PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 133, Number 6, Pages 1629–1637
S 0002-9939(04)07838-4
Article electronically published on December 21, 2004

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF σ-WEAKLY CLOSED NEST ALGEBRA SUBMODULES

DONG ZHE

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

Abstract. In this paper we prove that for any unital σ-weakly closed algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which is σ-weakly generated by finite-rank operators in $\mathcal{A}$, every σ-weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$-submodule has *Property* S_σ. In the case of nest algebras, if $\mathcal{L}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{L}_n$ are nests, we obtain the following n-fold tensor product formula:

$$\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1} \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{\phi_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n},$$

where each $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_i}$ is the σ-weakly closed $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i$-submodule determined by an order homomorphism ϕ_i from $\mathcal{L}_i$ into itself.

1. Introduction

One of the central results in the theory of tensor products of von Neumann algebras is Tomita's commutation formula:

$$\mathcal{M}' \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}' = (\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N})', \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are von Neumann algebras. It was observed in [2] that if we let $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ denote the projection lattices of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ respectively, then (1) can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathrm{Alg}\, \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2). \tag{2}$$

This version of Tomita's theorem makes sense for any pair of reflexive algebras $\mathrm{Alg}\, \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\, \mathcal{L}_2$. It remains a deep open question whether the tensor product formula (2) is valid for general reflexive algebras, or even general CSL algebras. However, (2) has been verified in a number of special cases ([2], [4], [5], [6], [7]). In particular, it is known that if $\mathcal{L}_1$ is a commutative subspace lattice that is either completely distributive [8] or of finite width [4], then (2) is valid for $\mathcal{L}_1$ and any subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}_2$.

The main purpose of this paper is to study tensor products of σ-weakly closed submodules of some reflexive algebras (in particular, of nest algebras). Section 1 of this paper is devoted to notation and preliminaries. In Section 2, we make use of slice maps to show that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a σ-weakly closed algebra which is σ-weakly generated by finite-rank operators in $\mathcal{A}$, then every σ-weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$-submodule has *Property* S_σ. As a corollary, we obtain $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2}$, where each $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$ is

Received by the editors December 17, 2002.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47L75.
Key words and phrases. Property S_σ, tensor product, slice map.
This project was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10401030) and the Zhejiang Nature Science Foundation (No. M103044).

a σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i$-submodule and $\mathcal{L}_i$ is a nest. However, the 2-fold tensor product formula cannot be generalized to the n-fold formula by induction (see the beginning of Section 3). So in Section 3, we use another method to prove the n-fold tensor product formula $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\phi_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\phi_n}$, where each $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_i}$ is a σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i$-submodule and $\mathcal{L}_i$ is a nest. The key to this proof is [3, Theorem 2] and [2, Proposition 2.4].

In this paper, all Hilbert spaces will be separable. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of finite-rank operators on $\mathcal{H}$. A sublattice $\mathcal{L}$ of the projection lattice of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a subspace lattice if it contains 0 and I and is strongly closed, where we identify projections with their ranges. If the elements of $\mathcal{L}$ pairwise commute, $\mathcal{L}$ is a commutative subspace lattice (CSL). A nest is a totally ordered subspace lattice. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a subspace lattice, $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ denotes the set of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that leave the elements of $\mathcal{L}$ invariant. Note that $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a σ-weakly closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a CSL, $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is said to be a CSL algebra. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a nest, $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is said to be a nest algebra.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}$, the set of projections left invariant by each element of $\mathcal{A}$, is a subspace lattice. A subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is reflexive if $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{Alg}\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}$. The reflexive algebras are precisely the algebras of the form $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a subspace lattice. If $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ are subspace lattices, $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$ is the subspace lattice in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n)$ generated by $\{P_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes P_n : P_i \in \mathcal{L}_i, i = 1, \cdots, n\}$. If $\mathcal{S}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ are σ-weakly closed subspaces, then $\mathcal{S}_1\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{S}_n$ denotes the σ-weakly closed linear span of $\{S_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes S_n : S_i \in \mathcal{S}_i\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n)$.

