

THE FULL MARKOV-NEWMAN INEQUALITY FOR MÜNTZ POLYNOMIALS ON POSITIVE INTERVALS

DAVID BENKO, TAMÁS ERDÉLYI, AND JÓZSEF SZABADOS

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Borwein)

ABSTRACT. For a function f defined on an interval $[a, b]$ let

$$\|f\|_{[a,b]} := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}.$$

The principal result of this paper is the following Markov-type inequality for Müntz polynomials.

Theorem. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be $n + 1$ distinct real numbers. Let $0 < a < b$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{1}{4 \log(b/a)} (n-1)^2 &\leq \sup_{0 \neq Q} \frac{\|xQ'(x)\|_{[a,b]}}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}} \\ &\leq 11 \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{128}{\log(b/a)} (n+1)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is taken for all $Q \in \text{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}\}$ (the span is the linear span over \mathbb{R}).

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

Let $\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ be a set of $n + 1$ distinct real numbers. The span of

$$\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}\}$$

over \mathbb{R} will be denoted by

$$M(\Lambda_n) := \text{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}\}.$$

Elements of $M(\Lambda_n)$ are called Müntz polynomials of $n + 1$ terms. For a function f defined on an interval $[a, b]$ let

$$\|f\|_{[a,b]} := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$$

and let

$$\|f\|_{L_p[a,b]} := \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad p > 0,$$

Received by the editors March 2, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A17; Secondary 30B10, 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Müntz polynomials, exponential sums, Markov-type inequality, Newman's inequality.

The second author's research was supported, in part, by the NSF under Grant No. DMS-0070826.

The third author's research was supported by OTKA Grant No. T32872.

whenever the Lebesgue integral exists. Newman’s beautiful inequality [4] is an essentially sharp Markov-type inequality for $M(\Lambda_n)$ on $[0, 1]$ in the case when each λ_j is nonnegative.

Theorem 1.1 (Newman’s Inequality). *Let $\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ be a set of $n + 1$ distinct nonnegative numbers. Then*

$$\frac{2}{3} \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j \leq \sup_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{\|xQ'(x)\|_{[0,1]}}{\|Q\|_{[0,1]}} \leq 11 \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j.$$

Note that the interval $[0, 1]$ plays a special role in the study of Müntz polynomials. A linear transformation $y = \alpha x + \beta$ does not preserve membership in $M(\Lambda_n)$ in general (unless $\beta = 0$), that is, $Q \in M(\Lambda_n)$ does not necessarily imply that $R(x) := Q(\alpha x + \beta) \in M(\Lambda_n)$. An analogue of Newman’s inequality on $[a, b]$, $a > 0$, cannot be obtained by a simple transformation. We can, however, prove the following result.

2. NEW RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ be a set of $n + 1$ distinct real numbers. Let $0 < a < b$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{1}{4 \log(b/a)} (n - 1)^2 &\leq \sup_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{\|xQ'(x)\|_{[a,b]}}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}} \\ &\leq 11 \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{128}{\log(b/a)} (n + 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks 2.2. Of course, we can have $Q'(x)$ instead of $xQ'(x)$ in the above estimate, since an obvious corollary of the above theorem is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3b} \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{1}{4b \log(b/a)} (n - 1)^2 &\leq \sup_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{\|Q'\|_{[a,b]}}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}} \\ &\leq \frac{11}{a} \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{128}{a \log(b/a)} (n + 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The reason we formulated Theorem 2.1 in the given form is that when $a \rightarrow 0$, we then obtain Theorem 1.1 (with worse absolute constants).

Theorem 2.1 was proved by P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi under the additional assumptions that $\lambda_j \geq \delta j$ for each j with a constant $\delta > 0$ and with constants depending on a, b and δ instead of the absolute constants (see [1] or [2], for instance).

The novelty of Theorem 2.1 is the fact that $\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is an arbitrary set of $n + 1$ distinct real numbers; not even the nonnegativity of the exponents λ_j is needed.

