

## NORMAL SUBSPACES OF PRODUCTS OF FINITELY MANY ORDINALS

WILLIAM G. FLEISSNER

(Communicated by Alan Dow)

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a subspace of the product of finitely many ordinals. If  $X$  is normal, then  $X$  is strongly zero-dimensional, collectionwise normal, and shrinking. The proof uses  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ -stationary sets.

### 1. PRELIMINARY

We use  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ -stationary sets to prove the following theorem which extends results of Kemoto, Nogura, Smith, and Yajima in [4] and Stanley in [8].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a subspace of the product of finitely many ordinals. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is normal.
- (2)  $X$  is normal and strongly zero-dimensional.
- (3)  $X$  is collectionwise normal.
- (4)  $X$  is shrinking.

This theorem differs from the theorem of [4] in two ways. First, that theorem applies to subspaces of the product of *two* ordinals. Second, that theorem does not include “strongly zero-dimensional”. Instead, the fourth condition asserts that nine types of pairs of closed sets are separated. For example, if  $(\mu, \nu) \notin X \subseteq \lambda^2$ , then  $\{(\alpha, \nu) \in X : \alpha < \lambda\}$  and  $\{(\mu, \beta) \in X : \beta < \lambda\}$  are separated. Stanley’s theorem asserts that if  $X$  is a normal subspace of the product of finitely many ordinals, then  $X$  is collectionwise Hausdorff. The condition that  $X$  is strongly zero-dimensional cannot be added to Theorem 1.1 because the paper [3] describes a subspace of  $\omega + 1 \times \mathfrak{c}$  which is not strongly zero-dimensional.

First we define the notions in Theorem 1.1, and then introduce notation for tuples and products.

**Definition 1.2.** A *shrinking* of a cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of a space  $X$  is a cover  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  such that  $cl B_A \subseteq A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Every finite cozero cover of a space  $X$  has a cozero shrinking. If  $X$  is normal, then every finite open cover of  $X$  has an open shrinking (see [1], p. 386). We say that a space  $X$  is *shrinking* if every open cover

---

Received by the editors May 11, 2000 and, in revised form, February 22, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54B10, 54D15, 03E10.

*Key words and phrases.* Normal, collectionwise normal, shrinking, stationary set, pressing down lemma, finite product of ordinals.

of  $X$  has an open shrinking. We say that a space  $X$  is *normal and strongly zero-dimensional* if whenever  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ , then there are disjoint clopen sets  $W_0$  and  $W_1$  such that  $H_0 \subseteq W_0$ ,  $H_1 \subseteq W_1$ , and  $W_0 \cup W_1 = X$ . The following characterization will be useful: a space  $X$  is normal and strongly zero-dimensional iff every finite open cover of  $X$  has a clopen shrinking. We say that a space  $X$  is *collectionwise normal* if whenever  $\mathcal{H}$  is a discrete collection of closed subsets of  $X$ , then there is a discrete collection of open sets  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(H) : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  such that  $H \subseteq U(H)$  for all  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $a$  be an  $n$ -tuple of ordinals. Let  $\Pi a$  abbreviate  $\prod_{i \leq n} a_i$  and let  $\Pi a^*$  abbreviate  $\prod_{i \leq n} (\{-1\} \cup a_i)$ . If  $y \in \Pi a$  and  $z \in \Pi a^*$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} z < y & \text{ iff } z_i < y_i \text{ for all } i \leq n, \\ z \leq y & \text{ iff } z_i \leq y_i \text{ for all } i \leq n, \\ (z, y] & = \{t \in \Pi a : z < t \leq y\}, \\ b \prec a & \text{ iff } b \leq a \text{ and } b_i < a_i \text{ for some } i. \end{aligned}$$

“Intervals”  $(z, y]$  form a basis for the product topology on  $\Pi a$ . We will prove Theorem 1.1 by induction on the well founded order  $\prec$ .

We set notation for concatenating  $n$ -tuples. If  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ , then  $a \frown b$  is the  $(m+n)$ -tuple  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{m+n})$ , where  $c_i = a_i$  for  $i \leq m$  and  $c_{m+j} = b_j$  for  $j \leq n$ . If  $X \subseteq \Pi(a \frown b)$  and  $t \in \Pi a$ , define  $X_t = \{y \in \Pi b : t \frown y \in X\}$ .

In Section 2, we introduce the notion  $k$ -stationary, where  $k$  is a strictly increasing  $n$ -tuple of regular uncountable cardinals. In section 3, we describe a class of nonnormal spaces. The fact that these spaces are not normal leads to a dicotomy for normal subspaces  $X$  of  $\Pi a$ : either they are “reducible” to a free sum of spaces which are homeomorphic to subspaces of  $\Pi b$  with  $b \prec a$  (Lemma 3.7), or we can apply a general Pressing Down Lemma (Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.10). Preparations complete, we prove Theorem 1.1. The implication [(3) or (4)]  $\rightarrow$  (1) is obvious; we prove (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) in Section 4; and we prove (2)  $\rightarrow$  [(3) and (4)] in Section 5.

