

## PACKING SPHERES AND FRACTAL STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES IN $\mathbb{R}^d$ FOR $d \geq 3$

DANIEL M. OBERLIN

(Communicated by Michael T. Lacey)

**ABSTRACT.** We prove an estimate for the spherical average operator in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  if  $d \geq 3$ . This leads to a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of unions of certain collections of spheres and to a Strichartz-type estimate for solutions of the wave equation.

Fix a dimension  $d \geq 2$  and for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ , let  $S(x, r)$  stand for the sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ . Identifying the collection of all such spheres with  $\mathcal{S} := \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ , it makes sense to talk about the dimension of a (Borel) set of spheres. Since the dimension of any  $S(x, r)$  is  $d - 1$ , it is natural to conjecture that if  $E$  is the union of the spheres in a collection whose dimension exceeds one, then  $|E|$ , the  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $E$ , is positive. When  $d = 2$  this is a difficult question, answered in the affirmative in Wolff's paper [9]. When  $d > 2$ , as we shall suppose for the rest of this note, we will establish the same result much more easily by giving an elementary proof of the following estimate for the spherical average operator  $Tf(x, r) = \int_{\Sigma^{(d-1)}} f(x - r\sigma) d\sigma$ .

**Theorem 1.** Fix  $\alpha \in (1, d + 1)$  and suppose that  $\mu$  is a nonnegative Borel measure on a compact subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let

$$E_\alpha(\mu) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{d\mu(S_1) d\mu(S_2)}{|S_1 - S_2|^\alpha}$$

denote the  $\alpha$ -dimensional energy of  $\mu$ , where  $|S_1 - S_2| = |y_1 - y_2| + |r_1 - r_2|$  when  $S_j = S(y_j, r_j)$ . Then, for Borel sets  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have the restricted weak-type estimate

$$(1) \quad \|T\chi_E\|_{L^{\alpha, \infty}(\mu)} \leq C E_\alpha(\mu)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} |E|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the constant depends only on  $d$ ,  $\alpha$ , and the inf and sup of  $r$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Since any set whose Hausdorff dimension exceeds one carries a nontrivial nonnegative Borel measure  $\mu$  with finite  $\alpha$ -dimensional energy, the following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 1.** Suppose  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  are Borel sets. Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  has dimension exceeding one and that for every  $(x, r) \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $S(x, r) \cap E$  has positive  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional measure. Then  $|E| > 0$ .

---

Received by the editors May 10, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A75, 35B45.

*Key words and phrases.* Spherical averages, Hausdorff dimension, Strichartz estimate.

©2006 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

That this result is optimal follows from an example of Mitsis [3] which generalizes a construction of Talagrand [8] concerning circles in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We mention that the paper [3] contains an analogue of Corollary 1 in the case where the projection of  $\mathcal{T}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^d$  has dimension greater than one.

It should also be true that for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the union of an  $\alpha$ -dimensional set of spheres has dimension at least  $d - 1 + \alpha$ . We will prove this if the points  $(x, r)$  corresponding to the spheres either lie on a curve or comprise an appropriate Cantor set. It seems likely that these extra hypotheses are redundant, but we have not yet been able to eliminate them.

Estimates like (1) are closely connected with the wave equation. Thus it is not surprising that (1) implies the following estimate of Strichartz type, again a higher-dimensional analogue of certain results of Wolff [9]. (A sharp version of Wolff's results here is due to Erdogan [2].)