In the $L_p[a, b]$ norm ($p \geq 1$) we can establish the following.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ be a set of $n + 1$ distinct real numbers. Let $0 < a < b$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then there is a positive constant $c_1(a, b)$ depending only on a and b such that*

$$\sup_{0 \neq P \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{\|P'\|_{L_p[a,b]}}{\|P\|_{L_p[a,b]}} \leq c_1(a, b) \left(n^2 + \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| \right).$$

Theorem 2.3 was proved by T. Erdélyi under the additional assumptions that $\lambda_j \geq \delta j$ for each j with a constant $\delta > 0$ and with $c_1(a, b)$ replaced by $c_1(a, b, \delta)$; see [3]. The novelty of Theorem 2.3 is again the fact that $\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is an arbitrary set of $n + 1$ distinct real numbers; not even the nonnegativity of the exponents λ_j is needed.

3. LEMMAS

The following comparison theorem for Müntz polynomials is proved in [1, E.4 f of Section 3.3].

Lemma 3.1 (A comparison theorem). *Suppose*

$$\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_n := \{\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_n\},$$

$\lambda_n \geq 0$, and $\lambda_j \leq \gamma_j$ for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Let $0 < a < b$. Then

$$\max_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{|Q'(b)|}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}} \leq \max_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Gamma_n)} \frac{|Q'(b)|}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}}.$$

The following result is essentially proved by Saff and Varga [5]. They assume that $\Lambda := (\lambda_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers and $\delta = 1$ in the next lemma, however, this assumption can be easily dropped from their theorem; see [1, E.9 of Section 6.1]. In fact, their proof remains valid almost word for word; the modifications are straightforward.

Lemma 3.2 (The interval where the norm of a Müntz polynomial lives). *Let*

$$\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_0 \geq 0.$$

Let $0 \neq P \in M(\Lambda_n)$ and $Q(x) := x^{k\delta} P(x)$, where k is a nonnegative integer and δ is a positive real number. Let $\xi \in [0, 1]$ be a point so that $|Q(\xi)| = \|Q\|_{[0,1]}$. Suppose $\lambda_j \geq \delta j$ for each j . Then

$$\left(\frac{k}{k+n}\right)^{2/\delta} \leq \xi.$$

4. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First we prove the upper bound. Let $P \in M(\Lambda_n)$. We want to show that

$$y|P'(y)| \leq \left(11 \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{128(n+1)^2}{\log(b/a)}\right) \|P\|_{[a,b]}$$

for every $y \in [a, b]$. To this end we distinguish two cases. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\lambda_k = 0$ for some k , otherwise we add the 0 exponent by changing $(n + 1)$ to n .

Case 1. Let $y \in [(ab)^{1/2}, b]$. First we examine the subcase when $\lambda_0 := 0$. That is, we have $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$. Let

$$0 < \delta := \min \left\{ 1, \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_j}{j} \right\} \leq 1.$$

Observe that the inequalities

$$\lambda_j \geq \delta j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

are satisfied. We define $Q(x) := x^{mn\delta}P(x)$, where with the choice $m := \lfloor \frac{8 \log 2}{\delta \log(b/a)} \rfloor$, using the inequality $2^{-2u} \leq 1 - u$ ($0 \leq u \leq 1/2$) we have

$$a = \sqrt{ab} \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} \leq \sqrt{ab} 2^{-\frac{4}{\delta(m+1)}} \leq \sqrt{ab} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1}\right)^{2/\delta} = \sqrt{ab} \left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^{2/\delta}.$$

Scaling Newman’s Inequality from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, y]$, then using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y|Q'(y)| &\leq 11 \sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j + mn\delta) \|Q\|_{[0,y]} \\ &= 11 \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j + mn(n+1)\delta \right) \|Q\|_{\left[y\left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^{2/\delta}, y\right]} \\ &\leq 11 \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j + mn(n+1)\delta \right) \|Q\|_{[a,y]}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} y|P'(y)| &\leq |Q'(y)|y^{1-mn\delta} + mn\delta|P(y)| \\ &\leq y^{-mn\delta} 11 \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j + mn(n+1)\delta \right) \|Q\|_{[a,y]} + mn\delta \|P\|_{[a,y]} \\ &\leq \left(11 \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j + mn(11n+12)\delta \right) \|P\|_{[a,y]} \\ &\leq \left(11 \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j + \frac{128n^2}{\log(b/a)} \right) \|P\|_{[a,b]}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof in Case 1 under the additional assumption $\lambda_0 := 0$. Now we drop this additional assumption. Suppose

$$\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n\}$$

and $\lambda_k = 0$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$. For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ let

$$\Gamma_{n,\varepsilon} := \{\gamma_{0,\varepsilon} < \gamma_{1,\varepsilon} < \dots < \gamma_{n,\varepsilon}\}$$

with

$$\gamma_{j,\varepsilon} := (j - k)\varepsilon, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

and

$$\gamma_{j,\varepsilon} := \lambda_j, \quad j = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n.$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, then by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$(4.1) \quad \max_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{y|Q'(y)|}{\|Q\|_{[a,y]}} \leq \max_{0 \neq Q_\varepsilon \in M(\Gamma_{n,\varepsilon})} \frac{y|Q'_\varepsilon(y)|}{\|Q_\varepsilon\|_{[a,y]}}.$$

