft Hopf algebra, normal basis.

a much earlier paper [3], P.M. Cook and H.F. Kreimer proved that for a local ring R and commutative or cocommutative Hopf algebra H , every H -Galois algebra is cleft. The restriction that H be commutative or cocommutative was used to prove that H is a finitely generated, projective left H^* -module, but the restriction is not necessary.

Proposition 1. *H is a finitely generated, projective left module over H^* .*

Proof. H is a left H^* -module with respect to the rule $\varphi \cdot h = \sum_{(h)} \langle \varphi, h_{(2)} \rangle h_{(1)}$ for elements φ of H^* and h of H . The antipode S of H is an antiautomorphism of the algebra H by [4, Prop. 1.1], and H becomes a right H -module by assigning $S(g)h$ to the element $h \otimes g$ of $H \otimes H$. Because H is a finitely generated, projective module over R , this right H -module structure is equivalent to a left H^* -comodule structure for H . Indeed, let $\varphi_i \in H^*$ and $h_i \in H$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be elements such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \varphi_i, h \rangle h_i = h$ for all elements h of H . Then $S(g)h = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \varphi_i, S(g)h \rangle h_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(\varphi_i)} \langle \varphi_i, (1), S(g) \rangle \langle \varphi_i, h \rangle h_i$ and the left H^* -comodule structure on H is given by mapping an element h of H to $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(\varphi_i)} \langle \varphi_i, (2), h \rangle S^*(\varphi_i, (1)) \otimes h_i$, where S^* denotes the adjoint of S . To show that H is a left Hopf module over H^* it is necessary to verify that $S(g)(\varphi \cdot h)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(\varphi_i), (\varphi)} \langle \varphi_i, (2), h \rangle \langle \varphi_i, (1), S^*(\varphi_i, (1)), g \rangle \varphi_i \cdot h_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(\varphi_i), (\varphi)} \sum_{(g)} \langle \varphi_i, (2), h \rangle \langle \varphi_i, (1), g \rangle \langle \varphi_i, (1), S(g) \rangle \varphi_i \cdot h_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(\varphi)} \sum_{(g)} \langle \varphi_i, S(g)h \rangle \langle \varphi_i, (1), g \rangle \varphi_i \cdot h_i \\ &= \sum_{(\varphi)} \sum_{(g)} \langle \varphi_i, (1), g \rangle \varphi_i \cdot (S(g)h). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(\varphi)} \sum_{(g)} \langle \varphi_i, (1), g \rangle \varphi_i \cdot (S(g)h) \\ &= \sum_{(\varphi)} \sum_{(g), (h)} \langle \varphi_i, (1), g \rangle \langle \varphi_i, (2), S(g)h \rangle S(g)h_{(1)} \\ &= \sum_{(g), (h)} \langle \varphi_i, (1), S(g)h \rangle S(g)h_{(1)} \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \langle \varphi_i, h \rangle S(g)h_{(1)} = S(g)(\varphi \cdot h). \end{aligned}$$

Letting I be the set of elements h of H such that $S(g)h = \langle \varepsilon, g \rangle h = \langle \varepsilon, S(g) \rangle h$, I is the ideal of left integrals in H , and by the theory of Hopf modules, I is a direct summand of H and the H^* -Hopf module H is isomorphic to $H^* \otimes I$. In fact the projection of H onto I is given by mapping an element h of H to

$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(\varphi_i)} \langle \varphi_{i,(2)}, h \rangle S^* S^*(\varphi_{i,(1)}) \cdot h_i$ and the H^* -Hopf module isomorphism of H onto $H^* \otimes I$ is given by mapping h to $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(\varphi_i)} \langle \varphi_{i,(3)}, h \rangle S^*(\varphi_{i,(2)}) \otimes S^* S^*(\varphi_{i,(1)}) \cdot h_i$. Then I is a finitely generated, projective module over R , since I is a direct summand of H , and H is a finitely generated, projective left module over H^* , since it is isomorphic to $H^* \otimes I$. □

Now the program in [3] can be carried out and complete proofs of the following results can be found there.

Lemma 2. *If A and H are free modules over R , then A and H have the same rank n and the direct sum of n copies of A is isomorphic as a left module over H^* to the direct sum of n copies of H .*

Note that an H -Galois algebra is a finitely generated and projective module over R [4, Thm. 1.7]. To prove the lemma, let n be the rank of the free module A and use the left A -module isomorphism γ of $A \otimes A$ onto $A \otimes H$, which is induced by the comodule map α of A into $A \otimes H$.

