

## ON THE BERGMAN METRIC OF PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

BO-YONG CHEN

(Communicated by Mei-Chi Shaw)

ABSTRACT. We prove a localization principle of the Bergman kernel form and metric for  $C^2$  pseudoconvex domains in the complex projective space. An estimate of the Bergman distance is also given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a complex  $n$ -dimensional manifold. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space of holomorphic  $n$ -forms on  $M$  such that  $|\int_M f \wedge \bar{f}| < \infty$ . Let  $h_0, h_1, \dots$  be a complete orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the  $2n$ -form defined on  $M \times M$  given by  $K_M = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j \wedge \bar{h}_j$  is called the *Bergman kernel* of  $M$ . Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be a local coordinate system in  $M$  and let  $K_M(z) = K_M^*(z) dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n$  where  $K_M^*$  is a locally defined function. Thus  $\beta_M := \partial\bar{\partial} \log K_M^*$  is a well-defined Hermitian form of bidegree  $(1,1)$ , whenever  $K_M^*$  is nonzero. We say that  $M$  possesses a *Bergman metric* iff  $\beta_M$  is everywhere positive definite. The following localization principle for the Bergman kernel (metric) is well known.

**Theorem** (cf. [17], [9]). *Let  $\Omega \subset\subset \mathbf{C}^n$  be pseudoconvex. Then for any two neighborhoods  $V \subset\subset U$  of a boundary point  $p$  there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\Omega}(x) &\geq C \cdot K_{\Omega \cap U}(x), \\ \beta_{\Omega}(x; X) &\geq C \cdot \beta_{\Omega \cap U}(x; X) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \Omega \cap V$  and  $X \in T_x^{1,0}(\Omega)$ .

It is natural to ask on which pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  the localization principle holds. (Notice that the complement of a hypersurface in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  is pseudoconvex; however, the Bergman kernel form vanishes.) In this direction, Diederich and Oh-sawa [11] proved the localization principle for another Bergman kernel (metric), which is induced by square-integrable holomorphic functions with respect to the Fubini-Study metric on any pseudoconvex domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  such that the interior of its complement is not empty. Such a Bergman metric is not invariant under biholomorphic mappings. In this note, we will show

---

Received by the editors January 23, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32A25.

*Key words and phrases*. Bergman kernel form, Bergman metric, complex projective space.

This work was supported by JSPS.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a pseudoconvex domain with  $C^2$  boundary in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Then there is a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that for any two neighborhoods  $V \subset\subset U$  of a boundary point  $p$ , one has*

$$\begin{aligned} |K_\Omega(x)|_{FS} &\geq C \cdot \frac{|K_{\Omega \cap U}(x)|_{FS}}{|\log \delta_\Omega(x)|^\gamma}, \\ \beta_\Omega(x; X) &\geq C \cdot \frac{\beta_{\Omega \cap U}(x; X)}{|\log \delta_\Omega(x)|^\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \Omega \cap V$  and  $X \in T_x^{1,0}(\Omega)$ . Here  $\delta_\Omega$  (resp.  $|\cdot|_{FS}$ ) denotes the boundary distance (resp. length) w.r.t. the Fubini-Study metric  $ds_{FS}^2$ .

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem [19], we obtain

**Corollary.** *Let  $\Omega$  be as above. Then*

$$|K_\Omega(x)|_{FS} \geq C \cdot \delta_\Omega(x)^{-2} |\log \delta_\Omega|^{-\gamma}.$$

We mention that Theorem 1 cannot be generalized to an arbitrary fat pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  as the following example shows.

**Example.** We consider the following domain:

$$\Omega = \{[z_0, z_1, z_2] \in \mathbf{P}^2 : |z_1| < |z_0|\}$$

where  $(z_0, z_1, z_2)$  denote homogeneous coordinates in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . Note that  $\Omega$  is biholomorphic to the product of the unit disc and the complex plane via

$$\zeta_1 = z_1/z_0, \quad \zeta_2 = z_2/z_0.$$

Hence  $\Omega$  is a fat pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , but the Bergman metric is degenerate.