Let $Q_\varepsilon \in M(\Gamma_{n,\varepsilon})$. Then Q_ε is of the form

$$Q_\varepsilon(x) = x^{-k\varepsilon} R_\varepsilon(x), \quad R_\varepsilon \in \text{span}\{x^{\gamma_0+k\varepsilon}, x^{\gamma_1+k\varepsilon}, \dots, x^{\gamma_n+k\varepsilon}\},$$

where each $\gamma_j + k\varepsilon$ is nonnegative. Hence, using the upper bound of the theorem in the already proved case

$$\lambda_0 := 0, \quad y \in \left[(ab)^{1/2}, b \right],$$

we obtain

$$y |R'_\varepsilon(y)| \leq \left(11 \sum_{j=0}^n (\gamma_{j,\varepsilon} + k\varepsilon) + \frac{128n^2}{\log(b/a)} \right) \|R_\varepsilon\|_{[a,y]}.$$

Recalling (4.1), and taking the limit when $\varepsilon > 0$ tends to 0, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{y|Q'(y)|}{\|Q\|_{[a,y]}} &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{0 \neq Q_\varepsilon \in M(\Gamma_{n,\varepsilon})} \frac{y|Q'_\varepsilon(y)|}{\|Q_\varepsilon\|_{[a,y]}} \\ &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} 11 \sum_{j=0}^n (\gamma_{j,\varepsilon} + k\varepsilon) + \frac{128n^2}{\log(b/a)} \\ &= 11 \sum_{j=k+1}^n \lambda_j + \frac{128n^2}{\log(b/a)} \\ &\leq 11 \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{128n^2}{\log(b/a)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the upper bound of the theorem is now finished in Case 1.

Case 2. Let $y \in [a, (ab)^{1/2}]$. Suppose again that

$$\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n\}$$

and $\lambda_k = 0$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$. Associated with $P \in M(\Lambda_n)$ let $\tilde{P} \in M(\tilde{\Lambda}_n)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(x) &:= P(ab/x), \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_n &:= \{\tilde{\lambda}_0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 < \dots < \tilde{\lambda}_n\} := \{-\lambda_n < -\lambda_{n-1} < \dots < -\lambda_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the upper bound of the theorem in the already proved Case 1 with $\tilde{P} \in M(\tilde{\Lambda}_n)$ and $\tilde{y} = ab/y \in [(ab)^{1/2}, b]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y|P'(y)| = |\tilde{P}'(\tilde{y})|(ab/y) &\leq \left(11 \sum_{j=0}^n |\tilde{\lambda}_j| + \frac{128(n+1)^2}{\log(b/a)} \right) \|\tilde{P}\|_{[a,b]} \\ &= \left(11 \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| + \frac{128(n+1)^2}{\log(b/a)} \right) \|P\|_{[a,b]}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is finished in Case 2 as well.

Now we show the lower bound of the theorem. Suppose

$$\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n\},$$

and $0 \leq k \leq n$ is chosen so that $\lambda_j < 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $\lambda_j \geq 0$ for all $j = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n$. Let

$$\Lambda_n^- := \{-\lambda_k < -\lambda_{k-1} < \dots < -\lambda_0\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_n^+ := \{\lambda_{k+1} < \lambda_{k+2} < \dots < \lambda_n\}.$$

The lower bound of Theorem 1.1 (combined with a linear scaling, if necessary) shows the existence of a $Q \in M(\Lambda_n^+)$ for which

$$|Q'(1)| \geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\sum_{j=k+1}^n \lambda_j \right) \|Q\|_{[0,1]}.$$

