

## STABLE MINIMAL SURFACES IN $\mathbf{R}^4$ WITH DEGENERATE GAUSS MAP

TOSHIHIRO SHODA

(Communicated by Bennett Chow)

ABSTRACT. A complete oriented stable minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  is a plane, but in  $\mathbf{R}^4$ , there are many non-flat examples such as holomorphic curves. The Gauss map plays an important role in the theory of minimal surfaces. In this paper, we prove that a complete oriented stable minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  with  $\alpha$ -degenerate Gauss map (for  $\alpha > 1/4$ ) is a plane.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A minimal surface is called stable if (and only if) the second variation of the area functional is nonnegative for all compactly supported deformations. A classification theorem for complete stable minimal surfaces in three-dimensional Riemannian manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature has been obtained by Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen [3]. As a corollary they showed that all complete oriented stable minimal surfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  are planes. This was also proved by do Carmo and Peng [2]. In the higher-codimensional case, Wirtinger showed that a holomorphic curve in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is absolutely area minimizing [7]. Micallef considered the converse problem [6] and proved that any complete oriented parabolic stable minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  is holomorphic with respect to some orthogonal complex structure on  $\mathbf{R}^4$ . He also proved that any complete oriented stable minimal surface with at least  $1/3$ -degenerate Gauss map in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  is a plane. On the other hand, Lawson gave a characterization of the Gauss map for holomorphic curves in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  [4]. According to this, the  $0$ -degeneracy of the Gauss map is equivalent to the property that the surface is a holomorphic curve in  $\mathbf{C}^2 (= \mathbf{R}^4)$ . In view of this, it is reasonable to ask whether this  $1/3$ -degeneracy is sharp, or more strongly, whether any complete oriented stable minimal surface with degenerate Gauss map is a holomorphic curve or a plane. We consider this problem and prove that any complete oriented stable minimal surface with  $\alpha$ -degenerate Gauss map (for  $\alpha > 1/4$ ) in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  is a plane (Main Theorem).

The paper is divided into four sections. In §2 we review Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen's results on the operator  $\Delta - q$  on a complete Riemannian manifold  $M$  of arbitrary dimension, and we prove the nonexistence of a positive solution  $u$  of  $\Delta u - aKu = 0$  for  $a > 1/2$  on the unit disk with complete metric (Theorem 2.3). This essentially improves Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen's nonexistence theorem for  $a \geq 1$  (Theorem 2.2). In §3 we introduce the generalized Gauss map and the

---

Received by the editors March 6, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 49Q05, 53A10.

degeneracy given by Hoffman and Osserman [5]. In §4 we prove our main theorem. For the proof, there are two key ingredients: the nonexistence theorem given by Theorem 2.3, and a deformation of the stability inequality obtained in §4.

The author would like to thank A. Futaki and R. Miyaoka for their useful comments.

## 2. FUNDAMENTAL FACTS FOR THE OPERATOR $\Delta - q$

Let  $(M, ds^2)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, and let  $q$  be a smooth function on  $M$ . Given any bounded domain  $D \subset M$ , we let  $\lambda_1(D) < \lambda_2(D) \leq \lambda_3(D) \leq \dots$  be the sequence of eigenvalues of  $\Delta - q$  acting on functions vanishing on  $\partial D$ . The usual variational characterization of  $\lambda_1(D)$  is

$$(1) \quad \lambda_1(D) = \inf \left\{ \int_M (|\nabla f|^2 + qf^2) dv \mid \text{supp } f \subset D, \int_M f^2 dv = 1 \right\},$$

where  $dv$  denotes the volume element of  $M$  with respect to  $ds^2$ .

Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen proved the following results.

