

EXT VANISHING AND INFINITE AUSLANDER-BUCHSBAUM

PETER JØRGENSEN

(Communicated by Martin Lorenz)

ABSTRACT. A vanishing theorem is proved for Ext groups over non-commutative graded algebras. Along the way, an “infinite” version is proved of the non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a noetherian local commutative ring, and let X be a finitely generated R -module of finite projective dimension. The classical Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem states

$$\text{pd } X = \text{depth } R - \text{depth } X.$$

This can also be phrased as an Ext vanishing theorem, namely, if M is any R -module, then

$$(1) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(X, M) = 0 \text{ for } i > \text{depth } R - \text{depth } X.$$

A surprising variation of this is proved in [1]: Suppose that R is complete in the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology. Then equation (1) remains true if X is *any* R -module of finite projective dimension, provided M is finitely generated. In other words, the condition of being finitely generated is shifted from X to M .

In Theorem 2.3 below, this result will be generalized to the situation of a non-commutative noetherian \mathbb{N} -graded connected algebra.

The route goes through an “infinite” version of the non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, given in Theorem 1.4. This result is a substantial improvement of the original non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, as given in [3, thm. 3.2], in that the condition of dealing only with finitely generated modules is dropped.

The notation of this paper is standard and is already on record in several places such as [2] or [3]. So I will not say much, except that throughout, k is a field, and A is a noetherian \mathbb{N} -graded connected k -algebra. However, let me give one important word of caution: Everything in sight is graded. So for instance, $D(A)$ stands for $D(\text{Gr } A)$, the derived category of the abelian category $\text{Gr}(A)$ of \mathbb{Z} -graded A -left-modules and graded homomorphisms of degree zero.

Received by the editors June 10, 2003 and, in revised form, February 2, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16E30, 16W50.

Key words and phrases. k -flat dimension, depth, infinite non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, Ext groups, vanishing theorem.

©2004 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

1. AUSLANDER-BUCHSBAUM

Definition 1.1. For X in $D(A)$, define the k -flat dimension by

$$\text{k.fd } X = -\inf k \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X.$$

Remark 1.2. Using a minimal free resolution, it is easy to see that if the cohomology of X is bounded and finitely generated, then

$$\text{k.fd } X = \text{fd } X = \text{pd } X,$$

where fd stands for flat dimension and pd stands for projective dimension.

In the following lemma, $D^b(A)$, the full subcategory of $D(A)$ consisting of complexes with bounded cohomology, and $D^+(A^{\text{op}})$, the full subcategory of $D(A^{\text{op}})$ consisting of complexes whose cohomology vanishes in low cohomological degrees, are used.

Lemma 1.3. *Let X in $D^b(A)$ have $\text{fd } X < \infty$, and let T in $D^+(A^{\text{op}})$ be so that $h^i(T)$ is a graded torsion module for each i . Then*

$$\inf T \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X = \inf T - \text{k.fd } X.$$

Proof. Observe that $\text{fd } X < \infty$ implies

$$(2) \quad \inf k \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X > -\infty;$$

hence

$$(3) \quad \text{k.fd } X < \infty.$$

If T is zero, then $\inf T = \inf T \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X = \infty$, and then the inequality (3) implies that the lemma's equation trivially reads $\infty = \infty$. So for the rest of the proof let us assume that T is non-zero and hence $\inf T < \infty$. Note that T is in $D^+(A^{\text{op}})$, so $\inf T > -\infty$, so $\inf T$ is a finite number.

First, consider the special case where T is concentrated in degree zero. Here T is just a non-zero graded torsion A -right-module, and the lemma claims

$$(4) \quad \inf T \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X = -\text{k.fd } X = \inf k \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X.$$

Let us start by showing more modestly that

$$(5) \quad \inf T \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X \geq \inf k \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X.$$

If F is a flat resolution of X , then this amounts to

$$(6) \quad \inf T \otimes_A F \geq \inf k \otimes_A F.$$

To prove this, note that since T is a graded torsion module, it is the colimit of the system

$$T\langle 1 \rangle \subseteq T\langle 2 \rangle \subseteq \dots$$

where

$$T\langle j \rangle = \{ t \in T \mid A_{\geq j} t = 0 \}.$$

Each quotient $T\langle j \rangle / T\langle j - 1 \rangle$ is annihilated by $A_{\geq 1}$ and so has the form $\coprod_{\alpha} k(\ell_{\alpha})$, so there are short exact sequences of the form

$$0 \rightarrow T\langle j - 1 \rangle \rightarrow T\langle j \rangle \rightarrow \coprod_{\alpha} k(\ell_{\alpha}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring such a sequence with F gives a short exact sequence of complexes, because F consists of graded flat modules. The corresponding cohomology long exact sequence consists of pieces

$$h^i(T\langle j-1 \rangle \otimes_A F) \longrightarrow h^i(T\langle j \rangle \otimes_A F) \longrightarrow \prod_{\alpha} h^i(k \otimes_A F)(\ell_{\alpha}).$$

Induction on j now makes it clear that

$$h^i(k \otimes_A F) = 0$$

implies

$$h^i(T\langle j \rangle \otimes_A F) = 0 \text{ for each } j,$$

and this further gives

$$h^i(T \otimes_A F) \cong h^i(\operatorname{colim} T\langle j \rangle \otimes_A F) \cong \operatorname{colim} h^i(T\langle j \rangle \otimes_A F) = 0,$$

so the inequality (6) follows, and hence, so does the inequality (5). Note that the proof even works for $\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty$.