## 2. STATIONARY

The original proof of Theorem 1.1 used a more general theory of  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ -stationary sets, developed in Section 3 of [2]. Later, we realized that Lemma 3.5 allows us to specialize to the case where the  $\kappa_i$ 's are strictly increasing, where the theory is simpler. We prove only what is needed for Theorem 1.1, using a different definition from that of [2]. The theory of  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ -stationary sets, for non-decreasing  $n$ -tuples of regular, uncountable cardinals, is presented in [3], where we show that  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$  is strongly zero-dimensional when each  $A_i$  is a subspace of an ordinal.

**Definition 2.1.** For  $X \subseteq \Pi b \times \kappa$ , we define the *stationary projection*

$$\text{st } \pi[X] = \{t \in \Pi b : X_t \text{ is stationary in } \kappa\}.$$

Let  $k = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$  be a strictly increasing  $n$ -tuple of regular uncountable cardinals. We define  $Y$  to be  $k$ -stationary by induction on  $n$ . For  $Y \subseteq \Pi(\kappa_1) = \kappa_1$ , we say that  $Y$  is  $k$ -stationary iff  $Y$  is stationary in  $\kappa_1$ . For  $Y \subseteq \Pi k \times \kappa$ , we say that  $Y$  is  $k \frown \kappa$ -stationary iff  $\text{st } \pi[Y]$  is  $k$ -stationary. Sometimes it is convenient to say that  $Y = \{0\}$  is 0-stationary, where 0 is the empty sequence.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $Y \subseteq \Pi k$  be  $k$ -stationary, where  $k = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$  is a strictly increasing  $n$ -tuple of regular uncountable cardinals. Then:*

- (1) (Kemoto) *If  $f : Y \rightarrow \Pi k^*$  satisfies  $f(y) < y$  for all  $y \in Y$ , then there are  $q \in \Pi k$  and  $Y'$ , a  $k$ -stationary subset of  $Y$  such that  $f(y) < q \leq y$  for all  $y \in Y'$ .*
- (2) *If  $\theta < \kappa_1$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow \theta$ , then there are  $\beta \in \theta$  and  $Y'$ , a  $k$ -stationary subset of  $Y$  such that  $g(y) = \beta$  for all  $y \in Y'$ .*
- (3)  *$Y$  is a directed, cofinal subset of  $\Pi k$ .*
- (4)  *$S = \{y \in Y : \Pi y \cap Y \text{ is not cofinal in } \Pi y\}$  is not  $k$ -stationary.*

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , this is the usual Pressing Down Lemma (PDL) (see [7], II, 6.15). Let  $Y$  be  $k \hat{\ } \kappa$ -stationary. For each  $t \in \text{st } \pi[Y]$ , use PDL to find  $s_t < t$ ,  $\xi_t < \kappa$ , and  $Z_t$ , a  $\kappa$ -stationary subset of  $Y_t$  such that  $f(t \hat{\ } \xi) = s_t \hat{\ } \xi_t$  for all  $\xi \in Z_t$ . By induction hypothesis, there are  $r \in \Pi k$  and  $T'$ , a  $k$ -stationary subset of  $T$  such that  $s_t < r \leq t$  for all  $t \in T'$ . Let  $\xi = \sup\{\xi_t : t \in T'\}$ . Set  $q = r \hat{\ } \xi$ .

The similar proof of clause 2 is left to the reader. Clause 3 is obvious.

Towards a contradiction, assume that  $S$  is  $k$ -stationary. For each  $y \in S$ , let  $f(y) \in \Pi y$  satisfy  $[f(y), y) \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Apply clause 1 to get  $q$  and a stationary subset  $Y'$  of  $S$ . Apply clause 3 twice to find  $y$  and  $y'$  in  $Y'$  such that  $q < y' < y$ . Then  $y' \in [f(y), y) \cap Y$ . Contradiction!  $\square$

### 3. NORMAL

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X \subseteq \Pi b \times \kappa$ , where  $|\Pi b| < \kappa$  and  $\kappa$  is a regular, uncountable cardinal. If  $X$  is normal, then  $T = \text{st } \pi[X]$  is normal.*

*Proof.* For each  $s \in \Pi b \setminus T$ , choose  $C_s$  club in  $\kappa$  such that  $\{s\} \times C_s \cap X = \emptyset$ . Set  $C = \bigcap\{C_s : s \in \Pi b \setminus T\}$ . Let  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  be disjoint closed subsets of  $T$ . Set  $\tilde{H}_0 = (H_0 \times C) \cap X$  and  $\tilde{H}_1 = (H_1 \times C) \cap X$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_0$  and  $\tilde{U}_1$  be disjoint, open in  $X$  with  $\tilde{H}_0 \subseteq \tilde{U}_0$  and  $\tilde{H}_1 \subseteq \tilde{U}_1$ . We will show that  $U_0 = \text{st } \pi[\tilde{U}_0]$  and  $U_1 = \text{st } \pi[\tilde{U}_1]$  are disjoint open subsets of  $T$ .

