

## A NOTE ON A THEOREM OF RAUBENHEIMER AND RODE

GERD HERZOG AND CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. We prove the converse of Raubenheimer and Rode's Banach algebra version of the Perron-Frobenius Theorem.

Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complex Banach algebra with unit  $e$  and  $\|e\| = 1$ . A set  $W \subseteq A$  is called an algebra wedge in the case that  $W$  is closed,  $W + W \subseteq W$ ,  $\lambda W \subseteq W$  ( $\lambda \geq 0$ ),  $W \cdot W \subseteq W$ , and  $e \in W$ . As usual, by setting  $a \leq b : \iff b - a \in W$  we obtain a reflexive and transitive relation on  $A$ , and call  $A$  ordered by  $W$ . In the sequel let  $\sigma_A(a)$  and  $r(a)$  denote the spectrum and the spectral radius of  $a \in A$ , respectively.

In [2] Raubenheimer and Rode proved the following version of the Perron-Frobenius Theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be ordered by an algebra wedge  $W$  such that the spectral radius is increasing on  $W$ . Then  $r(a) \in \sigma_A(a)$  for all  $a \in W$ .*

The purpose of this note is to prove the converse of this result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $a \in A$  be such that  $r(a) \in \sigma_A(a)$ . Then there exists an algebra wedge  $W$  such that the spectral radius is increasing on  $W$ , and  $a \in W$ .*

*Proof.* For  $S \subseteq A$  let  $\Gamma(S)$  denote the centralizer of  $S$ , that is,

$$\Gamma(S) = \{v \in A : vu = uv \ (u \in S)\},$$

and set  $B = \Gamma(\Gamma(\{a\}))$ . Then  $B$  is a closed commutative subalgebra of  $A$ , and  $e, a \in B$ . Moreover  $\sigma_A(u) = \sigma_B(u)$  ( $u \in B$ ) (see for example [3], Theorem 11.22). In particular  $r(u)$  does mean the same in  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $\Delta$  denote the set of all nontrivial multiplicative linear functionals on  $B$ .

Since  $B$  is commutative and  $r(a) \in \sigma_B(a)$  there is a  $\psi \in \Delta$  such that  $\psi(a) = r(a)$ . We define

$$W := \{u \in B : r(u) = \psi(u)\}.$$

Obviously  $a \in W$ , and  $e \in W$  since  $\psi(e) = 1$ . To see that  $W$  is an algebra wedge first note that whenever  $u, v \in B$  then, by Theorem 11.23 in [3],

$$(*) \quad r(u + v) \leq r(u) + r(v), \quad r(uv) \leq r(u)r(v).$$

Moreover  $r(u) = \max_{\varphi \in \Delta} |\varphi(u)|$  ( $u \in B$ ).

For  $u, v \in W$  we obtain

$$r(uv) \leq r(u)r(v) = \psi(u)\psi(v) = \psi(uv) \leq r(uv),$$

---

Received by the editors June 4, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 45H05.

hence  $uv \in W$ , and analogously  $u + v \in W$ . Next, for each  $u \in B$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$  we have  $r(\lambda u) = \lambda r(u)$ , hence  $\lambda W \subseteq W$  ( $\lambda \geq 0$ ).

To prove that  $W$  is closed, note that (\*) implies

$$|r(u) - r(v)| \leq r(u - v) \leq \|u - v\| \quad (u, v \in B).$$

If  $(u_n)$  is a convergent sequence in  $W$  with limit  $v$ , say, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(u_n) = \psi(v),$$

$$|\psi(u_n) - r(v)| = |r(u_n) - r(v)| \leq \|u_n - v\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and therefore  $r(v) = \psi(v)$ . Altogether  $W$  is an algebra wedge.

