

## NONTRANSITIVE QUASI-UNIFORMITIES IN THE PERVIN QUASI-PROXIMITY CLASS

H.-P. A. KÜNZI

(Communicated by Alan Dow)

ABSTRACT. We show that each topological space that does not admit a unique quasi-uniformity possesses a Pervin quasi-proximity class containing at least  $2^c$  nontransitive members.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The construction of nontransitive totally bounded quasi-uniformities was studied in [2]. Among other things it was shown that each infinite completely regular Hausdorff space admits a nontransitive totally bounded quasi-uniformity. It is also known that each topological space that admits a nontransitive totally bounded quasi-uniformity, admits at least  $2^c$  nontransitive totally bounded quasi-uniformities [7, Proposition 1].

In [9, Remark 2.12] Losonczi observed that the Pervin quasi-proximity class of a topological space that does not admit a unique quasi-uniformity possesses at least  $2^c$  (transitive) members. Subsequently Künzi [4, Proposition 1] proved that a topological space admits a nontransitive quasi-uniformity if and only if it admits at least two quasi-uniformities. In a joint publication [6, Theorem 2.1] Künzi and Losonczi then showed that a topological space that admits at least two quasi-uniformities possesses at least  $2^c$  nontransitive quasi-uniformities. They also verified [6, Theorem 3.6] that if a quasi-proximity class of a transitive quasi-uniformity contains at least two members, then it contains at least  $2^c$  transitive members (compare with [10]). Künzi [5] had also established that each quasi-proximity class with at least two members contains at least  $2^c$  quasi-uniformities. Of course, it follows from that result that if a quasi-proximity class without transitive members contains at least two members, then it contains at least  $2^c$  *nontransitive* members. However all the stated results leave open the following two natural questions (compare Problem 2 of [10]):

**Problem 1.** *If a quasi-proximity class of a topological space contains at least two quasi-uniformities, does it contain a nontransitive quasi-uniformity?*

---

Received by the editors May 26, 2001 and, in revised form, July 25, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54E15, 54E05, 54A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Nontransitive quasi-uniformity, quasi-proximity class, hereditarily compact, Pervin quasi-uniformity, irreducible, hereditarily precompact.

The author acknowledges support by the Swiss National Science Foundation (under grant 20-63402.00) during his stays at the University of Berne, Switzerland.

**Problem 2.** *If a quasi-proximity class of a topological space contains a nontransitive quasi-uniformity, does it contain at least  $2^c$  nontransitive quasi-uniformities?*

While these questions remain open for an arbitrary quasi-proximity class of a topological space, in this note we shall prove the following positive result for the Pervin quasi-proximity class: If a topological space admits at least two quasi-uniformities, then its Pervin quasi-proximity class contains at least  $2^c$  nontransitive quasi-uniformities.

Let us note that our result answers Problem 4 of [10] and the problem formulated in Remark 1 of [4]. Our method of proof partially relies on ideas contained in [6] and [8]. For basic facts about quasi-uniformities we refer the reader to [1]. As usual, for a binary relation  $R$  on a set  $X$ ,  $R^\infty$  will denote the relation  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} R^n$ . Furthermore for a topological space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_X$  will denote the Pervin quasi-uniformity of  $X$ . Recall also that a quasi-uniform space  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is called *hereditarily precompact* provided that for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  and each subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $\{V(x) : x \in A\}$  possesses a finite subcollection covering  $A$ . Of course, for an arbitrary topological space, the (totally bounded) Pervin quasi-uniformity is hereditarily precompact.

A nonempty topological space is said to be *irreducible* provided that each pair of nonempty open sets intersects.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

It is easily seen that the supremum (quasi-uniformity) of two nontransitive quasi-uniformities can be transitive. It is also known (see e.g. [3]) that the supremum of a nontransitive quasi-uniformity and a totally bounded transitive quasi-uniformity can be transitive.

However there are nontransitive quasi-uniform spaces that cannot be made transitive by taking the supremum with a totally bounded quasi-uniformity, as we are now going to show. In the next section the following construction will be our main tool to prove the result stated in the abstract.

