

## QUASI-HYPERBOLIC PLANES IN HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

MARIO BONK AND BRUCE KLEINER

(Communicated by Ronald A. Fintushel)

ABSTRACT. The hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$  admits a quasi-isometric embedding into every hyperbolic group which is not virtually free.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem which answers a question posed by P. Papasoglu:

**Theorem 1.** *The hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$  admits a quasi-isometric embedding into a hyperbolic group if and only if the group is not virtually free.*

A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between two metric spaces  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  is called a *quasi-isometric embedding* if there exist constants  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $K \geq 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}d_X(x, y) - K \leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda d_X(x, y) + K$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . A group is *virtually free* if it contains a free subgroup of finite index. We refer to [9] for the definition of hyperbolic groups and related concepts from the theory of Gromov hyperbolic spaces. Every Gromov hyperbolic space  $X$  has a boundary  $\partial_\infty X$  which carries a class of canonical *visual metrics*. These metrics are bi-Lipschitz equivalent to distance functions of the form

$$d_{w,\epsilon}(a, b) = \exp(-\epsilon(a, b)_w), \quad a, b \in \partial_\infty X,$$

where  $w \in X$  is a base point,  $\epsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, and  $(a, b)_w$  denotes the Gromov product of the points  $a$  and  $b$  with respect to  $w$  (cf. [9, Ch. 7]).

**Corollary 2.** *The boundary of a hyperbolic group (equipped with any visual metric) contains a quasi-circle if and only if the group is not virtually free.*

By definition a *quasi-circle* is a metric circle which admits a quasisymmetric parametrization by the unit circle  $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  (see [10] for the definition and basic facts about quasisymmetric maps). Since the boundary of a virtually free group is totally disconnected, the “only if” part of the corollary is obvious.

One of the main ingredients in the proof of the theorem is a result by Tukia [14] which insures the existence of quasi-arcs with given endpoints inside certain subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (a *quasi-arc* is a quasisymmetric image of the interval  $[0, 1]$ ). The authors thank Juha Heinonen for drawing their attention to Tukia’s paper, which allowed them to substantially shorten the proof of the next proposition.

---

Received by the editors January 21, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F67.

The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0200566.

The second author was supported by NSF grants DMS-9972047 and DMS-0204506.

To state this proposition, we need two more definitions. A metric space  $Z$  is *doubling* if there exists a constant  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following property: If  $B$  is an arbitrary ball in  $Z$  and  $R$  is its radius, then  $B$  can be covered by  $N$  balls of radius  $R/2$ . The metric space  $Z$  is *linearly connected* if there exists a constant  $L$  such that for all  $x, y \in Z$  there is a connected subset  $S \subset Z$  of diameter at most  $Ld(x, y)$  containing  $\{x, y\}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *If  $X$  is a complete, doubling, and linearly connected metric space, then any two distinct points in  $X$  are the endpoints of a quasi-arc.*

*Proof.* Let  $d$  denote the metric on  $X$ , and pick  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $X$  is doubling, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the “ $\alpha$ -snowflaked” metric space  $(X, d^\alpha)$  can be embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (equipped with the usual metric) by a bi-Lipschitz mapping (this follows from Assouad’s Embedding Theorem [1, 2.6. Prop.]; see [10, Thm. 12.2] for the version of this theorem used here). Let  $Z$  denote the image of such an embedding. Then  $Z$  is complete and linearly connected, since  $X$  has these properties. Hence any two distinct points in  $Z$  are the endpoints of a quasi-arc in  $Z$  (this is [14, Thm 1A] expressed in our terminology; see the introduction of [14] for a discussion). Since quasi-arcs in  $Z$  pull back to quasi-arcs in  $X$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *If  $G$  is a 1-ended hyperbolic group, then  $\partial_\infty G$  equipped with any visual metric  $d$  is compact, doubling, connected, and linearly connected.*

*Proof.* It is easy to show that  $\partial_\infty G$  is compact [9, p. 123, 9. Prop.] and doubling [4, Sect. 9]. Since the group  $G$  is 1-ended, its boundary  $\partial_\infty G$  is connected.