**Theorem 2.1.** [3] *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\lambda_1(D) \geq 0$  for every bounded domain  $D \subset M$ ;
- (2)  $\lambda_1(D) > 0$  for every bounded domain  $D \subset M$ ;
- (3) there exists a positive solution  $u$  satisfying the equation  $\Delta u - qu = 0$  on  $M$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [3] *Let  $(M, ds^2)$  be the unit disk endowed with the complete metric  $ds^2 = \lambda(z)|dz|^2$ . Let  $K$  denote the Gaussian curvature of  $M$ . For  $a \geq 1$ , there is no positive  $u$  satisfying  $\Delta u - aKu = 0$  on  $M$ .*

Next we prove our main nonexistence theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(M, ds^2)$  be the unit disk with complete metric. Then there exists no positive  $u$  satisfying  $\Delta u - aKu = 0$  for  $a > 1/2$ .*

*Proof.* Since the case  $a \geq 1$  is given in Theorem 2.2, we may assume  $1/2 < a < 1$ . Denote the metric by  $ds^2 = \lambda(z)|dz|^2$  in a local complex coordinate  $z = x + iy$  on  $M$ . Put  $h = \lambda^{-1/2}$ . As is well known, the Gaussian curvature is given by  $K = \Delta \log \lambda^{-1/2}$ . Thus,

$$(2) \quad K = \Delta \log h = \frac{\Delta h}{h} - \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h^2}.$$

Let  $D \subset M$  be a bounded domain, and let  $\zeta$  be a smooth function on  $M$  with a compact support in  $D$ . We now calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & \int_M (|\nabla(\zeta h)|^2 + aK(\zeta h)^2)dv \\
 &= \int_M (|\nabla\zeta|^2 h^2 + 2\zeta h(\nabla\zeta \cdot \nabla h) + \zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 + a(\zeta^2 h \Delta h - \zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2))dv \\
 &= \int_M (|\nabla\zeta|^2 h^2 + 2\zeta h(\nabla\zeta \cdot \nabla h) + \zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 \\
 &\quad - 2a\zeta h(\nabla\zeta \cdot \nabla h) - a\zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 - a\zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2)dv \\
 &= \int_M (|\nabla\zeta|^2 h^2 + (1 - 2a)\zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 + 2(1 - a)\zeta h(\nabla\zeta \cdot \nabla h))dv \\
 &\leq \int_M (|\nabla\zeta|^2 h^2 + (1 - 2a)\zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 + (1 - a)(\varepsilon\zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}h^2 |\nabla\zeta|^2))dv \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(for any } \varepsilon > 0) \\
 &= (1 + \frac{1 - a}{\varepsilon}) \int_M |\nabla\zeta|^2 h^2 dv + (1 - 2a + (1 - a)\varepsilon) \int_M \zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 dv,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is due to (2), the second equality is by the integration by parts and the inequality follows from the Schwarz inequality and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. Because of (1) and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad & \lambda_1(D) \int_M (\zeta h)^2 dv + (2a - 1 + (a - 1)\varepsilon) \int_M \zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 dv \\
 &\leq (1 + \frac{1 - a}{\varepsilon}) \int_M |\nabla\zeta|^2 h^2 dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define a smooth function  $\zeta(r)$  for  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \zeta(r) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq \frac{1}{2}R, \\
 & \zeta(r) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq R, \\
 & |\zeta'| \leq \frac{C}{R} \quad \text{for all } r,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $r$  measures the metric distance to any  $P \in M$ ,  $R$  is any positive number and  $C$  is a constant independent of  $R$ .

Since  $a \in (1/2, 1)$ , we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrarily small so that

$$(a - 1)\varepsilon + 2a - 1 > 0, \quad 1 + \frac{1 - a}{\varepsilon} > 0,$$

By (4) and (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad & \lambda_1(B_R(P)) \int_M (\zeta h)^2 dv + (2a - 1 + (a - 1)\varepsilon) \int_M \zeta^2 |\nabla h|^2 dv \\
 &\leq (1 + \frac{1 - a}{\varepsilon}) \int_M |\nabla\zeta|^2 dx dy \leq (1 + \frac{1 - a}{\varepsilon}) \frac{C^2}{R^2} \pi,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_R(P)$  is the geodesic ball of radius  $R$ , center at  $P$ , and we use  $\int_M dx dy = \pi$ . Since  $ds^2$  is the complete metric,  $|\nabla h|$  is not identically zero on  $M$ . Hence we conclude that  $\lambda_1(B_R(P)) < 0$  by choosing  $R$  sufficiently large in (6). By Theorem