**Example 1.** Let  $F$  be the set of all finite sequences  $(x_i)_{i \in n+1}$  where  $n \in \omega$  over the alphabet  $\omega$ . We shall find it convenient to also consider the set  $Y$  of all sequences  $(y_i)_{i \in \omega}$  that are eventually 0 over  $\omega$ , where we shall assume that  $Y$  is equipped with its lexicographic ordering  $\leq$ . Observe that  $Y$  is countable. For each  $x \in F$ , let  $[x]$  denote all sequences in  $Y$  with initial segment  $x$ . For two sequences  $x$  and  $y$ , where  $x \in F$  and  $y$  belongs to  $F$  or  $Y$ , denote by  $(x : y)$  the sequence obtained from  $x$  and  $y$  under the operation of concatenation. Of course, concatenation is assumed to be associative. In particular for each  $x \in F$ ,  $x^!$  will denote the sequence  $(x : \bar{0})$  where  $\bar{0}$  denotes the constant zero sequence in  $Y$ .

In the following, for  $x, y \in Y$  with  $x \neq y$ , let  $l(x, y) \in \omega$  denote the (cardinal) number of coordinates of the (longest) common initial segment of  $x$  and  $y$ . Define an (extended) distance function  $d : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  as follows: Let  $x, y \in Y$ . Set  $d(x, x) = 0$  whenever  $x \in Y$ ;  $d(x, y) = \sum_{k=x_i}^{y_i-1} \frac{1}{k+1}$  if  $x < y$  and the  $i^{\text{th}}$ -coordinate is the first coordinate where  $x$  and  $y$  differ;  $d(x, y) = \infty$  otherwise.

Let us first verify that  $(Y, d)$  is an (extended) quasi-pseudometric space: Clearly  $d(x, x) = 0$  whenever  $x \in Y$ . Obviously, in order to verify the triangle inequality  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ , it suffices to consider the case where  $x, y, z \in Y$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $y \neq z$ . Hence  $l(x, y)$  and  $l(y, z)$  are well defined. Note that if  $l(x, y) < l(y, z)$ , then  $d(x, y) = d(x, z)$ . Similarly, if  $l(x, y) > l(y, z)$ , then  $d(x, z) = d(y, z)$ . Therefore

in these two cases the triangle inequality is trivially satisfied. So suppose that  $t := l(x, y) = l(y, z)$ . If either  $d(x, y)$  or  $d(y, z)$  equals infinity, then the triangle inequality is also clearly satisfied. So it remains to consider the case that  $x_t < y_t < z_t$ . But then  $l(x, z) = t$  and one readily verifies that  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  in this case. We have shown that  $d$  is an (extended) quasi-pseudometric on  $Y$ .

For each real  $\epsilon > 0$ , set  $B_\epsilon = \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ . In the following,  $\mathcal{U}_d = \text{fil}\{B_\epsilon : \epsilon > 0\}$  will denote the quasi-pseudometric quasi-uniformity induced by  $d$  on  $Y$ . Note that each  $x \in Y$  has a smallest  $\tau(d)$ -neighborhood, namely  $B_{\frac{1}{m(x)}}(x) = \{x\}$  where  $m(x) = \max\{x_i : i \in \omega\} + 1$ . For each  $x \in Y$ , set  $L_x = \{y \in Y : y \leq x\}$ .

Let us define a subset  $R$  of  $\omega$  as follows: Set  $r_0 = 1$ . If we have already defined  $r_n$ , then let  $r_{n+1} > r_n + 1$  be chosen such that  $\sum_{k=r_n+1}^{r_{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \geq 1$ . Set  $R = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $R$  let  $\eta_A = \{Y\} \cup \{L_{(x:n)!} : x = (x_i)_{i \in m+1} \in F, m \in \omega, x_i = n + 1 (i \in m + 1), n \in R \setminus A\}$ .

Since the topology of  $(Y, d)$  is discrete, it is clear that  $\eta_A$  is an interior-preserving open cover of  $Y$ . Set  $U_A(x) = \bigcap \{C \in \eta_A : x \in C\}$  ( $x \in Y$ ). Let us note that for  $x, y \in Y$  with  $x < y$ ,  $y \notin U_A(x)$  if and only if there is  $z \in Y$  such that  $x \leq z < y$  and  $L_z \in \eta_A$ .

Obviously,  $U_A$  is a transitive neighbornet of  $Y$ . Note that  $U_A \cap U_B = U_{A \cap B}$  ( $A, B \subseteq R$ ). Set  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma = \text{fil}\{U_A : A \in \sigma\}$  where  $\sigma$  is a free filter on  $R$ .