Let us now step this up to show equation (4). Note that if

$$\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty,$$

then the inequality (5) forces

$$\inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty,$$

and so equation (4) holds.

So let us assume that

$$\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X < \infty.$$

Because of the inequality (2), it follows that $\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X$ is a finite number. By the inequality (5), equation (4) will follow if it can be proved that

$$(7) \quad h^{\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \neq 0.$$

But since T is non-zero and graded torsion, there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow k(\ell) \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{T} \rightarrow 0$ of graded A -right-modules. This gives a distinguished triangle $k(\ell) \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{T} \rightarrow$ in $D(A^{\text{op}})$, and tensoring with X and taking the cohomology long exact sequence gives a sequence consisting of pieces

$$h^i(k(\ell) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \longrightarrow h^i(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \longrightarrow h^i(\tilde{T} \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X).$$

Since T is graded torsion, so is \tilde{T} . The inequality (5) applied to \tilde{T} gives

$$h^i(\tilde{T} \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) = 0 \text{ for } i < \inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X.$$

Hence there is a piece of the long exact sequence that reads

$$0 \longrightarrow h^{\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X}(k(\ell) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \longrightarrow h^{\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X),$$

proving equation (7) and hence equation (4).

Secondly, consider the general case where T is not necessarily concentrated in degree zero. There is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = h^p(h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \Rightarrow h^{p+q}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X),$$

which can be obtained as the second usual spectral sequence of the double complex defined by $M^{pq} = T^p \otimes_A F^q$, where F is a flat resolution of X ; cf. [4, thm. 11.19]. The spectral sequence converges because $\text{fd } X < \infty$ implies that it is first quadrant up to shift. Now, $h^q(T)$ is graded torsion for each q , so if $h^q(T)$ is non-zero, then the special case of the lemma dealt with above applies to $h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X$ and shows that

$$(8) \quad \inf h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = -\text{k.f.d } X.$$

There are now two cases. The first case is

$$(9) \quad \text{k.f.d } X = -\infty.$$

Here equation (8) gives that if $h^q(T)$ is non-zero, then $\inf h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty$, that is, $h^p(h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$ is zero for each p . Of course this also holds for $h^q(T)$ equal to zero, and so in the spectral sequence, E_2^{pq} is identically zero. Therefore the limit $h^{p+q}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$ of the spectral sequence is also zero, so $T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X$ is zero, so

$$(10) \quad \inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty.$$

But $\inf T$ is a finite number, and combining this with equations (9) and (10) says that the lemma's equation reads

$$\infty = (\text{a finite number}) - (-\infty),$$

which is true.

The second case is

$$\text{k.f.d } X > -\infty.$$

Here equation (8) gives that if $h^q(T)$ is non-zero, then $h^p(h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$ is non-zero for $p = -\text{k.f.d } X$, but zero for $p < -\text{k.f.d } X$. Of course, if $h^q(T)$ is zero, then $h^p(h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$ is zero for each p . So in the spectral sequence, E_2^{pq} is non-zero for $p = -\text{k.f.d } X$ and $q = \inf T$, but zero for lower p or q . Hence $E_2^{-\text{k.f.d } X, \inf T}$ can be used in a standard corner argument which shows that the lowest non-zero term in the limit $h^{p+q}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$ of the spectral sequence has degree $p+q = -\text{k.f.d } X + \inf T$. Hence

$$\inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = -\text{k.f.d } X + \inf T,$$

proving the lemma's equation. □

Observe that in the following theorem and the rest of the paper, $\text{depth } A$ stands for the depth of A viewed as a left-module over itself.

Theorem 1.4 (Infinite Auslander-Buchsbaum). *Assume that A satisfies that each $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$ is a graded torsion A -right-module. Let X in $D^b(A)$ have $\text{fd } X < \infty$. Then*

$$\text{depth } X = \text{depth } A - \text{k.f.d } X.$$

Proof. I have

$$\text{RHom}_A(k, X) \cong \text{RHom}_A(k, A \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \cong \text{RHom}_A(k, A) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X,$$

where the second \cong holds by [3, prop. 2.1] because X is in $D^b(A)$ and has $\text{fd } X < \infty$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } X &= \inf \text{RHom}_A(k, X) \\ &= \inf \text{RHom}_A(k, A) \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X \\ &\stackrel{\text{(a)}}{=} \inf \text{RHom}_A(k, A) - \text{k.fd } X \\ &= \text{depth } A - \text{k.fd } X, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by Lemma 1.3. The lemma applies because $\text{RHom}_A(k, A)$ is in $\text{D}^+(A^{\text{op}})$, and has $\text{h}^i \text{RHom}_A(k, A) = \text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$ a graded torsion A -right-module for each i by assumption. \square

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.4 even holds for $\text{depth } A = \infty$, where the theorem states that $\text{depth } X = \infty$.