Proposition 3. *If R is a field, then A and H are isomorphic left modules over H^* .*

The direct sum of n copies of A and the direct sum of n copies of H are finite dimensional vector spaces over a field R , and so they satisfy the ascending and descending chain conditions for left H^* -submodules. Apply the Krull-Schmidt theorem to prove this proposition.

Lemma 4. *Let J be an ideal in R , let $\bar{R} = R/J$, and assume that $\bar{\omega} : \bar{R} \otimes H \rightarrow \bar{R} \otimes A$ is a homomorphism of left modules over $\bar{R} \otimes H^*$. There exists a left H^* -module homomorphism $\omega : H \rightarrow A$ such that $\bar{\omega} = 1 \otimes \omega$. Moreover, if $\bar{\omega}$ is an isomorphism and J is contained in the Jacobson radical of R , then ω is an isomorphism.*

Since H is a projective left H^* -module, the map of H to $\bar{R} \otimes A$, obtained by composing $\bar{\omega}$ with the canonical map of H onto $\bar{R} \otimes H$, can be lifted to a left H^* -module homomorphism ω of H into A . Assume that J is contained in the Jacobson radical of R and $\bar{\omega}$ is an isomorphism. Then $\text{coker } \omega$ is a finitely generated module over R and $\bar{R} \otimes \text{coker } \omega = \text{coker } \bar{\omega} = 0$. By Nakayama's Lemma, $\text{coker } \omega = 0$. Since A is a projective module over R , the sequence of R -modules $\ker \omega \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\omega} A$ is split, $\ker \omega$ is a finitely generated module over R , and $\bar{R} \otimes \ker \omega = \ker \bar{\omega} = 0$. Again by Nakayama's Lemma, $\ker \omega = 0$.

The fact that every H -Galois algebra over a local ring is cleft follows easily from Lemma 4 and Proposition 3. Or, one can follow the argument in [3] to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *If there is a basis of sets which are both open and closed for the Zariski topology on the set of maximal ideals of R , then A and H are isomorphic left modules over H^* .*

The following corollary is the only claim in [3] left to be verified.

Corollary 6. *Any H -Galois algebra is a finitely generated, projective left module over H^* .*

Proof. Let A be an H -Galois algebra. First it will be shown that A is a finitely presented left module over H^* . Since A is a finitely generated module over R , it is a finitely generated left H^* -module. Let K be the kernel of an epimorphism of a finitely generated free left H^* -module F onto the left H^* -module A . Since H^* is a finitely generated module over R , F is a finitely generated module over R , and since A is a projective module over R , the R -module K is a direct summand of F . Therefore K is a finitely generated R -module, consequently K is a finitely generated left H^* -module, and A is a finitely presented left H^* -module. Let R_p denote the local ring at a prime ideal p of R , and let $M_p = R_p \otimes M$ for any module M over R . Then $\text{Hom}_{H^*}(A, X)_p$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{H^*}(A, X_p) = \text{Hom}_{H_p^*}(A_p, X_p)$ for any left H^* -module X by [1, Chapter I, §2, No. 9, Prop. 10]. A is a finitely generated, projective left module over H^* if, and only if, for every epimorphism of a left H^* -module X onto a left H^* -module Y , the induced map of $\text{Hom}_{H^*}(A, X)$ into $\text{Hom}_{H^*}(A, Y)$ is surjective. But an R -module homomorphism of $\text{Hom}_{H^*}(A, X)$ into $\text{Hom}_{H^*}(A, Y)$ is surjective if, and only if, the corresponding map of $\text{Hom}_{H_p^*}(A_p, X_p)$ into $\text{Hom}_{H_p^*}(A_p, Y_p)$ is surjective for every maximal ideal p of R by [1, Chapter II, §3, No. 3, Thm. 1]. Thus it is only necessary to prove Corollary 6 when R is a local ring. But then A and H are isomorphic left modules over H^* by Theorem 5, and H is a finitely generated, projective left module over H^* by Proposition 1. \square

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, Elements of Mathematics, *Commutative Algebra*, Hermann, Paris, 1972. MR **50**:12997
2. Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, *Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra*, Comm. in Algebra **14**(5) (1986), 801–817. MR **87e**:16025
3. H.F. Kreimer and P.M. Cook III, *Galois theories and normal bases*, J. Algebra **43** (1976), 115–121. MR **54**:12740
4. H.F. Kreimer and M. Takeuchi, *Hopf algebras and Galois extensions of an algebra*, Indiana U. Math. J. **30** (1981), 675–692. MR **83h**:16015
5. D. Rumynin, *Hopf-Galois extensions with central invariants and their geometric properties*, Algebras and Representation Theory **1** (1998), 353–381. MR **2000h**:16051

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306-4510

E-mail address: kreimer@math.fsu.edu