

ON THE ABSENCE OF RAPIDLY DECAYING SOLUTIONS  
FOR PARABOLIC OPERATORS WHOSE COEFFICIENTS  
ARE NON-LIPSCHITZ CONTINUOUS IN TIME

DANIELE DEL SANTO AND MARTINO PRIZZI

(Communicated by David S. Tartakoff)

ABSTRACT. We find minimal regularity conditions on the coefficients of a parabolic operator, ensuring that no nontrivial solution tends to zero faster than any exponential.

1. INTRODUCTION, STATEMENTS AND REMARKS

Let  $A$  be a nonnegative self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Consider the Cauchy problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + Au = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

The solution  $u(t)$  can be represented in terms of the spectral resolution  $E_\lambda$  of  $-A$ , and it turns out that its asymptotic behavior is like  $e^{-\lambda_0 t}$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is the infimum of those values of  $\lambda$  for which  $E_\lambda u_0 = u_0$ . It follows that no solution, except the trivial one, can tend to zero faster than any exponential.

Peter Lax [4] considered nonautonomous perturbations of (1.1) of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + (A + K(t))u = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $K(t)$  is a bounded linear operator. He proved that, if the norm of  $K(t)$  is sufficiently small, then again solutions of (1.2), unless identically zero, do not tend to zero faster than any exponential.

The question then arised naturally of whether a similar result could hold even for perturbations which were not relatively bounded with respect to  $A$ . In the years following, attention focussed mainly on parabolic inequalities, written in integrated form, like

$$(1.3) \quad \int |\partial_t u - \sum_{ij} a_{ij}(t, x) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} u|^2 dx \leq C_1(t) \int |u|^2 dx + C_2(t) \int \sum_i |\partial_{x_i} u|^2 dx.$$

---

Received by the editors September 7, 2004 and, in revised form, August 22, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35K10, 35B40.

*Key words and phrases*. Parabolic operator, rapidly decaying solution, modulus of continuity, Osgood condition.

©2006 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Several results (see e.g. [2, 5, 8, 9]) were obtained, relating the decay of  $C_1(t)$ ,  $C_2(t)$  and  $\|\nabla_x a_{ij}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}$  to that of the solutions of (1.3). Some years later, Agmon and Nirenberg [1] reconsidered the whole matter by an abstract point of view and proved a general result for inequalities of the form

$$(1.4) \quad \left\| \frac{du}{dt} + A(t)u \right\| \leq \Phi(t)\|u\|$$

in a Banach space  $X$ .

Without entering into technical details, we note that there is a common feature in all the above mentioned results: at a certain point one needs to perform some integration by parts, and this requires some (kind of) differentiability of the coefficients with respect to  $t$ . That a certain amount of regularity was actually *necessary* in order to get lower bounds for the solutions became clear thanks to a well-known example of Miller [7]. He exhibited a parabolic operator whose coefficients are Hölder continuous of order  $1/6$  with respect to  $t$  and which possesses solutions vanishing within a finite time.

The aim of this paper is the following: for a parabolic inequality of the form (1.3), find the minimal regularity of the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  with respect to  $t$ , ensuring that no solution, except the trivial one, can tend to zero faster than any exponential.

We prove that a sufficient regularity condition is given in terms of a modulus of continuity satisfying the so-called *Osgood condition*. The counterexample contained in [3] shows that this condition is optimal. The main result (Theorem 1 below) is a consequence of a *Carleman estimate* in which the weight function depends on the modulus of continuity; such kinds of weight functions in Carleman estimates were introduced by Tarama [10] in the study of second order elliptic operators.

In order to make the presentation simpler, we consider an equation whose coefficients are independent of the space variable  $x$ . The general case can be recovered by the same microlocal approximation procedure exploited in [3].

