

## ON CRITERIA FOR EXTREMALITY OF TEICHMÜLLER MAPPINGS

GUOWU YAO

(Communicated by Juha M. Heinonen)

ABSTRACT. Let  $f$  be a Teichmüller self-mapping of the unit disk  $\Delta$  corresponding to a holomorphic quadratic differential  $\varphi$ . If  $\varphi$  satisfies the growth condition  $A(r, \varphi) = \iint_{|z| < r} |\varphi| dx dy = O((1-r)^{-s})$  (as  $r \rightarrow 1$ ), for any given  $s > 0$ , then  $f$  is extremal, and for any given  $a \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left\{ \frac{\varphi(a^{1/2^{n_k}} z)}{\iint_{\Delta} |\varphi(a^{1/2^{n_k}} z)| dx dy} \right\}$$

is a Hamilton sequence. In addition, it is shown that there exists  $\varphi$  with bounded Bers norm such that the corresponding Teichmüller mapping is not extremal, which gives a negative answer to a conjecture by Huang in 1995.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Delta$  be the unit disk  $\{|z| < 1\}$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $g$  is a quasiconformal self-mapping of  $\Delta$ . We denote by  $Q(g)$  the class of all quasiconformal self-mappings of  $\Delta$  that agree with  $g$  on the boundary  $\partial\Delta$ . A quasiconformal mapping  $f_0 \in Q(g)$  is said to be an extremal mapping for the boundary values corresponding to  $h = g|_{\partial\Delta}$  if it minimizes the maximal dilatations of  $Q(g)$ , i.e.,

$$K[f_0] = \inf\{K[f] : f \in Q(g)\},$$

where  $K[f]$  is the maximal dilatation of  $f$ .

A quasiconformal mapping  $f(z)$  of  $\Delta$  is called a Teichmüller mapping if  $f$  has the complex dilatation of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_f(z) = \frac{f_{\bar{z}}}{f_z} = k \frac{\overline{\varphi(z)}}{|\varphi(z)|} \quad (0 < k < 1),$$

where  $\varphi \not\equiv 0$  is a holomorphic function in  $\Delta$  and  $k$  is a constant. It is of interest to know whether  $f$  is extremal or, in particular, uniquely extremal among  $Q(f)$ .

Let  $B(\Delta) = \{\phi : \text{holomorphic in } \Delta \text{ with the norm } \|\phi\| = \iint_{\Delta} |\phi(z)| dx dy < \infty\}$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that  $f$  is extremal is that [7] there exists

---

Received by the editors December 3, 2002 and, in revised form, June 5, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C75.

*Key words and phrases*. Hamilton sequence, Teichmüller mapping, extremality.

This research was supported by the “973” Project Foundation of China (Grant No. TG199075105) and the Foundation for Doctoral Programme.

a so-called Hamilton sequence, namely, a sequence  $\{\phi_n \in B(\Delta) : \|\phi_n\| = 1\}$ , such that

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Delta} k \frac{\overline{\varphi(z)}}{|\varphi(z)|} \phi_n(z) dx dy = k.$$

For the convenience of subsequent discussion, we define

$$m(r, \varphi) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(re^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

for any holomorphic function  $\varphi$  in  $\Delta$ .

In this paper, we pay more attention to the problem: when does  $\mu_f$  of a Teichmüller mapping  $f$  have a Hamilton sequence such as  $\{\varphi(R_n z)/\|\varphi(R_n z)\|\}$ ,  $R_n \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = 1$ , and hence  $f$  is extremal?

The problem has been investigated by many authors including Reich and Strebel [7], Hayman and Reich [1], Reich [6], Huang [2], Wu and Lai [8], and Yao [10].

For example, Reich and Strebel [7] proved

**Theorem A.** *If  $\varphi(z)$  satisfies the growth condition*

$$(1.3) \quad m(r, \varphi) = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1,$$

*then the putative sequence  $\varphi(Rz)/\|\varphi(Rz)\|$ ,  $R \uparrow 1$  is a Hamilton sequence of  $\mu_f$  and hence  $f$  is extremal. Moreover, the extremality of  $f$  is no longer implied if  $O((1-r)^{-1})$  is replaced by  $O((1-r)^{-s})$ , for any  $s > 1$ .*

Furthermore, Hayman and Reich [1] proved that  $f$  is also uniquely extremal if  $\varphi(z)$  satisfies the growth condition (1.3).

Up to the present, the best growth condition for extremality, due to Wu and Lai [8], is as follows.