For an arbitrary free filter  $\sigma$  on  $R$  consider now the filter  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  on  $Y \times Y$  that is generated by  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma \cup \mathcal{U}_d \cup \mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  denotes an arbitrary hereditarily precompact quasi-uniformity on  $Y$ . We want to show next that the constructed quasi-uniformity  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  is not transitive:

In order to reach a contradiction suppose the contrary. Then  $B_1$  contains a transitive entourage  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma$ . Consequently there are  $A \in \sigma$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and a real  $\rho > 0$  such that  $(U_A \cap V \cap B_\rho)^\infty \subseteq B_1$ .

By induction over  $n \in \omega$  we shall construct, for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $p_n \in \omega$  and three sequences  $x_n, y_n, a_n \in F$  such that  $[x_{n+1}] \cap V(y_n!) = \emptyset$  where  $x_{n+1} = (x_n : p_n + 1)$ ,  $y_n = (x_n : p_n : a_n)$  and each sequence  $x_n$  is strictly increasing.

Set  $x_0 = (0)$ . Suppose now that for some  $n \in \omega$ ,  $x_n$  and  $p_k, x_k, y_k, a_k$  (whenever  $k \in \omega$  with  $k < n$ ), are all defined according to our conditions. First choose  $l \in R$ , say  $l = r_j$ , such that  $l$  is strictly larger than all the coordinates of  $x_n$  and  $\frac{1}{l+2} < \rho$ . Furthermore let  $m = r_{j+1}$ .

Set  $s_{l+1} = (0)$ . Inductively for each  $k \geq l + 1$  as long as possible find  $s_{k+1} \in F$  such that  $((x_n : k : s_k)!, (x_n : k + 1 : s_{k+1})!) \in V$ . Suppose first that this is possible until  $k + 1 = m$ . Since for each such  $k$ , both  $(x_n : k)$  and  $(x_n : k + 1)$  are strictly increasing, there is no  $L_z \in \eta_A$  such that  $z$  has either of these sequences as its initial segment; it follows that  $((x_n : k : s_k)!, (x_n : k + 1 : s_{k+1})!) \in U_A \cap B_\rho$ . We conclude that  $((x_n : l + 1 : s_{l+1})!, (x_n : m : s_m)!) \in (U_A \cap V \cap B_\rho)^\infty \subseteq B_1$  but  $\sum_{k=l+1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \geq 1$  by definition of the set  $R$  — a contradiction to the definition of  $d$ .

Thus there is  $p_n \in \omega$  with  $m > p_n \geq l + 1$  such that for each  $h \in F$ ,  $((x_n : p_n : s_{p_n})!, (x_n : p_n + 1 : h)!) \notin V$ . We conclude that  $V((x_n : p_n : s_{p_n})!) \cap [(x_n : p_n + 1)] = \emptyset$ . Now set  $a_n = s_{p_n}$ ,  $x_{n+1} = (x_n : p_n + 1)$  and  $y_n = (x_n : p_n : a_n)$ . Clearly the sequence  $x_{n+1}$  is strictly increasing. This concludes the induction over  $n$ .

Observe next that for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $[x_{n+1}] \subseteq [x_n]$  by the definition of  $x_{n+1}$ . Note finally that for each  $n, k \in \omega$  with  $k \geq n$ ,  $y_{k+1}! = (x_{k+1} : p_{k+1}, a_{k+1})! \in [x_{k+1}] \subseteq [x_{n+1}]$ ; it follows that  $y_{k+1}! \notin V(y_n!)$ . We have reached another contradiction,

since  $\mathcal{V}$  is hereditarily precompact, but  $\{y_n : n \in \omega\}$  obviously is not precompact in  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$ .

We deduce that the quasi-uniformity  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  is not transitive.

Finally we want to verify that distinct free filters on  $R$  yield distinct quasi-uniformities. So let  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  be two distinct free filters on  $R$ . Assume for instance that there is  $A \in (\sigma \setminus \sigma')$ . In order to reach a contradiction, we suppose indirectly that  $U_A \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma'}$ . Then there are  $B \in \sigma', V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that  $U_B \cap V \cap B_\rho \subseteq U_A$ . Choose  $s \in B \setminus A$  such that  $\frac{1}{s+1} < \rho$ . We can find such an  $s$ , since  $B \setminus A$  is infinite, and assume that  $s = r_j \in R$ . Let  $t = r_j + 1$ .