2.1, this implies that there is no positive solution  $u$  of  $\Delta u - aKu = 0$  for  $1/2 < a < 1$  on  $M$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE GENERALIZED GAUSS MAP AND THE DEGENERACY

Let  $G_{n,m}$  denote the Grassmannian of oriented  $m$ -planes in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $F : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be an isometric immersion of a real  $m$ -dimensional oriented manifold into the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $2 \leq m \leq n - 1$ . The generalized Gauss map  $G : M^m \rightarrow G_{n,m}$  is defined by  $G(P) = F_*(T_P M)$ , which is obtained by a parallel translation of the tangent space  $T_P M$  to the origin of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

We now focus on the surface case  $m = 2$  and recall that  $G_{n,2}$  is identified with the quadric  $Q_{n-2} \subset \mathbf{C}P^{n-1}$  defined by  $\{[w] \in \mathbf{C}P^{n-1} \mid w \cdot w = \sum_i (w^i)^2 = 0\}$ , where “ $\cdot$ ” is the complex bilinear inner product. If  $z$  is a local complex coordinate on  $M$ , then  $F_z(P)$  is a homogeneous coordinate for  $G(P)$ . If the Gauss image lies in a hyperplane of  $\mathbf{C}P^{n-1}$ , that is, if there exists a nonzero vector  $A \in \mathbf{C}^n$  (and can be considered as  $[A] \in \mathbf{C}P^{n-1}$ ) such that  $A \cdot F_z \equiv 0$ , we call the Gauss map degenerate. In this case, we can define  $\alpha := |A \cdot A|/|A|^2 \in [0, 1]$  and call it an  $\alpha$ -degenerate Gauss map. We can normalize this nonzero vector  $[A] \in \mathbf{C}P^{n-1}$  as follows.

**Lemma 3.1.** ([5, p. 28 Proposition 2.4]) *To each point  $[A] = [a_1 : \cdots : a_n] \in \mathbf{C}P^{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$  one may assign a real number  $t$  lying in the interval  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $[A]$  is equivalent under the action of  $SO(n)$  to  $[t : i : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ ;
- (2)  $t = 0 \iff [A]$  is a real vector (i.e.,  $[a_1 : \cdots : a_n] = \lambda[r_1 : \cdots : r_n]$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $r_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ );
- (3)  $t = 1 \iff [A] \in Q_{n-2}$ ;
- (4) if  $t, t'$  correspond to vectors  $[A], [A']$ , then  $[A]$  and  $[A']$  are equivalent under  $SO(n)$  if and only if  $t = t'$ .

The minimal surface lies fully in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  if the image  $F(M)$  does not lie in any proper affine subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . In  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , we know the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** ([5, p. 52 Proposition 4.2]) *Let  $F : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  be an isometric minimal immersion of an oriented surface  $M$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) the Gauss map is degenerate;
- (2)  $F(M)$  does not lie fully in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ ;
- (3)  $F(M)$  lies on a plane.

By [3] Corollary 4 or [2], the only complete oriented stable minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  is a plane. Therefore the stability and the degeneracy in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  are equivalent. On the other hand, the relation between the stable regions on a minimal surface  $M$  in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  and the area of their Gauss image has been studied by Barbosa and do Carmo [1]. They proved that if the area of the Gauss image is smaller than  $2\pi$ , then the domain is stable. In the general case, there are few results on the relation between the stability and the degeneracy.