However, the estimate of the Bergman metric in Theorem 1 does not imply the completeness. It was shown in [5] that every hyperconvex manifold is Bergman complete, while every  $C^2$  pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  is hyperconvex [18]; hence it is Bergman complete. We mention that there are a lot of works concerning the completeness of  $\beta_M$  (cf. [4], [5], [6], [12], [13], [14], [15], [17], [20]). On the other hand, Diederich and Ohsawa [10] proved that the Bergman distance for a bounded  $C^2$  pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  has a lower bound of a constant multiple  $\log |\log \delta_\Omega|$ . This lower bound was improved by Blocki [3] to  $|\log \delta_\Omega| / \log |\log \delta_\Omega|$ . We extend his result to the complex projective space.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a pseudoconvex domain with  $C^2$  boundary. Then*

$$\text{dist}_\Omega(x_0, x) \geq \frac{C |\log \delta_\Omega(x)|}{\log |\log \delta_\Omega(x)|},$$

where  $\text{dist}_\Omega(x_0, x)$  denotes the Bergman distance between  $x_0$  and  $x$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $y \in \Omega$  be arbitrary fixed. Take a smooth function  $\kappa : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\kappa|_{(-\infty, 1/2]} = 1$  and  $\kappa|_{[1, \infty)} = 0$ . Let  $d_{FS}(x, y)$  denote the Fubini-Study distance between two points  $x, y$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Let  $r$  be the injectivity radius of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and  $c$  be the upper bound of the sectional curvature. Set

$$\phi_y(x) = \kappa(d_{FS}(x, y)/r_0) \log(d_{FS}(x, y)/r_0) - 1$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{r, \pi/2c\}$ . By the Hessian comparison theorem (cf. [12]), there is a positive constant  $C_1$  independent of  $y$  such that

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_y(x) \geq -C_1 ds_{FS}^2.$$

From now on we assume  $r_0 = 1$  for the sake of simplicity. By [18], there exists a smooth and strictly psh function  $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (-1, 0)$  such that  $|\rho| \approx \delta_\Omega^\tau$  for some  $0 < \tau < 1$  and

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\rho \geq C_2 |\rho| ds_{FS}^2$$

where  $C_2$  is a positive constant. Choose a cut-off function  $\chi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $[-1/2, 1/2]$  and  $\chi \equiv 0$  on  $[1, +\infty) \cup (-\infty, -1]$ . For any  $y \in M$ , we set

$$\varphi_y = \chi(\log(-\log(-\rho)) - \log(-\log(-\rho(y)))) \phi_y.$$

Following an idea of [10], we will show

**Lemma 3.** *There exists a sufficiently large constant  $b$  such that for any  $y \in \Omega$  satisfying  $|\rho(y)| < 2^{-e}$ , we have*

- (i)  $\varphi_y - \log(-\phi_y) - b \log(-\rho)$  is  $C^2$  strictly psh on  $\Omega \setminus \{y\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi_y$  has a logarithmic pole at  $y$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{supp } \varphi_y \subset \{x \in \Omega : |\rho(y)|^e \leq |\rho(x)| \leq |\rho(y)|^{1/e}\}$ .

*Proof.* (ii), (iii) are trivial. We only need to show (i). By a straightforward computation, we obtain on  $\Omega \setminus \{y\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_y &= \frac{\phi_y}{\log(-\rho)} \left( \chi''(\cdot) \frac{\partial \log(-\rho) \bar{\partial} \log(-\rho)}{\log(-\rho)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \chi'(\cdot) \frac{\partial \log(-\rho) \bar{\partial} \log(-\rho)}{\log(-\rho)} + \chi'(\cdot) \partial\bar{\partial} \log(-\rho) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\chi'(\cdot) \phi_y}{\log(-\rho)} \left( \partial \log(-\rho) \frac{\bar{\partial} \phi_y}{\phi_y} + \frac{\partial \phi_y}{\phi_y} \bar{\partial} \log(-\rho) \right) \\ &\quad + \chi(\cdot) \partial\bar{\partial} \phi_y. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for any constant  $\theta > 0$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\pm 2 \text{Re} \left\{ \partial \log(-\rho) \frac{\bar{\partial} \phi_y}{\phi_y} \right\} \\ &\leq \theta \partial \log(-\rho) \bar{\partial} \log(-\rho) + \theta^{-1} \partial \log(-\phi_y) \bar{\partial} \log(-\phi_y). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $-\rho(y) < 2^{-e}$ , it follows from (iii) that  $-\rho(x) < 1/2$  on  $\text{supp } \varphi_y$ . Hence there is a positive constant  $C_3$  depending only on the choice of  $\chi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_y &\geq -\frac{C_3 |\phi_y|}{|\log(-\rho)|} \{ \partial\bar{\partial}(-\log(-\rho)) + \theta \partial \log(-\rho) \bar{\partial} \log(-\rho) \\ &\quad + \theta^{-1} \partial \log(-\phi_y) \bar{\partial} \log(-\phi_y) \} - C_1 ds_{FS}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \chi'(\cdot) &\subset \{x \in \Omega : |\rho(y)|^e \leq |\rho(x)| \leq |\rho(y)|^{\sqrt{e}} \\ &\quad \text{or } |\rho(y)|^{1/\sqrt{e}} \leq |\rho(x)| \leq |\rho(y)|^{1/e}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$|\rho(x) - \rho(y)| \geq \frac{|\rho(x)|}{2}$$

