

LOCATION OF FOCAL POINTS

GARY D. JONES

(Communicated by Carmen C. Chicone)

ABSTRACT. The effect of various factorizations of a disconjugate linear operator on focal points is studied.

1.

The differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad L_n y + p(x)y = 0,$$

where L_n is an n th-order disconjugate linear differential operator and $p(x)$ is continuous and sign definite, will be discussed. The operator L_n is assumed to be factored according to a Pólya factorization

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L_0 y &= \rho_0 y, \\ L_i y &= \rho_i (L_{i-1} y)', \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

with $\rho_i > 0$ and $\rho_i \in C^{n-i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Boundary value problems of the following form are considered:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} L_i y(a) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, k-1, \\ L_j y(b) &= 0 \quad \text{for } j = m, m+1, \dots, n-k-1+m. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta_{k,n-k}(a)$, called the first $(k, n-k)$ conjugate point of a , be the least value of b such that with $m = 0$ the boundary value problem (1.3) has a nontrivial solution, and let $\xi_{k,n-k}(a)$, called the first $(k, n-k)$ focal point of a , be such a point for $m = k$.

It is well known that if $\eta_{k,n-k}(a)$ exists, then so does $\xi_{k,n-k}(a)$ and $\xi_{k,n-k}(a) < \eta_{k,n-k}(a)$ [2].

The point $\eta_{k,n-k}(a)$ is independent of the Pólya factorization of L_n . The point $\xi_{k,n-k}(a)$, on the other hand, depends on the factorization of L_n . This fact is illustrated in the book by Elias [2] in examples on pages 119 and 124.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following .

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $\eta_{k,n-k}(a) = b \leq \infty$. Then for any c , with $a < c < b$, there is a Pólya factorization of L_n on $[a, b)$ so that $\xi_{k,n-k}(a) = c$ for that factorization.*

Received by the editors October 7, 2002 and, in revised form, January 9, 2003.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34C10.

Key words and phrases. Conjugate point, focal point, disconjugate differential operator.

2.

There is a fundamental system $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ of solutions for

$$(2.1) \quad L_n y = 0$$

so that on (a, b) their Wronskian determinants $W(u_{i_0}, u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_k}) > 0$, for $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n - 1$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Such a fundamental system is called Descartes [1]. A Descartes fundamental system $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ determines a factorization of L_n on (a, b) [3]. In fact,

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{\rho_0} = u_0, \quad \frac{1}{\rho_1} = \left(\frac{u_1}{u_0}\right)', \quad \frac{1}{\rho_n} = \frac{W(u_0, \dots, u_{n-2})}{W(u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\rho_i} = \left(\frac{W(u_0, \dots, u_{i-2}, u_i)}{W(u_0, \dots, u_{i-1})}\right)' \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

Furthermore,

$$(2.4) \quad L_i y = \frac{W(u_0, \dots, u_{i-1}, y)}{W(u_0, \dots, u_i)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1,$$

$$(2.5) \quad L_n y = \frac{W(u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}, y)}{W(u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})}.$$

If $u_0(a) > 0$ and $W(u_0, \dots, u_i)(a) > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, the factorization is valid on $[a, b)$. If the Descartes fundamental system is chosen, as is always possible, so that u_i has a zero of order i at a and a zero of order $n - 1 - i$ at b , then

$$(2.6) \quad \int_a^b \rho_i^{-1} dx = \infty \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

and we have the unique canonical factorization of L_n on $[a, b)$ due to Trench [4].

We now proceed with the proof of our theorem.

Proof. Suppose $\eta_{k, n-k}(a) = b \leq \infty$. Let $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ be the Descartes fundamental system of solutions for (2.1) such that u_i has a zero of order i at a and a zero of order $n - 1 - i$ at b . Let $a < c < b$ and z be a solution of (1.1) so that

$$L_i z(a) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k - 1$$

and

$$L_i z(c) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = k + 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

Since (1.1) is $(k, n - k)$ difocal (i.e., there is no solution to boundary value problem (1.3) with $m = k$) on $[a, b)$ [2] with respect to the factorization of L_n determined by $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$, then $L_k z(c) \neq 0$. Thus, assume $L_k z(c) = 1$. It now follows [2] that $L_k z > 0$ and $L_{k+1} z < 0$ on $[a, c)$. It follows from (2.4) that $W(u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}, z) > 0$ and $W(u_0, \dots, u_k, z) < 0$ on $[a, c)$. Consequently,

$$\left(\frac{W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, u_k, z)}{W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k)}\right)' = \frac{W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, u_k)W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, z)}{[W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k)]^2} < 0$$

on (a, c) . Since $W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, u_k, z)(a) = 0$, it follows that

$$W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, u_k, z) < 0$$

on $(a, c]$. Therefore, there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ so that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= W(u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}, z)(c) + \alpha W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, u_k, z)(c) \\ &= W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, u_{k-1} + \alpha u_k, z)(c). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(2.8) \quad y_i = u_i \quad \text{for } i \neq k-1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{k-1} = u_{k-1} + \alpha u_k.$$

If $j < k-1$ or $j > k-1$, then

$$(2.9) \quad W(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j) = W(u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j) > 0,$$

while

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} W(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{k-1}) &= W(u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_{k-1}) \\ &+ \alpha W(u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_{k-2}, u_k) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ is a Descartes fundamental system on (a, b) . (See [1].)

Let L_n be factored as in (2.2) through (2.5), but where the factorization is determined by the Descartes system $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$. Letting the i th quasiderivative of z according to that factorization be denoted by $J_i(z)$, it follows from (2.4) and (2.8) that $L_i z = J_i z$ for $i \neq k$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} J_i z(a) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \\ J_i z(c) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = k+1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Also by (2.4) and (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} J_k z(c) &= \frac{W(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z)(c)}{W(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k)(c)} \\ &= \frac{W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, z)(c) + \alpha W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k, z)(c)}{W(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k)(c)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the factorization determined by $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$, $c = \xi_{k, n-k}(a)$. \square

REFERENCES

1. W. A. Coppel, *Disconjugacy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 220, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971. MR **57**:778
2. U. Elias, *Oscillation theory of two-term differential equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishing, Dordrecht, 1997. MR **98e**:34058
3. G. D. Jones, *Comparison theorems for disconjugate linear differential equations*, Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems **3** (1997), 469-482. MR **98m**:34072
4. W. F. Trench, *Canonical forms and principal systems for general disconjugate equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **189** (1973), 319-327. MR **48**:8969

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MURRAY STATE UNIVERSITY, MURRAY, KENTUCKY 42037
E-mail address: gary.jones@murraystate.edu