For each  $n \in \omega$  define  $x_n = (z_i)_{i \in n+1}$  where  $z_i = t$  whenever  $i \in n + 1$ . Let  $y_n = (x_n : s)$  whenever  $n \in \omega$ . Since  $\{y_n : n \in \omega\}$  is hereditarily precompact in  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$ , there are  $k, n \in \omega$  with  $k > n$  such that  $y_k \in V(y_n)$ , that is,  $((x_n : s)^!, (x_k : s)^!) \in V$ . Clearly also  $((x_n : s)^!, (x_k : s)^!) \in B_\rho$ . Consider  $z \in Y$  such that  $(x_n : s)^! \leq z < (x_k : s)^!$ . If  $z = (q+1, q+1, \dots, q+1, q)^!$  for  $q \in R$ , then necessarily by the aforementioned interval condition,  $z = y_p$  for some  $p \in \omega$  such that  $n \leq p < k$ ; but since  $s \in B$ , such  $L_{y_p} \notin \eta_B$ . We conclude that  $((x_n : s)^!, (x_k : s)^!) \in U_B$ . Thus  $((x_n : s)^!, (x_k : s)^!) \in U_A$  by our assumption. However this is impossible, because  $L_{(x_n : s)^!}$  belongs to  $\eta_A$ . Therefore we conclude that  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma \not\subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\sigma'}$ . Hence we have shown that the constructed quasi-uniformities  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is a free filter on  $R$ , are pairwise distinct.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space that possesses a closed subspace  $Z$  which contains a sequence  $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of pairwise disjoint nonempty  $Z$ -open sets. Then the Pervin quasi-proximity class of  $X$  contains at least  $2^c$  nontransitive quasi-uniformities.*

*Proof.* Set  $G = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} G_n$ . Choose a fixed bijection  $h : Y \rightarrow \{G_n : n \in \omega\}$ . For each  $x \in G$ , there is a unique  $b \in Y$  such that  $x \in G_{h(b)}$ . Define  $p : (G, \tau|_G) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$  by  $p(x) = b$ . Since the preimage of each  $\{b\}$  under  $p$  is equal to  $G_{h(b)}$  and thus open in  $G$ ,  $p$  is continuous. For a free filter  $\sigma$  on  $R$  (defined as in Example 1) let  $\mathcal{S}_\sigma$  be the (compatible) quasi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma \vee \mathcal{U}_d$  on  $Y$  from Example 1. We extend the inverse image  $(p \times p)^{-1}\mathcal{S}_\sigma$  on  $G$  to a quasi-uniformity

$$\mathcal{W}_\sigma = \text{fil}\{[(p \times p)^{-1}V] \cup [(Z \setminus G) \times Z] \cup [X \times (X \setminus Z)] : V \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma\}$$

on  $X$  (see [1, Proposition 2.19]). Of course,  $\tau(\mathcal{W}_\sigma) \subseteq \tau$ . Put  $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma = \mathcal{W}_\sigma \vee \mathcal{P}_X$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma$  is a quasi-uniformity belonging to the Pervin quasi-uniformity class of  $X$ . For each  $a \in Y$  choose  $x_a \in G_{h(a)}$ . Set  $Y' = \{x_a : a \in Y\}$ . Clearly the subspace  $(Y', \mathcal{Q}_\sigma|_{Y'})$  of  $(X, \mathcal{Q}_\sigma)$  is isomorphic to  $(Y, \mathcal{S}_\sigma \vee \mathcal{R})$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a totally bounded quasi-uniformity on  $Y$ . By Example 1,  $\mathcal{S}_\sigma \vee \mathcal{R}$  is a nontransitive quasi-uniformity; furthermore the quasi-uniformities  $\mathcal{S}_\sigma \vee \mathcal{R}$  (where  $\sigma$  is a free filter on  $R$ ) are pairwise distinct. We deduce that we have constructed  $2^c$  nontransitive pairwise distinct quasi-uniformities  $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma$  (where  $\sigma$  is a free filter on  $R$ ) belonging to the Pervin quasi-proximity class of  $X$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULT

We shall now prove the result stated in the abstract.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space that admits more than one quasi-uniformity. Then the Pervin quasi-proximity class of  $X$  contains at least  $2^c$  nontransitive quasi-uniformities.*

*Proof.* Case 1: Suppose that  $X$  is hereditarily compact. Then the statement follows from Theorem 2.1 in [6] (use part (2) of its proof or the theorem itself together with the fact that all quasi-uniformities of a hereditarily compact space lie in the same (unique) quasi-proximity class [1, Theorem 2.36]).

