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Abstract. Character groups associated with certain dimension groups are considered. It is shown how these character groups can be used to construct an AF groupoid whose dimension group is isomorphic to the original dimension group.

1. Introduction

A class of algebras of great importance in operator theory are the approximately finite-dimensional (AF) $C^*$-algebras. These algebras are inductive limits of finite-dimensional $C^*$-algebras $A_n$, and in this sense might be considered the next simplest types of $C^*$-algebras after the finite-dimensional algebras themselves. The AF algebras have been widely studied, and it was Elliott [2] who established a bijective correspondence between unital AF algebras and certain ordered groups with order units, called dimension groups. These dimension groups are defined in terms of the $K$-theory of the subalgebras $A_n$, and are inductive limits of groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}^{k_n}$. Dimension groups provide one vantage point in the study of AF algebras.

An alternative vantage point is provided within the theory of groupoids. Informally, a groupoid is a set with a partially defined multiplication for which the usual properties of a group hold whenever they make sense. In the context of AF algebras, these groupoids are topological equivalence relations on which the partially defined multiplication is described by the transitivity of the relation. In [6] it is shown how to use an AF algebra to build such an equivalence relation groupoid. For example, in the case of the algebra $M_n$ of $n \times n$ complex matrices, this groupoid amounts to working with the basic building blocks, the matrix units $e_{ij}$, and multiplying them in the usual way, ignoring the cases where the product is 0. A groupoid arising in this way is an example of an AF groupoid. There is an algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions defined on such a groupoid, and this algebra (see [1][7]) is isomorphic to the original AF algebra. So, there is also a bijective correspondence between AF algebras and AF groupoids, and consequently between AF groupoids and dimension groups via the intervening AF algebra.

It is desirable to have a more direct relation between AF groupoids and their dimension groups, and progress toward making such a connection is provided in [5].
There the means for using an arbitrary AF groupoid’s structure to build the associated dimension group are described. Preliminary work is also mentioned regarding the inverse process—building the groupoid associated to a given dimension group. This paper is meant to expand on some of the work done in [5] by further developing a Pontryagin-like duality for certain dimension groups.

Recall, given a group \( G \), a character of \( G \) is a homomorphism from \( G \) into the group \( \mathbb{T} \), the unit circle in the complex plane. If the group \( G \) is also a topological group, then the character group of \( G \) is the group of all continuous characters of \( G \). Given a certain type of dimension group, this paper will describe an associated character group whose structure can easily be used to build a groupoid. In fact, given a dimension group \( G \) with order unit \( u \), this will be the character group of the quotient group \( G/\langle u \rangle \). This character group will then serve as the unit space of a groupoid (for us, the diagonal of an equivalence relation), with the elements of the group acting as partial homeomorphisms on the unit space. These partial homeomorphisms will form an inverse semigroup whose graphs can then be used to build the desired groupoid. In this way the elements of the character group will provide an analogue to the matrix units mentioned above. We will then show that the groupoid so constructed has an AF algebra whose dimension group is isomorphic to the one with which we started, thus completing the circle of ideas.

2. Preliminaries

Consider the dimension group \((G, G^+, u)\), and let \( P = G/\langle u \rangle \), where \( \langle u \rangle \) is the subgroup of \( G \) generated by the order unit \( u \). In this paper we will be considering the situation when the character group \( \hat{P} \) of \( P \) is the unit space of an AF groupoid. For this to occur, it must be that \( \hat{P} \) is compact, which is equivalent to \( P \) being discrete. Furthermore, it will also be necessary that \( \hat{P} \) have a basis consisting of sets which are simultaneously open and closed (clopen). That is, it will be necessary that \( \hat{P} \) be 0-dimensional. But this is equivalent to \( P \) being a torsion group. Therefore, the necessary condition that \( \hat{P} \) be a 0-dimensional compact Hausdorff space is equivalent to \( P \) being a discrete torsion group. We summarize these observations in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let \((G, G^+, u)\) be a dimension group. Then, \( P = G/\langle u \rangle \) is a discrete torsion group if and only if \( \hat{P} \) is a 0-dimensional compact Hausdorff space.