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a nonnegative Borel measure on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  has finite  $\alpha$ -dimensional energy for some  $\alpha \in (1, d + 1)$ . Suppose  $u(x, t)$  is a solution of the wave equation  $u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$  with  $u(x, 0) = g(x)$ ,  $u_t(x, 0) = h(x)$ . For  $q < \alpha$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mu)} \lesssim (\|g\|_{W^{2, (d-1)/2+\epsilon}} + \|h\|_{W^{2, (d-3)/2+\epsilon}}).$$

This note is organized as follows: we begin with the proof of Theorem 1. The argument here was first used in [4] and [5], and then, in a form close to that employed here, in [6]. After the proof of Theorem 1 we sketch proofs for the statements following Corollary 1. We conclude with the proof of Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For small  $0 < \delta < r$ , let  $T_\delta$  be the operator which maps  $f$  to its average  $T_\delta f(x, r)$  over the annulus  $A(x, r, \delta)$  of radii  $r - \delta$  and  $r + \delta$  centered at  $x$ . The estimate (1) is obviously a consequence of similar estimates, uniform in  $\delta$ , for the operators  $T_\delta$ . A simple-minded strategy, introduced in [4], for obtaining such estimates for operators like  $T_\delta$  starts from the inequality

$$(2) \quad |E| \geq \sum_{n=1}^N |E_n| - \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} |E_m \cap E_n|,$$

where the  $E_n$ 's are subsets of  $E$ . In the present case, the  $E_n$ 's will be intersections of  $E$  with annuli  $A(x_n, r_n, \delta)$ . The measures of the  $E_m \cap E_n$  will be controlled by the integral  $E_\alpha(\mu)$  and the following crude observation, whose proof we include for the sake of completeness. (That the analogue of Lemma 1 fails in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is the main reason problems about circle packing are more difficult than the problems treated in this note.)

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $0 < r_0 < R_0$ . There is a constant  $C = C(R_0)$  such that if  $0 < \delta < r_0 < r_1, r_2 < R_0$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then*

$$(3) \quad |A(y_1, r_1, \delta) \cap A(y_2, r_2, \delta)| \leq \frac{C\delta^2}{\delta + |y_1 - y_2| + |r_1 - r_2|}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Fix  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  and, with no loss of generality, suppose that

$$y_1 = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ and } y_2 = (t, 0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

For  $s_1, s_2 > 0$ , the spheres

$$S(y_1, s_1) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = s_1^2\}$$

and

$$S(y_2, s_2) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : (x_1 - t)^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = s_2^2\}$$

intersect in  $\emptyset$  or in a  $(d - 2)$ -sphere  $\{(x_1^0, x_2, \dots, x_d)\}$  where

$$s_1^2 - s_2^2 = 2tx_1^0 - t^2, x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = \rho^2, \text{ and } \rho^2 = s_1^2 - (x_1^0)^2.$$

Then

$$x_1^0 = x_1^0(s_1, s_2) = \frac{t^2 + s_1^2 - s_2^2}{2t}$$

and

$$\rho^2 = \rho(s_1, s_2)^2 = \frac{4s_1^2t^2 - (t^2 + s_1^2 - s_2^2)^2}{4t^2}.$$

Consider the map  $(s_1, s_2, \sigma) \mapsto (x_1^0, \rho\sigma)$  of  $(0, \infty)^2 \times \Sigma^{(d-2)}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and the corresponding formula

$$(4) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma^{(d-2)}} f(x_1^0, \rho\sigma) J(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f.$$

Suppose  $S(y_1, s_1) \cap S(y_2, s_2) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $f$  approximates the function  $\chi_{\{s_1=s_1^0, s_2=s_2^0\}}$  and  $c_{d-2}$  is the volume of the unit  $(d - 2)$ -sphere, it is easy to see that  $c_{d-2}J(s_1^0, s_2^0) = c_{d-2}\rho(s_1^0, s_2^0)^{d-2}$ . Some algebra then shows that

$$(5) \quad J(s_1, s_2) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{|s_1 + s_2 + t| \cdot |s_1 + s_2 - t| \cdot |s_1 - s_2 + t| \cdot |s_1 - s_2 - t|}}{2|t|} \right)^{d-2}.$$

We will use (4) to estimate

$$(6) \quad |A(y_1, r_1, \delta) \cap A(y_2, r_2, \delta)| \leq c_{d-2} \int_{\{|s_1-r_1|<\delta\}} \int_{\{|s_2-r_2|<\delta\}} J(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2.$$