on  $\text{supp } \chi'(\cdot)$ . Since  $|\rho| \approx \delta_\Omega^r$ ,  $|\rho(x) - \rho(y)| = O(d_{FS}(x, y)^r)$ . By the above inequality, there is a constant  $C_4 > 0$  independent of  $y$  so that

$$|\phi_y| \leq C_4 |\log(-\rho)|$$

holds on  $\text{supp } \chi'(\cdot)$ . On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\bar{\partial}(-\log(-\rho)) &= \frac{\partial\bar{\partial}\rho}{|\rho|} + \partial\log(-\rho)\bar{\partial}\log(-\rho) \\ &\geq C_2 ds_{FS}^2 + \partial\log(-\rho)\bar{\partial}\log(-\rho), \\ \partial\bar{\partial}(-\log(-\phi_y)) &= \frac{\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_y}{|\phi_y|} + \partial\log(-\phi_y)\bar{\partial}\log(-\phi_y) \\ &\geq -C_1 ds_{FS}^2 + \partial\log(-\phi_y)\bar{\partial}\log(-\phi_y) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\phi_y \leq -1$ . Hence after fixing sufficiently large  $\theta$ , we can choose  $b > 0$  such that (i) holds. The proof is complete.

Let  $g_\Omega$  be the pluricomplex Green function on  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $g_\Omega(x, y) = \sup\{u(x)\}$  where the supremum is taken over all negative functions  $u \in PSH(\Omega)$  satisfying the property that the function  $u - \log|z|$  is bounded from above in a deleted neighborhood of  $y$  for some holomorphic local coordinates  $z$  centered at  $y$ , that is,  $z(y) = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *There is a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$g_\Omega(x, y) > -\frac{c\rho(x)}{\rho(y)} \cdot |\log(-\rho(y))|$$

for any  $x, y \in \Omega$  with  $|\rho(x)| \leq |\rho(y)|/2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon = |\rho(y)|^\epsilon$  and set

$$\lambda_y = \varphi_y - \log(-\phi_y) - b\log(-\rho) + 2b\log\epsilon.$$

By Lemma 3,  $\lambda_y$  is a negative psh function on  $\Omega_{\epsilon^2} = \{x \in \Omega : |\rho(x)| > \epsilon^2\}$ . Set

$$\eta_y = \begin{cases} \max\{\lambda_y, c_y(\rho + \epsilon^2)\}, & |\rho| \leq \epsilon, \\ \lambda_y, & |\rho| > \epsilon, \end{cases}$$

where

$$c_y = -\frac{1}{\epsilon - \epsilon^2} \cdot \inf_{|\rho(x)|=\epsilon} \lambda_y(x).$$