As a consequence of these observations, in this paper we will work with those dimension groups such that \( P \) is a discrete torsion group.

A partially ordered group \((A, A^+)\) is said to be unperforated if whenever \( a \in A \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), and \( ka \in A^+ \), then \( a \in A^+ \). The fact that dimension groups are unperforated [1] allows us to conclude the following, whose proof we leave to the reader.

Lemma 2.2. Let \((G, G^+, u)\) be a dimension group such that \( P \) is a torsion group. Then, \( G \) is linearly ordered.

Now, taking \( g \in G^+ \), assume that \( g \geq u \). By definition of an order unit, for \( N \) sufficiently large, \( Nu > g \). If we let \( n+1 \) be the smallest such positive integer, then due to the fact that \( G \) is linearly ordered, there is a largest positive integer \( n \) such that \( nu \leq g \). So, \( 0 \leq g - nu < u \), and in \( P \), \( (g - nu) + \langle u \rangle = g + \langle u \rangle \). A similar argument shows that for \( g < 0 \), there exists a greatest positive integer \( n \) such that
g < −nu. Then, 0 ≤ g + (n + 1)u < u, and in P, g + (n + 1)u + ⟨u⟩ = g + ⟨u⟩. Consequently, every element of P can be represented uniquely as g + ⟨u⟩, where 0 ≤ g < u in G. We are now equipped to prove the next lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Assume B is a clopen subgroup of ˆP. Then, ˆP/B is a finite cyclic group.

Proof. We define the subgroup A(P, B) ⊂ P by

\[ A(P, B) = \{ x ∈ P : χ(x) = 1, \text{ for all } χ ∈ B \}. \]

By [3] Thm 23.25 and the Pontryagin duality theorem, it follows that the character group of ˆP/B is isomorphic to A(P, B). Then, since B is a clopen subgroup of ˆP, A(P, B) is compact in P. As P has the discrete topology, we are led to conclude that A(P, B) is a finite subgroup of P. The result then follows from the fact that every element of P can be represented uniquely as g + ⟨u⟩, where 0 ≤ g < u in G. □

3. Character partial homeomorphisms

We will now be interested in using the character group ˆP to construct a certain inverse semigroup. The means for doing so when P is infinite will be significantly more involved than for the finite P case. As such, we begin by briefly mentioning the finite case.

Again utilizing the fact that every element of P can be represented uniquely as g + ⟨u⟩ where 0 ≤ g < u in G, we conclude that there exists an \( m ∈ Z^+ \) such that \( P ≅ Z_m \), and consequently, ˆP ≅ Z_m. By a partial homeomorphism \( σ \) on a topological space X we mean that Dom(σ) and Ran(σ) are open subsets of X, and \( σ \) is a homeomorphism from Dom(σ) to Ran(σ). From ˆP we build an inverse semigroup of partial homeomorphisms simply by defining, for each \( χ ∈ ˆP \), the mapping which takes \( χ_a \) to \( χχ_a \), where, since ˆP has the discrete topology, \( χ_a, χχ_a ∈ ˆP \) are clopen sets in ˆP. The collection \( \{ χ : χ_a → χχ_a | χ, χ_a ∈ ˆP \} \) of all such partial homeomorphisms forms an inverse semigroup of partial mappings under composition as in [3]. The associated groupoid [3], being the union of the graphs of these mappings, will be the set

\[ R = \{ (χ_a, χχ_a) : χ, χ_a ∈ ˆP \} = \{ (χ_a, χ_b) : χ_a, χ_b ∈ ˆP \} = ˆP × ˆP. \]

It is clear in this case that the associated \( C^* \)-algebra, \( C^*(R) \), is simply \( M_m \), the \( m \times m \) matrices. The dimension group of \( C^*(R) \) in this case is then isomorphic to \( (Z, Z^+, m) \). Since \( P ≅ Z_m \) and G is linearly ordered, it must be that G is an infinite cyclic group with generator equal to the element \( 1 ∈ Z_m ≅ P \). Hence, \( G ≅ Z \), and in fact, it is then clear that \( (G, G^+, u) ≅ (Z, Z^+, m) \).