### 4. THE MAIN RESULT

In this section, we consider the problem: Is a complete oriented stable minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  with degenerate Gauss map a holomorphic curve or a plane? Let  $F : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$  be an isometric immersion of an oriented surface  $M$  into Euclidean

4-space. Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  be a local oriented orthonormal frame for  $\mathbf{R}^4$  on  $M$  such that  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\{e_3, e_4\}$  are local oriented orthonormal frames for the tangent and normal bundles of  $M$ , respectively. In this case,  $TM$  and  $NM$  can each be given a complex structure, namely, rotation by  $\pi/2$  in an anticlockwise direction. We obtain the decomposition  $N_{\mathbf{C}}M = N_{\mathbf{C}}M^{(1,0)} \oplus N_{\mathbf{C}}M^{(0,1)}$  with respect to the complex structure just mentioned. If  $v$  is any vector in  $\mathbf{C}^4$ , let  $v^{1,0}$  and  $v^{0,1}$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $v$  onto  $N_{\mathbf{C}}M^{(1,0)}$  and  $N_{\mathbf{C}}M^{(0,1)}$ , respectively. Let  $D$  denote the covariant differentiation in  $N_{\mathbf{C}}M$ ,  $z = x + iy$  be a local complex coordinate, and put  $\partial_z = (1/2)(\partial/\partial x - i\partial/\partial y)$ . Let  $\varepsilon = (e_3 - ie_4)/\sqrt{2}$ . Micallef proved the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** ([6] Theorem III) *Let  $F : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$  be an isometric stable minimal immersion of a complete oriented surface  $M$ . If the Gauss map for  $F$  is at least  $1/3$ -degenerate, then the image of  $F$  is a plane.*

Lawson gave the following characterization of the Gauss map for holomorphic curves in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ :

**Theorem 4.2.** ([4, p. 165, Proposition 16]) *Let  $F : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2n}$  be a minimal immersion of an oriented surface  $M$  with associated Gauss map  $G : M \rightarrow Q_{2n-2}$ . Then there exists an orthogonal complex structure on  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  with respect to which  $F$  is holomorphic if and only if the Gauss image  $G(M)$  lies in a linear subspace of  $Q_{2n-2}$ .*

Let  $F : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$  be an isometric stable minimal immersion of a complete oriented surface  $M$  with  $\alpha$ -degenerate Gauss map. If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $t = 1$  in Theorem 3.1 and thus  $[A] \in Q_2$ . Therefore  $F$  is holomorphic with respect to some orthogonal complex structure on  $\mathbf{R}^4$  without the stability condition, by Theorem 4.2. If  $\alpha \geq 1/3$ , then  $F(M)$  is a plane by Theorem 4.1. In view of this, it is natural to ask what happens for  $\alpha \in (0, 1/3)$ . Our result is:

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $F : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$  be an isometric stable minimal immersion of a complete oriented surface  $M$ . If the Gauss map is  $\alpha$ -degenerate (for  $\alpha > 1/4$ ), then the image of  $F$  is a plane.*

In this case, by the stability inequality [6], we obtain

$$(7) \quad 2 \int_M f^2 \frac{|\sigma \cdot F_{zz}|^2}{|F_z|^4} dv + 2 \int_M \frac{f^2}{|F_z|^2} \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\sigma} \cdot D_z D_{\bar{z}} \sigma) dv \leq \int_M |df|^2 |\sigma|^2 dv,$$

where  $f$  is a smooth real-valued function with a compact support and  $\sigma$  is a complex-valued normal section that needs not have a compact support.