Then  $\eta_y$  is a well-defined negative psh function on  $\Omega_{\epsilon^2}$  such that

$$\eta_y(x) \geq c_y \rho(x) \geq C_5 \rho(x) |\log \epsilon| / (\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \geq -C_6$$

for all  $|\rho(x)| \leq \epsilon^{3/2}$ . Here  $C_5, C_6$  are positive constants independent of  $y$ . Set

$$\tilde{\rho} = -C_6 \frac{\log(-\rho + \epsilon^2) - \log(2\epsilon^2)}{\log(\epsilon^{3/2} + \epsilon^2) - \log(2\epsilon^2)}.$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{\rho}$  is a psh function on  $\Omega$  such that  $\tilde{\rho} \leq C_7$  where  $C_7$  is independent of  $\epsilon$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}(x) &= -C_6 \leq \eta_y(x), & \text{if } |\rho(x)| = \epsilon^{3/2}, \\ \tilde{\rho}(x) &= 0 = \eta_y(x), & \text{if } |\rho(x)| = \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the function defined by

$$\mu_y = \begin{cases} \eta_y, & \text{on } \{|\rho| > \epsilon^{3/2}\}, \\ \max\{\eta_y, \tilde{\rho}\}, & \text{on } \{\epsilon^2 \leq |\rho| \leq \epsilon^{3/2}\}, \\ \tilde{\rho}, & \text{on } \{|\rho| < \epsilon^2\} \end{cases}$$

is well-defined, psh on  $\Omega$  and has a logarithmic pole at  $y$ . Set

$$\nu_y = \begin{cases} \max\{\mu_y - C_7, \tilde{c}_y \rho\}, & \rho(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho(y), \\ \mu_y - C_7, & \rho(x) < \frac{1}{2}\rho(y), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\tilde{c}_y = \frac{2}{\rho(y)} \inf_{\rho(x)=\frac{1}{2}\rho(y)} (\mu_y(x) - C_7).$$

If  $\rho(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho(y)$ , then we have

$$\frac{|\rho(y)|}{2} \leq |\rho(x) - \rho(y)| \leq c' \cdot d_{FS}(x, y)^\tau$$

for a suitable constant  $c' > 0$ , which implies

$$\tilde{c}_y \leq \frac{C_8 |\log(-\rho(y))|}{|\rho(y)|}.$$

Hence

$$g_\Omega(x, y) \geq \tilde{c}_y \rho(x) \geq -C_8 \frac{\rho(x)}{\rho(y)} |\log(-\rho(y))|.$$

The following is the key step in proving Theorem 2. The main idea comes from [3].

**Proposition 5.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $y \in \Omega$  with  $|\rho(y)| < e^{-1}$  one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x \in \Omega : g_\Omega(x, y) < -1\} \\ \subset & \{x \in \Omega : C^{-1} |\rho(y)| \cdot |\log(-\rho(y))|^{-1} \leq |\rho(x)| \leq C |\rho(y)| \cdot |\log(-\rho(y))|^n\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From [2], we know that for any nonnegative psh functions  $u, v$  defined on a smooth bounded domain  $D$  in a Stein manifold with  $u|_{\partial D} = 0$ , then

$$\int_D |u|^n (dd^c v)^n \leq n! \|v\|_\infty^{n-1} \int_D |v| (dd^c u)^n$$

where  $d^c = i(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ . Fix arbitrary  $x, y \in \Omega$  with  $\rho(x) \leq 2\rho(y)$ . Set  $\epsilon = |\rho(y)|^e$  and  $\alpha = -\frac{2}{\tau}(b+1) \log \epsilon$ . We exhaust  $\Omega$  by a sequence of smooth strongly pseudoconvex domains  $\Omega_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_j} |g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, y)|^n (dd^c \max\{g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, x), -\alpha\})^n \\ & \leq n! \alpha^{n-1} \int_{\Omega_j} |\max\{g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, x), -\alpha\}| (dd^c g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, y))^n \\ & \leq n! (2\pi)^n \alpha^{n-1} |g_{\Omega_j}(y, x)| \end{aligned}$$