So, in summary, when P is finite, the character group ˆP gives rise, in a natural way, to an inverse semigroup of partial homeomorphisms on ˆP, and by extension, to a groupoid with unit space ˆP. This groupoid is then such that the associated \( C^* \)-algebra is an AF algebra whose dimension group is \( (G, G^+, u) \). The rest of our efforts will be devoted to generalizing this result to the case when P is infinite.

Assuming P is infinite, consider the sequence \( \{ A_n \}_{n=1}^∞ \) of open sets in ˆP with, for each \( n ≥ 1 \),

\[ A_n = \{ χ ∈ ˆP : |χ(x) − 1| < 1/n \text{ for all } x ∈ \{ a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \} \}, \]
where \( a_1, a_2, \ldots \) is an enumeration of \( P \). It follows that \( \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{ \text{id} \} \), where \( \text{id} \in \hat{P} \) is the character \( \text{id}(x) = 1 \), for all \( x \in P \). The fact that \( \hat{P} \) is 0-dimensional means we can find a nested decreasing sequence \( \{ \mathcal{B}_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of basic clopen sets such that \( \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n = \{ \text{id} \} \). Note that if \( P \) were finite, this sequence would eventually be constant, whereas here we may assume without a loss of generality that it is strictly decreasing.

By [3 Thm 7.5], there exists a clopen subgroup \( B_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_1 \) in \( \hat{P} \). The collection \( \{ \chi_{B_1} : \chi \in \hat{P} \} \) forms an open cover of \( \hat{P} \), and so by the compactness of \( \hat{P} \), there exist \( \chi_1^{(1)} , \ldots , \chi_{k(1)}^{(1)} \in \hat{P} \) such that \( \{ \chi_1^{(1)} B_1 , \ldots , \chi_{k(1)}^{(1)} B_1 \} \) forms a clopen partition of \( \hat{P} \). Furthermore, since, by Lemma 2.3, \( \hat{P} / B_1 \) is cyclic, we label it so that \( \chi_1^{(1)} B_1 \) is a generator of \( \hat{P} / B_1 \) and \( \chi_{k(1)}^{(1)} \) is the identity. Likewise, we obtain a clopen subgroup \( B_2 \) of \( \hat{P} \) such that \( B_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_2 \cap B_1 \). Then, as above, there exist characters \( \chi_1^{(2)} , \ldots , \chi_{k(2)}^{(2)} \in B_2 \) such that \( \{ \chi_1^{(2)} B_2 , \ldots , \chi_{k(2)}^{(2)} B_2 \} \) forms a clopen partition of \( B_2 \). Since the sequence \( \{ \mathcal{B}_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is not eventually constant, we may assume, without a loss of generality, that \( k(2) > k(1) \).

It follows that each of the cosets \( \chi_i^{(1)} B_2 , 1 \leq i \leq k(1) \), \( 1 \leq j \leq k(2) \), is a distinct element of \( \hat{P} / B_2 \). By Lemma 2.3 since \( \hat{P} / B_2 \) is cyclic, we know that among these elements there exists a generator of \( \hat{P} / B_2 \), say \( \chi_i^{(1)} \chi_j^{(2)} B_2 \). This implies that \( \chi_i^{(1)} B_1 \) generates \( \hat{P} / B_1 \), and therefore there exists an integer \( l \), \( 1 \leq l < k(1) \), such that \( \chi_i^{(1)} B_1 = \chi_1^{(1)} B_1 \). As \( \chi_1^{(1)} B_1 \) generates \( \hat{P} / B_1 \), it must be that \( \text{gcd}(l,k(1)) = 1 \).