The main technical tool in Section 2 is the use of slice maps. Slice maps were introduced by Tomiyama in [11] and have been used extensively in the study of tensor products of C^*-algebras and tensor products of von Neumann algebras. We recall some definitions and results from [7] and refer the reader to [7] for further results and motivation. If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are von Neumann algebras, and ϕ is in the predual $\mathcal{M}_*$ of $\mathcal{M}$, then the right slice map R_ϕ is the unique σ-weakly continuous linear map from $\mathcal{M}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$\langle X, \phi \otimes \psi\rangle = \langle R_\phi(X), \psi\rangle, \qquad \forall X \in \mathcal{M}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N}, \psi \in \mathcal{N}_*.$$

If $X = A \otimes B$ $(A \in \mathcal{M}, B \in \mathcal{N})$, then $R_\phi(X) = \langle A, \phi\rangle B$. The left slice map $L_\psi : \mathcal{M}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}, \psi \in \mathcal{N}_*$, is similarly defined. If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ are σ-weakly closed subspaces, let

$$F(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \{X \in \mathcal{M}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N} : R_\phi(X) \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } L_\psi(X) \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{M}_*, \psi \in \mathcal{N}_*\}.$$

As noted in [7], we can replace $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\mathcal{N}$ by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ without affecting $F(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$. Moreover $\mathcal{S}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T} \subseteq F(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$. Tomiyama proved in [12] that if $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are von Neumann algebras, then

$$\mathcal{S}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T} = F(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}). \tag{3}$$

His proof uses Tomita's theorem and, in fact, Tomita's theorem (1) is equivalent to the validity of (3) for von Neumann algebras. Hence (3) can be considered as a possible general version of Tomita's theorem for σ-weakly closed subspaces.

A σ-weakly closed subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to have *Property* S_σ if

$$\{X \in \mathcal{S}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N} : R_\phi(X) \in \mathcal{T} \text{ for all } \phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*\} = \mathcal{S}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T}$$

for all pairs $\{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{N}\}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a σ-weakly closed subspace of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$. $\mathcal{S}$ has *Property* S_σ if and only if $F(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{S}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T}$ for all σ-weakly closed subspaces $\mathcal{T}$ of each von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ ([7, Remark 1.5]).

2. Property S_σ

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a reflexive subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose that $E \to \tau(E)$ is an order homomorphism of $\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}$ into itself (i.e., $E \leq F$ implies $\tau(E) \leq \tau(F)$). Then the set $\mathcal{U} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : (I - \tau(E))TE = 0, \forall E \in \operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}\}$ is clearly a σ-weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We denote $\mathcal{U}$ by $\mathcal{U}_\tau$.

Erdos and Power in [1] proved that any σ-weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for a nest algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is of the above form. Here the following result is due to Han Deguang [3]:

Theorem H. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital σ-weakly closed subalgebra which is σ-weakly generated by rank-one operators in $\mathcal{A}$, and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a σ-weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ has the form*

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : (I - \tau(E))TE = 0, \forall E \in \operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}\},$$