If  $A(y_1, r_1, \delta) \cap A(y_2, r_2, \delta) \neq \emptyset$ , then it follows that  $|r_1 - r_2| \leq |y_1 - y_2| + 2\delta$ . Now recall that  $|y_1 - y_2| = |t|$  to observe that if  $|t| \leq \delta$ , then (3) follows from  $r_j < R_0$ . On the other hand, if  $|t| > \delta$ , then  $|s_1 - r_1|, |s_2 - r_2| < \delta$  and  $|r_1 - r_2| \leq |t| + 2\delta$  give

$$|s_1 - s_2 \pm t| \leq 2\delta + |r_1 - r_2| + |t| \leq 2|t| + 4\delta \leq 6|t|.$$

With (5) this shows that  $J(s_1, s_2) \leq C(R_0)$ , and so (6) gives (3).

Let  $r_0$  be the inf of  $r$  on the (compact) support of  $\mu$ . The analogue of (1) for  $T_\delta$  is the inequality

$$(7) \quad \lambda^2 \mu\{T_\delta \chi_E(S) > \lambda\}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \leq CE_\alpha(\mu)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} |E|$$

for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $0 < \delta < r_0$ . Here  $T_\delta f(S)$  is the average of  $f$  over the annulus  $A(y, r, \delta)$  if  $S = S(y, r)$ . If  $T_\delta \chi_E(S) > \lambda$  for  $S = S(y, r)$ , then  $|E \cap A(y, r, \delta)| \geq c\lambda\delta$ , where  $c$  depends only on  $r_0$ . Thus if

$$S_1, \dots, S_N \in \{T_\delta \chi_E(S) > \lambda\} \doteq \mathcal{T},$$

then (2) and Lemma 1 give

$$(8) \quad |E| \geq cN\lambda\delta - \sum_{1 \leq n < m \leq N} \frac{C\delta^2}{|S_n - S_m|}.$$

Control of the sum in (8) is provided by

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a nonnegative Borel measure on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  has finite  $\alpha$ -dimensional energy for some  $\alpha \in (1, d + 1)$ . Then given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and a Borel  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  with  $\mu(\mathcal{T}) > 0$ , one can choose  $S_n \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , such that*

$$\sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{|S_m - S_n|} \leq \frac{(E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha} N^2}{\mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha}}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.* Suppose  $S_1, \dots, S_N$  are chosen independently and at random from the probability space  $(\mathcal{T}, \frac{\mu}{\mu(\mathcal{T})})$ . Then, for  $1 \leq m < n \leq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|S_m - S_n|}\right) &= \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{T})^2} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{d\mu(S_m) d\mu(S_n)}{|S_m - S_n|} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{T})^2} \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathcal{T}} d\mu(S_m) d\mu(S_n) \right)^{1-1/\alpha} \left( \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{d\mu(S_m) d\mu(S_n)}{|S_m - S_n|^\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{(E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha}}{\mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

by the hypothesis on  $\mu$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{|S_m - S_n|}\right) \leq \frac{(E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha} N^2}{\mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha}},$$

and the lemma follows.

With Lemma 2, (8) becomes

$$(9) \quad |E| \geq cN\lambda\delta - C\delta^2(E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha} N^2 / \mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha}.$$

Let  $N_0 = c\lambda\mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha} / (CE_\alpha(\mu)^{1/\alpha}\delta)$ . Noting that  $N = N_0$  makes the RHS of (9) equal to 0, we consider two cases:

*Case I.* Assume  $N_0 > 10$ .