But then, for any \( 0 \leq m < k(2) \), we have \( \left( \chi_i^{(1)} \chi_j^{(2)} B_2 \right)^{l + mk(1)} \subset \chi_1^{(1)} B_1 \), and therefore, the set of \( k(2) \) distinct cosets

\[
\left\{ \left( \chi_i^{(1)} \chi_j^{(2)} B_2 \right)^{l + mk(1)} \; : \; 0 \leq m < k(2) \right\}
\]

must correspond to the set \( \{ \chi_1^{(1)} B_2 , \ldots , \chi_i^{(1)} \chi_{k(2)}^{(2)} B_2 \} \) of cosets.

We leave it to the reader to show that, assuming \( k(2) > k(1) \), there exists an integer \( m \), \( 0 \leq m < k(2) \), such that \( l + mk(1) \) and \( k(1)k(2) \) are relatively prime. Consequently, there exists an element \( \chi_1^{(1)} \chi_{a(2)} B_2 \) of \( \hat{P} / B_2 \) which generates \( \hat{P} / B_2 \). We label the elements \( \chi_1^{(2)} B_2 , \ldots , \chi_{k(2)}^{(2)} B_2 \) of \( B_1 / B_2 \) such that \( \chi_1^{(1)} \chi_{k(2)}^{(2)} B_2 \) generates \( \hat{P} / B_2 \) and \( \chi_{k(2)}^{(2)} \) is the identity.

These arguments can be applied inductively to obtain a sequence \( \{ B_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of nested decreasing clopen subgroups of \( \hat{P} \) with \( \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \{ \text{id} \} \), and such that for all \( n \geq 1 \), \( \{ \chi_1^{(n)} B_n , \ldots , \chi_{k(n)}^{(n)} B_n \} \) is a clopen partition of \( B_{n-1} \) (with \( B_0 = \hat{P} \)), where \( \chi_{k(n)}^{(n)} \) is the identity and where \( \chi_1^{(n)} \cdots \chi_{k(n)}^{(n)} B_n \) generates \( \hat{P} / B_n \). This follows from the fact that, for any \( n \geq 1 \), we can, without a loss of generality, assume that \( k(n+1) > k(n) \cdots k(1) \) since the sequence \( \{ \mathcal{B}_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is strictly decreasing.

In analogy with the situation when \( P \) is finite, we now observe that for every \( n \geq 1 \) and every \( 1 \leq i \leq k(n) \), the character \( \chi_i^{(n)} \) can be viewed as a partial homeomorphism on \( \hat{P} \) with domain \( B_n \). In particular, for any \( \chi \in B_n \), this character partial homeomorphism takes \( \chi \) to \( \chi_i^{(n)} \). Utilizing these character partial
homeomorphisms, the inverse semigroup generated by the collection
\[ C = \{ \chi_i^{(n)} : n \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq k(n) \} \]
will be the smallest set of partial homeomorphisms on \( \hat{P} \) which contains \( C \) and is closed for inverses and compositions. This inverse semigroup can be described somewhat more explicitly by defining, for all \( n \geq 1 \), the character partial homeomorphisms \( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{s_i}^{(i)} : B_n \to \hat{P} \) by
\[ \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{s_i}^{(i)} \right) (\chi) = \chi \prod_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{s_i}^{(i)}, \]
where \( 1 \leq s_i \leq k(i) \), for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). It is immediate that this collection also generates the inverse semigroup. By considering the graphs of these mappings as subsets of \( \hat{P} \times \hat{P} \), we see that the associated groupoid \( \mathcal{R} \) is the union over all \( n \geq 1 \) of the sets
\[ \{ \chi_1^{(n)} \cdots \chi_i^{(n)} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq s_i, t_i \leq k(i), \chi \in B_n \}. \]
This groupoid is the direct analogue of the one constructed for the finite \( P \) case.

From [5, Theorem 2.7], it follows that \( C^*(\mathcal{R}) \) is AF, and we can compute the dimension group of \( C^*(\mathcal{R}) \) as the direct limit of the sequence \( \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \cdots \) with connecting maps \( \phi_{n,n+1}(x) = k(n+1)x \). We would like to address at this point the question of whether or not this method of building groupoids from characters yields a groupoid \( C^* \)-algebra whose dimension group is isomorphic to \( (G, G^+, u) \), as is the case when \( P \) is finite. The answer to this question is “yes”, and the next section will be devoted to proving this assertion.

