

## COHOMOLOGY AND FINITE SUBGROUPS OF PROFINITE GROUPS

PHAM ANH MINH AND PETER SYMONDS

(Communicated by Stephen D. Smith)

ABSTRACT. We prove two theorems linking the cohomology of a pro- $p$  group  $G$  with the conjugacy classes of its finite subgroups.

The number of conjugacy classes of elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  is finite if and only if the ring  $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is finitely generated modulo nilpotent elements.

If the ring  $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is finitely generated, then the number of conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of  $G$  is finite.

### 1. PRO- $p$ GROUPS AND ELEMENTARY ABELIAN PRO- $p$ SUBGROUPS

Let  $G$  be a profinite group. Fix a fundamental system  $\mathcal{U}$  of open neighborhoods  $U$  of  $1 \in G$  such that  $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U = 1$  and each  $U$  is an open normal subgroup of  $G$ . For convenience, write  $V \leq U$  for  $V \subset U$  and  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ . For  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , set  $G_U = G/U$ . Denote by  $\varphi_{U,V} : G_V \rightarrow G_U$  the projection map with  $V \leq U$ .  $\{G_U, \varphi_{U,V}\}$  is an inverse system and

$$G = \varprojlim G_U.$$

Let  $\varphi_U : G \rightarrow G_U, U \in \mathcal{U}$ , be the projection map. For a given prime  $p$ , let  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_U$ ) be the set of finite elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  (resp.  $G_U$ ). (A finite elementary abelian  $p$ -group is one isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ , for some  $n$ , possibly 0.) It is clear that  $\varphi_U(E)$  and  $\varphi_{U,V}(F)$  are elements of  $\mathcal{E}_U$ , for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}, F \in \mathcal{E}_V, V \leq U$ . Denote by  $\psi_U : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_U$  (resp.  $\psi_{U,V} : \mathcal{E}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_U, V \leq U$ ) the map induced from  $\varphi_U$  (resp.  $\varphi_{U,V}$ ).

**Proposition 1.** *Given  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ , there exists  $W \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $W \leq V$  such that, for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}_W$ ,  $\psi_{V,W}(E) \in \text{Im } \psi_V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be an element of  $\mathcal{E}_V$  of rank  $n$ . Notice that if  $F \in \text{Im } \psi_{V,U}$  for  $U \leq V$ , then in fact  $F = \psi_{V,U}(E)$  for  $E$  of rank  $n$ . For each open  $U \leq V$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_U$  denote the set of homomorphisms  $\eta : F \rightarrow G_U$  such that  $\psi_{V,U}\eta = \text{Id}_F$ , and consider the inverse system of the  $\mathcal{F}_U$  under the maps  $\psi$ .

If all the  $\mathcal{F}_U$  are nonempty, then, since the inverse limit over a directed system of nonempty finite sets is always nonempty,  $F \in \text{Im } \psi_V$ . Otherwise, let  $U_F \leq V$  be such that  $\mathcal{F}_{U_F}$  is empty. Set  $W = \bigcap_{F \notin \text{Im } \psi_V} U_F$ .  $\square$

---

Received by the editors November 1, 2002 and, in revised form, February 9, 2003.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20J06, 17B50.

The following notation will be used. A prime  $p$  will be fixed, and all groups will be pro- $p$  groups unless otherwise indicated. For any profinite group  $K$ ,  $H^*(K)$  will always denote the (continuous) cohomology of  $K$  with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Denote by  $I(K)$  the ideal of  $H^*(K)$  consisting of elements that restrict trivially to every elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $K$ , and by  $\mathfrak{A}_K$  the nilradical of  $H^*(K)$ . Set

$$H(K) = \begin{cases} H^{ev}(K) & \text{for } p > 2, \\ H^*(K), & \text{for } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

and let  $H^+(K)$  be the ideal of  $H^*(K)$  consisting of elements of positive degrees. We have

**Proposition 2.** *Given  $\eta \in I(G)$ , there exist  $W \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\xi \in I(G_W)$  such that  $(\varphi_W)^*(\xi) = \eta$ .*

*In particular, if  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated, there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $I(G) \subset (\varphi_U)^*(I(G_U))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\eta$  be an element of  $I(G)$ . Since  $H^*(G) = \varinjlim H^*(G_U)$ , there exist  $V$  and  $\zeta \in H^*(G_V)$  such that  $\varphi_V^*(\zeta) = \eta$ . Set  $\xi = \varphi_{V,W}^*(\zeta)$  with  $W$  given in Proposition 1. Also  $\varphi_W^*(\xi) = \eta$ . We now prove that  $\xi \in I(G_W)$ .