where $E \to \tau(E) = [\mathcal{U}E]$ is an order homomorphism of $\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}$ into itself.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital σ-weakly closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the property that the finite-rank operators of $\mathcal{A}$ are σ-weakly dense in $\mathcal{A}$. Then every σ-weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$-submodule has Property S_σ.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{U}$ is a σ-weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$-submodule. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a σ-weakly closed subspace of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$, and suppose that $X \in \mathcal{U}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N}$ and $R_\phi(X) \in \mathcal{T}$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*$. It suffices to show that $X \in \mathcal{U}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T}$. Let π be the normal $*$-isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N}$ defined by $\pi(A) = A \otimes I$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*$, a routine calculation shows that $R_\phi(\pi(F_1)X\pi(F_2)) = R_{F_2\phi F_1}(X)$, where $F_2\phi F_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*$ is defined by $\langle A, F_2\phi F_1\rangle = \langle F_1AF_2, \phi\rangle$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Hence $R_\phi(\pi(F_1)X\pi(F_2))$ is in $\mathcal{T}$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*$. Since $\pi(F_1)(\mathcal{U}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N})\pi(F_2) = F_1\mathcal{U}F_2\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N}$ and $F_1\mathcal{U}F_2$ has *Property* S_σ by [7, Proposition 1.7], $\pi(F_1)X\pi(F_2)$ is in $F_1\mathcal{U}F_2\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T}$. But $F_1\mathcal{U}F_2 \subseteq \mathcal{U}$; thus $\pi(F_1)X\pi(F_2) \in \mathcal{U}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T}$. Let $\{F_\alpha\}$ be a net in $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ converging σ-weakly to the identity map I. Then $\pi(F_\alpha)X\pi(F)$ converges σ-weakly to $X\pi(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$, and so $X\pi(F) \in \mathcal{U}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Finally, $X\pi(F_\alpha)$ converges σ-weakly to X, and so $X \in \mathcal{U}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T}$. Hence $\mathcal{U}$ has *Property* S_σ. $\square$

It is known from [10] that a commutative subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is completely distributive if and only if the rank-one subalgebra of $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is σ-weakly dense in $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Thus we have the following result:

Corollary 2.2. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is a completely distributive CSL, then every σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$-submodule has Property S_σ.*

If $\mathcal{L}$ is a completely distributive CSL, it follows from Theorem H that every σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$-submodule is of the form $\mathcal{U}_\tau$, where $E \to \tau(E)$ is an order homomorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ into itself.

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are completely distributive CSLs, and that $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i$-submodules respectively. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_2} = F(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}, \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2})$.*

Proof. A σ-weakly closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ has *Property* S_σ if and only if $\mathcal{S}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{T} = F(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{T})$ for all σ-weakly closed subspaces $\mathcal{T}$ ([7, Remark 1.5]). Thus the corollary follows from Corollary 2.2. □

In the case of nest algebras, we can say more about tensor products of σ-weakly closed nest algebra submodules. In the rest of this paper, we suppose that $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ are nests on separable complex Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_i$ and τ_i are order homomorphisms of $\mathcal{L}_i$ into $\mathcal{L}_i$.

If $L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$, it follows from [2, Proposition 2.4] that

$$L = \vee\{E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n : E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \leq L\}.$$

Thus we can define

$$(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n)(L) = \vee\{\tau_1(E_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n(E_n) : E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \leq L\}.$$

Obviously, $(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n)(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n) = \tau_1(E_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n(E_n)$. Thus $\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n$ is a well-defined order homomorphism of $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$ into itself and $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_n}$ is a σ-weakly closed $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$-submodule. Hence the equality $\mathrm{Alg}\,\mathcal{L}_1\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\,\mathrm{Alg}\,\mathcal{L}_n = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ of [2, Theorem 2.6] can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{U}_{I_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{I_n} = \mathcal{U}_{I_1\otimes\cdots\otimes I_n},$$

where I_i is the identity map of $\mathcal{L}_i$ into $\mathcal{L}_i$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be nests on separable Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_i$ and τ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be order homomorphisms of $\mathcal{L}_i$ into $\mathcal{L}_i$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2} = F(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}, \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$. If $E_2 \in \mathcal{L}_2$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)_*$, it follows from [7] (1.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_2(E_2)R_\phi(X)E_2 &= R_\phi((I_1 \otimes \tau_2(E_2))X(I_1 \otimes E_2)) \\
&= R_\phi((I_1 \otimes \tau_2(E_2)(\tau_1(I_1) \otimes \tau_2(E_2))X(I_1 \otimes E_2)) \\
&= R_\phi((\tau_1(I_1) \otimes \tau_2(E_2))X(I_1 \otimes E_2)) \\
&= R_\phi(X(I_1 \otimes E_2)) = R_\phi(X)E_2.
\end{aligned}$$

So $R_\phi(X) \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2}$. Similary, $L_\psi(X) \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)_*$. Hence by the definition of $F(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}, \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2})$, we have $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2} \subseteq F(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}, \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2})$.