since  $(dd^c g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, y))^n = (2\pi)^n \delta_y$  (cf. [8]). It is also known from [8] that the measure  $(dd^c \max\{g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, x), -\alpha\})^n$  is supported on  $\{g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, x) = -\alpha\}$  with total mass  $(2\pi)^n$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{\{g_\Omega(\cdot, x) = -\alpha\}} |g_\Omega(\cdot, y)|^n &\leftarrow \inf_{\{g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, x) = -\alpha\}} |g_{\Omega_j}(\cdot, y)|^n \\
&\leq n! \alpha^{n-1} |g_{\Omega_j}(y, x)| \\
(1) \quad &\rightarrow n! \alpha^{n-1} |g_\Omega(y, x)|
\end{aligned}$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . According to Lemma 4, one has  $g_\Omega(z, x) > -1$  provided  $|\rho(z)| \leq |\rho(x)|^e$ . On the other hand, for any  $z, x \in \Omega$  with  $|\rho(z)| > |\rho(x)|^e$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
g_\Omega(z, x) &\geq \mu_x - C_7 = \lambda_x - C_7 \\
&\geq \varphi_x(z) - \log(-\phi_x(z)) + 2be \log |\rho(x)| - C_7 \\
&\geq \varphi_x(z) - \log(-\phi_x(z)) + \frac{2b}{\tau} \log \epsilon - C_8,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\{g_\Omega(\cdot, x) = -\alpha\} \subset B(x, \epsilon) := \{d_{FS}(\cdot, x) < \epsilon^{1/\tau}\}$$

provided  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small. Hence, by (1) there exists  $\tilde{x} \in B(x, \epsilon^{1/\tau})$  such that

$$(2) \quad |g_\Omega(\tilde{x}, y)|^n \leq C_9 |\log \epsilon|^{n-1} |g_\Omega(y, x)|.$$

By Bertini's Lemma, one can take a generic hyperplane  $H$  such that it does not contain  $x, \tilde{x}, y$ . On  $\mathbf{P}^n \setminus H$  one can introduce inhomogeneous coordinates  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ . We can also choose  $H$  such that  $|w(x) - w(\tilde{x})| \approx d_{FS}(x, \tilde{x})$  where the implicit constants depend only on  $\Omega$ . One can regard  $\Omega \setminus H$  as an unbounded  $C^2$  pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Set

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \{w \in \Omega \setminus H : w + w(\tilde{x}) - w(x) \in \Omega \setminus H\}.$$

Since  $ds_{FS}^2 = \partial\bar{\partial} \log(1 + |w|^2) \leq \partial\bar{\partial} |w|^2$  on  $\mathbf{P}^n \setminus H$ , there is a constant  $C_{10} > 0$  such that

$$\partial\bar{\partial} \tilde{\Omega} \cap (\Omega \setminus H) \subset \{\delta_\Omega < C_{10} \epsilon^{1/\tau}\}.$$

Therefore,

$$h(w) = \begin{cases} \max\{g_\Omega(w, w(y)), g_\Omega(w + w(\tilde{x}) - w(x), w(y)) - \delta\}, & w \in \tilde{\Omega}, \\ g_\Omega(w, w(y)), & w \in (\Omega \setminus H) \setminus \tilde{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta = \sup_{\delta_\Omega < C_{10} \epsilon^{1/\tau}} |g_\Omega(\cdot, w(y))|$  is a well-defined negative psh function with a logarithmic pole at  $w(y)$  on  $\Omega \setminus H$ . Since  $H$  is an analytic subset,  $h$  extends to a psh function on the whole of  $\Omega$ . Therefore,

$$(3) \quad g_\Omega(x, y) \geq h(w(x)) \geq g_\Omega(\tilde{x}, y) - \delta.$$

By (2), (3), for any  $x, y \in \Omega$  with  $\rho(x) \leq 2\rho(y)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
|g_\Omega(x, y)| &\leq \delta + C_{11} |\log \epsilon|^{1-\frac{1}{n}} |g_\Omega(y, x)|^{1/n} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} + C_{12} \left(\frac{\rho(y)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/n} |\log(-\rho(y))|
\end{aligned}$$

according to Lemma 4. The proof is complete.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We follow the argument as in [10]. Let  $y_1, y_2 \in \Omega$  be two arbitrary points satisfying

$$|\rho(y_2)| < 2^{-e}, \quad C|\rho(y_1)| \cdot |\log(-\rho(y_1))|^n \leq C^{-1}|\rho(y_2)| \cdot |\log(-\rho(y_2))|^{-1}.$$