Now let  $A$  be ordered by  $W$ . It remains to prove that  $r$  is increasing on  $W$ . Let  $0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2$ . Then

$$r(u_2) - r(u_1) = \psi(u_2 - u_1) = r(u_2 - u_1) \geq 0 \implies r(u_1) \leq r(u_2).$$

□

**Examples.** Consider  $A = \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  and

$$a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r(a) = 1 \in \{-1, 1\} = \sigma_A(a).$$

In this case it is easy to check that

$$B = \left\{ u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

and that  $\psi(u) = \alpha + \beta$  is in  $\Delta$  with  $\psi(a) = 1$ . Since

$$\sigma_B \left( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right) = \{\alpha + \beta, \alpha - \beta\}$$

we get

$$W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha - \beta| \leq \alpha + \beta \right\}.$$

The same considerations for

$$a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r(a) = 0 \in \{0\} = \sigma_A(a)$$

lead to

$$B = \left\{ u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad \psi(u) = \alpha,$$

and

$$W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \geq 0 \right\}.$$

In the first example  $W$  is an algebra cone, that is, in addition  $W \cap (-W) = \{0\}$ . In the second example  $W$  is not an algebra cone since  $a \in W \cap (-W)$ . This is not an accident, as the following results will show.

The radical of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{rad}(A)$ , is the intersection of all maximal ideals in  $A$ , and  $A$  is called semisimple in case  $\text{rad}(A) = \{0\}$ . It follows from [3], Theorem 11.9, that if  $A$  is commutative, then

$$\text{rad}(A) = \{u \in A : r(u) = 0\}.$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $a \in A$ ,  $r(a) \in \sigma(a)$ , and let  $B$ ,  $\psi$  and  $W$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2. Then  $\text{rad}(B) = W \cap (-W)$ . In particular  $W$  is an algebra cone if and only if  $B$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* 1. If  $u \in \text{rad}(B)$ , then

$$\sigma(u) = \{\varphi(u) : \varphi \in \Delta\} = \{0\}.$$

Thus  $\psi(u) = 0 = r(u)$ , hence  $u \in W$ . We have  $-u \in \text{rad}(B)$ , since  $\text{rad}(B)$  is an ideal in  $B$ . As above we conclude  $-u \in W$ . Therefore  $u \in W \cap (-W)$ .

2. Let  $u \in W \cap (-W)$ . Then

$$\psi(u) = r(u) = r(-u) = \psi(-u) = -\psi(u).$$

Hence  $\psi(u) = r(u) = 0$ , and therefore  $u \in \text{rad}(B)$ . □

As usual, an algebra cone is called normal if there exists a constant  $\alpha \geq 1$  such that  $0 \leq u \leq v$  implies  $\|u\| \leq \alpha\|v\|$ .

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $a \in A$  is called normal if  $aa^* = a^*a$ . In this case we have  $r(a) = \|a\|$  (see for example [1], Theorem 58.3). As a consequence of Theorem 3 we get the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $a \in A$  is normal, and  $r(a) \in \sigma(a)$ . Let  $W$  be the algebra wedge from the proof of Theorem 2. Then:*

- (1)  $W$  is a normal algebra cone with constant  $\alpha = 1$ ;
- (2) each  $u \in W$  is normal.

*Proof.* Let  $B$ ,  $\psi$  and  $W$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2. By the Fuglede-Putnam Theorem (see for example [1], Exercise 65.1)  $\Gamma(\{a\})$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ , thus  $B = \Gamma(\Gamma(\{a, a^*\}))$ , and therefore each  $u \in B$  is normal. This shows that (2) is valid, and that  $\text{rad}(B) = \{0\}$ . Hence  $B$  is semisimple, and  $W$  is an algebra cone according to Theorem 3. Finally let  $0 \leq u \leq v$ . Since  $r$  is increasing on  $W$  we have

$$\|u\| = r(u) \leq r(v) = \|v\|.$$

□

#### REFERENCES

1. Berberian, S.K.: *Lectures in functional analysis and operator theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974. MR **54**:5775
2. Raubenheimer, H., Rode, S.: *Cones in Banach algebras*. Indag. Mathem. **7** (1996), 489–502. MR **99i**:46035
3. Rudin, W.: *Functional analysis*. Second edition. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991. MR **92k**:46001

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT I, UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE, D-76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* Gerd.Herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT I, UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE, D-76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* Christoph.Schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de