On the other hand, suppose $\text{depth } A < \infty$. Then it is easy to see that it makes sense to rearrange the equation in Theorem 1.4 as

$$\text{k.fd } X = \text{depth } A - \text{depth } X.$$

If the cohomology of X is bounded and finitely generated, then the equation of Theorem 1.4 reads

$$\text{depth } X = \text{depth } A - \text{pd } X$$

by Remark 1.2. This is the original non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, as proved in [3, thm. 3.2].

2. EXT VANISHING

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that A has $\text{depth } A < \infty$ and satisfies that each $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$ is a graded torsion A -right-module.*

Let X in $\text{D}^b(A)$ have $\text{fd } X < \infty$, and let T in $\text{D}^+(A^{\text{op}})$ be so that $\text{h}^i(T)$ is a graded torsion module for each i . Then

$$\inf T \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X = \inf T + \text{depth } X - \text{depth } A.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 1.3 and Remark 1.5 gives

$$\inf T \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X = \inf T - \text{k.fd } X = \inf T + \text{depth } X - \text{depth } A.$$

\square

In the following theorem, $\text{D}_{\text{fg}}^-(A)$, the full subcategory of $\text{D}(A)$ consisting of complexes whose cohomology vanishes in high cohomological degrees and consists of finitely generated graded modules, is used.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that A has $\text{depth } A < \infty$ and satisfies that each $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$ is a graded torsion A -right-module.*

Let X in $\text{D}^b(A)$ have $\text{fd } X < \infty$, and let M be in $\text{D}_{\text{fg}}^-(A)$. Then

$$\sup \text{RHom}_A(X, M) = \sup M - \text{depth } X + \text{depth } A.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that since M is in $D_{\text{fg}}^-(A)$, the Matlis dual M' is in $D^+(A^{\text{op}})$ and has $h^i(M')$ a graded torsion module for each i . So

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \text{RHom}_A(X, M) &= \sup \text{RHom}_A(X, M'') \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sup((M' \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X)') \\ &= -\inf M' \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} -\inf M' - \text{depth } X + \text{depth } A \\ &= \sup M - \text{depth } X + \text{depth } A, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by adjunction and (b) is by Lemma 2.1. □

The following is the special case of Theorem 2.2 where X and M are concentrated in degree zero, that is, where X and M are graded modules.

Theorem 2.3 (Ext vanishing). *Assume that A has $\text{depth } A < \infty$ and satisfies that each $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$ is a graded torsion A -right-module.*

Let X in $\text{Gr}(A)$ have $\text{fd } X < \infty$, and let M be in $\text{gr}(A)$. Then

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(X, M) = 0 \text{ for } i > \text{depth } A - \text{depth } X.$$

If $\text{depth } X < \infty$ and $M \neq 0$ also hold, then

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(X, M) \neq 0 \text{ for } i = \text{depth } A - \text{depth } X.$$

This says that for $\text{fd } X < \infty$, the number $\text{depth } A - \text{depth } X$ plays the role of projective dimension of X , but only with respect to finitely generated graded modules M .

Of course, this fails when M is general, as illustrated by the following example.

Example 2.4. Let A be the polynomial algebra $k[x]$. Then the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied, and it is classical that $\text{depth } A$ is 1.

Let X be $k[x, x^{-1}]$. Then $\text{depth } X \geq 1$, because X is a graded torsion free module, so $\text{depth } A - \text{depth } X \leq 0$ and Theorem 2.3 gives

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(X, M) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0$$

for M in $\text{gr}(A)$.

However, this must fail when M is general, for otherwise X would be a projective object of $\text{Gr}(A)$, which it is certainly not.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank the referee for some very useful remarks, and Anders Frankild for showing me [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Frankild, *Vanishing of local homology*, Math. Z. **244** (2003), 615–630. MR1992028 (2004d:13027)
- [2] P. Jørgensen, *Gorenstein homomorphisms of non-commutative rings*, J. Algebra **211** (1999), 240–267. MR1656580 (2000c:16013)

- [3] ———, *Non-commutative graded homological identities*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **57** (1998), 336–350. MR1644217 (99h:16010)
- [4] J. J. Rotman, “An Introduction to Homological Algebra”, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 85, Academic Press, New York, 1979. MR0538169 (80k:18001)

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `popjoerg@maths.leeds.ac.uk`

URL: `www.maths.leeds.ac.uk/~popjoerg`