

## A THREE-CURVES THEOREM FOR VISCOSITY SUBSOLUTIONS OF PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

JAY KOVATS

(Communicated by David S. Tartakoff)

ABSTRACT. We prove a three-curves theorem for viscosity subsolutions of fully nonlinear uniformly parabolic equations  $F(D^2u, t, x) - u_t = 0$ .

### 0. INTRODUCTION

Three-curves theorems play a central role in the qualitative theory of partial differential equations, starting with Hadamard's classical three-circles theorem for the real part of an analytic function. Briefly stated, this theorem says that if  $\Delta u \geq 0$  in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  containing two concentric circles of radii  $r_1, r_2$  and the region between them and if  $M(r)$  denotes the maximum of  $u$  on any concentric circle of radius  $r$ , then  $M(r)$  is a convex function of  $\log r$ . An application of this is Liouville's theorem: functions harmonic in the plane, except possibly at one point and bounded either above or below, are constant. In  $n$  dimensions, the three-spheres theorem states that if  $\Delta u \geq 0$  in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing two concentric spheres of radii  $r_1, r_2$  and the region between them and if  $M(r)$  denotes the maximum of  $u$  on any concentric sphere of radius  $r$ , then  $M(r)$  is a convex function of  $r^{2-n}$ . A three-cylinders theorem for linear parabolic equations appears in [G].

In this paper we prove the fully nonlinear analogue of a three-curves theorem which appears in [PW] for the 1-dimensional heat equation. Specifically, in Theorem 1.1, we prove the following. Suppose  $u$  is a viscosity subsolution of the uniformly parabolic nonlinear equation  $F(D^2u, t, x) - u_t = 0$  (with  $F(0, \cdot) = 0$ ) in any region containing two concentric concave paraboloids of opening  $2\rho_1^{-2}$  and  $2\rho_2^{-2}$  and the region between them (see below for more details). If  $M(\rho)$  denotes the maximum of  $u$  on any concentric concave paraboloid of opening  $2\rho^{-2}$ , with  $\rho_1 < \rho < \rho_2$ , then there exists an a priori function  $\psi(\rho)$ , such that  $M(\rho)$  is a convex function of  $\psi(\rho)$ .

Let  $M > 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that  $P(x)$  is a paraboloid of opening  $M$  if  $P(x) = \pm \frac{M}{2}|x|^2 + l(x) + l_0$ , where  $l$  is linear and  $l_0$  is constant.  $P(x)$  is convex if  $+$  appears and concave if  $-$  appears. So for  $t_0, \rho > 0$ , the equation  $t = t_0 - \frac{|x|^2}{\rho^2}$  denotes the graph of a concave paraboloid of opening  $\frac{2}{\rho^2}$  with vertex at  $(t_0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , which we will henceforth write as  $\rho = \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t_0 - t}}$ . By *concentric* concave paraboloids of opening  $2\rho_1^{-2}$  and  $2\rho_2^{-2}$ , we mean these paraboloids have common vertex  $(t_0, 0)$ .

---

Received by the editors December 15, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35B05, 35K55.

©2002 American Mathematical Society

Our region  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is described as follows.  $Q$  is bounded below by the line  $t = 0$  and above by the line  $t = t'$ , where  $t' < t_0$ .  $Q$  is bounded laterally by the arcs of the paraboloids  $\rho_1 = \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t_0-t}}$  and  $\rho_2 = \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t_0-t}}$  of openings  $2\rho_1^{-2}$  and  $2\rho_2^{-2}$  respectively, with  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ . Geometrically,  $Q$  is a concave paraboloid shell, truncated just below the vertex  $(t_0, 0)$ . For  $\rho_1 \leq \rho \leq \rho_2$ , define the functions

$$M_1(\rho) = \max_{\substack{|x|=\rho\sqrt{t_0-t} \\ 0 \leq t \leq t'}} u(t, x),$$

$$M_2 = \max_{\rho_1\sqrt{t_0} \leq |x| \leq \rho_2\sqrt{t_0}} u(0, x),$$

$$M(\rho) = \max\{M_1(\rho), M_2\}.$$

Hence  $M(\rho) = \max_Q u$ .