4. The Dimension Group of \( C^*(\mathcal{R}) \)

We will let \( H \) be the direct limit of the sequence \( \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \cdots \) mentioned above, with connecting maps \( \phi_{n,n+1}(x) = k(n+1)x \). That is, we will let \( (H, H^+, v) \) denote the dimension group of the \( C^* \)-algebra \( C^*(\mathcal{R}) \). One can then readily show that
\[ H/\langle v \rangle \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} [\mathbb{Z}/\langle k(1) \cdots k(n) \rangle] \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)}, \]
where here we have the same connecting maps \( \phi_{n,n+1}(x) = k(n+1)x \) as above.

Consequently, the character group of \( H/\langle v \rangle \) is isomorphic to the projective limit \( \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \), with connecting maps \( \psi_{n+1,n} : \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n+1)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \) such that \( \psi_{n+1,n}(\chi) = \chi \circ \phi_{n,n+1} \), for all \( n \geq 1 \).

To prove that \( (H, H^+, v) \cong (G, G^+, u) \), we begin by defining, for each \( n \geq 1 \), the homomorphisms \( \psi_n : \hat{P} \to \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \) by \( \psi_n = r_n \circ q_n \). Here \( q_n : \hat{P} \to \hat{P}/B_n \) is the quotient homomorphism and \( r_n : \hat{P}/B_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \) is the unique isomorphism which takes the generator \( \chi_1^{(n)} \cdots \chi_i^{(n)} B_n \) of \( \hat{P}/B_n \) to the character in \( \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \) which takes \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \) to \( \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi i}{k(1) \cdots k(n)} x \right] \) in the unit circle. The following fact is a consequence of this definition for \( \psi_n \).

Lemma 4.1. For any \( n \geq 1 \) and any \( \chi \in \hat{P} \), \( \psi_{n+1,n} \circ \psi_{n+1}(\chi) = \psi_n(\chi) \).
Proof: To begin, let \( \chi \in \hat{P} \), and suppose that \( \chi \) is an element of the coset \( \left( \chi_1^{(1)} \cdots \chi_1^{(n+1)} B_{n+1} \right)^l \), where \( l \) and \( m \) are integers such that \( 0 \leq l < k(1) \cdots k(n) \) and \( 0 \leq m < k(n+1) \). That \( \left( \chi_1^{(1)} \cdots \chi_1^{(n+1)} B_{n+1} \right)^l \) is a subset of \( \left( \chi_1^{(1)} \cdots \chi_1^{(n)} B_n \right)^l \) follows immediately. Therefore, if we let \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \), then \( \psi_{n+1,n} \circ \psi_n(x) \), where \( \psi \) is a unique homomorphism, equals
\[
\psi_{n+1,n} \circ \psi_n(x) = \psi_{n+1} \circ \phi_{n+1}(x) = \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi il}{k(1) \cdots k(n)} x \right].
\]
But, we also have \( \psi_n(x) \) equal to
\[
\psi_n(x) = r_n \left( \left( \chi_1^{(1)} \cdots \chi_1^{(n)} B_n \right)^l \right) = \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi il}{k(1) \cdots k(n)} x \right].
\]
It follows by the universal property of the projective limit that there exists a unique homomorphism \( \psi : \hat{P} \to \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \) such that the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n+1)} \\
\psi_{n+1,n} \downarrow & & \psi_{n+1,n} \downarrow \\
\downarrow \pi_n & & \downarrow \pi_{n+1} \\
\hat{P} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \\
\end{array}
\]

We would like to show that \( \psi \) is an isomorphism.