**Theorem B.** *Suppose  $\varphi(z)$  satisfies the following growth condition:*

$$(1.4) \quad m(r, \varphi) = o\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^s}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1 \text{ for any given } s > 1.$$

*Then there exists a sequence  $\{R_n\}$ ,  $0 < R_n < 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = 1$ , such that  $\{\frac{\varphi(R_n z)}{\|\varphi(R_n z)\|}\}$  is a Hamilton sequence, and hence  $f$  is extremal.*

In [3], Lai and Wu conjectured that (1.4) is the best possible growth condition for the extremality. But our Theorem 1 below says that their conjecture is not true in the precise sense.

**Theorem 1.** *Set  $\Delta_r = \{z \in \Delta : |z| < r < 1\}$ ,*

$$A(r, \varphi) = \iint_{\Delta_r} |\varphi(z)| dx dy = \int_0^r t dt \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(te^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

*Suppose  $\varphi$  satisfies the growth condition:*

$$(1.5) \quad A(r, \varphi) = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^s}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1 \text{ for any given } s > 0.$$

*Then for any given  $a \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{\frac{\varphi(a^{1/2^{n_k}} z)}{\|\varphi(a^{1/2^{n_k}} z)\|}\}$  is a Hamilton sequence of  $\mu_f$  and hence  $f$  is extremal.*

It is clear that (1.4) implies (1.5), but the converse is not true, i.e., (1.5)  $\not\Rightarrow$  (1.4).

Let  $BQD(\Delta)$  denote the Banach space consisting of all  $\varphi$  holomorphic in  $\Delta$  with the Bers norm

$$(1.6) \quad \|\varphi\|_{\Delta} = \sup_{z \in \Delta} |\rho^{-1}(z)\varphi(z)| < \infty,$$

where  $\rho(z)|dz|^2 = \frac{4|dz|^2}{(1-|z|^2)^2}$  is the Poincaré metric on  $\Delta$ .

In [2], Huang posed the following conjecture.

**Extremal Conjecture.** *If  $\varphi$  belongs to  $BQD(\Delta)$ , then every Teichmüller mapping corresponding to  $\varphi$  is extremal.*

As far as we know at present, any  $\varphi$  belonging to  $BQD(\Delta)$  corresponds to an extremal Teichmüller mapping. There are even  $\varphi$  with unbounded Bers norms corresponding to extremal Teichmüller mappings (see an example in Section 2). It seems that the conjecture is true. However, our Theorem 2 gives a negative answer to it.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the covering transformation group of a hyperbolic finite type Riemann surface. Then for any  $\varphi$  in  $BQD(\Delta, \Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$ , the Teichmüller mapping corresponding to  $\varphi$  is not extremal, where  $BQD(\Delta, \Gamma) = \{\varphi \in BQD(\Delta) : \varphi(z) = \varphi(\gamma(z))\gamma'^2(z), \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .*

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Theorem 1 is an immediate corollary of the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose there exists some  $a \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad A\left(\frac{1+a_n}{2}, \varphi\right) = O((1-a_n)^{-s}), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for any given } s > 0,$$

where  $a_n = a^{1/2^n}$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{\varphi(a_{n_k}z)/\|\varphi(a_{n_k}z)\|\}$  is a Hamilton sequence and hence  $f$  is extremal.

Theorem 3 indicates that a discrete growth condition (2.1) of  $\varphi$  is sufficient to induce  $f$  extremal. Meanwhile, it also makes clear that a best possible growth condition on  $\varphi$  for extremality can hardly be given.

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 3 comes from [8]. We need some preparation before proving it.

For  $\frac{1}{2} < t^2 < t < 1$ , we write

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\iint_{\Delta} (\overline{\varphi(z)}/|\varphi(z)|)\varphi(tz)dx dy}{\iint_{\Delta} |\varphi(tz)|} = t^2 + t^2 \frac{\beta(t) + \gamma(t)}{\alpha(t)},$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = A(t, \varphi), \quad \beta(t) = \iint_{t < |z| < 1} \frac{\overline{\varphi(z)}}{|\varphi(z)|} \varphi(tz) dx dy,$$

$$\gamma(t) = \iint_{\Delta_t} \frac{\overline{\varphi(z)}}{|\varphi(z)|} [\varphi(tz) - \varphi(z)] dx dy.$$

*Claim 1.*  $m(\varrho, \varphi') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi'(\varrho e^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \frac{R}{R^2 - \varrho^2} m(\varphi, R)$ , where  $R = \frac{1+\varrho}{2}$ .