We now make a few brief comments about viscosity subsolutions of parabolic equations. For  $f \in C(Q)$  and positive constants  $\lambda \leq \Lambda$ ,  $\underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  denotes the class of viscosity subsolutions of the equation  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2u, \lambda, \Lambda) - u_t = f(t, x)$ . That is,  $u \in C(Q)$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2u, \lambda, \Lambda) - u_t \geq f(t, x)$  in the viscosity sense, where for any real  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $M$

$$\mathcal{M}^+(M, \lambda, \Lambda) = \mathcal{M}^+(M) = \Lambda \sum_{e_i > 0} e_i + \lambda \sum_{e_i < 0} e_i,$$

where  $e_i = e_i(M)$  are the eigenvalues of  $M$ . By diagonalizing  $M$ , it can be shown that  $\mathcal{M}^+$  is subadditive. That is,  $\mathcal{M}^+(M + N) \leq \mathcal{M}^+(M) + \mathcal{M}^+(N)$  for any symmetric matrices  $M, N$ .

In general, a function  $u$ , continuous in a bounded domain  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , is a *viscosity subsolution* of the fully nonlinear parabolic equation

$$F(D^2u(t, x), t, x) - u_t(t, x) = f(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in Q,$$

if the following condition holds: if  $(t_0, x_0) \in Q$ ,  $\psi \in C^2(Q)$  and  $u - \psi$  has a local maximum at  $(t_0, x_0)$  (i.e.,  $\psi$  touches  $u$  from above at  $(t_0, x_0)$ ), then

$$F(D^2\psi(t_0, x_0), t_0, x_0) - \psi_t(t_0, x_0) \geq f(t_0, x_0).$$

Finally, it is known (see Proposition 2.13 [CC]) that viscosity subsolutions of  $F(D^2u, t, x) - u_t = f(t, x)$  belong to the class  $\underline{S}(\frac{\Lambda}{n}, \Lambda, f(t, x) - F(0, t, x))$ . So if  $u$  is a viscosity subsolution of the uniformly parabolic nonlinear equation  $F(D^2u, t, x) - u_t = 0$  and  $F(0, \cdot) = 0$ , then  $u \in \underline{S}(\frac{\Lambda}{n}, \Lambda, 0)$ . Our Theorem 1.1 applies to this class of functions. See [CC] (Chapter 2) and [W] (Chapter 3) for a complete discussion about viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear equations.

We will need the following lemma, which appears in [CC] for the elliptic case and in [W] for the parabolic case.

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $u \in \underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ ,  $\varphi \in C^2(Q)$  and suppose  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi(z), \lambda, \Lambda) - \varphi_t(z) \leq g(z) \forall z = (t, x) \in Q$ . Then  $u - \varphi \in \underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, f - g)$  in  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  be any  $C^2(Q)$  function touching the graph of  $u - \varphi$  from above at the point  $z_0 = (t_0, x_0) \in Q$ . Then  $\psi + \varphi \in C^2(Q)$  and touches the graph of  $u$  from above at  $z_0$ . Since  $u \in \underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ , we have  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2(\psi + \varphi)(z_0)) - (\psi + \varphi)_t(z_0) \geq f(z_0)$ . By the subadditivity of  $\mathcal{M}^+$ , this gives  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\psi(z_0)) + \mathcal{M}^+(\varphi(z_0)) - \psi_t(z_0) - \varphi_t(z_0) \geq f(z_0)$ , which by assumption on  $\varphi$  yields  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\psi(z_0)) - \psi_t(z_0) \geq f(z_0) - g(z_0)$ .  $\square$

1. MAIN THEOREM

Before we state Theorem 1.1, we make some comments concerning the maximum principle which relate to our theorem. For simplicity, we make these remarks for the linear setting,  $Lu - u_t \geq 0$ , where  $L := a^{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$ , the  $a^{ij}(t, x)$  are measurable and satisfy  $\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq a^{ij}(t, x)\xi^i \xi^j \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The same comments hold true for the class  $\underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$ .