Let  $E$  be an element of  $\mathcal{E}_W$ , and set  $K = \psi_{V,W}(E)$ . By Proposition 1, there exists  $M \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\psi_V(M) = K$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(G_W) & \xleftarrow{\varphi_{V,W}^*} & H^*(G_V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_V^*} & H^*(G) \\ \text{Res} \downarrow & & \text{Res} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Res} \\ H^*(E) & \xleftarrow{(\varphi_{V,W}|_E)^*} & H^*(K) & \xrightarrow{(\varphi_V|_M)^*} & H^*(M) \end{array} .$$

Since  $\varphi_V|_M$  is a monomorphism and  $\eta|_M = 0$ , the right square of the diagram tells us that  $\zeta|_K = 0$ . So, by the commutativity of the left square,  $\xi|_E = 0$ . Hence  $\xi \in I(G_W)$ .

If  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated,  $V$  can be chosen such that  $H^*(G) = \text{Im}(\varphi_V)^*$ . It follows from what we just proved that  $I(G) \subset (\varphi_W)^*(I(G_W))$ . □

We can now deduce the profinite case of the following theorem of Quillen from the finite case, where many fairly elementary proofs are now known.

**Theorem 1** (Quillen [8]). *For any profinite group  $G$ ,  $I(G) \subset \mathfrak{A}_G$ . In other words, every element of  $I(G)$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Straightforward from Proposition 2, by noting that  $I(G_W) \subset \mathfrak{A}_{G_W}$ , by the finite case, since  $G_W$  is finite. □

The statement of Quillen’s Stratification Theorem involves infinite elementary abelian subgroups, but these are not necessary for the detection result above.

This result also appears in [9].

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose that there exist  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $a \in G \setminus U$  with  $\text{ord}(a) = p$ . Set  $b = \varphi_U(a) \in G_U$ . Then there exists  $\xi \in H^+(G_U)$  satisfying:*

- (a)  $\xi|_{\langle b \rangle} \neq 0$ ;
- (b)  $\varphi_U^*(\xi)|_{\langle a \rangle} \neq 0$ . In particular,  $\varphi_U^*(\xi)$  is not nilpotent in  $H^+(G)$ .

*Proof.* By [7, Theorem 2.7], there exists  $\xi \in H^+(G_U)$  such that  $\xi|_{\langle b \rangle}$  is not nilpotent. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} H^*(G_U) @>\varphi_U^*>> H^*(G) \\ @V{\text{Res}}VV @VV{\text{Res}}V \\ H^*(\langle b \rangle) @>(\varphi_U|_{\langle a \rangle})^*>> H^*(\langle a \rangle) \end{CD},$$

since  $H^*(\langle b \rangle) \xrightarrow{(\varphi_U|_{\langle a \rangle})^*} H^*(\langle a \rangle)$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $\varphi_U^*(\xi)|_{\langle a \rangle}$  is not nilpotent. The proposition follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *A pro- $p$  group  $A$  is torsion-free if and only if  $H^+(A) \subset \mathfrak{R}_A$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is not torsion-free, it follows from Proposition 3 that  $H^+(A)$  contains a non-nilpotent element. If  $A$  is torsion-free, then  $I(G) = H^+(A)$ ; hence, by Theorem 1, any element of  $H^+(A)$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** (i) *A subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  is torsion-free if and only if  $\text{Im}(H^+(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^+(A)) \subset \mathfrak{R}_A$ .*

(ii) *If  $H^*(G)/\mathfrak{R}_G$  is finitely generated, then  $G$  contains an open, normal, torsion-free subgroup  $U$ .*

*Proof.* (i) If  $A$  is torsion-free, it follows from Theorem 2 that  $H^+(A)$  consists of nilpotent elements; hence any element of  $\text{Im}(H^+(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^+(A))$  is nilpotent. Conversely, suppose that  $A$  contains an element  $a$  of order  $p$ . By Proposition 3, there exists  $\zeta \in H^+(G)$  such that  $\zeta|_{\langle a \rangle}$ , hence  $\zeta|_A$ , is not nilpotent.