Case 2: Suppose that  $X$  is not hereditarily compact and that each closed set is the union of finitely many irreducible (closed) sets. We first show that  $X$  possesses a strictly decreasing sequence  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of irreducible closed sets none of which is hereditarily compact: Since  $X$  is not hereditarily compact, but the finite union of irreducible closed sets,  $X$  contains an irreducible closed set  $F$  that is not hereditarily compact. Set  $F_1 = F$ . Suppose that for some  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $(F_k)_{k \leq n}$  is constructed according to our assumption. Since  $F_n$  is not hereditarily compact, there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of  $F_n$ -open nonempty subsets of  $F_n$ . Then  $F_n \setminus G_0$  is closed in  $X$  and not hereditarily compact. By our general assumption on  $X$ ,  $F_n \setminus G_0$  is the finite union of irreducible closed sets in  $X$ . Hence  $F_n \setminus G_0$  contains an irreducible closed subset  $E$  of  $X$  that is not hereditarily compact. Set  $F_{n+1} = E$ . This concludes the induction.

Consider now an arbitrary open set  $G$  that hits some  $F_n$  of the constructed strictly decreasing sequence  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ . Then  $G$  hits  $F_{p-1} \setminus F_p$  whenever  $p \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $p \leq n$ ; otherwise  $G \cap F_p \neq \emptyset$ , but  $G \cap (F_{p-1} \setminus F_p) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $F_{p-1} \setminus F_p$  and  $G \cap F_p$  are nonempty open sets in  $F_{p-1}$  with an empty intersection—contradicting that  $F_{p-1}$  is irreducible. The auxiliary statement follows.

Set  $H = X \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} F_n$ . Furthermore let  $F_0 = X$ . For each  $x \in H$  let  $n_x$  be the maximal  $n \in \omega$  such that  $x \in F_n$ .

Let us work with the subset  $R$  of  $\omega$  defined in Example 1. For a subset  $A$  of  $R$  let  $\eta_A = \{X\} \cup \{X \setminus F_{n+1} : n \in R \setminus A\}$ .

It is clear that  $\eta_A$  is an interior-preserving (well-monotone) open cover of  $X$ . Let  $U_A(x) = \bigcap \{C \in \eta_A : x \in C\}$  ( $x \in X$ ). Obviously,  $U_A$  is a transitive neighborhood on  $X$ . Similarly as above note that  $U_A \cap U_B = U_{A \cap B}$  ( $A, B \subseteq R$ ). Set

$$\mathcal{U}_\sigma = \text{fil}\{U_A : A \in \sigma\}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a free filter on  $R$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a free filter on  $R$ . Furthermore let  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  be the filter on  $X \times X$  that is generated by  $\mathcal{P}_X \cup \mathcal{U}_\sigma \cup \{V_\epsilon \cup [(X \setminus H) \times X] : \epsilon > 0\}$  where  $V_\epsilon = \{(x, y) \in H \times H : \sum_{k=n_x}^{n_y-1} \frac{1}{k+1} < \epsilon\}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  is a quasi-uniformity on  $X$  belonging to the Pervin quasi-proximity class of  $X$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  is not transitive. Otherwise there is a transitive entourage  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma$  such that  $T \subseteq V_1 \cup [(X \setminus H) \times X]$ . Hence there are  $A \in \sigma$ ,  $\rho > 0$  and a finite collection  $\mathcal{G}$  of open sets of  $X$  such that  $U_A \cap P \cap (V_\rho \cup [(X \setminus H) \times X]) \subseteq T$  where  $P = \bigcap_{G \in \mathcal{G}} ([G \times G] \cup [(X \setminus G) \times X])$ . Set  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{G \in \mathcal{G} : \text{there is } n_G \in \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } G \cap F_{n_G} = \emptyset\}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_1$ . Moreover choose  $n_0 = r_j \in R$  such that  $n_0 > \max\{n_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_1\}$  and  $\frac{1}{n_0+1} < \rho$ .

Since  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a strictly decreasing sequence of irreducible sets and because of the definition of  $\mathcal{G}_2$ ,  $\bigcap \mathcal{G}_2 \cap F_n \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . By the observation made above, we can choose  $x_i \in \bigcap \mathcal{G}_2 \cap (F_i \setminus F_{i+1})$  whenever  $n_0 + 1 \leq i \leq r_{j+1}$ . Then  $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in U_A \cap P \cap V_\rho \subseteq T$  whenever  $n_0 + 1 \leq i < r_{j+1}$ . It follows that  $(x_{n_0+1}, x_{r_{j+1}}) \in T$ , because  $T$  is transitive. But  $\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{r_{j+1}-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \geq 1$  by definition of  $R$ , that is,  $(x_{n_0+1}, x_{r_{j+1}}) \notin V_1$  by definition of  $V_1$ —a contradiction to  $T \subseteq V_1 \cup [(X \setminus H) \times X]$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  is not transitive.