We assume the Gauss map is  $\alpha$ -degenerate ( $\alpha \neq 0$ ) and put  $s := A^{1,0}$ ,  $t := A^{0,1}$  and  $\sigma = |t|(s - \bar{s})$ . Because  $s = (A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})\varepsilon$  and  $t = (A \cdot \varepsilon)\bar{\varepsilon}$ , putting  $De_3 = \omega_3^4 \otimes e_4$  and  $D\varepsilon = i\omega_3^4 \otimes \varepsilon$ , we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} D_z s &= (A \cdot \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{\varepsilon}^T) \varepsilon + (A \cdot (-i\omega_3^4(\partial_z) \bar{\varepsilon})) \varepsilon + (A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}) i\omega_3^4(\partial_z) \varepsilon \\ &= (A \cdot \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{\varepsilon}^T) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify from minimality that

$$(9) \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{\varepsilon}^T = -\frac{1}{|F_z|^2} (F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}) F_z,$$

$$(10) \quad \partial_z \bar{\varepsilon}^T = -\frac{1}{|F_z|^2} (F_{zz} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}) F_{\bar{z}}.$$

By (8), (9) and the degeneracy  $A \cdot F_z \equiv 0$ , we obtain

$$(11) \quad D_{\bar{z}}s = 0,$$

and similarly,

$$(12) \quad D_{\bar{z}}t = 0.$$

Thus  $s$  and  $t$  are holomorphic. Moreover, from

$$(13) \quad A = \frac{1}{|F_z|^2}(A \cdot F_{\bar{z}})F_z + (A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})\varepsilon + (A \cdot \varepsilon)\bar{\varepsilon},$$

we obtain

$$(14) \quad A \cdot A = 2(A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(A \cdot \varepsilon) = 2s \cdot t,$$

$$(15) \quad \alpha|A|^2 = |A \cdot A| = 2|s||t|,$$

and

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} |A|^2 &= \frac{1}{|F_z|^2}|A \cdot F_{\bar{z}}|^2 + |s|^2 + |t|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|F_z|^2}|A \cdot F_{\bar{z}}|^2 + |s|^2 + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{4|s|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}$  and  $A \cdot \varepsilon$  never vanish, that is,  $s$  and  $t$  never vanish since  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Because  $\sigma = |t|(s - \bar{s}) = |t|((A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})\varepsilon - (\bar{A} \cdot \varepsilon)\bar{\varepsilon})$ , we obtain from (15),

$$(17) \quad |\sigma|^2 = 2|s|^2|t|^2 = \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{2}$$

and

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} |\sigma \cdot F_{zz}|^2 &= |t|^2|(A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(F_{zz} \cdot \varepsilon) - (\bar{A} \cdot \varepsilon)(F_{zz} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})|^2 \\ &= |t|^2\{(A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(F_{zz} \cdot \varepsilon) - (\bar{A} \cdot \varepsilon)(F_{zz} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})\} \\ &\quad \times \{(\bar{A} \cdot \varepsilon)(F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}) - (A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \cdot \varepsilon)\} \\ &= |t|^2\{|s|^2|F_{zz} \cdot \varepsilon|^2 + |s|^2|F_{zz} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\operatorname{Re}\{(A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(F_{zz} \cdot \varepsilon)(F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \cdot \varepsilon)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $A \cdot F_z \equiv 0$  implies  $A \cdot F_{zz} \equiv 0$ ; hence from

$$F_{zz}3DF_{zz}^N + \frac{1}{|F_z|^2}(F_{zz} \cdot F_{\bar{z}})F_z,$$

follows  $A \cdot F_{zz}^N \equiv 0$ , and we obtain

$$(19) \quad (A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(F_{zz} \cdot \varepsilon) + (A \cdot \varepsilon)(F_{zz} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}) \equiv 0.$$