(ii) Suppose that  $H^*(G)/\mathfrak{R}_G$  is finitely generated. Since  $H^*(G) = \varinjlim H^*(G_U)$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $H^*(G_U)/\mathfrak{R}_{G_U} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_U)^*} H^*(G)/\mathfrak{R}_G$  is surjective. Hence  $\text{Im}(H^+(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^+(U)) \subset \mathfrak{R}_U$ . By (i),  $U$  is torsion-free.

The corollary follows.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* The following example shows that the converse of Corollary 1(ii) does not hold. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  to be the procyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by  $e_n$ . Set  $\mathfrak{A} = \hat{\bigoplus}_n \mathfrak{A}_n$  and let  $\langle a \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$  act on  $\mathfrak{A}$  by  ${}^a e_n = e_n^{-1}$ . Define  $G = \mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ . For every  $n$ ,  $\langle e_n a \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$  and  $\langle e_n a \rangle$  is not conjugate with  $\langle e_m a \rangle$  if  $m \neq n$ . Hence there are infinitely many conjugacy classes of elementary abelian subgroups of  $G$ . According to Theorem 3 below,  $H^*(G)/\mathfrak{R}_G$  is not finitely generated, although  $\mathfrak{A}$  is open, normal and torsion-free in  $G$ .

We will give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $H^*(G)$  to be finitely generated as a ring. First we prepare.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated and  $M$  is a finite  $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module. Then  $H^*(G, M)$  is Noetherian over  $H^*(G)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} M$ . Suppose that the lemma holds for  $n - 1$ . Consider the exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$$

with  $N = M/f(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . We then have the corresponding long exact sequence of cohomology

$$\dots H^{*-1}(G, N) \xrightarrow{\delta_*} H^*(G) \xrightarrow{f_*} H^*(G, M) \xrightarrow{g_*} H^*(G, N) \rightarrow \dots$$

By the induction assumption,  $H^*(G, N)$  is Noetherian over  $H^*(G)$ , hence so is  $\text{Im } g_*$ . Pick elements  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  of  $H^*(G, M)$  so that  $\{g_*(\xi_1), \dots, g_*(\xi_m)\}$  is a set of generators of  $\text{Im } g_*$ . It follows that  $H^*(G, M)$  is generated by  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ , as a module over  $\text{Im } f_*$ . Hence  $H^*(G, M)$  is Noetherian over  $H^*(G)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated;
- (b)  $G$  contains an open normal, torsion-free subgroup  $U$  such that  $H^*(U)$  is finite;
- (c) there exists an open subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $H^*(K)$  is finitely generated;
- (d)  $H^*(K)$  is finitely generated, for any open subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* The implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) was proved by Quillen ([8, Proposition 13.5]) using a spectral sequence argument. It is clear that (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) and (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Suppose that  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated and  $K$  is open in  $G$ . By the Eckmann-Shapiro lemma,  $H^*(K) = H^*(G, M)$  with  $M = \text{Hom}_K(\mathbb{F}_p G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Since  $M$  is Noetherian as an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -module,  $H^*(K)$  is Noetherian over  $H^*(G)$ , by Lemma 1. Since  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated, so is  $H^*(K)$ . In particular, if  $U$  is given as in Corollary 1 (ii), then  $H^*(U)$  is finite dimensional, since  $H^+(U) \subset \mathfrak{A}_U$ . We then have (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d).

Finally, suppose that  $K$  is open in  $G$  and  $H^*(K)$  is finitely generated. It follows that  $K$  contains an open, normal, torsion-free subgroup  $U$  such that  $H^*(U)$  is finite. Since  $U$  is also open in  $G$ ,  $U$  contains an open, normal subgroup  $V$  of  $G$ . Since  $V$  is torsion-free and open in  $U$ ,  $H^*(V)$  is finite. So  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated. The implication (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is then proved.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* In [8], it was proved that, if  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated, then  $G$  has only finitely many conjugacy classes of elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups. However, the converse does not hold: the group  $\mathfrak{A}$  given above has only one elementary abelian subgroup, which is the one of rank 0, while  $H^*(\mathfrak{A})$  is an exterior algebra with an infinite number of generators of degree 1, hence is not finitely generated.