Let  $M(\rho)$  be defined as above. If  $u$  is nonconstant and satisfies  $Lu - u_t \geq 0$  in  $Q$ , then by the maximum principle,  $M(\rho)$  cannot be constant in any interval, nor have an interior maximum. Moreover,  $M(\rho)$  cannot have a relative maximum (since  $u$  is a subsolution) and so has at most one minimum. Hence  $M(\rho)$  either always increases, always decreases or first decreases and then increases.

Three-curves theorems rely heavily on the maximum principle. In our three-paraboloids theorem, we use the maximum principle in the following way. Suppose  $Lu - u_t \geq 0$  in  $Q$ . We define a function  $\varphi(\rho) = a + b\psi(\rho)$ , where constants  $a, b$  (with  $b > 0$ ) are chosen so that  $\varphi(\rho_1) = M(\rho_1), \varphi(\rho_2) = M(\rho_2)$  and  $L\varphi - \varphi_t \leq 0$  in  $Q$ . This gives  $L\varphi - \varphi_t \leq Lu - u_t$  in  $Q$  and  $u \leq \varphi$  on  $\partial'Q$ . By the maximum principle,  $u \leq \varphi$  in  $Q$  and hence  $M(\rho) \leq \varphi(\rho)$  for  $\rho \in (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ .

But to do this, since  $L\varphi - \varphi_t = b(L\psi - \psi_t)$  and  $b > 0$ , we need  $\psi$  to satisfy  $L\psi - \psi_t \leq 0$ . Yet  $b = \frac{M(\rho_2) - M(\rho_1)}{\psi(\rho_2) - \psi(\rho_1)}$  and  $b > 0$  implies that  $\psi(\rho)$  is increasing or decreasing with  $M(\rho)$ . Thus we need to find a function  $\psi(\rho)$  which is an increasing supersolution and another function  $\psi(\rho)$  which is a decreasing supersolution. We denote the increasing supersolution by  $\psi_+(\rho)$  and the decreasing supersolution by  $\psi_-(\rho)$ . The explicit forms of  $\psi_+, \psi_-$  in the fully nonlinear setting are given in equations (3) and (4). Hence in our nonlinear setting, it is not a single function  $\psi$  but a pair  $(\psi_+, \psi_-)$  which satisfies the conclusion of our Theorem 1.1. This unavoidable feature occurs even in the linear case for subsolutions of uniformly elliptic equations with measurable coefficients  $Lu := a^{ij}(x)u_{x^i x^j} = 0$  in the simple case of spheres  $|x| = r$ , where  $r \in (r_1, r_2)$ . See Chapter 2.12 in [PW] for a complete discussion of three-curves theorems for elliptic equations.

Of course, if  $\psi$  is a solution to the differential equation, then so is  $\varphi$  (independent of the sign of  $b$ ) and the single function  $\psi$  will satisfy the desired convexity inequality. It is this situation that lends itself most easily to applications. In particular, for the three-spheres theorem for  $\Delta u \geq 0$  in a spherical region in  $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 3)$ ,  $\psi(r) = r^{2-n}$ , while for the three-paraboloids theorem for  $\Delta u - u_t \geq 0$ , the single  $\psi$  that works is  $\psi(\rho) = \int_{\alpha}^{\rho} \frac{e^{r^2/4}}{r^{n-1}} dr$ . See equation (6) in our proof of Tychonov's theorem, which is an application of the three-paraboloids theorem for the heat equation.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $u \in \underline{S} = \underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$  in a domain  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  containing two concave concentric paraboloids of opening  $2\rho_1^{-2}$  and  $2\rho_2^{-2}$  and the region between them. If  $M(\rho)$  denotes the maximum of  $u$  on any concentric concave paraboloid of opening  $2\rho^{-2}$ , with  $\rho_1 < \rho < \rho_2$ , then there exists a differentiable function  $\psi(\rho)$ , depending only  $n, \lambda, \Lambda$  and  $\rho$ , such that*

$$(1) \quad M(\rho) \leq \frac{M(\rho_1)(\psi(\rho_2) - \psi(\rho)) + M(\rho_2)(\psi(\rho) - \psi(\rho_1))}{\psi(\rho_2) - \psi(\rho_1)}.$$