Then $R(x) := Q(x/b) \in M(\Lambda_n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|xR'(x)\|_{[a,b]} &\geq b|R'(b)| = |Q'(1)| \geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\sum_{j=k+1}^n |\lambda_j| \right) \|Q\|_{[0,1]} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\sum_{j=k+1}^n |\lambda_j| \right) \|R\|_{[a,b]}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the lower bound of Theorem 1.1 (combined with a linear scaling, if necessary) shows the existence of a $Q \in M(\Lambda_n^-)$ for which

$$|Q'(1)| \geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k (-\lambda_j) \right) \|Q\|_{[0,1]}.$$

Then $R(x) := Q(a/x) \in M(\Lambda_n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|xR'(x)\|_{[a,b]} &\geq a|R'(a)| = |Q'(1)| \geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k |\lambda_j| \right) \|Q\|_{[0,1]} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k |\lambda_j| \right) \|R\|_{[a,b]}. \end{aligned}$$

The two observations above already give

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^n |\lambda_j| \leq \sup_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{\|xQ'(x)\|_{[a,b]}}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}}.$$

To prove that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{(n-1)^2}{4 \log(b/a)} \leq \sup_{0 \neq Q \in M(\Lambda_n)} \frac{\|xQ'(x)\|_{[a,b]}}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}}$$

we argue as follows. Let

$$Q_{m,\varepsilon}(x) := T_m \left(\frac{2x^\varepsilon}{b^\varepsilon - a^\varepsilon} - \frac{b^\varepsilon + a^\varepsilon}{b^\varepsilon - a^\varepsilon} \right) \in \text{span}\{1, x^\varepsilon, x^{2\varepsilon}, \dots, x^{m\varepsilon}\},$$

where $T_m(x) = \cos(m \arccos x)$, $x \in [-1, 1]$, is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree m . Then

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{b|Q'_{m,\varepsilon}(b)|}{\|Q_{m,\varepsilon}\|_{[a,b]}} &= |T'_m(1)| \frac{2}{b^\varepsilon - a^\varepsilon} \varepsilon b^\varepsilon \\ &= \frac{2m^2}{\varepsilon^{-1}(b^\varepsilon - 1) - \varepsilon^{-1}(a^\varepsilon - 1)} b^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{2m^2}{\log b - \log a}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose, as before,

$$\Lambda_n := \{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n\},$$

and $0 \leq k \leq n$ is chosen so that $\lambda_j < 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $\lambda_j \geq 0$ for all $j = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n$. Using Lemma 3.1 and (4.3), we obtain that for $k \leq n - 1$ there is a

$$Q \in \text{span}\{x^{\lambda_{k+1}}, x^{\lambda_{k+2}}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}\}$$

such that

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{2(n-k-1)^2}{\log b - \log a} \leq \frac{b|Q'(b)|}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}}.$$

Similarly, using Lemma 3.1 and (4.4), we obtain for $k \geq 0$ that there is an

$$R \in \text{span}\{x^{-\lambda_0}, x^{-\lambda_1}, \dots, x^{-\lambda_k}\}$$

such that

$$\frac{2k^2}{\log b - \log a} \leq \frac{b|R'(b)|}{\|R\|_{[a,b]}}$$

and hence for

$$Q \in \text{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots, x^{\lambda_k}\}$$

defined by $Q(x) := R(ab/x)$ we have

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{2k^2}{\log b - \log a} = \frac{2k^2}{\log b - \log a} \leq \frac{a|Q'(a)|}{\|Q\|_{[a,b]}}.$$

Now (4.2) follows from (4.4) and (4.5), and the proof of the lower bound of the theorem is finished. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. One can copy the proof in [3] by putting the upper bound of Theorem 1.1 in the appropriate place in the arguments. We omit the details. \square

REFERENCES

1. P. B. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR **97e**:41001
2. P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *Newman's inequality for Müntz polynomials on positive intervals*, J. Approx. Theory **85** (1996), 132–139. MR **97a**:41007
3. T. Erdélyi, *Markov- and Bernstein-type inequalities for Müntz polynomials and exponential sums in L_p* , J. Approx. Theory **104** (2000), 142–152. MR **2001c**:41014
4. D. J. Newman, *Derivative bounds for Müntz polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **18** (1976), 360–362. MR **55**:3609
5. E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga, *On lacunary incomplete polynomials*, Math. Z. **177** (1981), 297–314. MR **83a**:41008

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843
E-mail address: benko@math.tamu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843
E-mail address: terdelyi@math.tamu.edu

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O.B. 127, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY, H-1364
E-mail address: szabados@renyi.hu