From now on, fix  $\mathcal{F}$  a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . We now give a cohomological criterion for  $\mathcal{F}$  to be finite, as follows.

**Theorem 3.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) There exists an open normal subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  such that  $EA \neq FA$ , for  $E \neq F$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ;
- (b)  $H^*(G)/\mathfrak{A}_G$  is finitely generated (as a ring);
- (c)  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of subgroups of  $G_A$ . The map  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, E \mapsto EA/A$  is then injective. Since  $f$  maps  $\mathcal{F}$  injectively into the finite set  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite.

The implication (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is clear. We now prove (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Suppose that  $H^*(G)/\mathfrak{A}_G$  is finitely generated. Let  $U$  be the open, normal, torsion-free subgroup

of  $G$  as given in Corollary 1(ii). It follows from the proof of the corollary that, for every  $V \leq U$ ,  $V$  is torsion-free and  $H^*(G_V)/\mathfrak{R}_{G_V} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_V)^*} H^*(G)/\mathfrak{R}_G$  is surjective.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of maximal elementary abelian pro- $p$  subgroups of  $G$  and let  $E, F$  be two different elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $U$  is torsion-free, it follows that  $\varphi_V$  maps  $E$  (resp.  $F$ ) isomorphically to  $\varphi_V(E)$  (resp.  $\varphi_V(F)$ ), for every  $V \leq U$ . Furthermore, since  $E \neq F$ , there exists  $W \leq U$  such that each of  $\varphi_W(E), \varphi_W(F)$  is not conjugate (in  $G_W$ ) to any subgroup of the other. According to [7, Theorem 2.7], there exist  $\xi, \eta \in H^*(G_W)$  such that  $\xi|_{\varphi_W(E)}, \eta|_{\varphi_W(F)}$  are not nilpotent, and  $\xi|_{\varphi_W(F)} = 0, \eta|_{\varphi_W(E)} = 0$ . Set  $\xi' = (\varphi_W)^*(\xi), \eta' = (\varphi_W)^*(\eta)$ . It follows that  $\xi'|_E, \eta'|_F$  are not nilpotent, and  $\xi'|_F = 0, \eta'|_E = 0$ ; in particular,  $\xi'$  and  $\eta'$  are not nilpotent. Since  $H^*(G_U)/\mathfrak{R}_{G_U} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_U)^*} H^*(G)/\mathfrak{R}_G$  is surjective, there exist  $\zeta, \theta \in H^*(G_U)$  such that  $\zeta|_{\varphi_U(E)}, \theta|_{\varphi_U(F)}$  are not nilpotent, and  $\zeta|_{\varphi_U(F)} = 0, \theta|_{\varphi_U(E)} = 0$ . Also, by [7, Theorem 2.7], it follows that each of  $\varphi_U(E), \varphi_U(F)$  is not conjugate to any subgroup of the other; in particular,  $\varphi_U(E) \neq \varphi_U(F)$ . So  $\psi_U$  maps  $\mathcal{M}$  injectively into  $\mathcal{E}_U$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite, and so is  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Finally, let us prove (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite. It follows that there exists  $T \in \mathcal{U}$  such that, for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\varphi_T|_E$  is an isomorphism, and  $\psi_T$  maps  $\mathcal{F}$  injectively into  $\mathcal{E}_T$ . For every  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , the restriction map  $\text{Res}_{\varphi_T(E)}^{G_T}$  induces an action of  $H(G_T)$  on  $H^*(\varphi_T(E))$ , hence on  $H^*(E)$ ; furthermore, by [4, Corollary 7.4.7],  $H^*(E)$  is a finitely generated  $H(G_T)$ -module. Besides, the inflation  $(\varphi_T)^*$  also induces an action of  $H(G_T)$  on  $H^*(G)$  so that  $\text{Res}_E^G$  is an  $H(G_T)$ -homomorphism, for every  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ . Set  $\mathfrak{J} = \prod_{E \in \mathcal{F}} H^*(E)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite,  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a finitely generated  $H(G_T)$ -module. Since  $H^*(G)/I(G)$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $\mathfrak{J}$ , it is also a finitely generated  $H(G_T)$ -module. Furthermore,  $I(G) \subset \mathfrak{R}_G$  implies that  $H^*(G)/\mathfrak{R}_G$  is, in turn, a finitely generated  $H(G_T)$ -module. Since  $H(G_T)$  is a finitely generated algebra, so is  $H^*(G)/\mathfrak{R}_G$ .  $\square$

It has been pointed out to us by Henn that such a result can also be deduced from material in [6].