Actually, by the Cauchy formula

$$\varphi'(\varrho e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{\varphi(z)}{(z - \varrho e^{i\theta})^2} dz = \frac{R}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\varphi(Re^{i(t+\theta)})e^{i(t-\theta)}}{(Re^{it} - \varrho)^2} dt,$$

we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad m(\varrho, \varphi') \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{R m(R, \varphi)}{R^2 - 2R\varrho \cos t + \varrho^2} dt = \frac{R m(R, \varphi)}{R^2 - \varrho^2}.$$

*Claim 2.* Set  $\zeta(t) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t m(r, \varphi) dr$ ,  $\eta(t) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+t}{2}} \frac{1+t-2R}{1-R} m(R, \varphi) dR$ ,  $\varepsilon(t) = \eta(t) - \eta(t^2)$ . Then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha(t) \geq \pi\zeta(t),$$

$$(2.5) \quad |\beta(t)| \leq 4\pi[\zeta(t) - \zeta(t^2)],$$

$$(2.6) \quad |\gamma(t)| \leq 4\pi\varepsilon(t).$$

Inequality (2.4) is obvious. Since  $|\beta(t)| \leq \frac{2\pi}{t^2} \int_{t^2}^t r m(r, \varphi) dr$ , we get (2.5). Using Claim 1 and changing the order of integration, we find

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\gamma(t)| &\leq \iint_{\Delta_t} |\varphi(tz) - \varphi(z)| dx dy \\ &\leq \int_0^t r dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{tr}^r |\varphi'(\varrho e^{i\theta})| d\varrho \leq 2\pi t \int_0^t dr \int_{tr}^r \frac{R}{R^2 - \varrho^2} m(R, \varphi) d\varrho \\ &\leq 4\pi \int_0^t dr \int_{\frac{1+tr}{2}}^{\frac{1+r}{2}} \frac{R}{(3R-1)(1-R)} m(R, \varphi) dR \leq 4\pi \int_0^t dr \int_{\frac{1+tr}{2}}^{\frac{1+r}{2}} \frac{m(R, \varphi)}{1-R} dR \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^t dr \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+r}{2}} \frac{m(R, \varphi)}{1-R} dR - 4\pi \int_0^t dr \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+tr}{2}} \frac{m(R, \varphi)}{1-R} dR \\ &= 4\pi \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+t}{2}} \frac{1+t-2R}{1-R} m(R, \varphi) dR - 4\pi \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+t^2}{2}} \frac{1+t^2-2R}{t(1-R)} m(R, \varphi) dR \\ &\leq 4\pi[\eta(t) - \eta(t^2)] = 4\pi\varepsilon(t). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality in (2.7) comes from changing the order of the first two integrals.

*Claim 3.* Suppose (2.1) holds. Then there exists a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta(a_{n_k})}{\eta(a_{n_k}^2)} = 1.$$

In fact, it is sufficient to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta(a_n)}{\eta(a_n^2)} = 1$  holds. Otherwise, there exists some constant  $c > 1$  such that  $\eta(a_n) > c\eta(a_n^2)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have  $\eta(a_n) > c^n \eta(a)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By virtue of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$ , it is obvious that

$$(2.9) \quad \eta(a_n) > c^n \eta(a) = \eta(a) \left(\frac{\log a}{\log a_n}\right)^{\log_2 c} \sim \tilde{c} \left(\frac{1}{1-a_n}\right)^{\log_2 c}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\tilde{c} = \eta(a)(\log \frac{1}{a})^{\log_2 c}$ . However, (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(a_n) &\leq 2a_n \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+a_n}{2}} m(r, \varphi) dr \leq 4 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+a_n}{2}} rm(r, \varphi) dr \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} [A(\frac{1+a_n}{2}, \varphi) - A(\frac{1}{2}, \varphi)] \leq \frac{2}{\pi} A(\frac{1+a_n}{2}, \varphi) \\ &= O(\frac{1}{(1-a_n)^s}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for any given } s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (2.9), proving our claim.