We take a complete orthonormal basis  $\{h_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $h_j(y_2) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . According to Kobayashi [15], we can immerse  $M$  into the infinite-dimensional complex projective space  $\mathbf{CP}(\mathcal{H})$  via the map

$$\sigma : x \mapsto (h_0(x) : h_1(x) : \cdots).$$

Since each point  $P = (\zeta_0 : \zeta_1 : \cdots)$  in the projective space corresponds to an entire great circle of the unit sphere consisting of points  $(\zeta_0 e^{i\theta}, \zeta_1 e^{i\theta}, \cdots)$ , then the Fubini-Study distance between two points  $P, Q$  is equal to the distance in the spherical geometry between the corresponding great circles. By the choice of the basis, we have  $\sigma(y_2) = (1 : 0 : \cdots)$  and  $\sigma(y_1) = (a_0 : a_1 : \cdots)$  where  $a_j = h_j^*(y_1)/\sqrt{K_\Omega^*(y_1)}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_\Omega(y_1, y_2) &\geq \text{dist}_{FS}(\sigma(y_1), \sigma(y_2)) \\ &\geq \inf_{\theta_1, \theta_2} |e^{i\theta_1}(a_0, a_1, \cdots) - e^{i\theta_2}(1, 0, \cdots)| \\ &= \sqrt{(1 - |a_0|)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty |a_j|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $|a_0| \leq 1/2$ , then  $\text{dist}_\beta(y_1, y_2) \geq 1/2$ . Otherwise, take a smooth function  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $\lambda = 1$  on  $(-\infty, -1]$  and  $\lambda = 0$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \lambda(-\log(-g_\Omega(\cdot, y_1) + 1) + \log 2) h_0, \\ \varphi &= 2n(g_\Omega(\cdot, y_1) + g_\Omega(\cdot, y_2)) - \log(-g_\Omega(\cdot, y_1) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5, we see that  $\{g_\Omega(\cdot, y_1) < -1\} \cap \{g_\Omega(\cdot, y_2) < -1\} = \emptyset$ . By the well-known  $L^2$  estimates (cf. [7], [16]), we can solve the equation  $\bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}\eta$  in such a way that

$$\left| \int_\Omega u \wedge \bar{u} e^{-\varphi} \right| \leq \left| \int_\Omega |\bar{\partial}\lambda|_{\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}^2 h_0 \wedge \bar{h}_0 e^{-\varphi} \right| \leq C_{12}$$

since  $\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \geq (-g_\Omega(\cdot, y_1) + 1)^{-2} \partial g_\Omega(\cdot, y_1) \bar{\partial} g_\Omega(\cdot, y_1)$  holds in the sense of distribution. Therefore,  $F = \eta - u$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  and satisfies  $F(y_1) = h_0(y_1)$ ,  $F(y_2) = 0$  and

$$\left| \int_\Omega F \wedge \bar{F} \right| \leq C_{13}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_\Omega(y_1, y_2) &\geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^\infty |a_j|^2} \geq \sqrt{\frac{F(y_1) \wedge \bar{F}(y_1)}{C_{13} K_\Omega(y_1)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{h_0(y_1) \wedge \bar{h}_0(y_1)}{C_{13} K_\Omega(y_1)}} = \frac{|a_0|}{\sqrt{C_{13}}} \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{C_{13}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k$  are finite increasing positive numbers such that  $c_k \leq 2^{-e}$  and

$$C^{-1} c_k |\log c_k|^{-1} = C c_{k-1} |\log c_{k-1}|^n,$$

then

$$c_k \leq C^2 c_{k-1} |\log c_{k-1}|^n \leq C^4 c_{k-2} |\log c_{k-2}|^{2n} \leq \cdots \leq C^{2k} c_0 |\log c_0|^{nk}.$$

Given  $y \in \Omega$ , fix a point  $y_0$  with  $|\rho(y_0)| = 2^{-e}$ . Take a Bergman geodesic  $l$  connecting  $y_0, y$ . Let  $c_0 = |\rho(y)|$ ,  $c_k = 2^{-e}$ . Take  $y_i \in l$  with  $|\rho(y_i)| = c_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Then

$$\text{dist}_\Omega(y_0, y) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{dist}_\Omega(y_i, y_{i+1}) \geq C_{14}k,$$