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the category with objects the elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  and with morphisms from  $A$  to  $B$  defined to be the homomorphisms  $\theta : A \rightarrow B$  of the form  $\theta a = gag^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$ . Set  $\mathfrak{L} = \varprojlim_{E \in \mathcal{E}} H(E)$ . It is clear that the product of restriction maps

$$\mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J} = \prod_{E \in \mathcal{F}} H^*(E)$$

is a monomorphism of  $H(G)$ -modules. From the proof of the above theorem, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite, then  $\mathfrak{J}$  is Noetherian over  $H(G)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{L}$  is finitely generated over  $H(G_T)$  and hence over  $H(G)$ . We now have

**Proposition 4.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite, then  $\mathfrak{L}$  is finitely generated over  $H(G)$ .*

According to [8, Proposition 13.4], if  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite, the map

$$H(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}^G} \mathfrak{L}$$

is an  $F$ -isomorphism. In other words, given  $x \in I(G)$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{L}$ , there exists an integer  $n = n_{x,y}$  such that  $x^n = 0$  and  $y^{p^n} \in \text{Im Res}^G$ . We now give a sufficient

condition for  $\text{Res}^G$  to be a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism (i.e., the integer  $n$  can be chosen independently of  $x$  and  $y$ ).

**Theorem 4.** *If  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated, then  $\text{Res}^G$  is a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated.  $\mathcal{F}$  is then finite and the map  $H(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}^G} \mathfrak{L}$  is an  $F$ -isomorphism. By Proposition 4,  $\mathfrak{L}$  is finitely generated over  $H(G)$ . So there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $y^{p^r} \in \text{Im Res}^G$ , for every  $y \in \mathfrak{L}$ .

By Proposition 2, there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $I(G) \subset (\varphi_U)^*(I(G_U))$ . Since  $G_U$  is finite, there exists an integer  $s$  such that  $x^s = 0$ , for every  $x \in I(G)$ . The theorem is then proved, by setting  $n = n_{x,y} = \max(r, s)$ .  $\square$

2. PRO- $p$  GROUPS AND FINITE  $p$ -SUBGROUPS

Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group. The purpose of this section is to prove the following.

**Theorem 5.** *If  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated, then  $G$  has only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite  $p$ -subgroups.*

An analogous result is known for discrete groups of type vFP (see [1], IX, 13.2) and for analytic pro- $p$  groups (where the hypothesis is vacuous, see [2]).

Our proof depends on some deep results on unstable algebras over the Steenrod algebra.

We will need:

**Lemma 2.** *If  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated and  $C$  is a finite central subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H^*(G/C)$  is finitely generated.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $C$  is of order  $p$ . Write  $K = G/C$ , and let  $z \in H^2(K)$  be the cohomology class classifying the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1.$$

There exists then an open, normal subgroup  $L$  of  $K$  such that  $\text{Res}_L^K(z) = 0$ . Therefore, the preimage  $H$  of  $L$  in  $G$  is isomorphic to  $C \times L$ . In other words,  $L$  can be considered as an open subgroup of  $G$ . By Corollary 2,  $H^*(L)$ , and so  $H^*(K)$ , are finitely generated.  $\square$

For every subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , denote by  $N_G(P)$  (resp.  $C_G(P)$ ) the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of  $P$  in  $G$ . We have

**Lemma 3.** *If  $P$  is a subgroup of  $G$  of order  $p$ , then:*

- (i)  $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$ ;
- (ii)  $H^*(C_G(P))$  and  $H^*(C_G(P)/P)$  are finitely generated, provided that so is  $H^*(G)$ .

*Proof.* (i) follows from the fact that  $N_G(P)/C_G(P)$  is embedded into  $\text{Aut}(P)$ , which is of order  $p - 1$ .