Conversely, suppose that $X \in F(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}, \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2})$. If $E_2 \in \mathcal{L}_2$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)_*$, then $\tau_2(E_2)R_\phi(X)E_2 = R_\phi(X)E_2$. Thus $R_\phi((I_1 \otimes \tau_2(E_2))X(I_1 \otimes E_2)) = R_\phi(X(I_1 \otimes E_2))$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)_*$. It follows from [7] (1.5) that

$$(I_1 \otimes \tau_2(E_2))X(I_1 \otimes E_2) = X(I_1 \otimes E_2).$$

Similarly, if $E_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1$, we have that $X(E_1 \otimes I_2) = (\tau_1(E_1) \otimes I_2)X(E_1 \otimes I_2)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
X(E_1 \otimes E_2) &= X(E_1 \otimes I_2)(I_1 \otimes E_2) \\
&= (\tau_1(E_1) \otimes I_2)X(I_1 \otimes E_2)(E_1 \otimes I_2) \\
&= (\tau_1(E_1) \otimes I_2)(I_1 \otimes \tau_2(E_2))X(E_1 \otimes E_2) \\
&= (\tau_1(E_1) \otimes \tau_2(E_2))X(E_1 \otimes E_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by virtue of [2, Proposition 2.4], it is easy to show that $XL \subseteq (\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2)(L)$ for each $L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$. Hence $X \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2} = F(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}, \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2})$. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ and τ_i be as in the preceding lemma. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_2} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2}$.*

Proof. Since every nest is a completely distributive CSL, the theorem follows from Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, obviously. □

3. The n-fold tensor product formula

Since $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ is not totally ordered in general, we cannot deduce the tensor product formula $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2\otimes\tau_3} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_2}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_3}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2\otimes\tau_3} &= \mathcal{U}_{(\tau_1\otimes\tau_2)\otimes\tau_3}\\ &= \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_3} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_2}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_3}.\end{aligned}$$

(In order to use Theorem 2.5, the second equality needs the totally ordered property of $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$.) So we cannot generalize Theorem 2.5 to n-fold tensor products for $n > 2$ by induction. In this section, instead of the slice maps, we shall use Theorem H to prove the n-fold tensor product formula. Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ be nests and let $\mathcal{U}_i$ be σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i$-submodules. From Theorem H, it follows from $\mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$, where $\tau_i(E) = [\mathcal{U}_i E]$ for any $E \in \mathcal{L}_i$. In the rest of this section, we always use τ_i to denote these special order homomorphisms.

Lemma 3.1. *For each $i = 1, \cdots, n$, let $E_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ and $f_i \in E_i$ such that $[(\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i)f_i] = E_i$. Then $[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n)] = [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}E_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}E_n]$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i} \cdot \operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$, $[\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}E_i] = [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}f_i]$. By virtue of [2] Lemma 2.2,

$$E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n = [(\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_1\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_n)(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)].$$

Thus, since $(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_1\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}$,

$$[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n)] = [(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)].$$

Hence it suffices to prove that

$$[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)] = [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}f_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}f_n].$$

If g_i is any vector in $[\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}f_i]$, then g_i can be norm approximated by vectors of the form $T_i f_i$, where $T_i \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$. Hence $g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$ can be approximated by vectors of the form $T_1 f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n f_n = (T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n)(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)$. Thus any vector of the form $g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$ with $g_i \in [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}f_i]$ lies in $[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)]$. Since such vectors generate $[\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}f_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}f_n]$, we have $[\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}f_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}f_n] \subseteq [(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)]$.