*Claim 4.* Suppose  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  is obtained from Claim 3. Let  $b_k = a_{n_k}$ . Then

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon(b_k)}{\zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2})} = 0$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\zeta(b_k^2)}{\zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2})} = 1.$$

Since  $\eta(b_k^2) \leq 2b_k^2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+b_k^2}{2}} m(r, \varphi) dr = 2b_k^2 \zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2})$ , we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon(b_k)}{\zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2})} = \frac{\eta(b_k^2)}{\zeta(1+b_k^2/2)} [\frac{\eta(b_k)}{\eta(b_k^2)} - 1] \leq 2b_k^2 [\frac{\eta(b_k)}{\eta(b_k^2)} - 1].$$

By (2.8), (2.10) is obtained. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{1+t} [\zeta(\frac{1+t^2}{2}) - \zeta(t^2)] &= \frac{t}{1+t} \int_{t^2}^{\frac{1+t^2}{2}} m(r, \varphi) dr \\ &\leq \int_{t^2}^{\frac{1+t^2}{2}} \frac{t(1-t)}{1-r} m(r, \varphi) dr \leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+t^2}{2}} \frac{t(1-t)}{1-r} m(r, \varphi) dr \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+t^2}{2}} \frac{1+t-2r}{1-r} m(r, \varphi) dr - \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+t^2}{2}} \frac{1+t^2-2r}{1-r} m(r, \varphi) dr \\ &\leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+t}{2}} \frac{1+t-2r}{1-r} m(r, \varphi) dr - \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1+t^2}{2}} \frac{1+t^2-2r}{1-r} m(r, \varphi) dr \\ &= \varepsilon(t). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $t = b_k$ . By virtue of (2.10), we get (2.11).

Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 3. By Claim 2, it suffices to show that

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\zeta(b_k) - \zeta(b_k^2)}{\zeta(b_k)} = 0$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon(b_k)}{\zeta(b_k)} = 0.$$

In view of Claim 4, they can be deduced from

$$\frac{\zeta(b_k) - \zeta(b_k^2)}{\zeta(b_k)} \leq \frac{\zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2}) - \zeta(b_k^2)}{\zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2})} = 1 - \frac{\zeta(b_k^2)}{\zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2})}$$

and

$$\frac{\varepsilon(b_k)}{\zeta(b_k)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon(b_k)}{\zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2})} \cdot \frac{\zeta(\frac{1+b_k^2}{2})}{\zeta(b_k^2)}.$$

Thus, Theorem 3 follows.

In particular, if  $A(r, \varphi) = O(\log^q \frac{1}{1-r})$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$  for some  $q > 0$ , then  $\varphi(z)$  is associated with extremal Teichmüller mappings.

*Example.* Let  $\varphi(z) = \frac{\log^q(1-z)}{(1-z)^2}$ ,  $q > 0$ . The function  $\varphi$  corresponds to extremal Teichmüller mappings since

$$\begin{aligned} A(r, \varphi) &= \int_0^r t dt \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(te^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ &= \int_0^r t dt \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|\log \frac{1}{1-te^{i\theta}}|^q}{|1-te^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta \leq 2\pi \log^{q+1} \frac{1}{1-r} \\ &= o(\frac{1}{(1-r)^s}), \quad r \rightarrow 1, \text{ for any given } s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have chosen a suitable univalent branch for  $\varphi$  in  $\Delta$ . Obviously, the Bers norm  $\|\varphi\|_\Delta$  of  $\varphi$  is infinite, i.e.,  $\varphi \notin BQD(\Delta)$ .

*Remark.* Note that  $\varepsilon(t) = \eta(t) - \eta(t^2) \leq \eta(t) - \eta(t^p)$  for  $p \geq 2$ . The same reasoning allows us to take  $a_n = a^{1/p^n}$  in Theorem 3. So, the Hamilton sequence in Theorem 1 can be replaced by  $\{\varphi(a^{1/p^{n_k}} z) / \|\varphi(a^{1/p^{n_k}} z)\|\}$  ( $p \geq 2$ ).

Finally, we end this section with the following problem.<sup>1</sup>

**Problem.** Let  $\varphi$  be holomorphic in  $\Delta$ . If  $\varphi$  corresponds to an extremal Teichmüller mapping  $f$ , can we say that  $\mu_f$  has a Hamilton sequence such as  $\{\varphi(t_n z) / \|\varphi(t_n z)\| : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 1, t_n \in (0, 1)\}$ ?

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

A Riemann surface  $M$  is said to be of finite analytic type  $(g, n)$  if and only if  $M$  is obtained from a closed Riemann surface of finite genus  $g$  by deleting  $n$  points,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A surface of finite analytic type is hyperbolic if and only if the inequality

$$3g - 3 + n > 0$$

holds.

First, we state a result by McMullen in [5]:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a Teichmüller mapping between Riemann surfaces of hyperbolic finite type. Then the mapping  $\tilde{f} : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  obtained by lifting  $f$  to the universal covers of  $X$  and  $X'$  is not extremal among quasiconformal mappings with the same boundary values (unless  $f$  is conformal).*

---

<sup>1</sup>Added in proof. The problem has a negative answer for which the counterexample will be given in [11].