*Proof.* For  $\rho = \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t_0 - t}}$ , define the function  $\varphi(\rho) = a + b\psi(\rho)$ , where constants  $a, b$  ( $b > 0$ ) are chosen so that  $\varphi(\rho_1) = M(\rho_1)$  and  $\varphi(\rho_2) = M(\rho_2)$ . We will find  $\psi$

such that  $v = u - \varphi \in \underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$  and then apply the maximum principle to  $v$  on  $Q$ . Since  $u \in \underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$  and  $\varphi \in C^2(Q)$ , by Lemma 0.1, we need only show that  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi(t, x), \lambda, \Lambda) - \varphi_t(t, x) \leq 0, \forall (t, x) \in Q$ .

From  $\varphi_{x_i x_j} = b \{ \psi''(\rho) \rho_{x_i} \rho_{x_j} + \psi'(\rho) \rho_{x_i x_j} \}$  and  $\varphi_t = b \psi'(\rho) \rho_t$ , direct calculation gives

$$(2) \quad \varphi_{x_i x_j}(t, x) = \frac{b}{|x|^2(t_0 - t)} \left\{ \psi'' x_i x_j + \frac{\psi'}{\rho} (\delta_{ij} |x|^2 - x_i x_j) \right\}, \quad \varphi_t(t, x) = \frac{b \psi' \cdot \rho}{2(t_0 - t)}.$$

That is,

$$D^2\varphi(t, x) = \frac{b}{|x|^2(t_0 - t)} \left\{ x^T x \left( \psi'' - \frac{\psi'}{\rho} \right) + \frac{\psi'}{\rho} |x|^2 I \right\}$$

and for the matrix inside the braces,  $\frac{\psi'}{\rho} |x|^2$  is an eigenvalue of multiplicity  $n - 1$ , while  $|x|^2 \psi''$  is an eigenvalue of multiplicity 1. Say  $\psi' \geq 0$ . Then if  $\psi'' \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi(t, x)) &= \frac{b}{|x|^2(t_0 - t)} \left\{ \Lambda(n - 1) \frac{\psi'}{\rho} |x|^2 + \Lambda |x|^2 \psi'' \right\} \\ &= \frac{b\Lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ (n - 1) \frac{\psi'}{\rho} + \psi'' \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi(t, x)) - \varphi_t(t, x) &= \frac{b\Lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ (n - 1) \frac{\psi'}{\rho} + \psi'' - \frac{\psi' \rho}{2\Lambda} \right\} \\ &= \frac{b\Lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \psi' \left( \frac{n - 1}{\rho} - \frac{\rho}{2\Lambda} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

while, if  $\psi'' < 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi(t, x)) &= \frac{b}{|x|^2(t_0 - t)} \left\{ \Lambda(n - 1) \frac{\psi'}{\rho} |x|^2 + \lambda |x|^2 \psi'' \right\} \\ &= \frac{b\lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \frac{\Lambda(n - 1)}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{\psi'}{\rho} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi(t, x)) - \varphi_t(t, x) &= \frac{b\lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \frac{\Lambda(n - 1)}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{\psi'}{\rho} - \frac{\psi' \rho}{2\lambda} \right\} \\ &= \frac{b\lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \psi' \left( \frac{c_1}{\rho} - \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1 = \frac{\Lambda(n-1)}{\lambda}$ . Since  $n - 1 \leq c_1$ , both cases for  $\psi' \geq 0$  give

