

## EXT VANISHING AND INFINITE AUSLANDER-BUCHSBAUM

PETER JØRGENSEN

(Communicated by Martin Lorenz)

ABSTRACT. A vanishing theorem is proved for Ext groups over non-commutative graded algebras. Along the way, an “infinite” version is proved of the non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a noetherian local commutative ring, and let  $X$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module of finite projective dimension. The classical Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem states

$$\text{pd } X = \text{depth } R - \text{depth } X.$$

This can also be phrased as an Ext vanishing theorem, namely, if  $M$  is any  $R$ -module, then

$$(1) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(X, M) = 0 \text{ for } i > \text{depth } R - \text{depth } X.$$

A surprising variation of this is proved in [1]: Suppose that  $R$  is complete in the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology. Then equation (1) remains true if  $X$  is *any*  $R$ -module of finite projective dimension, provided  $M$  is finitely generated. In other words, the condition of being finitely generated is shifted from  $X$  to  $M$ .

In Theorem 2.3 below, this result will be generalized to the situation of a non-commutative noetherian  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded connected algebra.

The route goes through an “infinite” version of the non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, given in Theorem 1.4. This result is a substantial improvement of the original non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, as given in [3, thm. 3.2], in that the condition of dealing only with finitely generated modules is dropped.

The notation of this paper is standard and is already on record in several places such as [2] or [3]. So I will not say much, except that throughout,  $k$  is a field, and  $A$  is a noetherian  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded connected  $k$ -algebra. However, let me give one important word of caution: Everything in sight is graded. So for instance,  $D(A)$  stands for  $D(\text{Gr } A)$ , the derived category of the abelian category  $\text{Gr}(A)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $A$ -left-modules and graded homomorphisms of degree zero.

---

Received by the editors June 10, 2003 and, in revised form, February 2, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16E30, 16W50.

*Key words and phrases*.  $k$ -flat dimension, depth, infinite non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, Ext groups, vanishing theorem.

©2004 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

1. AUSLANDER-BUCHSBAUM

**Definition 1.1.** For  $X$  in  $D(A)$ , define the  $k$ -flat dimension by

$$\text{k.fd } X = -\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X.$$

*Remark 1.2.* Using a minimal free resolution, it is easy to see that if the cohomology of  $X$  is bounded and finitely generated, then

$$\text{k.fd } X = \text{fd } X = \text{pd } X,$$

where  $\text{fd}$  stands for flat dimension and  $\text{pd}$  stands for projective dimension.

In the following lemma,  $D^b(A)$ , the full subcategory of  $D(A)$  consisting of complexes with bounded cohomology, and  $D^+(A^{\text{op}})$ , the full subcategory of  $D(A^{\text{op}})$  consisting of complexes whose cohomology vanishes in low cohomological degrees, are used.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $X$  in  $D^b(A)$  have  $\text{fd } X < \infty$ , and let  $T$  in  $D^+(A^{\text{op}})$  be so that  $h^i(T)$  is a graded torsion module for each  $i$ . Then*

$$\inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \inf T - \text{k.fd } X.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $\text{fd } X < \infty$  implies

$$(2) \quad \inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X > -\infty;$$

hence

$$(3) \quad \text{k.fd } X < \infty.$$

If  $T$  is zero, then  $\inf T = \inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty$ , and then the inequality (3) implies that the lemma's equation trivially reads  $\infty = \infty$ . So for the rest of the proof let us assume that  $T$  is non-zero and hence  $\inf T < \infty$ . Note that  $T$  is in  $D^+(A^{\text{op}})$ , so  $\inf T > -\infty$ , so  $\inf T$  is a finite number.