from which the desired estimate follows.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $y \in \Omega \cap V$  be an arbitrary point with  $|\rho(y)| < e^{-1}$ . Set  $\tilde{\epsilon} = C^{-1}|\rho(y)| \cdot |\log(-\rho(y))|^{-1}$  and  $\Omega_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \{x \in \Omega : |\rho(x)| > \tilde{\epsilon}\}$ . Here  $C$  is the constant in Proposition 5. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_y &= \varphi_y - \log(-\phi_y) - b \log(-\rho) + b \log \tilde{\epsilon}, \\ \psi_y &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_y, g_\Omega(\cdot, y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\psi_y$  is a negative psh function with a logarithmic pole at  $y$  on  $\Omega_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$  such that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \{x \in \Omega_{\tilde{\epsilon}} : \psi_y(x) < -1\} &\subset \{x \in \Omega_{\tilde{\epsilon}} : g_\Omega(x, y) < -1\} \\ &\subset \{x \in \Omega_{\tilde{\epsilon}} : |\rho(x)| \leq C|\rho(y)| \cdot |\log(-\rho(y))|^n\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any  $0 < \tilde{r} < r_0$ , there is a positive constant  $\tilde{C}$  depending only on  $\tilde{r}$  such that

$$(5) \quad \psi_y(x) \geq \tilde{\lambda}_y(x) \geq -\tilde{C} - b(n+1) \log |\log(-\rho(y))|, \quad \forall x \in \{\psi_y < -1\} \setminus B(y, \tilde{r}).$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $B(y, 2\tilde{r}) \subset U$ . Choose a holomorphic  $n$ -form  $f$  on  $\Omega \cap U$  with unit  $L^2$ -norm such that  $f \wedge \bar{f}(y) = K_{\Omega \cap U}(y)$ . Let  $\kappa, \lambda$  be the cut-off functions as above. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_y &= 2n\psi_y - \log(-\psi_y + 1), \\ v &= \bar{\partial}(\lambda(-\log(-\psi_y + 1) + \log 2) \kappa(d_{FS}(\cdot, y)/2\tilde{r})f) \end{aligned}$$

on  $\Omega_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ . We recall the following  $L^2$  estimate:

**Theorem** (cf. [10], [1]). *Let  $M$  be a Stein manifold. Let  $\varphi, \psi$  be psh functions such that  $r\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \partial\psi\bar{\partial}\psi$  holds in the sense of distribution for some  $0 < r < 1$ . Then for any  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $(n, 1)$  form  $v$  with  $\int_M |v|_{\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi+\psi)}^2 e^{\psi-\varphi} < +\infty$ , there exists an  $(n, 0)$  form  $u$  on  $M$  such that  $\bar{\partial}u = v$  and*

$$\left| \int_M u \wedge \bar{u} e^{\psi-\varphi} \right| \leq C_r \int_M |v|_{\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi+\psi)}^2 e^{\psi-\varphi}.$$

We apply this theorem with  $\psi = -\frac{1}{2} \log(-\rho)$ ,  $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}_y + \psi$  to get a solution  $u$  of  $\bar{\partial}u = v$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} u \wedge \bar{u} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}_y} \right| \leq \tilde{C}_2 |\log(-\rho(y))|^\gamma \leq \tilde{C}_3 |\log \delta_\Omega(y)|^\gamma$$

because of (4), (5) and

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\varphi}_y + \psi) \geq \partial \log(-\psi_y + 1) \bar{\partial} \log(-\psi_y + 1) + \frac{C_1}{2} ds_{FS}^2.$$



Here  $\gamma > 0$  depends only on  $b$ ,  $n$  and  $\tilde{C}_2, \tilde{C}_3$  are independent of  $y$ . Thus we obtain a holomorphic  $n$ -form

$$F = \lambda(-\log(-\psi_y + 1) + \log 2) \kappa(d_{FS}(\cdot, y)/2\tilde{r})f - u$$

on  $\Omega_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$  such that  $F \wedge \bar{F}(y) = K_{\Omega \cap U}(y)$  and its  $L^2$ -norm is bounded above by a constant multiple of  $|\log \delta_{\Omega}(y)|^{\gamma}$ . Finally, we apply the above theorem with

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(-g_{\Omega}(\cdot, y) + 1), \\ \varphi &= 2ng_{\Omega}(\cdot, y) + \psi \end{aligned}$$

to get a solution of

$$\bar{\partial}u = v := \bar{\partial}(\lambda(-\log(-g_{\Omega}(\cdot, y) + 1) + \log 2)F)$$

on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u \wedge \bar{u} e^{-2ng_{\Omega}(\cdot, y)} \right| \leq \tilde{C}_4 \left| \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}} F \wedge \bar{F} \right|.$$