From these we get

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} |\sigma \cdot F_{zz}|^2 &= |t|^2\{|s|^2(|F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2 + |F_{zz}^{0,1}|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}\{(A \cdot \varepsilon)(A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})\}|F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2\} \\ &= |t|^2|s|^2|F_{zz}^N|^2 + |t|^2\operatorname{Re}(A \cdot A)|F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2 \\ &= \frac{|A \cdot A|^2|F_z|^4(-K)}{4} + |t|^2\operatorname{Re}(A \cdot A)|F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the Gauss equation,  $|F_{zz}^N|^2 = |F_z|^4(-K)$ , (14) and (15). By (11) and (12), we can express  $D_z s$  and  $D_z t$  as follows:

$$(21) \quad D_z s = \frac{D_z s \cdot \bar{s}}{|s|^2} s = (\log |s|^2)_z s,$$

$$(22) \quad D_z t = \frac{D_z t \cdot \bar{t}}{|t|^2} t = (\log |t|^2)_z t.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_z D_z \sigma &= D_z \{ |t|_{\bar{z}}(s - \bar{s}) - |t|(\log |s|^2)_{\bar{z}} \bar{s} \} \\ &= |t|_{\bar{z}z}(s - \bar{s}) + |t|_{\bar{z}}(\log |s|^2)_z s - |t|_z(\log |s|^2)_{\bar{z}} \bar{s} - |t|(\log |s|^2)_{z\bar{z}} \bar{s} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma} \cdot D_z D_z \sigma &= |t|(\bar{s} - s) \cdot D_z D_z \sigma \\ &= 2|t||s|^2 |t|_{\bar{z}z} + 2|t||s||t|_{\bar{z}}|s|_z + 2|t||s||s|_{\bar{z}}|t|_z \\ &\quad + 2|t|^2 |s||s|_{\bar{z}z} - 2|t|^2 |s|_{\bar{z}}|s|_z. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (15) follows  $|s|_z|t| + |s||t|_z = 0$  and

$$(24) \quad |s|_{\bar{z}z}|t| + |s|_z|t|_{\bar{z}} + |s|_{\bar{z}}|t|_z + |s||t|_{z\bar{z}} = 0.$$

Therefore, (23) can be reduced to

$$(25) \quad \bar{\sigma} \cdot D_z D_z \sigma = -2|t|^2 |s|_{\bar{z}}|s|_z.$$

Combining

$$\begin{aligned} 2|s||s|_z &= (|s|^2)_z = \partial_z \{ (A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(\bar{A} \cdot \varepsilon) \} \\ &= (A \cdot \partial_z \bar{\varepsilon}^T)(\bar{A} \cdot \varepsilon) + (A \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(\bar{A} \cdot \partial_z \varepsilon^T) \end{aligned}$$

with (9), (10) and by the degeneracy, we obtain

$$(26) \quad |s|_z = -\frac{(F_{zz} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon})(A \cdot F_{\bar{z}})(\bar{A} \cdot \varepsilon)}{2|s||F_z|^2}.$$

By (16) and (26), (25) is deformed into

$$(27) \quad \bar{\sigma} \cdot D_z D_z \sigma = -\frac{|t|^2 |F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2}{2|F_z|^2} (|A|^2 - |s|^2 - \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{4|s|^2}).$$

Therefore, combining (20), (27) and (17), we can rewrite (7) as

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_M f^2 \left\{ \frac{|A \cdot A|^2(-K)}{4} + \frac{|t|^2 |F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2}{|F_z|^4} \operatorname{Re}(A \cdot A) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{|t|^2 |F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2}{2|F_z|^4} (|A|^2 - |s|^2 - \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{4|s|^2}) \right\} dv \leq \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{2} \int_M |df|^2 dv, \end{aligned}$$

and moreover by (15), we obtain

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M f^2(-K) dv + \int_M \frac{f^2 |F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2}{|F_z|^4 |s|^2} \left\{ \operatorname{Re}(A \cdot A) - \frac{|A|^2}{2} + \frac{|s|^2}{2} + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{8|s|^2} \right\} dv \\ \leq \int_M |df|^2 dv. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (19) follows

$$|s|^2 |F_{zz}^N|^2 = |s|^2 |F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2 + |s|^2 |F_{zz}^{0,1}|^2 = (|s|^2 + |t|^2) |F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2,$$

and hence

$$(29) \quad \frac{|F_{zz}^{1,0}|^2}{|F_z|^4 |s|^2} = \frac{1}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \frac{|F_{zz}^N|^2}{|F_z|^4} = \frac{-K}{|s|^2 + |t|^2}.$$

Thus we obtain from (28) and (29),

$$(30) \quad \int_M f^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{|A \cdot A| - \frac{|A|^2}{2} + \frac{|s|^2}{2} + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{8|s|^2}}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \right\} (-K) dv \leq \int_M |df|^2 dv,$$

where we choose  $A \in \mathbf{C}^4$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(A \cdot A) = |A \cdot A|$  by Lemma 3.1.