Let  $\Gamma$  be the covering transformation group of a hyperbolic Riemann surface  $X = \Delta/\Gamma$  of finite type  $(g, n)$ . Suppose  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  is a Teichmüller mapping with  $\mu_{\tilde{f}} = k \frac{\bar{z}}{|z|}$ , where  $\varphi \in BQD(\Delta, \Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\tilde{f}$  induces a new covering transformation group  $\Gamma' = \tilde{f} \circ \Gamma \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}$  which produces a new hyperbolic finite-type Riemann surface  $X' = \Delta/\Gamma'$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{f}$  can be projected to a Teichmüller mapping  $f : \Delta/\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta/\Gamma'$ .

Recall that the Bers space  $BQD(X)$  is the space of all holomorphic quadratic differentials  $\varphi(z)dz^2$  on  $X$  that are bounded in the following sense:

$$\|\varphi\|_X = \sup_{p \in X} |\varphi(p)|\sigma^{-1}(p) < \infty,$$

where  $\sigma(p)$  denotes the Poincaré metric density on  $X$ . It is well known that  $BQD(X)$  is canonically identified with  $BQD(\Delta, \Gamma)$ . From the Riemann-Roch Theorem it readily follows that  $BQD(\Delta, \Gamma)$  is a complex Banach space of  $3g - 3 + n$  dimensions. The results on harmonic maps ([4], [9]) also show that there is a proper homeomorphism of  $BQD(X)$  onto the Teichmüller space  $T(X)$  of  $X$ . In the sense of not distinguishing  $BQD(X)$  from  $BQD(\Delta, \Gamma)$ , we have  $\|\varphi\|_\Delta = \|\varphi\|_X$ .

Now, we can conclude that  $f$  is not extremal from Theorem C. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Combining Theorems 1 and 2, it is not difficult to see that  $\varphi \in BQD(\Delta, \Gamma)$  has the property:

**Corollary.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the covering transformation group of a hyperbolic finite-type Riemann surface. Suppose  $\varphi(z)$  is in  $BQD(\Delta, \Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{A(r, \varphi)}{\log^s(1/(1-r))} = \infty, \text{ for any given } s > 0.$$

On the other hand, it is evident that  $A(r, \varphi) = O(\frac{1}{1-r})$  (as  $r \rightarrow 1$ ) for all  $\varphi$  in  $BQD(\Delta)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. K. Hayman and E. Reich, *On the Teichmüller mappings of the disk*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **1** (1982), 1–12. MR **84e**:30031
- [2] X. Z. Huang, *On the extremality for Teichmüller mappings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **35** (1995), 115–132. MR **97a**:30029
- [3] W. C. Lai and Z. M. Wu, *On extremality and unique extremality of Teichmüller mappings*, Chinese Ann. Math. (Ser. B) **16** (1995), 399–406. MR **97b**:30027
- [4] V. Marković, *Harmonic diffeomorphism of noncompact surfaces and Teichmüller spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **65** (2002), 103–114. MR **2002k**:32015
- [5] C. McMullen, *Amenability, Poincaré series and quasiconformal maps*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 95–127. MR **90e**:30048
- [6] E. Reich, *Construction of Hamilton sequences for certain Teichmüller mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 789–796. MR **89m**:30045
- [7] E. Reich and K. Strebel, *Extremal quasiconformal mappings with given boundary values*, Contributions to Analysis, A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Lipman Bers, Academic Press, New York, 1974, pp. 375–392. MR **50**:13511

- [8] Z. M. Wu and W. C. Lai, *On criterion of the extremality and construction of Hamilton sequences for a class of Teichmüller mappings*, Chinese Ann. Math. (Ser. B) **21** (2000), 339–342. MR **2002c**:30031
- [9] M. Wolf, *The Teichmüller theory of harmonic maps*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), 449–479. MR **90h**:58023
- [10] G. W. Yao, *Hamilton sequences and extremality for certain Teichmüller mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **29** (2004), 185–194.
- [11] G. W. Yao, *A remark on Hamilton sequences of extremal Teichmüller mappings*, to appear.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* [wallgreat@lycos.com](mailto:wallgreat@lycos.com)

*Current address:* Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100080, People's Republic of China

*E-mail address:* [gwyao@mail.amss.ac.cn](mailto:gwyao@mail.amss.ac.cn)