Let  $t \in U_e$ . For each  $\gamma$  such that  $t \hat{\ } \gamma \in \tilde{U}_e$ , find  $s_{t,\gamma} < t$  and  $\beta_{t,\gamma} < \gamma$  satisfying  $X \cap ((s_{t,\gamma}, t] \times (\beta_{t,\gamma}, \gamma]) \subseteq \tilde{U}_e$ . By PDL, there are  $Y_t$ , stationary in  $\kappa$ ,  $s_t < t$  and  $\beta_{t(e)} \in \kappa$  so that  $s_{t,\gamma} = s_t$  and  $\beta_{t,\gamma} = \beta_{t(e)}$  for all  $\gamma \in Y_t$ . Then  $(s_t, t] \cap T \subseteq U_e$ , so  $U_e$  is open. If  $t \in U_0 \cap U_1$ , then  $\gamma \in \tilde{U}_0 \cap \tilde{U}_1$  for all  $\gamma > \max\{\beta_t(0), \beta_t(1)\}$ . Contradiction!  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** For sets  $S$  and  $T$ , let the diagonal map  $\text{Dg}_T : S \rightarrow S^T$  be defined by  $\text{Dg}_T(s)(t) = s$  for all  $t \in T$ . For  $X \subseteq S^T$ , set  $\Delta_T(X) = \{s \in S : \text{Dg}_T(s) \in X\}$ . We will omit subscripts when it is clear from the context. Let  $\text{Lim}$  be the set of countable limit ordinals. For  $A$  a set of ordinals, let  $L(A)$  be the set of ordinals  $\xi$  (not necessarily in  $A$ ) such that every neighborhood of  $\xi$  meets  $A$  in an infinite set.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{(\mu, \nu) \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1 : \mu < \nu\}$ . Let  $H_0 = \{(\mu, \mu + 1) \in X : \mu \in \text{Lim}\}$  and  $H_1 = \{(\mu, \nu) \in X : \nu \in \text{Lim}\}$ .

This space  $X$  is a specific instance of a class of nonnormal spaces (implicit in Lemma 4 of [4], and Lemma 5.1.3 of [5]). Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable regular cardinal. Let  $S \subseteq C \subseteq \kappa$ , where  $S$  is stationary and  $C$  is club. Suppose that  $X \subseteq \{(\mu, \nu) \in \kappa^2 : \mu \leq \nu\} \setminus C^2$  and that  $X_\mu$  is stationary for every  $\mu \in S$ . Finally, suppose that  $h(\mu) \in X_\mu \cap \bigcap_{\mu' < \mu} L(X_{\mu'})$  satisfies  $(\mu, h(\mu)) \cap C = \emptyset$  for each

$\mu \in S$ . We claim that  $X$  is not normal because  $H_0 = \{(\mu, h(\mu)) : \mu \in S\}$  and  $H_1 = \{(\mu, \nu) \in X : \nu \in C\}$  cannot be separated in  $X$ .

Towards proving the claim, let  $H_0 \subseteq U_0$  open. For each  $\mu \in S$ , find  $f(\mu) < \mu$  and  $\mu \leq g(\mu) < h(\mu)$  so that  $(f(\mu), \mu] \times (g(\mu), h(\mu)] \subseteq U_0$ . Apply PDL to  $f$  and  $S$  to get  $S'$  and  $\beta$  so that  $f(\mu) = \beta$  for all  $\mu \in S'$ . Fix  $\mu_0 \in S \setminus (\beta + 1)$ . Let  $\nu_0 \in L(S') \cap X_{\mu_0}$ . Then  $(\mu_0, \nu_0) \in H_1$ . We claim that  $(\mu_0, \nu_0) \in \text{cl} U_0$ .

For every neighborhood  $N$  of  $(\mu_0, \nu_0)$ , there is  $\gamma \in [\mu_0, \nu_0)$  such that  $\{\mu_0\} \times (\gamma, \nu_0] \subseteq N$ . Choose  $\mu_1 \in S' \cap (\gamma, \nu_0)$ . Because  $h(\mu_1) \in L(X_{\mu_0})$ , there is  $\nu_1 \in (g(\mu_1), h(\mu_1)) \cap X_{\mu_0}$ . Then  $(\mu_0, \nu_1) \in N \cap U_0$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a normal subspace of  $\kappa^2$ , where  $\kappa$  is an uncountable regular cardinal. If  $\Delta(X)$  is not stationary, then there is  $C$ , club in  $\kappa$ , such that  $X \cap C^2 = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C'$  be club so that  $\text{Dg}[C'] \cap X = \emptyset$ . Set  $X' = \{(\mu, \nu) \in X : \mu \leq \nu\}$ . Towards a contradiction, assume that  $S' = \{\mu \in \kappa : X_\mu \text{ is stationary}\}$  is stationary. (In the terminology of [2], assume that  $X'$  is  $\kappa^2$ -stationary.) For each  $\mu \in S'$ , choose  $h(\mu) \in X'_\mu \cap \bigcap_{\mu' < \mu} L(X_{\mu'})$ . Set  $C = \{\gamma \in C' : (\forall \mu < \gamma)(h(\mu) < \gamma)\}$ . Then  $S' \cap C$ ,  $C$ ,  $X'$ , and  $h$  satisfy the conditions of Example 3.3. Hence  $X$  is not normal. Contradiction!

Therefore there are clubs  $C^*$  and  $(C_\mu)_{\mu \in C^*}$  such that  $C_\mu \cap X_\mu = \emptyset$  for all  $\mu \in C^*$ . Set  $E_1 = \{\gamma \in C^* : (\forall \mu < \gamma)(\gamma \in C_\mu)\}$ . Then  $E_1$  is club and  $E_1^2 \cap (X')^2 = \emptyset$ .