*Proof of Main Theorem.* Since (30) holds for all real-valued functions  $f$  with compact support, there exists a positive solution  $u$  satisfying the equation

$$(31) \quad \Delta u + \left\{ 1 + \frac{|A \cdot A| - \frac{|A|^2}{2} + \frac{|s|^2}{2} + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{8|s|^2}}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \right\} (-K)u = 0,$$

by Theorem 2.1. The lift of  $u$  to the universal covering  $\overline{M}$  of  $M$  satisfies the same equation as  $u$ . Suppose that  $\overline{M}$  is the unit disk. In order to compare the coefficient of  $-Ku$  with  $\frac{1}{2}$ , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ 1 + \frac{|A \cdot A| - \frac{|A|^2}{2} + \frac{|s|^2}{2} + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{8|s|^2}}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)(|s|^2 + |t|^2) + |A \cdot A| - \frac{|A|^2}{2} + \frac{|s|^2}{2} + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{8|s|^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(|s|^2 + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{4|s|^2}) + |A \cdot A| - \frac{|A|^2}{2} + \frac{|s|^2}{2} + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{8|s|^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \left\{ |s|^2 + \frac{|A \cdot A|^2}{4|s|^2} + |A \cdot A| - \frac{|A|^2}{2} \right\} \\ &> \frac{1}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \left\{ |s|^2 + \frac{|A|^4}{4|s|^2} \frac{1}{16} + \frac{|A|^2}{4} - \frac{|A|^2}{2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \left\{ |s|^2 - \frac{|A|^2}{4} + \frac{|A|^4}{64|s|^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|s|^2 + |t|^2} \left( |s| - \frac{|A|^2}{8|s|} \right)^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use (15) in the second equality and the assumption  $|A \cdot A| > |A|^2/4$  in the first inequality. By Theorem 2.3, there is no positive solution  $u$  satisfying (31). Therefore  $\overline{M}$  is the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . But since the coefficient of  $u$  in (31) is nonnegative,  $u$  is a positive superharmonic function on  $\mathbf{C}$ . Thus by the parabolicity of  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $u$  must be constant and therefore  $K \equiv 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Barbosa and M. do Carmo, *On the size of a stable minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$* . Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 515–528. MR **54**:1292
- [2] M. do Carmo and C. K. Peng, *Stable complete minimal surfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  are planes*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1** (1979), 903–906. MR **80j**:53012



- [3] D. Fischer-Colbrie and R. Schoen, *The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature*. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33** (1980), 199-211. MR **81i**:53044
- [4] H. B. Lawson, Jr., *Lectures on minimal submanifolds, Vol. I*, second edition, Publish or Perish, Wilmington, DE, 1980. MR **82d**:53035b
- [5] D. A. Hoffman and R. Osserman, *The geometry of the generalized Gauss map*. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* vol. 28, no. 236, 1980. MR **82b**:53012
- [6] M. Micallef, *Stable minimal surfaces in Euclidean space*. *J. Differential Geometry* **19** (1984), 57-84. MR **85e**:53009
- [7] W. Wirtinger, *Eine determinanteindentität und ihre anwendung auf analytische gebilde und Hermitesche massbestimmung*. *Monatsh. Math. Physik* **44** (1936), 343-365.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OHOKAYAMA, MEGURO,  
TOKYO, 152-8551, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* `tshoda@math.titech.ac.jp`