In this case choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{\lambda c \mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha}}{2C(E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha} \delta} \geq N \geq \frac{\lambda c \mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha}}{3C(E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha} \delta}.$$

Then it follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} |E| &\geq c \frac{\lambda c \mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha}}{3C(E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha} \delta} \lambda \delta - C\delta^2(E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha} \frac{\lambda^2 c^2 \mu(\mathcal{T})^{4/\alpha}}{4(CE_\alpha(\mu)^{1/\alpha})^2 \delta^2} \mu(\mathcal{T})^{-2/\alpha} \\ &= \kappa \lambda^2 \mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha} / (E_\alpha(\mu))^{1/\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\kappa = c^2 / (12C)$ . This gives (7).

*Case II.* Assume  $N_0 \leq 10$ .

In this case (unless  $\mathcal{T}$  is empty) we estimate

$$|E| \geq c\lambda\delta \geq \frac{\lambda^2 c^2 \mu(\mathcal{T})^{2/\alpha}}{10C E_\alpha(\mu)^{1/\alpha}}$$

which again yields (7), concluding the proof of Theorem 1.

The following result will allow us to deal with the case  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $0 < \gamma \leq \beta \leq \alpha < 1$  and suppose that  $\mu$  is a finite nonnegative Borel measure on a compact subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfying the following condition: given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and Borel  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ , one can choose  $S_1, \dots, S_N \in \mathcal{T}$  such that*

$$(10) \quad \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{|S_m - S_n|} \leq \frac{CN^{(1+2\beta-\gamma)/\beta}}{\mu(\mathcal{T})^{(1+\gamma)/\beta}}.$$

Suppose

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 + \beta - \gamma}{1 + 2\beta - \gamma}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1 + \gamma}{1 + 2\beta - \gamma}, \quad \eta = \frac{1 - \gamma}{1 + 2\beta - \gamma}.$$

Then there is the estimate

$$\|T_\delta \chi_E\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mu)} \lesssim |E|^{1/p} \delta^{-\eta}$$

for Borel  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.15 in [1] that the estimate

$$\|T_\delta \chi_E\|_{L^{q,\infty}_\mu} \lesssim |E|^{1/p} \delta^{-\eta}$$

implies a lower bound of  $n - p\eta$  for the Hausdorff dimension of a Borel set containing positive-measure sections of each sphere  $S$  in the support of  $\mu$ . Plugging in the values for  $p$  and  $\eta$  which are given in Proposition 1 yields the lower bound

$$n - (1 - \gamma)/(1 + \beta - \gamma).$$

We will see below that if  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  has dimension  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and either lies on a curve or is a Cantor set, then  $\mathcal{T}$  supports measures  $\mu$  allowing choices of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  arbitrarily close to  $\alpha$  and so leading to the desired lower bound of  $n - 1 + \alpha$  for the dimension of  $\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{T}} S$ . First, though, we indicate the proof of the proposition.

*Proof of Proposition 1.* The proof follows the proof of Theorem 2 in [6] and is only a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 1. Using (10) instead of Lemma 1, the analogue of (9) is

$$(11) \quad |E| \geq cN\lambda\delta - C\delta^2 N^{(1+2\beta-\gamma)/\beta} \mu(\mathcal{T})^{-(1+\gamma)/\beta}.$$

The two cases are now defined by comparing

$$N_0 \doteq \left(\frac{c\lambda}{C\delta}\right)^{\beta/(1+\beta-\gamma)} \mu(\mathcal{T})^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1+\beta-\gamma}}$$

and (10). In case  $N_0 > 10$ , choosing  $N$  in (11) such that  $N_0/2 \geq N \geq N_0/3$  gives

$$|E| \geq \lambda^{\frac{1+2\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta-\gamma}} \delta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta-\gamma}} \mu(\mathcal{T})^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1+\beta-\gamma}} \kappa,$$

where

$$\kappa = c^{\frac{1+2\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta-\gamma}} C^{\frac{-\beta}{1+\beta-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2^{(1+2\beta-\gamma)/\beta}}\right) > 0.$$