By a similar argument, we get a club  $E_2$  so that  $E_2^2 \cap \{(\mu, \nu) \in X : \mu \geq \nu\} = \emptyset$ . Then  $C' \cap E_1 \cap E_2$  is the desired club.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a normal subspace of  $\kappa^n$ , where  $\kappa$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $n \in \omega$ . If  $\Delta(X)$  is not stationary, then there is  $C$ , club in  $\kappa$ , such that  $X \cap C^n = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ . The cases  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$  are trivial; the case  $n = 2$  is Lemma 3.4. Let  $X$  be a normal subspace of  $\kappa^n$  where  $n = m + 1$ . For each  $e \in m^n$ , let  $X_e = \{x \in X : \text{if } e(i) = e(j), \text{ then } x_{i+1} = x_{j+1}\}$ . Each  $X_e$  is a closed, hence normal, subspace of  $X$  and is homeomorphic to a subspace of  $\kappa^m$ , so by induction hypothesis, there is a club  $C_e$  such that  $C_e^m \cap X_e = \emptyset$ . Set  $G = \bigcap \{C_e : e \in m^n\}$ .

Fix  $\mu \in G$  and  $i \leq n$ . Set  $X(\mu, i) = \{x \in X : x_i = \mu\}$ , a closed, normal subspace of  $X$ . Let  $h$  be the natural homeomorphism of  $X(\mu, i)$  into  $\kappa^m$  ( $h$  “deletes  $\mu$  in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  place”). It is straightforward to verify that  $\text{Dg}_m[G] \cap h[X(\mu, i)] = \emptyset$ . (Consider the  $e \in 2^n$  satisfying  $e(i) = 0$  and  $e(j) = 1$  if  $j \neq i$ .) By induction hypothesis there is a club  $C(\mu, i)$  such that if  $z_j \in C(\mu, i)$  for all  $j \neq i$ , then  $z \notin X(\mu, i)$ . Unfix  $\mu$  and  $i$ . Then  $C = \{\gamma \in G : (\forall \mu \in \gamma \cap G)(\forall i \leq n)(\gamma \in C(\mu, i))\}$  is the desired club.  $\square$

Now we introduce the notion “reducible” and prove a lemma justifying the name.

**Definition 3.6.** If  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ , we say that  $\{\beta\}$  is club in  $\alpha$  and that  $\text{cof } \alpha = 1$ . Let  $X \subseteq \Pi a$ , where  $a$  is an  $n$ -tuple of ordinals. We say  $X$  is reducible in  $a$ , and we write  $\text{red}_a(X)$ , when either  $\text{cof } a_i = \omega$  for some  $i \leq n$ , or there are  $C_i$ ,  $i \leq n$ , each  $C_i$  club in  $a_i$  such that  $C_1 \times \dots \times C_n \cap X = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *If  $X$  is a normal and strongly zero-dimensional subspace of  $\Pi a$ , and  $\text{red}_a(X)$ , then  $X$  is homeomorphic to a free sum  $\bigoplus \{Y_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  where  $Y_\lambda \subseteq \Pi b_\lambda$  and  $b_\lambda \prec a$  for each  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear if  $\text{cof } a_i = \omega$  for some  $i$ .

Otherwise, let  $C_i$ ,  $i \leq n$ , be clubs witnessing  $\text{red}_a(X)$ . For each  $i$ , set  $Z_i = \{z \in \Pi a : z_i \notin C_i\}$ . Because  $X$  is normal and strongly zero-dimensional, the finite open cover  $\{Z_i \cap X : i \leq n\}$  has a disjoint clopen refinement  $\{W_i : i \leq n\}$ . For each  $i \leq n$ ,  $W_i \subseteq Z_i$  is homeomorphic to a subspace of the free sum of spaces  $\Pi b$  with  $b \prec a$ .  $\square$

Let  $X \subseteq \Pi a$ , where  $a$  is an  $n$ -tuple of ordinals. If  $\text{cof } a_i = \omega$  for some  $i \leq n$ , then  $\text{red}_a(X)$ . If  $a = (\beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_n + 1)$ , then  $\text{red}_a(X)$  iff  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \notin X$ . There remains the case where  $\text{cof } a_i \neq \omega$  for all  $i \leq n$  and  $\text{cof } a_i > \omega$  for some  $i \leq n$ . Now our goal is to show that in this case, it suffices to consider nondecreasing  $m$ -tuples of regular, uncountable cardinals.

Let us say that  $(d, \rho, (\mu_j : j \leq m), \psi)$  is *good* for  $a$  if the following are satisfied:

- (1)  $d$  is a nondecreasing  $m$ -tuple of regular, uncountable cardinals,
- (2)  $\rho : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  is one to one,
- (3) for all  $j \leq m$ ,  $\mu_j : d_j \rightarrow a_{\rho(j)}$  is increasing, continuous, and cofinal,
- (4) for all  $i \leq n$ , if  $i \notin \text{ran } \rho$ , then  $a_i = \beta_i + 1$ ,
- (5)  $\psi : \Pi d \rightarrow \Pi a$  satisfies for all  $y \in \Pi d$ ,  $(\psi(y))_i = \mu_j(y_j)$  if  $\rho(j) = i$ ;  $(\psi(y))_i = \beta_i$  otherwise.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Assume that  $(d, \rho, (\mu_j : j \leq m), \psi)$  is good for  $a$ . Let  $d = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m)$ . If  $X$  is a normal subspace of  $\Pi a$ , then  $X \cap \text{ran } \psi$  is closed in  $X$ , hence normal, and then  $\psi^{-}[X]$  is normal. If  $C_1 \times \dots \times C_m$ , each  $C_i$  club in  $\kappa_i$  witnesses  $\text{red}_d(\psi^{-}[X])$ , then  $\psi[C_1 \times \dots \times C_m]$  witnesses  $\text{red}_a(X)$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $a$  be an  $n$ -tuple of ordinals. If  $X$  is a normal subspace of  $\Pi a$ , and not  $\text{red}_a(X)$ , then there are  $Y$  and  $\varphi$  such that  $Y$  is a  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_p)$ -stationary set and  $\varphi$  is an order preserving homeomorphism from  $Y$  into  $X$  such that  $\varphi[Y]$  is closed and cofinal in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a = (\beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_n + 1)$ , let  $Y = \{0\}$ . Otherwise, by Lemma 3.8, we may assume that  $a$  is a nondecreasing  $n$ -tuple of regular cardinals. Let  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_p)$  be the distinct values of  $a_i$  in strictly increasing order. We proceed by induction on  $p$ . When  $p = 1$ , then  $\Pi a = \kappa_1^{n+1}$ , and Lemma 3.5 says that  $Y = \Delta(X)$  is stationary in  $\kappa_1$ . Let  $\varphi = \text{Dg}$ ; then  $\varphi[Y]$  is closed in  $X$ .

When  $p = p' + 1$ , then  $a = b \hat{\ } c$ , where  $c_i = \kappa_p > |\Pi b|$  for all  $i \leq n_{p'}$ . Consider  $T = \{t \in \Pi b : \Delta(X_t) \text{ is stationary in } \kappa_p\}$ . If  $\text{red}_b(T)$ , then  $\text{red}_a(X)$ . Contradiction! So we may apply the induction hypothesis to  $T$  to obtain a  $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{p'})$ -stationary set  $T'$ , closed in  $\Pi b$ , and a homeomorphism  $\varphi'$ . Set  $Y = \{z \hat{\ } \xi \in T' \times \kappa_p : \text{Dg}(\xi) \in X_z\} = (T' \times \text{Dg}[\kappa_p]) \cap X$ . Define  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi(z \hat{\ } \xi) = \varphi'(z) \hat{\ } \text{Dg}(\xi)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 3.9. Let  $f : \varphi[Y] \rightarrow \Pi a^*$  satisfy  $f(\varphi(y)) < \varphi(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Then there are  $q \in \Pi a$  and  $R$ , directed and cofinal in  $X$ , such that  $f(x) < q \leq x$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to verify the conclusions when  $Y = \{0\}$ . Otherwise, let  $Y_0 = \{y \in Y : \Pi y \cap Y \text{ is cofinal in } \Pi y\}$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $Y_0$  is  $k$ -stationary. For each  $y \in Y_0$ , find  $g(y) \in Y$  such that  $f(\varphi(y)) \leq \varphi(g(y)) < \varphi(y)$ . Apply Lemma 2.2(1) to  $Y_0$  and  $g$  to get  $q'$  and  $Y'$ . Set  $q = \varphi(q')$  and  $R = \varphi[Y']$ .

Of course, if  $X$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.9 and  $f$  is defined on all of  $X$ , we may apply this Lemma without explicitly naming  $Y$  and  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

## 4. STRONGLY ZERO-DIMENSIONAL

In this section we prove that (1) implies (2) of Theorem 1.1 following the method of [2]. Let  $H(Z)$  abbreviate “For all  $X \subseteq Z$ , if  $X$  is normal, then  $X$  is strongly zero-dimensional”. The next lemma lists some methods to prove  $H(Z)$  for “big” spaces from  $H(Z')$  for “small” spaces.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Each of the following are sufficient to imply  $H(Z)$ :*

- (1)  $Z$  is homeomorphic to a subset of  $Z'$  and  $H(Z')$ .
- (2)  $Z = \bigoplus_{i \in I} Z_i$ , and  $H(Z_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ .
- (3)  $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ ,  $H(Z_1)$ ,  $H(Z_2)$ , and  $Z_1$  is closed in  $Z$ .
- (4)  $Z = \bigcup_{i \in I} Z_k$ ,  $I$  is finite, and  $Z_i$  is open in  $Z$  for all  $i \in I$ .

*Proof.* Clauses 1 and 2 are obvious. Clause 4 follows from clause 3.