Finally we want to verify that distinct free filters on  $R$  yield distinct quasi-uniformities. So let  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  be two distinct free filters on  $R$ . Assume that there is some  $A \in (\sigma \setminus \sigma')$ . In order to reach a contradiction let us suppose indirectly that  $U_A \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma'}$ . Then there are  $B \in \sigma'$ ,  $\rho > 0$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}_X$  such that

$$U_B \cap P \cap (V_\rho \cup [(X \setminus H) \times X]) \subseteq U_A$$

where  $P = \bigcap_{G \in \mathcal{G}} ([G \times G] \cup [(X \setminus G) \times X])$  for some finite collection  $\mathcal{G}$  of open sets in  $X$ .

Set  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{G \in \mathcal{G} : \text{there is } n_G \in \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } G \cap F_{n_G} = \emptyset\}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_1$ . Moreover choose  $f \in B \setminus A$  such that  $f > \max\{n_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_1\}$  and  $\frac{1}{f+1} < \rho$ . We can find such an  $f$ , since  $B \setminus A$  is infinite, and assume that  $f = r_j \in R$ . Let  $s = r_j + 1$ . By similar arguments as given above we can find  $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{G}_2 \cap (F_{r_j} \setminus F_{r_j+1})$  and  $y \in \bigcap \mathcal{G}_2 \cap (F_{r_j+1} \setminus F_{r_j+2})$ . Note that  $(x, y) \in U_B \cap P \cap V_\rho$ , hence  $(x, y) \in U_A$ —a contradiction to  $X \setminus F_{r_j+1} \in \eta_A$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma \not\subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\sigma'}$ . Therefore we have constructed  $2^c$  pairwise distinct nontransitive quasi-uniformities belonging to the Pervin quasi-proximity class of  $X$ .

Case 3: Suppose that there is a closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  that is not the union of finitely many irreducible (closed) sets. (Let us first note that then  $X$  cannot be hereditarily compact, since a hereditarily compact space is the union of finitely many irreducible sets; see e.g. [11, p. 903].) Then  $F$  contains a collection  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of pairwise disjoint nonempty  $F$ -open sets, since it follows from our assumption that the subspace  $F$  is not semi-irreducible (see [11, Theorem 3]). Our assertion is now a consequence of Lemma 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Fletcher and W.F. Lindgren, *Quasi-Uniform Spaces*, Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. **77**, Dekker, New York, 1982. MR **84h**:54026
- [2] J. Gerlits, H.-P.A. Künzi, A. Losonczi and Z. Szentmiklóssy, *The existence of compatible nontransitive totally bounded quasi-uniformities*, Topology Appl. **117** (2002), 139–147.
- [3] H.-P.A. Künzi, *Functorial admissible quasi-uniformities on topological spaces*, Topology Appl. **43** (1992), 27–36. MR **93g**:54041
- [4] H.-P.A. Künzi, *Nontransitive quasi-uniformities*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **55** (1999), 161–167. MR **2000i**:54032
- [5] H.-P. A. Künzi, *Remark on a result of Losonczi*, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. **36** (2000), 367–370. MR **2001i**:54024
- [6] H.-P.A. Künzi and A. Losonczi, *On some cardinal functions related to quasi-uniformities*, Houston J. Math. **26** (2000), 299–313. MR **2001k**:54008
- [7] H.-P.A. Künzi and M.J. Pérez-Peñalver, *The number of compatible totally bounded quasi-uniformities*, Acta Math. Hung. **88** (2000), 15–23. MR **2001h**:54045
- [8] H.-P.A. Künzi and S. Watson, *A nontransitive space based on combinatorics*, Boll. U.M.I. **(8) 2-B** (1999), 315–317. MR **2000f**:54024
- [9] A. Losonczi, *On the cardinality of compatible quasi-uniformities*, Topology Appl. **103** (2000), 43–54. MR **2001b**:54033
- [10] A. Losonczi, *On the cardinality of  $\Pi(\delta)$* , Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. (to appear).
- [11] A.H. Stone, *Hereditarily compact spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 900–916. MR **22**:11370

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN, RONDEBOSCH 7701, SOUTH AFRICA

*E-mail address*: kunzi@maths.uct.ac.za