(ii) By Lemma 2, we need only prove that  $H^*(C_G(P))$  is finitely generated. By Corollary 2, there exists  $U$  open, normal, torsion-free in  $G$ . Set  $K = \langle U, P \rangle$ .  $K$  is then of  $p$ -rank 1 (i.e., every elementary abelian subgroup of  $K$  is of rank at most 1), open in  $G$  and has finitely generated cohomology, by Corollary 2. Furthermore,  $C_K(P)$  is open in  $C_G(P)$ . By Corollary 2, it suffices to prove that  $H^*(C_K(P))$  is finitely generated. According to the theory in [3] (see also [6, Corollary 1.7]) the

unstable algebra  $T^V H^*(G)$  is Noetherian and also  $T^V H^*(G) = \prod_{(\rho)} H^*(C_K(\text{im}\rho))$ , where the product is taken over conjugacy classes of homomorphisms  $\rho: \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow K$ . It follows that  $H^*(C_K(P))$  is finitely generated.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Since  $H^*(G)$  is finitely generated, it follows from Corollary 2 that there exists an open normal, torsion-free subgroup  $U$  of  $G$ . Define

$$n_G = \min\{n \mid |G/U| = p^n \text{ for some open, normal, torsion-free subgroup } U \text{ of } G\}.$$

We argue by induction on  $n_G$ . If  $n_G = 1$ , the conclusion follows, since every finite subgroup of  $G$  is elementary abelian of rank 1. Suppose that the theorem holds if  $n_G < m$ .

Assume that  $n_G = m$ . It is known that the number of conjugacy classes of elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of rank 1 of  $G$  is finite. Let  $\{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$  be a set of representatives of such conjugacy classes. It is clear that, for any finite  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , there exist  $g \in G$  and  $i$  such that  $P^g$  contains  $C_i$  as a central subgroup. Let  $\mathcal{N}_i$  be the set of finite subgroups of  $G$  containing  $C_i$  as a central subgroup,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . It is then sufficient to prove that the number of conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{N}_i$  is finite,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Fix such an  $i$ . Note that  $\mathcal{N}_i$  coincides with the set of finite subgroups of  $K = C_G(C_i)$  containing  $C_i$ , hence is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of finite subgroups of  $H = C_G(C_i)/C_i$ . Therefore, we need only prove that  $H$  has many finite conjugacy classes of finite subgroups. Let  $U$  be open, normal, torsion-free in  $G$  with  $|G/U| = p^{n_G}$  and set  $V = U \cap K$ .  $V$  is then open, normal, torsion-free in  $K$  and  $|K/V| \leq |G/U| = p^{n_G}$ . So  $n_K \leq n_G$ . Since  $n_H = n_K - 1 < n_G$ , it follows from the induction hypothesis that  $H$  has many finite conjugacy classes of finite subgroups. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. MR **83k**:20002
2. J. D. Dixon, M.P.F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal, *Analytic pro- $p$  groups (2nd edition)*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 157, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. MR **94e**:20037
3. W. G. Dwyer and C. Wilkerson, *Smith Theory and the functor  $T$* , Comment. Math. Helvetici **66** (1991), 1-17. MR **92i**:55006
4. L. Evens, *The cohomology of groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, 1991. MR **93i**:20059
5. H.-W. Henn, *Centralizers of elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups and mod- $p$  cohomology of profinite groups*, Duke Math. J. **91** (1998), 561-585. MR **99b**:20083
6. H.-W. Henn, J. Lannes, and L. Schwarz, *The categories of unstable modules and unstable algebras over the Steenrod algebra modulo nilpotent objects*, Amer. Jour. Math. **115** (1993), 1053-1106. MR **94i**:55024
7. D. Quillen, *A cohomological criterion for  $p$ -nilpotence*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **1** (1971), 361-372. MR **47**:6886

8. D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring II*, Ann. Math. **94** (1971), 573-602. MR **45**:7743
9. C. Scheiderer, *Farrell cohomology and Brown theorems for profinite groups*, Manuscripta Math. **91** (1996), 247-281. MR **97j**:20050

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF HUE, DAI HOC KHOA HOC, HUE, VIETNAM

*E-mail address*: `paminh@dong.vnn.vn`

*Current address*: Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Le Bois-Marie, 35 Route de Chartres, F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, U.M.I.S.T., P.O. BOX 88, MANCHESTER M60 1QD, ENGLAND

*E-mail address*: `Peter.Symonds@umist.ac.uk`