Towards clause 3, let  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  be disjoint closed subsets of a normal subspace  $X$  of  $Z$ . Then  $X_1 = X \cap Z_1$  is normal, so by  $H(Z_1)$  there are disjoint closed  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  satisfying

$$H_0 \cap X_1 \subseteq K_0, \quad H_1 \cap X_1 \subseteq K_1, \quad \text{and } K_0 \cup K_1 = X_1.$$

Since  $X$  is normal, there are open  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  such that  $H_0 \cup K_0 \subseteq U_0$ ,  $H_1 \cup K_1 \subseteq U_1$ , and  $\text{cl } U_0 \cap \text{cl } U_1 = \emptyset$ . Set  $X_2 = X \setminus (U_0 \cup U_1)$ , a closed, hence normal, subspace of  $X$ . By  $H(Z_2)$ , there are disjoint  $W_0$  and  $W_1$ , clopen in  $X_2$ , satisfying

$$(\text{cl } U_0 \cup H_0) \cap X_2 \subseteq W_0, \quad (\text{cl } U_1 \cup H_1) \cap X_2 \subseteq W_1, \quad \text{and } W_0 \cup W_1 = X_2.$$

Then  $U_0 \cup W_0$  and  $U_1 \cup W_1$  are the desired clopen subsets of  $X$ .  $\square$

Because every product of finitely many ordinals is a subspace of  $\alpha^n$  for some  $n$  and  $\alpha$ , to prove (1) implies (2) of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove  $(\forall n \in \omega)H(\alpha^n)$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ . We proceed by induction. The base step is easy: if  $\alpha$  is countable, then every subspace of  $\alpha^n$  is strongly zero-dimensional. For the induction steps, we use Lemma 4.3 when  $\alpha$  is an uncountable regular cardinal, and Lemma 4.2 otherwise.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\alpha$  be either a successor ordinal or a singular limit ordinal. If  $(\forall m \in \omega)H(\beta^m)$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $(\forall n \in \omega)H(\alpha^n)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove  $(\forall m \in \omega)H(\beta^m \times \alpha^n)$  by induction on  $n$ . The base step  $n = 0$  follows from hypothesis. Let  $n = p + 1$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a successor,  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ , say, then set  $C = \{\gamma\}$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit, let  $C = \{\gamma_\nu : \nu < \text{cof } \alpha\}$  be increasing, closed, and cofinal in  $\alpha$ , with  $\gamma_0 = 0$ . Set  $Z_1 = \beta^m \times \alpha^p \times C$ . Then  $Z_1$  is closed in  $\beta^m \times \alpha^n$ , and  $H(Z_1)$  holds because  $Z_1$  is homeomorphic to a subspace of  $\zeta^{m+1} \times \alpha^p$ , where  $\zeta = \max\{\beta, \text{cof } \alpha\}$ . Set  $Z_2 = (\beta^m \times \alpha^n) \setminus Z_1$ . If  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ , then  $H(Z_2)$  follows from  $H(\gamma^{m+n})$ . If  $\alpha$  is a singular limit ordinal, then  $Z_2 = \bigoplus_{\nu < \text{cof } \alpha} \beta^m \times \alpha^p \times (\gamma_\nu, \gamma_{\nu+1})$ . In this case,  $H(Z_2)$  holds by induction hypothesis and Lemma 4.1(2). Having shown  $H(Z_1)$  and  $H(Z_2)$ , we may conclude  $H(\beta^m \times \alpha^n)$  because of Lemma 4.1(3).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is regular. If  $(\forall m \in \omega)H(\beta^m)$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $(\forall n \in \omega)H(\alpha^n)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove  $(\forall m \in \omega)H(\beta^m \times \alpha^n)$  by induction on  $n$ . The base step  $n = 0$  follows from hypothesis.

Let  $n = 1$ . Note that for any  $C$  club in  $\kappa$ ,  $H(\beta^m \times (\alpha \setminus C))$  holds by induction hypothesis and Lemma 4.1(2). Let  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  be disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ , a normal subspace of  $\beta^m \times \alpha$ . For each  $b \in \beta^m$ , find  $C_b$ , club in  $\alpha$ , such that for  $Y \in \{X, H_0, H_1\}$ , if  $Y_b$  is not stationary, then  $C_b \cap Y_p = \emptyset$ . Set  $C = \bigcap \{C_b : b \in \beta^m\}$  and  $X_1 = X \cap (\beta^m \times C)$ . Let  $\pi$  be the projection:  $\pi(b \frown \xi) = b$ .

Set  $T = \pi[X_1] = \text{st } \pi[X]$ . Lemma 3.1 implies that  $T$  is normal. By  $H(\beta^m)$ , there is  $W$  clopen in  $T$  satisfying  $\pi[H_0 \cap X_1] \subseteq W \subseteq T \setminus \pi[H_1 \cap X_1]$ . Set  $K_0 = W \times C \cap X_1$  and  $K_1 = (T \setminus W) \times C \cap X_1$ . Because  $X$  is normal, there are open  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  such that  $H_0 \cup K_0 \subseteq U_0$ ,  $H_1 \cup K_1 \subseteq U_1$ , and  $\text{cl } U_0 \cap \text{cl } U_1 = \emptyset$ . Now  $X_2 = X \setminus (U_0 \cup U_1)$  is a closed, hence normal, subspace of  $X$ . By  $H(\beta^m \times (\alpha \setminus C))$ , there are disjoint  $W_0$  and  $W_1$ , clopen in  $X_2$ , satisfying

$$(\text{cl } U_0 \cup H_0) \cap X_2 \subseteq W_0, \quad (\text{cl } U_1 \cup H_1) \cap X_2 \subseteq W_1, \quad \text{and } W_0 \cup W_1 = X_2.$$

Then  $U_0 \cup W_0$  and  $U_1 \cup W_1$  are the desired clopen subsets of  $X$ .