To prove injectivity, suppose \( \psi(\chi) = \text{id} = (\text{id}_1, \text{id}_2, \ldots) \). Then, \( \psi_n(\chi) = \pi_n \circ \psi(\chi) = \text{id}_n \), and so, for all \( n \geq 1 \) and for all \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \),
\[
1 = \psi_n(x) = r_n \circ q_n \left( \chi_1^{(1)} \cdots \chi_1^{(n)} B_n \right)^l (x) = \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi il}{k(1) \cdots k(n)} x \right].
\]
where \( 0 \leq l < k(1) \cdots k(n) \) and \( \chi_1^{(1)} \cdots \chi_1^{(n)} B_n \). But, this implies that \( l = 0 \). Hence, \( \chi \in B_n \), for all \( n \geq 1 \). Since \( \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty B_n = \{ \text{id} \} \), we conclude that \( \chi = \text{id} \), and therefore, \( \psi \) injects. This discussion constitutes one half of the proof of the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** The mapping \( \psi : \hat{P} \to \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_{k(1) \cdots k(n)} \) is an isomorphism.
Proof. It remains for us to prove that the homomorphism $\psi$ is in fact surjective. Let $(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{Z}_n^{k_1 \cdots k_n}$. For each $n \geq 1$, there exists an integer $a_n$, $0 \leq a_n < k_1 \cdots k_n$, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{k_1 \cdots k_n}$,

$$\chi_n(x) = \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi ia_n}{k_1 \cdots k_n} x \right].$$

Due to the fact that $\psi_{n+1,n}(\chi_{n+1}) = \chi_n$, for all $n \geq 1$, we have, again for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{k_1 \cdots k_n}$,

$$\exp \left[ \frac{2\pi ia_{n+1}}{k_1 \cdots k_n} x \right] = \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi ia_n}{k_1 \cdots k_n} x \right].$$

Therefore, we can write $a_{n+1} = a_n + mk(1) \cdots k(n)$, where $m$ is some integer such that $0 \leq m < k(n + 1)$.

We now define the sequence $\{ A_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of cosets in the following way. Let, for each $n \geq 1$, $A_n = \left( \chi_1^{(1)} \cdots \chi_1^{(n)} \right)_{a_n}$. It follows that the sequence $\{ A_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is nested decreasing, and so, by compactness, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is nonempty. Assume $\chi, \chi \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Thus, for all $n \geq 1$, $\chi$ and $\chi$ are elements of the same coset in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}/B_n$. Hence, for all $n \geq 1$, $\chi \chi^{-1} \in B_n$, and therefore, $\chi \chi^{-1} = \text{id}$. Thus, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is in fact a singleton, and we let $\chi$ denote the lone element in this intersection.

Now, $\pi_n \circ \psi(\chi) = \psi_n(\chi) = r_n \circ q_n(\chi)$, and so, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{k_1 \cdots k_n}$,

$$\pi_n \circ \psi(\chi)(x) = \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi ia_n}{k_1 \cdots k_n} x \right] = \chi_n(x).$$

Thus, $\psi(\chi) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$, and the proof is complete. \qed

It is straightforward to establish that $\psi$ is also a homeomorphism.

Now, because, as topological groups, we have $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{Z}_n^{k_1 \cdots k_n}$, we can apply the Pontryagin Duality Theorem to obtain

$$G/\langle u \rangle = P \cong \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{Z}_n^{k_1 \cdots k_n} \cong H/\langle v \rangle.$$ 

By Lemma 2.2, we know that $(G, G^+, u)$ is linearly ordered, as is $(H, H^+, v)$. Therefore, it will follow that $(G, G^+, u) \cong (H, H^+, v)$. One can then immediately state the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an AF algebra and let $(G, G^+, u)$ be the corresponding dimension group. Then $G/\langle u \rangle$ is a torsion group if and only if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a UHF algebra.

Further work is needed to extend these results to more general dimension groups. In general the group $P = G/\langle u \rangle$ will not be a torsion group. Therefore, $\hat{P}$ does not provide the correct unit space as it does above. It may be that the subgroup $\langle u \rangle$ needs to be expanded in some way to include more elements of $G$. A question of more limited scope would involve how to deal with an arbitrary linearly ordered dimension group, as there are such dimension groups for which the results of the present paper do not apply, i.e. the Fibonacci algebra (see [1]).

Acknowledgement

The author thanks an anonymous reviewer for a number of helpful suggestions.
References


Department of Mathematics, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202
E-mail address: ryan.zerr@und.nodak.edu