First, consider the special case where  $T$  is concentrated in degree zero. Here  $T$  is just a non-zero graded torsion  $A$ -right-module, and the lemma claims

$$(4) \quad \inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = -\text{k.fd } X = \inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X.$$

Let us start by showing more modestly that

$$(5) \quad \inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X \geq \inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X.$$

If  $F$  is a flat resolution of  $X$ , then this amounts to

$$(6) \quad \inf T \otimes_A F \geq \inf k \otimes_A F.$$

To prove this, note that since  $T$  is a graded torsion module, it is the colimit of the system

$$T\langle 1 \rangle \subseteq T\langle 2 \rangle \subseteq \dots$$

where

$$T\langle j \rangle = \{ t \in T \mid A_{\geq j} t = 0 \}.$$

Each quotient  $T\langle j \rangle / T\langle j - 1 \rangle$  is annihilated by  $A_{\geq 1}$  and so has the form  $\coprod_{\alpha} k(\ell_{\alpha})$ , so there are short exact sequences of the form

$$0 \rightarrow T\langle j - 1 \rangle \rightarrow T\langle j \rangle \rightarrow \coprod_{\alpha} k(\ell_{\alpha}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring such a sequence with  $F$  gives a short exact sequence of complexes, because  $F$  consists of graded flat modules. The corresponding cohomology long exact sequence consists of pieces

$$h^i(T\langle j-1 \rangle \otimes_A F) \longrightarrow h^i(T\langle j \rangle \otimes_A F) \longrightarrow \prod_{\alpha} h^i(k \otimes_A F)(\ell_{\alpha}).$$

Induction on  $j$  now makes it clear that

$$h^i(k \otimes_A F) = 0$$

implies

$$h^i(T\langle j \rangle \otimes_A F) = 0 \text{ for each } j,$$

and this further gives

$$h^i(T \otimes_A F) \cong h^i(\operatorname{colim} T\langle j \rangle \otimes_A F) \cong \operatorname{colim} h^i(T\langle j \rangle \otimes_A F) = 0,$$

so the inequality (6) follows, and hence, so does the inequality (5). Note that the proof even works for  $\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty$ .

Let us now step this up to show equation (4). Note that if

$$\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty,$$

then the inequality (5) forces

$$\inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty,$$

and so equation (4) holds.

So let us assume that

$$\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X < \infty.$$

Because of the inequality (2), it follows that  $\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X$  is a finite number. By the inequality (5), equation (4) will follow if it can be proved that

$$(7) \quad h^{\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \neq 0.$$

But since  $T$  is non-zero and graded torsion, there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow k(\ell) \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{T} \rightarrow 0$  of graded  $A$ -right-modules. This gives a distinguished triangle  $k(\ell) \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{T} \rightarrow$  in  $D(A^{\text{op}})$ , and tensoring with  $X$  and taking the cohomology long exact sequence gives a sequence consisting of pieces

$$h^i(k(\ell) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \longrightarrow h^i(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \longrightarrow h^i(\tilde{T} \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X).$$

Since  $T$  is graded torsion, so is  $\tilde{T}$ . The inequality (5) applied to  $\tilde{T}$  gives

$$h^i(\tilde{T} \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) = 0 \text{ for } i < \inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X.$$

Hence there is a piece of the long exact sequence that reads

$$0 \longrightarrow h^{\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X}(k(\ell) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \longrightarrow h^{\inf k \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X),$$

proving equation (7) and hence equation (4).

Secondly, consider the general case where  $T$  is not necessarily concentrated in degree zero. There is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = h^p(h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \Rightarrow h^{p+q}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X),$$

which can be obtained as the second usual spectral sequence of the double complex defined by  $M^{pq} = T^p \otimes_A F^q$ , where  $F$  is a flat resolution of  $X$ ; cf. [4, thm. 11.19]. The spectral sequence converges because  $\text{fd } X < \infty$  implies that it is first quadrant up to shift. Now,  $h^q(T)$  is graded torsion for each  $q$ , so if  $h^q(T)$  is non-zero, then the special case of the lemma dealt with above applies to  $h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X$  and shows that

$$(8) \quad \inf h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = -\text{k.fd } X.$$