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi(t, x)) - \varphi_t(t, x) \leq \frac{bK}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \psi' \left( \frac{c_1}{\rho} - \frac{\rho}{2\Lambda} \right) \right\} = 0$$

for

$$\psi = \psi_+(\rho) := \int_{\alpha}^{\rho} \frac{e^{r^2/4\Lambda}}{r^{c_1}} dr$$

and  $K$  is either  $\lambda$  or  $\Lambda$ . Now suppose  $\psi' \leq 0$ . If  $\psi'' \geq 0$ , then as before

$$\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi) = \frac{b\Lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \frac{\lambda(n - 1)}{\Lambda} \cdot \frac{\psi'}{\rho} \right\},$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi) - \varphi_t = \frac{b\Lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \psi' \left( \frac{c_2}{\rho} - \frac{\rho}{2\Lambda} \right) \right\},$$

where  $c_2 = \frac{\lambda(n-1)}{\Lambda}$ , while, if  $\psi'' < 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi) = \frac{b\lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + (n-1) \frac{\psi'}{\rho} \right\},$$

thus

$$\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi) - \varphi_t = \frac{b\lambda}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \psi' \left( \frac{n-1}{\rho} - \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \right) \right\}.$$

Since  $c_2 \leq n-1$ , both cases for  $\psi' \leq 0$  yield

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi(t, x)) - \varphi_t(t, x) \leq \frac{bK}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \psi' \left( \frac{c_2}{\rho} - \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \right) \right\} = 0$$

for

$$\psi = \psi_-(\rho) := \int_{\rho}^{\beta} \frac{e^{r^2/4\lambda}}{r^{c_2}} dr.$$

Thus in all cases, we have a function  $\psi(\rho) = \psi(\rho, n, \lambda, \Lambda)$  for which  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2\varphi, \lambda, \Lambda) - \varphi_t \leq 0$  in  $Q$ , which setting  $v = u - \varphi$ , gives  $v \in \underline{S}(0)$  in  $Q$ . We now show  $v \leq 0$  on  $\partial'Q$ . Recall that  $M(\rho) = \max\{M_1(\rho), M_2\}$ , where for  $\rho_1 \leq \rho \leq \rho_2$ ,

$$M_1(\rho) = \max_{\substack{|x|=\rho\sqrt{t_0-t} \\ 0 \leq t \leq t'}} u(t, x), \quad M_2 = \max_{\rho_1\sqrt{t_0} \leq |x| \leq \rho_2\sqrt{t_0}} u(0, x).$$

On  $|x| = \rho_1\sqrt{t_0 - t}$ ,  $v = u - \varphi(\rho_1) \leq M_1(\rho_1) - \varphi(\rho_1) \leq M(\rho_1) - \varphi(\rho_1) = 0$ . The same inequalities show that  $v \leq 0$  on  $|x| = \rho_2\sqrt{t_0 - t}$ . Finally, on  $\{t = 0\} \cap Q$ , we have  $v(0, x) = u(0, x) - \varphi(\rho) \leq M_2 - \varphi(\rho) \leq 0$ . Thus  $v \leq 0$  on  $\partial'Q$  and hence by the maximum principle for viscosity subsolutions,  $v \leq 0$  in  $Q$ . That is,  $u \leq \varphi$  in  $Q$ . Hence  $M(\rho) \leq \varphi(\rho)$ , which gives us (1).  $\square$

If  $u \in \overline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$ , Theorem 1.1, applied to  $-u$ , along with the identity  $\max(-w) = -\min w$ , immediately yields (1) with the inequality reversed and  $m(\rho)$  in place of  $M(\rho)$ , where  $m(\rho) = \min_Q u$ . Since  $S(\lambda, \Lambda, 0) = \underline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, 0) \cap \overline{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$ , setting  $\omega(\rho) = M(\rho) - m(\rho)$  and adding these inequalities gives the following convexity inequality for the oscillation of viscosity solutions.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$  in a domain  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  containing two concave concentric paraboloids of opening  $2\rho_1^{-2}$  and  $2\rho_2^{-2}$  and the region between them. If  $\omega(\rho)$  denotes the oscillation of  $u$  on any concentric concave paraboloid of opening  $2\rho^{-2}$ , with  $\rho_1 < \rho < \rho_2$ , then*

$$(5) \quad \omega(\rho) \leq \frac{\omega(\rho_1)(\psi(\rho_2) - \psi(\rho)) + \omega(\rho_2)(\psi(\rho) - \psi(\rho_1))}{\psi(\rho_2) - \psi(\rho_1)}.$$

In the linear setting, a simplified version of Theorem 1.1 yields a uniqueness result for slowly increasing solutions of the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - u_t = f, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = g(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

originally due to Tychonov. Our proof is a generalization of an argument which appears in [PW].