Let  $n = p + 1$ . We need

**Sublemma 4.4.** *Let  $\beta < \alpha$ , let  $m < \omega$ , and let  $C$  be club in  $\alpha$ . Then we have  $H(\beta^m \times (\alpha^n \setminus C^n))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a normal subspace of  $\beta^m \times (\alpha^n \setminus C^n)$ . We will find a finite family of clopen, strongly zero-dimensional, subspaces which cover  $X$ . Set  $n^* = \{m + 1, \dots, m + n\}$ . By induction hypothesis and Lemma 4.1,  $H(Z_i)$  for all  $i \in n^*$ , where  $Z_i = \{z \in \beta^m \times \alpha^n : i \notin C\}$ .

For  $x \in X$ , set  $\sigma(x) = |\{i \in n^* : x_i \notin C\}|$ . For each nonempty  $s \subseteq n^*$ , define closed sets  $H_s = \{x \in X : (\forall i \notin s)(x_i \in C)\}$  and  $K_s = \{x \in X : x_{\min(s)} \in C\}$ . Note that  $H_s \cap K_s \cap \{x : |s| \leq \sigma(x)\} = \emptyset$ .

We will define  $X_j$ , a clopen subspace of  $X$  satisfying  $\sigma(x) \geq j$  for all  $x \in X_j$ , by induction for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Set  $X_1 = X$ . For each  $s \in [n^*]^j$ , use the normality of  $X_j$  to find  $U_s$ , open in  $X_j$ , satisfying

$$X_j \cap H_s \subseteq U_s \subseteq \text{cl } U_s \subseteq X_j \setminus K_s.$$

Because  $\text{cl } U_s$  is a normal subspace of  $Z_{\min s}$ , there is  $W_s$ , clopen in  $\text{cl } U_s$  such that  $H_s \subseteq W_s \subseteq U_s$ . Then  $W_s$  is clopen in  $X$ . Set  $X_{j+1} = X_j \setminus \bigcup \{W_s : |s| = j\}$ . Set  $W_{n^*} = X_n$ . Then  $X = \bigcup \{W_s : \emptyset \neq s \subseteq n^*\}$ , as promised.  $\square$

Returning to the proof of the case  $n = p + 1$ , set  $Z_1 = \beta^m \times \text{Dg}[\alpha]$ . We have  $H(Z_1)$  because  $Z_1$  is homeomorphic to  $\beta^m \times \alpha$ . Set  $Z_2 = \beta^m \times \alpha^n \setminus Z_1$ . Towards showing  $H(Z_2)$ , let  $Y$  be a normal subspace of  $Z_2$ . For each  $b \in \beta^m$ ,  $Y_b$  is a normal subspace of  $\alpha^n$  with  $\Delta(Y_b) = \emptyset$ . Apply Lemma 3.5 to get a club  $C_b$ . Set  $C = \bigcap \{C_b : b \in \beta^m\}$ . From Sublemma 4.4 we conclude that  $Y$  is strongly zero-dimensional. Having established  $H(Z_2)$ , we apply Lemma 4.1(3) to finish the proof.  $\square$

## 5. COLLECTIONWISE NORMAL AND SHRINKING

Let  $J(Z)$  denote “if  $X \subseteq Z$  is normal and strongly zero-dimensional, then  $X$  is collectionwise normal and shrinking”. To prove (2) implies (3) and (4) in Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove  $J(\Pi a)$  for all  $n$ -tuples  $a$  of ordinals, which we do by induction on  $\prec$  (see Definition 1.3). The base step is easy: if  $a_i$  is countable for all  $i \leq n$ , then every subspace of  $\Pi a$  is collectionwise normal and shrinking.

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $J(\Pi b)$  for all  $b \prec a$ ,  $X$  is a normal and strongly zero-dimensional subspace of  $\Pi a$ , and  $\text{red}_a(X)$ , then  $X$  is collectionwise normal and shrinking.*

*Proof.* It follows directly from Lemma 3.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $J(\Pi b)$  for all  $b \prec a$ ,  $X$  is a normal and strongly zero-dimensional subspace of  $\Pi a$ , and not  $\text{red}_a(X)$ , then  $X$  is collectionwise normal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a discrete closed family in  $X$ . For each  $x \in X$ , choose  $f(x) < x$  so that  $(f(x), x]$  meets at most one  $H$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $q$  and  $R$  be as in Lemma 3.10. Because  $R$  is directed and cofinal in  $X$ , there is at most one  $H$ , call it  $H^*$ , which meets  $[q, a)$ . Because  $X$  is strongly zero-dimensional, there is a clopen  $W$  such that  $\bigcup(\mathcal{H} \setminus \{H^*\}) \subseteq W \subseteq X \setminus (H^* \cup [q, a))$ . For each  $i$ , set  $Z_i = \{z \in \Pi a : z_i < q_i\}$ . By induction hypothesis,  $J(Z_i)$  for each  $i$ , which gives that  $W$  is collectionwise normal. Let  $\{U(H) : H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{H^*\}\}$  separate  $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{H^*\}$  in  $W$ . Set  $U(H^*) = X \setminus W$ . Then  $\{U(H) : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is the desired separation of  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *If  $J(\Pi b)$  for all  $b \prec a$ ,  $X$  is a normal and strongly zero-dimensional subspace of  $\Pi a$ , and not  $\text{red}_a(X)$ , then  $X$  is shrinking.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . For each  $x \in X$ , choose  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $f(x) < x$  satisfying  $(f(x), x] \subseteq U_x$ . Let  $q$  and  $R$  be as in Lemma 3.10. Apply Lemma 3.9 to  $[q, a) \cap X$  to obtain  $Y$ , a  $k$ -stationary set, and  $\varphi$ , an order preserving homeomorphism of  $Y$  onto a closed, cofinal subset of  $X$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  set

$$G(U) = \{y \in Y : (\exists x \in R)(\varphi(y) \leq x \text{ and } U = U_x)\}.$$

If  $y' \leq y \in G(U)$ , then  $y' \in G(U)$ ; hence,  $G(U)$  is open.