This gives the desired estimate  $\lambda\mu(\mathcal{T})^{1/q} \lesssim |E|^{1/p} \delta^{-\eta}$  if  $N_0 > 10$ . On the other hand, the inequality  $N_0 \leq 10$  gives  $\lambda\mu(\mathcal{T})^{(1+\gamma)/\beta} \lesssim \delta$ , and so

$$(12) \quad \lambda^A \mu(\mathcal{T})^{A(1+\gamma)/\beta} \lesssim \delta^A$$

if  $A > 0$ . Since  $|E| \geq c\lambda\delta$  (unless  $\mathcal{T}$  is empty), there is also the inequality

$$(13) \quad \lambda^{1-A} \lesssim |E|^{1-A} \delta^{A-1}$$

as long as  $0 < A < 1$ . Multiplying (12) and (13) gives  $\lambda\mu(\mathcal{T})^{A(1+\gamma)/\beta} \lesssim |E|^{1-A} \delta^{2A-1}$ . Then the choice  $A = \beta/(1+2\beta-\gamma)$  yields  $\lambda\mu(\mathcal{T})^{1/q} \lesssim |E|^{1/p} \delta^{-\eta}$  again, completing the proof of Proposition 1.

When  $\mu$  is supported on a curve, the following lemma verifies the hypotheses of Proposition 1. Thus it follows from standard facts about Hausdorff dimension that if the Borel set  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  lies on a curve as in Lemma 3, if  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is Borel, and if  $\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{K}} S \subseteq E$ , then

$$(14) \quad \alpha \doteq \dim(\mathcal{K}) \in (0, 1) \text{ implies } \dim(E) \geq n - 1 + \alpha.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a nonnegative measure on a compact interval  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies the condition*

$$\tilde{\mu}(I) \lesssim |I|^\alpha$$

for subintervals  $I \subseteq J$ . Let  $\mu$  be the image of  $\tilde{\mu}$  under a one-to-one and bi-Lipschitz mapping of  $J$  into  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose  $0 < \gamma < \beta < \alpha < 1$ . Then given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and Borel  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ , one can choose  $S_1, \dots, S_N \in \mathcal{T}$  such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{|S_m - S_n|} \leq \frac{C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) N^{(1+2\beta-\gamma)/\beta}}{\mu(\mathcal{T})^{(1+\gamma)/\beta}}.$$

We omit the proof of Lemma 3 since it is technical, of little intrinsic interest, and completely parallel to the proof of Lemma 2 in [6]. The next lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3 in case  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Cantor set.

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose  $2 \leq k, l$  are positive integers and set  $\alpha = \log k / \log l$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  supports a Borel measure  $\mu$  such that  $E_{\alpha-\epsilon}(\mu) < \infty$  for  $0 < \epsilon < \alpha$  and having the property that for each  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  is the union of  $k^M$   $l^{-M}$ -separated compact sets  $\mathcal{K}_m^M$  of diameter  $\simeq l^{-M}$  such that  $\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^M) = k^{-M}$ . Then, given  $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and Borel  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ , one can choose  $S_1, \dots, S_N \in \mathcal{T}$  such that*

$$\sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{|S_m - S_n|} \leq \frac{C(\beta) N^{(1+\beta)/\beta}}{\mu(\mathcal{T})^{(1+\beta)/\beta}}.$$

Again, it follows from Proposition 1 that (14) holds for such Cantor sets  $\mathcal{K}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 4.* Fix  $\beta, \epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  such that

$$(15) \quad \frac{1 + \alpha + \epsilon}{\alpha} = \frac{1 + \beta}{\beta}.$$

For a given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and Borel  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ , choose  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(16) \quad N \simeq k^M \mu(\mathcal{T}).$$