There are now two cases. The first case is

$$(9) \quad \text{k.fd } X = -\infty.$$

Here equation (8) gives that if  $h^q(T)$  is non-zero, then  $\inf h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty$ , that is,  $h^p(h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$  is zero for each  $p$ . Of course this also holds for  $h^q(T)$  equal to zero, and so in the spectral sequence,  $E_2^{pq}$  is identically zero. Therefore the limit  $h^{p+q}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$  of the spectral sequence is also zero, so  $T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X$  is zero, so

$$(10) \quad \inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = \infty.$$

But  $\inf T$  is a finite number, and combining this with equations (9) and (10) says that the lemma's equation reads

$$\infty = (\text{a finite number}) - (-\infty),$$

which is true.

The second case is

$$\text{k.fd } X > -\infty.$$

Here equation (8) gives that if  $h^q(T)$  is non-zero, then  $h^p(h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$  is non-zero for  $p = -\text{k.fd } X$ , but zero for  $p < -\text{k.fd } X$ . Of course, if  $h^q(T)$  is zero, then  $h^p(h^q(T) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$  is zero for each  $p$ . So in the spectral sequence,  $E_2^{pq}$  is non-zero for  $p = -\text{k.fd } X$  and  $q = \inf T$ , but zero for lower  $p$  or  $q$ . Hence  $E_2^{-\text{k.fd } X, \inf T}$  can be used in a standard corner argument which shows that the lowest non-zero term in the limit  $h^{p+q}(T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X)$  of the spectral sequence has degree  $p+q = -\text{k.fd } X + \inf T$ . Hence

$$\inf T \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X = -\text{k.fd } X + \inf T,$$

proving the lemma's equation. □

Observe that in the following theorem and the rest of the paper,  $\text{depth } A$  stands for the depth of  $A$  viewed as a left-module over itself.

**Theorem 1.4** (Infinite Auslander-Buchsbaum). *Assume that  $A$  satisfies that each  $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$  is a graded torsion  $A$ -right-module. Let  $X$  in  $D^b(A)$  have  $\text{fd } X < \infty$ . Then*

$$\text{depth } X = \text{depth } A - \text{k.fd } X.$$

*Proof.* I have

$$\text{RHom}_A(k, X) \cong \text{RHom}_A(k, A \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X) \cong \text{RHom}_A(k, A) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A X,$$

where the second  $\cong$  holds by [3, prop. 2.1] because  $X$  is in  $D^b(A)$  and has  $\text{fd } X < \infty$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } X &= \inf \text{RHom}_A(k, X) \\ &= \inf \text{RHom}_A(k, A) \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \inf \text{RHom}_A(k, A) - \text{k.fd } X \\ &= \text{depth } A - \text{k.fd } X, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by Lemma 1.3. The lemma applies because  $\text{RHom}_A(k, A)$  is in  $\text{D}^+(A^{\text{op}})$ , and has  $\text{h}^i \text{RHom}_A(k, A) = \text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$  a graded torsion  $A$ -right-module for each  $i$  by assumption.  $\square$

*Remark 1.5.* Theorem 1.4 even holds for  $\text{depth } A = \infty$ , where the theorem states that  $\text{depth } X = \infty$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $\text{depth } A < \infty$ . Then it is easy to see that it makes sense to rearrange the equation in Theorem 1.4 as

$$\text{k.fd } X = \text{depth } A - \text{depth } X.$$

If the cohomology of  $X$  is bounded and finitely generated, then the equation of Theorem 1.4 reads

$$\text{depth } X = \text{depth } A - \text{pd } X$$

by Remark 1.2. This is the original non-commutative Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, as proved in [3, thm. 3.2].