It remains to prove linear connectedness (note that this is a stronger quantitative version of local connectedness which was established in this context in [2, Prop. 3.3]). Given two points  $x$  and  $y$  in a metric space  $(Z, d)$ , and  $\lambda > 0$ , a  $\lambda$ -chain from  $x$  to  $y$  is a sequence of points  $x = z_1, \dots, z_k = y$  such that  $d(z_i, z_{i+1}) \leq \lambda$  for all  $1 \leq i < k$ . The length of a  $\lambda$ -chain is the number of points in the chain.

**Lemma 5.** *There is a number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x, y \in \partial_\infty G$  there is a  $\frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$ -chain of length at most  $N$  from  $x$  to  $y$ .*

*Proof.* If not, there are sequences  $\{x_k\}$  and  $\{y_k\}$  in  $\partial_\infty G$  such that the shortest  $\frac{1}{2}d(x_j, y_j)$ -chain from  $x_j$  to  $y_j$  has length  $j$ . The boundary  $\partial_\infty G$  is compact and connected, so clearly  $r_j := d(x_j, y_j) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . In view of the doubling property, the sequence  $(\partial_\infty G, \frac{1}{r_j}d, x_j)$  of pointed metric spaces subconverges to a limit  $(W, d_W, x_\infty)$  with respect to pointed Gromov-Hausdorff convergence [7, Thm. 8.1.10]. We can then find a point  $y_\infty \in W$  such that  $d_W(x_\infty, y_\infty) = 1$  and there is no  $\lambda$ -chain from  $x_\infty$  to  $y_\infty$  for any  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ . This implies that  $W$  is not connected. By [3, Lemma 5.2], the limit space  $W$  is homeomorphic to  $\partial_\infty G \setminus \{z\}$  for some  $z \in \partial_\infty G$ , and so  $z$  is a “global cut point” of  $\partial_\infty G$ .

On the other hand, it is a well-known (and deep) fact if  $\partial_\infty G$  is connected, then  $\partial_\infty G$  has no global cut points (see [13], [6, Thm. 9.3], [5, Cor. 0.3]). This is a contradiction.  $\square$

Now suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are arbitrary points in  $\partial_\infty G$ . By the lemma we can find a  $\frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$ -chain  $S_1 = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$  which joins  $x$  to  $y$  and has length  $k \leq N$ . Now define  $S_2$  by adding, for each  $1 \leq i < k$ , the points in a  $\frac{1}{2}d(z_i, z_{i+1})$ -chain joining  $z_i$  to  $z_{i+1}$ . Repeating this process inductively, we obtain a nested sequence of sets  $S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_j \subset \dots$ . The closure  $S$  of the union  $\bigcup_j S_j$  will be a connected set

containing  $x$  and  $y$  whose diameter does not exceed  $Ld(x, y)$ , where  $L$  is a constant independent of  $x$  and  $y$ . This shows that  $\partial_\infty G$  is linearly connected.  $\square$

*The proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2.* We first assume that  $G$  a hyperbolic group which is not virtually free, and prove that there is a quasi-isometric embedding  $\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow G$  and a quasi-circle in  $\partial_\infty G$ . Every hyperbolic group is finitely presentable [9, p. 76, 17. Prop.]. Hence there is a finite graph of groups decomposition of  $G$  where all edge groups are finite, and all vertex groups have at most one end [8, Theorem 6.2.14]. Since  $G$  is not virtually free, one of the vertex groups  $G_0$  is 1-ended [8, Theorem 6.2.12]. The group  $G_0$  is quasi-isometrically embedded in  $G$ , since this is true for every vertex group in a graph of groups decomposition with finite edge groups [11, Rem. 3.6]. This implies that  $G_0$  is also a hyperbolic group. So without loss of generality we may assume that  $G$  itself is 1-ended.