Since  $\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^M) = k^{-m}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  must intersect  $\gtrsim N$  of the  $\mathcal{K}_m^M$ 's. Therefore we can choose  $\simeq N$  points  $S_m \in \mathcal{T}$  such that distinct  $S_m$ 's lie in distinct  $\mathcal{K}_m^M$ 's. Then, using the hypotheses on the  $\mathcal{K}_m^M$ 's,

$$\begin{aligned} & k^{-2M} \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{|S_m - S_n|} \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \int_{\mathcal{K}_m^M} \int_{\mathcal{K}_n^M} \frac{d\mu(T_1) d\mu(T_2)}{|T_1 - T_2|} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \int_{\mathcal{K}_m^M} \int_{\mathcal{K}_n^M} \frac{d\mu(T_1) d\mu(T_2)}{|T_1 - T_2|^{\alpha-\epsilon} (l^{-M})^{1-\alpha+\epsilon}} \leq E_{\alpha-\epsilon}(\mu) (l^M)^{1-\alpha+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $l = k^{1/\alpha}$ , it follows from (16) and (15) that

$$\sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{|S_m - S_n|} \lesssim (k^M)^{(1+\alpha+\epsilon)/\alpha} \simeq \left(\frac{N}{\mu(\mathcal{T})}\right)^{(1+\alpha+\epsilon)/\alpha} = \left(\frac{N}{\mu(\mathcal{T})}\right)^{(1+\beta)/\beta},$$

as desired.

We will give the proof of Theorem 2 in case  $d$  is even. The proof for odd  $d$  is similar but slightly less complicated. Before beginning, we recall the well-known formula for the solution of the wave equation for even  $d$ :

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_d} \left[ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{(d-2)/2} \left(t^{d-1} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{g(x+ty)}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}} dy\right) + \left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{(d-2)/2} \left(t^{d-1} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{h(x+ty)}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}} dy\right) \right]$$

for some constant  $\gamma_d$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Recalling that  $Tf(x, t) = \int_{\Sigma^{(d-1)}} f(x + t\sigma) d\sigma$ , we define

$$Sf(x, t) = \int_{B(0,1)} f(x + ty) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1-|y|^2}}.$$

Let  $t_0$  and  $T_0$  be the inf and sup of  $t$  on the support of  $\mu$ . For  $\beta > 0$ , let  $I_\beta$  be a potential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with smooth and nonzero multiplier  $p_\beta(\xi)$  equal to  $|\xi|^{-\beta}$  for  $|\xi| \geq 1/(2T_0)$ . The next lemma is essentially the difference between the proofs of Theorem 2 for even and odd  $d$ .

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\mu$  is as in Theorem 1, then for  $q < \alpha$  and  $0 < \beta < 1/2$  we have*

$$(17) \quad \|Sf\|_{L^q(\mu)} \lesssim \|I_\beta f\|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 5.* Suppose  $\gamma(\xi)$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which vanishes on  $B(0, 1)$  and which equals  $|\xi|^{-d/2}$  for large  $\xi$ . Let  $m(\xi)$  be the multiplier  $e^{2\pi i|\xi|} \gamma(\xi)$  and suppose that  $K$  is the kernel on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  whose Fourier transform is  $m$ . Define the operator  $S_0$  by

$$S_0 f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x + ty) K(y) dy.$$

By the asymptotic expansion of the Fourier transform of  $(1 - |y|^2)_+^{1/2}$ , the operator  $S$  is the sum of two operators like  $S_0$  and nicer terms. We will explain why

$$(18) \quad \|S_0 f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \lesssim \|I_\beta f\|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Define  $\tilde{m}$  by

$$p_\beta(\xi) \tilde{m}(\xi) = m(\xi)$$

so that  $\tilde{m}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i|\xi|} \tilde{\gamma}(\xi)$ , where  $\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)$  is smooth and equal to  $|\xi|^{\beta-d/2}$  for large  $\xi$ . Let the kernel  $\tilde{K}$  have Fourier transform  $\tilde{m}$  and put

$$\tilde{S}f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x + ty) \tilde{K}(y) dy.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S_0 f(\cdot, t)}(\xi) &= m(t\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) = p_\beta(t\xi) \tilde{m}(t\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) \\ &= t^{-\beta} \tilde{m}(t\xi) p_\beta(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) = t^{-\beta} \widehat{\tilde{S}I_\beta f(\cdot, t)}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