## 2. EXT VANISHING

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $A$  has  $\text{depth } A < \infty$  and satisfies that each  $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$  is a graded torsion  $A$ -right-module.*

*Let  $X$  in  $\text{D}^b(A)$  have  $\text{fd } X < \infty$ , and let  $T$  in  $\text{D}^+(A^{\text{op}})$  be so that  $\text{h}^i(T)$  is a graded torsion module for each  $i$ . Then*

$$\inf T \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X = \inf T + \text{depth } X - \text{depth } A.$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 1.3 and Remark 1.5 gives

$$\inf T \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X = \inf T - \text{k.fd } X = \inf T + \text{depth } X - \text{depth } A.$$

$\square$

In the following theorem,  $\text{D}_{\text{fg}}^-(A)$ , the full subcategory of  $\text{D}(A)$  consisting of complexes whose cohomology vanishes in high cohomological degrees and consists of finitely generated graded modules, is used.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that  $A$  has  $\text{depth } A < \infty$  and satisfies that each  $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$  is a graded torsion  $A$ -right-module.*

*Let  $X$  in  $\text{D}^b(A)$  have  $\text{fd } X < \infty$ , and let  $M$  be in  $\text{D}_{\text{fg}}^-(A)$ . Then*

$$\sup \text{RHom}_A(X, M) = \sup M - \text{depth } X + \text{depth } A.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that since  $M$  is in  $D_{\text{fg}}^-(A)$ , the Matlis dual  $M'$  is in  $D^+(A^{\text{op}})$  and has  $h^i(M')$  a graded torsion module for each  $i$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \text{RHom}_A(X, M) &= \sup \text{RHom}_A(X, M'') \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sup((M' \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X)') \\ &= -\inf M' \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_A X \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} -\inf M' - \text{depth } X + \text{depth } A \\ &= \sup M - \text{depth } X + \text{depth } A, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by adjunction and (b) is by Lemma 2.1. □

The following is the special case of Theorem 2.2 where  $X$  and  $M$  are concentrated in degree zero, that is, where  $X$  and  $M$  are graded modules.

**Theorem 2.3** (Ext vanishing). *Assume that  $A$  has  $\text{depth } A < \infty$  and satisfies that each  $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A)$  is a graded torsion  $A$ -right-module.*

*Let  $X$  in  $\text{Gr}(A)$  have  $\text{fd } X < \infty$ , and let  $M$  be in  $\text{gr}(A)$ . Then*

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(X, M) = 0 \text{ for } i > \text{depth } A - \text{depth } X.$$

*If  $\text{depth } X < \infty$  and  $M \neq 0$  also hold, then*

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(X, M) \neq 0 \text{ for } i = \text{depth } A - \text{depth } X.$$

This says that for  $\text{fd } X < \infty$ , the number  $\text{depth } A - \text{depth } X$  plays the role of projective dimension of  $X$ , but only with respect to finitely generated graded modules  $M$ .

Of course, this fails when  $M$  is general, as illustrated by the following example.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $A$  be the polynomial algebra  $k[x]$ . Then the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied, and it is classical that  $\text{depth } A$  is 1.

Let  $X$  be  $k[x, x^{-1}]$ . Then  $\text{depth } X \geq 1$ , because  $X$  is a graded torsion free module, so  $\text{depth } A - \text{depth } X \leq 0$  and Theorem 2.3 gives

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(X, M) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0$$

for  $M$  in  $\text{gr}(A)$ .

However, this must fail when  $M$  is general, for otherwise  $X$  would be a projective object of  $\text{Gr}(A)$ , which it is certainly not.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank the referee for some very useful remarks, and Anders Frankild for showing me [1].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Frankild, *Vanishing of local homology*, Math. Z. **244** (2003), 615–630. MR1992028 (2004d:13027)
- [2] P. Jørgensen, *Gorenstein homomorphisms of non-commutative rings*, J. Algebra **211** (1999), 240–267. MR1656580 (2000c:16013)

- [3] ———, *Non-commutative graded homological identities*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **57** (1998), 336–350. MR1644217 (99h:16010)
- [4] J. J. Rotman, “An Introduction to Homological Algebra”, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 85, Academic Press, New York, 1979. MR0538169 (80k:18001)

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* `popjoerg@maths.leeds.ac.uk`

*URL:* `www.maths.leeds.ac.uk/~popjoerg`