To prove the reverse inequality, for any $T_i \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&([\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}f_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}f_n])(T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n)(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n)\\ &= ([\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}E_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}E_n])(T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n)(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n)\\ &= (\tau_1(E_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n(E_n))(T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n)(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n)\\ &= \tau_1(E_1)T_1E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n(E_n)T_nE_n\\ &= T_1E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_nE_n\\ &= (T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n)(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned}&([\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}f_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}f_n])[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n)]\\ &= [(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n)].\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)] &= [(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n)]\\ &\leq [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}f_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}f_n].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)] = [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}f_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}f_n].$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{U}_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ be σ-weakly closed* $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i$*-submodules and $\tau_i(E) = [\mathcal{U}_i E]$ for any $E \in \mathcal{L}_i$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_n}$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}$ is a σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_1\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_n$-submodule. By virtue of [2, Theorem 2.6], $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}$ is a σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{L}_n)$- submodule. It follows from [2, Proposition 2.7] that $\mathcal{L}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{L}_n$ is a completely distributive CSL. Thus, Theorem H shows that $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}$ is determined by the order homomorphism $L \to [(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})L]$ of $\mathcal{L}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{L}_n$ into itself.

Now suppose that $E_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$. For each i, choose a vector $v_i \in E_i$ such that $[(\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i)v_i] = E_i$ (the proof of the existence of such v_i is routine). It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_n)] &= [\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}E_1]\otimes\cdots\otimes[\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}E_n]\\ &= \tau_1(E_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_n(E_n)\\ &= (\tau_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_n)(E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_n).\end{aligned}$$

If $L \in \mathcal{L}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{L}_n$, [2, Proposition 2.4] shows that

$$L = \vee\{E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_n : E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_n \le L\}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})L]\\ &= \vee\{[(\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n})(E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_n)] : E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_n \le L\}\\ &= \vee\{(\tau_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_n)(E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_n) : E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_n \le L\}\\ &= (\tau_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_n)(L).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_n}$ are σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{L}_n)$-submodules determined by the same order homomorphism. This shows that

$$\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\tau_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_n}.$$

□

Given general order homomorphisms ϕ_i from $\mathcal{L}_i$ into $\mathcal{L}_i$, we will consider the relation between $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1}\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{U}_{\phi_n}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\phi_n}$. We need some lemmas at first.

For non-zero vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, the rank-one operator xy^* is defined by the equation

$$(xy^*)(z) = \langle z, y\rangle x, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a subspace lattice, and that $\mathcal{U}_\phi$ is the σ-weakly closed* $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$*-submodule determined by an order homomorphism ϕ from $\mathcal{L}$ into itself. Then a rank-one operator $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}_\phi$ if and only if there exists an element $N \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \in N$ and $y \in \phi_\sim(N)^\perp$, where $\phi_\sim(N) = \vee\{G \in \mathcal{L} : \phi(G) \not\ge N\}$.*

Proof. The proof is routine. We leave the details to the interested readers. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ be a nest and ϕ_i be an order homomorphism from $\mathcal{L}_i$ into itself. Define $\psi_i : I_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{L}_i\otimes\cdots\otimes I_n \to I_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{L}_i\otimes\cdots\otimes I_n$ by*

$$\psi_i(I_1\otimes\cdots\otimes N_i\otimes\cdots\otimes I_n) = I_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\phi_i(N_i)\otimes\cdots\otimes I_n, \quad \forall N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i.$$

Then the rank-one operator $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}_{\psi_i}$ if and only if there exists an element $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ such that $x \in I_1\otimes\cdots\otimes N_i\otimes\cdots\otimes I_n$ and $y \in I_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\phi_{i\sim}(N_i)^\perp\otimes\cdots\otimes I_n$.

Proof. Certainly ψ_i is an order homomorphism from $I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$ into itself, and $\mathcal{U}_{\psi_i}$ is the σ-weakly closed $\mathrm{Alg}(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)$-submodule determined by ψ_i. By virtue of Lemma 3.3, a rank-one operator $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}_{\psi_i}$ if and only if there exists an element $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ such that $x \in I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$ and $y \in \psi_{i\sim}(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp$. In the following, we compute

$$\psi_{i\sim}(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp.$$

By the definition of $\psi_{i\sim}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_{i\sim}(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)\\
&= \vee\{I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes G_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n : \psi_i(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes G_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \not\geq I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n\}\\
&= \vee\{I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes G_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n : I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_i(G_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \not\geq I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n\}\\
&= \vee\{I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes G_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n : \phi_i(G_i) \not\geq N_i\}\\
&= I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\vee\{G_i : \phi_i(G_i) \not\geq N_i\}) \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n\\
&= I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{i\sim}(N_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n,
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\phi_{i\sim}(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp = I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{i\sim}(N_i)^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ be nests and ϕ_i be order homomorphisms from $\mathcal{L}_i$ into itself. Then a rank-one operator $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$ if and only if there exist $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ such that $x \in N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n$ and $y \in \phi_{1\sim}(N_1)^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{n\sim}(N_n)^\perp$.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{F}_i = I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$, and define $\psi_i : \mathcal{F}_i \to \mathcal{F}_i$ by

$$\psi_i(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n) = I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_i(N_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n, \quad \forall N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i.$$

Each ψ_i is an order homomorphism from $\mathcal{F}_i$ into itself and $\mathcal{U}_{\psi_i}$ is the σ-weakly closed $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{F}_i)$-submodules determined by ψ_i. Thus we have the equation $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\psi_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{U}_{\psi_n}$. In fact, by virtue of [2, Proposition 2.4],

$$L = \vee\{N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n : N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n \leq L\} \quad \text{for any} \quad L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n.$$

Thus it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n) : T(N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)\\
\subseteq \phi_1(N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n(N_n), \forall N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i\},
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\psi_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{U}_{\psi_n}$. For any $T \in \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1} \cap \cdots \mathcal{U}_{\phi_n}$, we have that for any $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$,

$$T(N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n) \subseteq T(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n) \subseteq I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_i(N_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Thus $T(N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n) \subseteq \phi_1(N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n(N_n)$ and $T \in \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$. Hence $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\psi_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{U}_{\psi_n}$. From Lemma 3.4 it follows that for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, a rank-one operator $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}_{\psi_i}$ if and only if there exists $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ such that $x \in I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$ and $y \in I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{i\sim}(N_i)^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$. Therefore a rank-one operator $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}_{\psi_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{U}_{\psi_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$ if and only if there exists $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $x \in N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n$ and $y \in \phi_{1\sim}(N_1)^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{n\sim}(N_n)^\perp$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a subspace lattice and that $\mathcal{U}_\phi$ is the σ-weakly closed $\mathrm{Alg}\,\mathcal{L}$-submodule determined by an order homomorphism ϕ from $\mathcal{L}$ into itself. Then $\tau \leq \phi$ and $\tau_\sim = \phi_\sim$, where $\tau(E) = [\mathcal{U}_\phi E]$ for any $E \in \mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{U}_\phi$ that

$$\tau(E) = [\mathcal{U}_\phi E] \leq \phi(E) \quad \text{for any} \quad E \in \mathcal{L}.$$

So $\tau \leq \phi$.

Since $\tau \leq \phi$, we have $\tau_\sim \geq \phi_\sim$. So it suffices to show that $\tau_\sim \leq \phi_\sim$. If not, there exists $E \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\tau_\sim(E) \not\leq \phi_\sim(E)$. It follows from the definition of $\tau_\sim$ that there exists $F \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\tau(F) \not\geq E$ and $F \not\leq \phi_\sim(E)$. Thus we can choose non-zero vectors x, y such that $x \in E$ and $x \notin \tau(F)$, $y \in \phi_\sim(E)^\perp$ and $y \notin F^\perp$. From Lemma 3.3, it follows that $x \otimes y \in \mathcal{U}_\phi$. Since $(I - \tau(F))(x \otimes y)F \neq 0$, $x \otimes y \notin \mathcal{U}_\tau$. However it follows from the proof of Theorem H that $\mathcal{U}_\tau = \mathcal{U}_\phi$. This is a contradiction. Accordingly, $\tau_\sim \leq \phi_\sim$ and $\tau_\sim = \phi_\sim$. □

Now we are in the position to show the general tenor product formula of σ-weakly closed $\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_i$-submodules.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(1 = 1, \cdots, n)$ be nests and ϕ_i be order homomorphisms from $\mathcal{L}_i$ into itself. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1} \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{\phi_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem H that $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_i} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$, where $\tau_i(E) = [\mathcal{U}_{\phi_i} E]$ for any $E \in \mathcal{L}_i$. Thus by virtue of Theorem 3.2, we have that

$$\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1} \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{\phi_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1} \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{\tau_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n}.$$

So it suffices to show $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$. Since $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$ is a completely distributive CSL ([2, Proposition 2.7]), it follows from [10] Theorem 3 that the rank-one operators of $\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ are σ-weakly dense in $\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$. So it is routine to show that the linear spans of rank-one operators in $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$ are σ-weakly dense in $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$ respectively. From Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, it follows that $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$ have the same rank-one operators. Therefore $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\phi_1} \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{\phi_n} = \mathcal{U}_{\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n}$. □

Remark 3.8. Theorem 2.5 is a particular case of Theorem 3.2. In [9], Theorem 2.2 shows that $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ are reflexive subspaces. Combining the above result, we know that the tensor product of $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_i}$ is also reflexive. It is natural to ask whether the tensor product of reflexive subspaces is also reflexive. This seems a challenging problem.

References

[1] J.A.Erdos and S.C.Power, Weakly closed ideals of nest algebras, J.Operator Theory 7(1982), 219–235. MR0658610 (84a:47056)

[2] F.Gilfeather, A.Hopenwasser and D.Larson, Reflexive algebras with finite width lattices: tensor products, cohomology, compact perturbation, J.Funct.Anal.55(1984), 176–199. MR0733915 (85g:47062)

[3] Han Deguang, On $\mathcal{A}$-submodules for reflexive operator algebras, Proc.Amer.Math. Soc. 104(1988), 1067–1070. MR0969048 (90a:47109)

[4] A.Hopenwasser and J.Kraus, Tensor products of reflexive algebras II, J.London Math.Soc. (2)28 (1983), 359–362. MR0713390 (85g:47063b)

[5] A.Hopenwasser, C.Laurie and R.Moore, Reflexive algebras with completely distributive subspace lattices, J.Operator Theory 11(1984), 91–108. MR0739795 (85m:47047)

[6] J.Kraus, W^*-dynamical systems and reflexive operator algebras, J.Operator Theory 8 (1982), 181–194. MR0670184 (83m:46097)

[7] J.Kraus, The slice map problem for σ-weakly closed subspaces of von Neumann algebras, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. 279(1983), 357–376. MR0704620 (85e:46036)

[8] J.Kraus, Tensor products of reflexive algebras, J.London Math. Soc.(2)28 (1983), 350–358. MR0713389 (85g:47063a)

[9] J.Kraus and D.Larson, Reflexivity and distance formulae, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)53(1986), 340–356. MR0850224 (87m:47100)

[10] C.Laurie and W.Longstaff, A note on rank-one operators in reflexive algebras, Proc.Amer. Math.Soc.89(1983),293–297. MR0712641 (85b:47052)

[11] J.Tomiyama, Applications of Fubini type theorem to the tensor products of C^*-algebras, Tôhoku Math.J. 19(1967), 213–226. MR0218906 (36:1990)

[12] J.Tomoyama, Tensor products and projections of norm one in von Neumann algebras, Lecture notes, University of Copenhagen, 1970.

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: dongzhe@zju.edu.cn