(18) will follow from  $t_0 \leq t \leq T_0$  on the support of  $\mu$  and

$$(19) \quad \|\tilde{S}f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Let  $\epsilon = 1/2 - \beta$ . According to the considerations on p. 426 of [7], the kernel  $\tilde{K}$  satisfies  $|\tilde{K}(y)| \simeq |1 - |y||^{-1+\epsilon}$  for  $|y| \leq 2$  and  $|\tilde{K}(y)| \lesssim |y|^{-(d+1)/2}$  for large  $y$ . Thus

$$\tilde{K} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_j,$$

where  $\tilde{K}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$|\tilde{K}_j| \lesssim 2^{-j\epsilon} 2^j \chi_{A(0,1,2^{-j})}.$$

(We recall that  $A(0,1,2^{-j})$  is the annulus centered at 0 with radii  $1 - 2^{-j}$  and  $1 + 2^{-j}$ .) If the operators  $\tilde{S}_j$  correspond to the kernels  $\tilde{K}_j$ , then  $\tilde{S}_0$  maps  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into  $L^\infty(\mu)$  (since  $t$  is bounded away from 0 on the support of  $\mu$ ). Also, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  we have

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \lesssim 2^{-j\epsilon} \|T_{2^{-j}} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \lesssim 2^{-j\epsilon} \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

by the analogue of Theorem 1 for the operators  $T_\delta$ . Since  $\tilde{S} = \sum \tilde{S}_j$ , (19) follows.

Returning to the proof of Theorem 2, we can write

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha|=d/2} c_\alpha(t) S(D_\alpha g)(x, t) + \sum_{|\alpha|=d/2-1} d_\alpha(t) S(D_\alpha h)(x, t),$$

where the coefficients  $c_\alpha$  and  $d_\alpha$  are bounded on the support of  $\mu$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $\beta = 1/2 - \epsilon/2$ . If  $|\alpha| = d/2$ , then Lemma 5 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(D_\alpha g)\|_{L^q(\mu)} &\lesssim \|I_\beta D_\alpha g\|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|I_\beta D_\alpha g\|_{W^{2,\epsilon/2}} \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{W^{2,d/2+\epsilon/2-\beta}} = \|g\|_{W^{2,(d-1)/2+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous considerations for  $h$  complete the proof of Theorem 2.

*Note.* The author would like to express his appreciation for the comments of an unusually prompt referee.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain *Besicovitch type maximal operators and applications to Fourier analysis*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **1** (1991), 147–187. MR1097257 (92g:42010)
- [2] B. Erdoğan *A note on the Fourier transform of fractal measures*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), 299–313. MR2067475 (2005j:42008)
- [3] T. Mitsis *On a problem related to sphere and circle packing*, J. London Math. Soc. **60** (1999), 501–516. MR1724841 (2001f:28008)
- [4] D. Oberlin  *$L^p \rightarrow L^q$  mapping properties of the Radon transform*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics **995** (1983), 95–102. MR0717229 (85j:44005)
- [5] D. Oberlin *An estimate for a restricted X-ray transform*, Canadian Math. Bull. **43** (2000), 472–476. MR1793949 (2001k:44004)
- [6] D. Oberlin *Restricted Radon transforms and unions of hyperplanes* Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, to appear.
- [7] E. M. Stein *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, 1993. MR1232192 (95c:42002)



- [8] M. Talagrand *Sur la mesure de la projection d'un compact et certaines familles de cercles*, Bull. Sci. Math. **104** (1980), 225–231. MR0592470 (82b:28004)
- [9] T. Wolff *Local smoothing estimates on  $L^p$  for large  $p$* , Geometric and Functional Analysis **10** (2000), 1237–1288. MR1800068 (2001k:42030)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306-4510

*E-mail address:* oberlin@math.fsu.edu