Let  $\partial_\infty G$  denote the boundary of  $G$  equipped with a visual metric. By Proposition 4, the hypotheses of Proposition 3 are satisfied for  $\partial_\infty G$ . Hence there is a quasisymmetric map  $[0, 1] \rightarrow \partial_\infty G$ . Since  $[0, 1]$  is quasisymmetrically homeomorphic to the boundary of a hyperbolic half-plane  $\mathbb{H}_+^2 \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ , we conclude that there is a quasi-isometric embedding  $\mathbb{H}_+^2 \rightarrow G$  (see the proof of Prop. 4.2 in [12], for example). In particular, one can quasi-isometrically embed arbitrarily large balls  $B \subset \mathbb{H}^2$  into  $G$  with uniform constants for the quasi-isometric embeddings. By pre-composing with isometries in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , post-composing with left translations in the group  $G$ , and applying a compactness argument based on the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem, we can obtain a quasi-isometric embedding  $\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow G$  as a limit. A quasi-isometric embedding of a Gromov hyperbolic space  $X$  into a Gromov hyperbolic space  $Y$  induces a quasisymmetric embedding of  $\partial_\infty X$  into  $\partial_\infty Y$  (see [4, Thm. 6.5], where this is essentially proved); since  $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$  is quasisymmetrically equivalent to  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , we deduce that the boundary  $\partial_\infty G$  contains a quasi-circle.

Now suppose  $G$  is virtually free. It follows that  $\partial_\infty G$  is totally disconnected, and therefore cannot contain a quasi-circle. This then implies that there is no quasi-isometric embedding  $\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow G$ .

This completes the proofs of the theorem and corollary.  $\square$

*Remarks.* There are various open questions that are related to our theorem. For example, Papasoglu has asked if every one-ended finitely presented group  $G$  contains a quasi-plane—the image of a uniform embedding  $P \rightarrow G$ , where  $P$  is a complete Riemannian plane of bounded geometry. A problem due to Gromov is whether every 1-ended hyperbolic group  $G$  is the target of a homomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow G$ , where  $S$  is a surface group and  $\phi$  does not factor through a free group.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Assouad, *Plongements lipschitziens dans  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **111** (1983), 429–448. MR0763553 (86f:54050)
2. M. Bestvina and G. Mess, *The boundary of negatively curved groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 469–481. MR1096169 (93j:20076)
3. M. Bonk and B. Kleiner, *Rigidity for quasi-Möbius group actions*, J. Differential Geom. **61** (2002), no. 1, 81–106. MR1949785 (2004b:53059)
4. M. Bonk and O. Schramm, *Embeddings of Gromov hyperbolic spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), 266–306. MR1771428 (2001g:53077)
5. B. H. Bowditch, *Connectedness properties of limit sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 3673–3686. MR1624089 (2000d:20056)

6. B.H. Bowditch, *Boundaries of strongly accessible hyperbolic groups*, The Epstein birthday schrift, Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 1, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 1998, pp. 51–97 (electronic). MR1668331 (2000d:20059)
7. D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov, *A course in metric geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. MR1835418 (2002e:53053)
8. D.J. Collins and H. Zieschang, *Combinatorial group theory and fundamental groups*, Algebra VII. Combinatorial group theory. Applications to Geometry, Encycl. Math. Sci. 58, Springer, Berlin, 1993, pp. 1–166. MR1265270
9. É. Ghys and P. de la Harpe (eds.), *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990. MR1086648 (92f:53050)
10. J. Heinonen, *Lectures on analysis on metric spaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR1800917 (2002c:30028)
11. I. Kapovich, *Quasiconvexity and amalgams*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **7** (1997), 771–811. MR1482968 (98k:20057)
12. F. Paulin, *Un groupe hyperbolique est déterminé par son bord*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **54** (1996), no. 1, 50–74. MR1395067 (97d:20042)
13. G.A. Swarup, *On the cut point conjecture*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1996), 98–100 (electronic). MR1412948 (97f:20048)
14. P. Tukia, *Spaces and arcs of bounded turning*, Michigan Math. J. **43** (1996), 559–584. MR1420592 (98a:30028)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109-1109

*E-mail address:* mbonk@umich.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109-1109

*E-mail address:* bkleiner@umich.edu