**Sublemma 5.4.** *There is  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ , a family of closed subsets of  $Y$  such that  $F_U \subseteq G(U)$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = Y'$ , a final segment of  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* If  $Y = \{0\}$ , then set  $F_U = \{0\}$  if  $\varphi(0) \in U$  and  $F_U = \emptyset$  if  $0 \notin U$ . If  $Y \subseteq \kappa$ , set  $T = \emptyset = \text{st } \pi[Y]$ . For each  $A \subseteq \kappa$ , let  $A_\emptyset = A$ . Otherwise,  $Y$  is  $k \cap \kappa$ -stationary. Set  $T = \text{st } \pi[Y]$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , define  $U_T = \{t \in T : \sup G(U)_t = \kappa\}$ , open in  $T$  because  $|\Pi k| < \kappa$ . We split into subcases.

**Subcase 1.**  $\bigcup\{U_T : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = T$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $T$  is normal. So by induction hypothesis,  $T$  is shrinking. Let  $\{V_T(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  be a shrinking of  $\{U_T : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ , and set  $F_U = (\text{cl } V_T(U) \times \kappa) \cap Y$ . Then  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = Y = Y'$ .

**Subcase 2.** There is  $q^* \in T \setminus \bigcup\{U_T : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . Set  $Y' = \{y' \in Y : q^* \leq \pi(y')\}$ . Note that  $[y, k) \setminus \bigcup\{G(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}'\} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\mathcal{U}' \in [\mathcal{U}]^{<\kappa}$  and  $y \in Y'$ . Well order  $Y'$  as  $\{y_\nu : \nu < \kappa\}$ . Inductively choose  $U_\nu \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{U_\mu : \mu < \nu\}$  so that  $y_\nu \in G(U_\nu)$ . Set  $F_{U_\nu} = [q^*, y_\nu] \cap Y$ ; set  $F_U = \emptyset$  if  $U$  is not a  $U_\nu$ . Then  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = Y'$ .  $\square$

For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , use the normality of  $X$  to find an open  $V_1(U)$  satisfying

$$\varphi[F_U] \subseteq V_1(U) \subseteq \text{cl } V_1(U) \subseteq U.$$

For each  $y \in Y'$ , choose  $U'_y \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $f'(y) \in \Pi a^*$  which satisfy  $(f'(y), \varphi(y)) \cap X \subseteq V_1(U'_y)$ . Apply Lemma 3.10 to  $f'$  and  $Y'$  to obtain  $q'$ . Set  $X_2 = X \setminus \bigcup\{V_1(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . Because  $[q', a) \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ , we have  $\text{red}_a(X_2)$ . Hence  $X_2$  is shrinking, and there is a closed family  $\{F'_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  covering  $X_2$  satisfying  $F'_U \subseteq U$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . By normality of  $X$ , find open sets  $V_2(U)$  such that

$$F'_U \subseteq V_2(U) \subseteq \text{cl } V_2(U) \subseteq U$$

for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\{V_1(U) \cup V_2(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is the desired shrinking of  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$



Together, the lemmas of this section yield “If  $J(\Pi b)$  for all  $b \prec a$ , then  $J(\Pi a)$ ”, from which we conclude  $J(\Pi a)$  for all  $n$ -tuples of ordinals. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Discussions with Adrienne Stanley and Nobuyuki Kemoto were helpful in preparing this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Engelking, R. General Topology, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989. MR **91c**:54001
- [2] Fleissner, W. Metacompact subspaces of products of ordinals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130(2002)293-301. MR **2002h**:54021
- [3] Fleissner, W., Kemoto, N., Terasawa, J. Strong Zero-Dimensionality in Products of Ordinals, submitted.
- [4] Kemoto, N., Nogura, T., Smith K., and Yajima Y., Normal subspaces in products of two ordinals, Fund. Math. 151(1996) 279-297. MR **98b**:54011
- [5] Kemoto, N., Smith, K., and Szeptycki, P. Countable paracompactness versus normality in subspaces of  $\omega_1^2$ , Topol. Appl. 104(2000)141-154. MR **2001e**:54045
- [6] Kemoto, N. and Smith, K. Hereditary countable metacompactness in finite and infinite product spaces of ordinals, Topol. Appl. 77(1997)57-63. MR **98j**:54041
- [7] Kunen, K. Set Theory, An Introduction to Independence Proofs, Elsevier, 1980. MR **82f**:03001
- [8] Stanley, A., Normal subspaces of finite products of ordinals, Abstracts AMS 19(1998)474.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, LAWRENCE, KANSAS 66045  
*E-mail address:* `fleissne@math.ukans.edu`