Set  $\tilde{F} = \lambda(-\log(-g_{\Omega}(\cdot, y) + 1) + \log 2)F - u$ . Then  $\tilde{F}$  is a holomorphic  $n$ -form on  $\Omega$  satisfying  $\tilde{F}(y) = F(y)$  and the  $L^2$ -norm bounded above by a constant multiple of  $|\log \delta_{\Omega}(y)|^{\gamma}$ , from which we obtain the estimate of the Bergman kernel. The argument for the Bergman metric is similar.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Professor Takeo Ohsawa for posing the question and to the Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University for its hospitality. He also thanks the referee for his/her careful reading and valuable remarks on the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Berndtsson and Ph. Charpentier, *A Sobolev mapping property of the Bergman kernel*, Math. Z. **235** (2000), 1–10. MR1785069 (2002a:32039)
- [2] Z. Blocki, *Estimates for the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. **41** (1993), 151–157. MR1414762 (97j:32009)
- [3] ———, *The Bergman metric and the pluricomplex Green function*, MPI (Leipzig) preprint no: 85 (2002).
- [4] Z. Blocki and P. Pflug, *Hyperconvexity and Bergman completeness*, Nagoya Math. J. **151** (1998), 221–225. MR1650305 (2000b:32065)
- [5] B. Y. Chen, *Bergman completeness of hyperconvex manifolds*, preprint.
- [6] B. Y. Chen and J. H. Zhang, *The Bergman metric on a Stein manifold with a bounded plurisubharmonic function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 2997–3009. MR1897387 (2003c:32014)
- [7] J. P. Demailly, *Estimations  $L^2$  pour l'opérateur d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **15** (1982), 457–511. MR0690650 (85d:32057)
- [8] ———, *Mesures de Monge-Ampère et mesures pluriharmoniques*, Math. Z. **194** (1987), 519–564. MR0881709 (88g:32034)
- [9] K. Diederich, J. E. Fornæss and G. Herbort, *Boundary behavior of the Bergman metric*, Complex Analysis of Several Variables (Madison, Wis., 1982), 59–67, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **41**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984. MR0740872 (85j:32039)
- [10] K. Diederich and T. Ohsawa, *An estimate for the Bergman distance on pseudoconvex domains*, Ann. of Math. **141** (1995), 181–190. MR1314035 (95j:32039)
- [11] ———, *On pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbf{P}^n$* , Tokyo J. Math. **21** (1998), 353–358. MR1663574 (99k:32024)

- [12] R. E. Greene and H. Wu, *Function theory on manifolds which possess a pole*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **699**, Springer-Verlag, 1979. MR0521983 (81a:53002)
- [13] G. Herbort, *The Bergman metric on hyperconvex domains*, Math. Z. **232** (1999), 183–196. MR1714284 (2000i:32020)
- [14] M. Jarnicki and P. Pflug, *Bergman completeness of complete circular domains*, Ann. Pol. Math. Vol 50 (1989), 219–222. MR1044868 (91f:32026)
- [15] S. Kobayashi, *Geometry of bounded domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1959), 267–290. MR0112162 (22:3017)
- [16] T. Ohsawa, *Boundary behavior of the Bergman kernel function on pseudoconvex domains*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **20** (1984), 897–902. MR0764336 (86d:32025)
- [17] ———, *On the completeness of the Bergman metric*, Proc. Jap. Acad. Sci. 57, Ser. A (1981), 238–240. MR0618233 (82j:32053)
- [18] T. Ohsawa and N. Sibony, *Bounded p.s.h. functions and pseudoconvexity in Kähler manifolds*, Nagoya Math. J. **149** (1998), 1–8. MR1619572 (2000b:32062)
- [19] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, *On the extension of  $L^2$  holomorphic functions*, Math. Z. **195** (1987), 197–204. MR0892051 (88g:32029)
- [20] W. Zwonek, *Completeness, Reinhardt domains and the method of complex geodesics in the theory of invariant functions*, Dissert. Math. **388** (2000), 103 pp. MR1785672 (2001h:32016)

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TONGJI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200092, PEOPLES  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Current address:* Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya  
464-8602, Japan

*E-mail address:* by-chen@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp