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Abstract. We consider the best constant $S(\Omega_\lambda)$ for the embedding of $W^{1,p}(\Omega_\lambda)$ into $L^q(\Omega_\lambda)$ where $1 < p < 2$, $p < q < \frac{Np}{N-p}$. Here $\Omega_\lambda = \lambda\Omega$ with $\Omega$ a smooth, bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda$ a large positive number. It is proven by the validity of the expansion

$$S(\Omega_\lambda) = S(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - \lambda^{-1} \gamma \max_{x \in \partial \Omega} H(x) + o(\lambda^{-1}),$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$, where $\gamma$ is a positive constant depending on $p$, $q$ and $N$. The behavior of associated extremals, which satisfy an equation involving the $p$-Laplacian operator, is also analyzed.

1. Introduction and statement of main results

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, with $\partial \Omega$ smooth. Let $p > 1$ and denote $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ if $p < N$, $p^* = +\infty$ otherwise. It is well known that for any $1 < q < p^*$ the Sobolev embedding of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $L^q(\Omega)$ holds, namely there exists a positive constant $S = S(p,q,\Omega)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^p \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The best constant for this embedding is the largest $S$ for which the above relation holds, namely the number $S(\Omega)$ defined as

$$(1.1) \quad S(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p}{(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q)^{p/q}}.$$

This embedding is compact, which implies the existence of extremals for it, namely, functions $u$ at which this infimum is achieved.

Let us fix $p$ and $q$ as above, and a bounded smooth domain $\Omega$. For a large positive number $\lambda$ we consider the family of expanding domains

$$\Omega_\lambda = \lambda\Omega = \{\lambda x \mid x \in \Omega\}.$$

Our purpose in this paper is to describe the asymptotic behavior as $\lambda \to +\infty$ of the best constants $S(\Omega_\lambda)$ as well as that of the associated family of extremals $u_\lambda$. 
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In what follows we shall denote by \( u_\lambda \) an extremal normalized so that the relation
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\lambda|^p + |u_\lambda|^p = \int_{\Omega} |u_\lambda|^q
\]
holds. Then the Euler-Lagrange equation satisfied by \( u_\lambda \) becomes
\[
(1.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Delta_p u_\lambda - |u_\lambda|^{p-2} u_\lambda + |u_\lambda|^{q-2} u_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,

u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{array} \right.
\]
Here \( \Delta_p \) stands for the \( p \)-Laplacian operator, \( \Delta_p u = \text{div}(\nabla |u|^{p-2}\nabla u) \). Note that \( u_\lambda \) is one-signed in \( \Omega \). Indeed, \( |u_\lambda| \) is also a minimizer of the Raleigh quotient above, hence also a solution to (1.2). Regularity theory for the \( p \)-Laplacian (see [5, 11]) applies to yield that \( |u_\lambda| \) is actually of class \( C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \). Then the strong maximum principle proved in [12] implies that \( |u_\lambda| \) does not vanish in \( \Omega \), and therefore \( u_\lambda \) is one-signed. Henceforth we will assume \( u_\lambda > 0 \) in \( \Omega \).

Since \( \Omega \) expands toward entire space or to a half-space depending on the choice of origin, it is natural to relate the behavior of \( S(\Omega_\lambda) \) and \( u_\lambda \) with best constant and extremals of the Sobolev embedding in \( \mathbb{R}^N \),

\[
S(\mathbb{R}^N) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q) \frac{p}{q}}.
\]

A concentration-compactness argument along the lines of [1], [7] shows that this infimum is achieved. Modulo normalization, extremals are positive solutions of the equation
\[
(1.3) \quad \Delta_p w - |w|^{p-2} w + |w|^{q-2} w = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,

w(x) \to 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \to +\infty.
\]

It has been established in [2] that for \( 1 < p < 2 \), positive solutions of (1.3) are radially symmetric around some point. Moreover, from a recent result in [10], the radial solution around the origin is unique. We shall denote it by \( w_* = w_*(|x|) \) in what follows. Let us consider the half-space \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ = \{ (x', x_N) \mid x_N > 0 \} \). The best constants of \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \) and \( \mathbb{R}^N \) relate as

\[
S(\mathbb{R}^N_+) = 2^{-\frac{2-p}{2}} S(\mathbb{R}^N).
\]

Corresponding extremals are positive solutions of the problem
\[
(1.4) \quad \Delta_p w - |w|^{p-2} w + |w|^{q-2} w = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,

w(x) \to 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \to +\infty, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_N} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}^N_+.
\]

Even extension of such a solution to entire space corresponds to a solution of problem (1.3). It is natural to suspect that \( S(\Omega_\lambda) \) converges to the corresponding quantity for the half-space, and \( u_\lambda \) to an associated extremal. Our principal result states that when \( 1 < p < 2 \), \( S(\Omega_\lambda) \) indeed approaches \( S(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \), corrected by a negative factor of the maximum mean curvature of \( \partial \Omega \).

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \( 1 < p < 2 \) and that \( p < q < p \frac{N-1}{N-p} \). There is a constant \( \gamma = \gamma(p, q, N) > 0 \) such that the following expansion holds:
\[
(1.5) \quad S(\Omega_\lambda) = S(\mathbb{R}^N_+) - \gamma \max_{x \in \partial \Omega} H(x) + o(\lambda^{-1}),
\]
as \( \lambda \to +\infty \). Here \( H(x) \) denotes the mean curvature of the boundary at the point \( x \). Moreover, there exist points \( x^\lambda \in \partial \Omega \) such that

\[
\sup_{y \in \Omega} |u_\lambda(y) - w_*(|y - \lambda x^\lambda|)| \to 0
\]

and

\[
H(x^\lambda) \to \max_{x \in \partial \Omega} H(x)
\]
as \( \lambda \to \infty \).

The radial symmetry of the extremals of \( S(\mathbb{R}^N) \) plays a crucial role in the proof of the theorem. For \( p > 2 \) the same result would hold if such a fact was true. The constant \( \gamma \) above is given explicitly as follows:

\[
\gamma = \frac{2 p}{q} \frac{N - 1}{N + 1} \omega_N \omega_{N-1} \int_0^\infty \left| w'_*(r) \right|^p r^N dr \int_0^\infty w_*(r)^q r^{N-1} dr.
\]

For \( p = 2 \), these facts have been known since the works by Lin Ni and Takagi, and Ni and Takagi \([\text{6, 8, 9}]\). The proof devised in those works does not apply in the current situation. Strong use of linearity of the differential operator, as well as certain nondegeneracy properties of the linearized equation around \( w_* \) only known for \( p = 2 \), is used. A different proof of those results was found in \([\text{4}]\). We borrow ideas from that work in the proof of Theorem 1.1. See also \([\text{3}]\) for a related result involving trace embeddings and \( p = 2 \).

2. Preliminaries

Let us consider the best Sobolev constant \( S(\Omega_\lambda) \) given by (1.1) for the embedding of \( W^{1,p}(\Omega_\lambda) \) into \( L^q(\Omega_\lambda) \). It is convenient for our purposes to obtain a further characterization of this value and its extremals in terms of the energy functional

\[
J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega_\lambda} (|\nabla u|^p + u^p) dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega_\lambda} u_\lambda^q dx.
\]

It is standard to check that nontrivial critical points of \( J_\lambda \) in \( W^{1,p}(\Omega_\lambda) \) correspond precisely to the positive solutions of problem (1.2). Let us consider the number

\[
c_\lambda \equiv \inf_{u \neq 0} \sup_{t > 0} J_\lambda(tu).
\]

It is easy to see that if \( u_+ \neq 0 \), the function \( t \mapsto J_\lambda(tu) \) has a maximum \( t = \bar{t} > 0 \) which is its unique critical point. Then \( \bar{t} u \in M_\lambda \), where

\[
M_\lambda = \{ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_\lambda) / u \neq 0, \int_{\Omega_\lambda} |\nabla u|^p + u^p = \int_{\Omega_\lambda} u_+^q \},
\]
is the so-called Nehari’s manifold of \( J_\lambda \). It follows from this fact that

\[
c_\lambda = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda} J_\lambda(u).
\]

Since all nontrivial solutions of (1.2) lie in \( M_\lambda \), the above number is called the least energy value for \( J_\lambda \) and a solution \( u \) of (1.2) with \( J_\lambda(u) = c_\lambda \), a least energy solution. These solutions and extremals of \( S(\Omega_\lambda) \) are related in the following way: if \( u \) is a least energy solution, then it is an extremal of \( S(\Omega_\lambda) \). Reciprocally, if \( \bar{u} \geq 0 \)
minimizes the Raleigh quotient \((1.1)\), then \(u = t \bar{u}\) is a least energy solution of \((1.2)\) where
\[
t^{q-p} = \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^p + \bar{u}^p}{\int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} \bar{u}^q}.
\]

In fact we always have the exact relation
\[
(2.4) \quad c_{\lambda} = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) S(\Omega_{\lambda})^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\]

As we have mentioned, for \(\Omega_{\lambda}\) bounded the compactness of the associated embedding yields the existence of extremals for \(S(\Omega_{\lambda})\) and correspondingly of critical points of \(J_\lambda\) at level \(c_\lambda\).

Now, we establish an \(L^\infty\) estimate for solutions of \((1.2)\) in terms of their energy values.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \(u\) be a solution of \((1.2)\). Then there are constants
\[
B = B(\Omega, p, N) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \theta(\Omega, p, N),
\]
\(1 \leq \lambda < \infty\), such that
\[
\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq BJ_\lambda(u)^\theta.
\]

**Proof.** We consider a positive solution \(u\) of \((1.2)\). Let us multiply \((1.2)\) by \(u^{\alpha p-1-p}\), where \(\alpha \geq 1\).

Integrating over \(\Omega_{\lambda}\), we find that
\[
(2.6) \quad \frac{\alpha p + 1 - p}{\alpha p} \int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} |\nabla u|^p + \int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} u^{\alpha p} = \int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} u^{\alpha p + q - p}.
\]

Noticing that \(\frac{1}{2 \alpha p - q} \leq \min\{1, \frac{\alpha p + 1 - p}{2 \alpha p}\}\), we get
\[
\frac{1}{2 \alpha p - q} \int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} |\nabla u|^p + u^{\alpha p} \leq \int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} u^{\alpha p + q - p}.
\]

Sobolev’s inequality applied for \(v = u^\alpha\) yields
\[
(2.7) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} u^{\alpha p}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha p}} \leq C_{\alpha p - 1} \int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} u^{q + \alpha p - p}
\]

where, we recall, \(p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}\) and the constant \(C\) is independent of \(\lambda\). Next, we consider the sequence of positive numbers \(\alpha_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\), defined inductively as
\[
(2.8) \quad q + \alpha_0 p - p = p^*, \quad q + \alpha_j p - p = \alpha_j p^*, \quad \forall j = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]
or, explicitly,
\[
(2.9) \quad \alpha_j = \frac{(p^*/p)^{j+1}(p^* - q) + q - p}{p^* - p}.
\]

Note that, by \((2.7)\) with \(\alpha = 1\),
\[
(2.10) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} u^p\right)^{p/p^*} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} J_\lambda(u),
\]

where \(J_\lambda\) is the energy functional given by \((2.4)\). Now we will construct a suitable sequence of positive numbers \(M_j\) such that
\[
(2.11) \quad \int_{\Omega_{\lambda}} u^{q + \alpha_j p - p} \leq M_j, \quad \forall j.
\]
Proof. First, we will see that the functions \( L \) that in the half-space. As a consequence, the above lemma yields a uniform contradiction, let us assume that for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there holds for \( \lambda \) that given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there are sequences \( \lambda_n \to \infty \) and \( y^n \in \Omega_n \), such that \( |y^n - y^\lambda| \to \infty \) and \( u_{\lambda_n}(y^n) \geq \varepsilon \). We claim that under these conditions,

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \geq c_*
\]

which is a contradiction with relation \( 2.10 \). Since \( u_{\lambda_n} \) is uniformly bounded in \( C^{1,\alpha} \), we may assume passing to a subsequence that \( u_{\lambda_n}(y^n + y) \to u(y) \) uniformly
over compacts, where, thanks to our contradiction assumption, \( u \) is a positive solution of (1.3) or, after a rotation and translation, a positive solution of (1.4), depending on whether the distance \( \operatorname{dist}(y_{\lambda_n}, \partial \Omega_{\lambda_n}) \to +\infty \) or remained uniformly bounded. Let us introduce the notation
\[
J_\lambda(v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + v^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} v^q.
\]
Then, given \( \delta > 0 \) we have that for all sufficiently large \( R \),
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} J_{B_R(y^{\lambda_n}) \cap \Omega_{\lambda_n}}(u_{\lambda_n}) \geq \frac{c_\delta}{2} - \delta.
\]
Similarly, for all large \( R \),
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} J_{B_R(y^{\lambda_n}) \cap \Omega_{\lambda_n}}(u_{\lambda_n}) \geq \frac{c_\delta}{2} - \delta.
\]
Let us consider \( R > 0 \) and a smooth cut-off function \( \eta_R \) such that \( \eta_R \equiv 0 \) on \( B_{R-1}(y^{\lambda_n}) \cup B_{R-1}(y^n) \), \( 0 \leq \eta_R \leq 1, \eta_R \equiv 1 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus (B_R(y^{\lambda_n}) \cup B_R(y^n)) \), and \( |\nabla \eta_R| \leq C, C \) independent of \( R \) and \( n \).

We use \( u_n = \eta_R u_{\lambda_n} \) as a test function for \( J_{\lambda_n}'(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0 \) to obtain
\[
0 = J_{\lambda_n}'(u_{\lambda_n}) u_n = E_n + pJ_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(y^{\lambda_n}) \cup B_R(y^n)}(u_{\lambda_n}) + \int_{\Omega_{\lambda_n}} g_n
\]
where \( g_n = (E - 1)u_{\lambda_n}^p u_n \leq 0 \) and \( E_n \) is given by
\[
E_n = \int_{A_n^R} |\nabla u_{\lambda_n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\lambda_n} \nabla (\eta_R^p u_{\lambda_n}) + \eta_R^p u_{\lambda_n}^p
\]
where \( A_n^R = \{ y \in \Omega_{\lambda_n} / R - 1 < |y - y^{\lambda_n}| < R \) or \( R - 1 < |y - y^n| < R \} \). The convergence of \( u_{\lambda_n} \) in the \( C^1 \)-sense over compacts around \( y_n \) and \( y^{\lambda_n} \) to functions in \( W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) implies that for \( R > 0 \) sufficiently large \( \lim_{n \to \infty} |E_n| \leq \delta \). It follows that for large enough \( R \),
\[
J_{|\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R+1}(y^{\lambda_n}) \cup B_{R+1}(y^n)}(u_{\lambda_n}) \geq -\delta.
\]
Using this together with relations (2.18) and (2.20), (2.17) follows.

The desired exponential decay will be a consequence of the following

Claim. There exists \( R_0 > 0 \) and \( \nu_0 > 0 \) such that for all \( R > R_0 \)
\[
\sup_{|y-y^n| \geq R} u_{\lambda_n}(y) \geq 2 \sup_{|y-y^n| \geq R+\nu_0} u_{\lambda}(y)
\]
for all \( \lambda \) sufficiently large.

By contradiction, let us assume that there exist sequences \( \nu_n \to \infty, \nu_n \to \infty, \)
\( \nu_n \to \infty \) and \( \gamma^n \in \Omega_{\gamma_n} \) with \( |\gamma^n - y^{\gamma_n}| \geq R_n + \nu_n \) such that \( u_{\gamma_n}(\gamma^n) = m_n > \frac{1}{2} M_n \),
where
\[
M_n = \sup_{|y-y^n| > R_n} u_{\gamma_n}(y).
\]
From the uniform decay established above, we see that \( M_n, \mu_n \to 0 \). Let us set
\( v_n(y) = m_n^{-1} u_{\lambda_n}(y + \gamma^n) \). Then \( v_n \) is bounded, \( v_n(0) = 1 \) and satisfies
\[
\Delta_p v_n - (1 - |u_{\lambda_n}(y + \gamma^n)|^{q-p}) v_n^{p-1} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\gamma_n} - \gamma_n,
\]
with \( \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial r} = 0 \) on the boundary. Letting \( n \to \infty \) we obtain a contradiction since \( v_n \)
converges locally uniformly to a positive bounded solution \( v \) of the limiting problem
\[
\Delta_p v - v^{p-1} = 0, \quad v(0) = 1, \quad \text{in the entire space} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{or in the half-space} \quad \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^{N-1}.
\]
This object does not exist. Indeed, let us consider a sequence
Let $u_\lambda$ be a positive least energy solution of (1.2), that is a solution with $\lambda(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda$. Let $y^\lambda$ be a point where $u_\lambda$ reaches its maximum value. Then the above proof also shows that $\text{dist}(y^\lambda, \partial \Omega_\lambda)$ must remain bounded, for otherwise we would end up in the limit with an energy of a level at least $c^\ast$.
Here we have denoted $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$, $a_- = \min\{a, 0\}$. Now we note that $v_\lambda \to w$ $C^1$-locally with uniform exponential decay. Then since $G_0(0) = 0$ and $G_\lambda'(0) = 0$ and $G_\lambda$ converges in a $C^2$ local sense to $G$, an application of dominated convergence yields
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda(J_1 + I_2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G''_{ij}(0) y_i'(y_j'(1/p) |\nabla w_*|^p + 1/p w_*^p - 1/q w_*^q)(y',0)dy'.
\]
(3.4)
\[
= \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G''_{ii}(0) = \tilde{\gamma} H(\bar{x}).
\]
Here
\[
\tilde{\gamma} = (N - 1)\omega_{N-1} \int_0^\infty \{1/p (|w'_*(r)|^p + w_*(r)^p) - 1/q w_*(r)^q\} dr.
\]
Using $r^2 w'_*(r)$ as a test function in the equation satisfied by $w_*$, one obtains
\[
\tilde{\gamma} = 2 N - 1 \int_0^\infty r^{N-1} |w'_*(r)|^p dr.
\]
We conclude that
\[
c_\lambda \geq \frac{c_\ast}{2} - \lambda^{-1} \gamma H(\bar{x}) + o(\lambda^{-1}).
\]
On the other hand, using a computation along the same lines as above, refining estimate (2.16) yields
\[
c_\lambda \leq \sup_{t > 0} J_\lambda(t w_*^z) = \frac{c_\ast}{2} - \lambda^{-1} \gamma H(z) + o(\lambda^{-1}),
\]
for any $z \in \partial \Omega$. Here $w_*^z = w_*(\lambda(x - z \lambda))$.

Combining these two estimates directly provides assertions (1) and (2) of the theorem, since in particular we conclude $H(\bar{x}) \geq H(z)$ for all $z \in \partial \Omega$. Finally, relation (2.4) yields the desired expansion (1.5) for $S(\Omega_\lambda)$, and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is concluded.
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