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $u, w \in C(\overline{Q})$  be solutions of  $\Delta u - u_t = f$  in the strip  $Q = (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $u(0, x) = w(0, x) = g(x)$ . If there are constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that*

$$|u(t, x)|, |w(t, x)| \leq c_1 e^{c_2|x|^2} \quad \text{uniformly for } t \in [0, T],$$

*then  $u \equiv w$  in  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* If  $v$  satisfies  $\Delta v - v_t = 0$  in the paraboloid region  $Q$  of Theorem 1.1, then setting  $\varphi(\rho) = a + b\psi(\rho)$ , an easy calculation using (2) shows

$$(6) \quad \Delta\varphi(t, x) - \varphi_t(t, x) = \frac{b}{t_0 - t} \left\{ \psi'' + \psi' \left( \frac{n-1}{\rho} - \frac{\rho}{2} \right) \right\} = 0$$

for

$$\psi(\rho) = \int_{\alpha}^{\rho} \frac{e^{r^2/4}}{r^{n-1}} dr,$$

and thus we obtain convexity inequality (5) for  $\omega(\rho) = \text{osc}_Q v$  and  $\psi(\rho)$ . So for  $u, w$  in our theorem, set  $v = u - w$ , put  $t_0 < \frac{1}{4c_2}$  and apply inequality (5) to  $v$  in  $Q_1 = [0, \frac{t_0}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\Delta v - v_t = 0$  and  $v(0, x) = 0$ . Now let  $\rho_2 \rightarrow \infty$  in (5). From the trivial inequality  $\text{osc } v \leq 2 \max v$  we have  $\omega(\rho_2) \leq 4c_1 e^{c_2 \rho_2^2 (t_0 - t)}$ . Since  $\psi'(\rho_2) = \rho_2^{1-n} e^{\frac{\rho_2^2}{4}}$  with  $c_2(t_0 - t) - \frac{1}{4} < 0$ , we have  $\lim_{\rho_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega(\rho_2)}{\psi(\rho_2)} = 0$ , which by (5) yields  $\omega(\rho) \leq \omega(\rho_1)$ . Letting  $\rho_1 \rightarrow 0$ , we see that the oscillation of  $v$  in  $Q_1$  occurs on the hyperplane  $x = 0$ , which by the maximum principle implies  $\omega \equiv 0$  in  $Q_1$ . Hence  $v$  is constant in  $Q_1$ . But  $v(0, x) = 0$  implies this constant must be 0, so  $v \equiv 0$  in  $Q_1$ . Repeating this process, now using  $t = \frac{t_0}{2}$  as the initial line, we find that  $v \equiv 0$  in  $Q_2 = [\frac{t_0}{2}, t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . After a finite number of steps, we get  $v \equiv 0$  in  $Q$  and hence  $u \equiv w$  in  $Q$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [CC] L. Caffarelli and X. Cabre, *Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1995. MR **96h**:35046
- [G] R.J. Glagelova, *The three-cylinder theorem and its applications*, vol. 6, Dokl. Akad. Nauka S.S.S.R, Moscow, 1965, pp. 1004-1008, Translated in Soviet Math.
- [L] E.M. Landis, *A three-sphere theorem*, vol. 4, Dokl. Akad. Nauka S.S.S.R, Moscow, 1963, pp. 76-78, Translated in Soviet Math. MR **27**:443
- [M] K. Miller, *Three-circle theorems in Partial Differential Equations and Applications to Improperly Posed Problems*, vol. 16, Arch. for Rat. Mech. and Anal., 1964, pp. 126-154. MR **29**:1435
- [PW] M. Protter and H. Weinberger, *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. MR **86f**:35034
- [W] Wang L., *On the Regularity Theory of Fully Nonlinear Parabolic Equations I*, Comm. on Pure and Applied Math. **45** (1992), 27-76. MR **92m**:35126

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FLORIDA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MELBOURNE, FLORIDA 32901

*E-mail address:* jkovats@zach.fit.edu