Let  $a$  be a continuous function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad \Lambda_0^{-1} \leq a(t) \leq \Lambda_0$$

for some  $\Lambda_0 \geq 1$  and for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a positive function in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . Let  $u$  be a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}_t^+ \times \mathbb{R}_x$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_t^+, H^2(\mathbb{R}_x)) \cap H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_t^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}_x))$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \|u_t(t, \cdot) - a(t)u_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x)}^2 \leq \varphi(t)\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_x)}^2$$

for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . A function  $u$  satisfying the conditions (1.6) and (1.7) is called a *rapidly decaying solution* to (1.7) if for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\lambda t} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_x)} = 0.$$

Let  $\mu$  be a *modulus of continuity*, i.e.  $\mu$  is a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\mu$  is continuous, increasing, concave and  $\mu(0) = 0$ . A modulus of continuity  $\mu$  is said to satisfy the *Osgood condition* if

$$(1.9) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu(s)} ds = +\infty.$$

Now we can state our main result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a modulus of continuity satisfying the Osgood condition. Suppose that there exists a positive function  $\psi$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  such that*

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_{\max\{0, t-\frac{1}{2}\} < t_1 < t_2 < t+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|a(t_2) - a(t_1)|}{\mu(t_2 - t_1)} \leq \psi(t)$$

for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

If  $u$  is a rapidly decaying solution to (1.7), then  $u \equiv 0$ .

The counterexample alluded to above is given by the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a modulus of continuity which does not satisfy the Osgood condition. Then there exists  $l \in C(\mathbb{R}_t)$  with  $1/2 \leq l(t) \leq 3/2$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_t$  and*

$$(1.11) \quad \sup_{\substack{0 < |t_1 - t_2| < 1 \\ t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_t}} \frac{|l(t_2) - l(t_1)|}{\mu(t_2 - t_1)} < \infty,$$

and there exists  $u, b_1, b_2, c \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2)$  with  $\text{supp } u = \{t \leq 1\}$  such that

$$(1.12) \quad \partial_t u - (\partial_{x_1}^2 u + l \partial_{x_2}^2 u) + b_1 \partial_{x_1} u + b_2 \partial_{x_2} u + cu = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is contained in our previous paper [3].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

First of all we remark that it is not restrictive to suppose that  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi(s) ds > 0$  and  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \psi(s) ds > 0$  for all  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ . Moreover we will admit without lack of generality that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^1 \varphi(s) ds \geq 1.$$

Let  $\alpha > 0$ . We set, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad b(t) = \exp(-\alpha \int_0^t \varphi(\eta) d\eta).$$

Let  $\nu$  be a function defined in  $[1, +\infty[$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \nu(t) = \int_{1/t}^1 \frac{1}{\mu(s)} ds;$$

we remark that (1.9) gives, in particular,  $\nu([1, +\infty[) = [0, +\infty[$ . For  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\tau \geq 0$  we define

$$(2.4) \quad \Psi_\gamma(\tau) = \nu^{-1}(\gamma \int_0^{\tau/\gamma} \psi(s) ds).$$

Finally we set, for  $\gamma > 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_\gamma(t) = \int_0^t \Psi_\gamma(\gamma\eta) \frac{1}{b(\eta)} \left( \int_0^\eta b(s) \varphi(s) ds \right) d\eta.$$

**Lemma 1.** *For all  $\alpha > 0$  there exists  $\gamma_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & \int_1^{+\infty} b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|v_t(t, \cdot) - a(t)v_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x)}^2 dt \\
 & \geq \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda_0} \int_1^{+\infty} b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|v_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x)}^2 dt \\
 & \quad + \int_1^{+\infty} \Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x)}^2 dt
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$  and for all  $v \in L^2(]1, +\infty[, H^2(\mathbb{R}_x)) \cap H^1(]1, +\infty[, L^2(\mathbb{R}_x))$  with compact support.

Let us show how to prove Theorem 1 from the Carleman estimate (2.6). Let  $w$  be a function in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(]1, +\infty[, H^2(\mathbb{R}_x)) \cap H^1_{\text{loc}}(]1, +\infty[, L^2(\mathbb{R}_x))$  such that  $w(t, x) = 0$  for all  $(t, x) \in ]1, 2] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $w$  satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\lambda t} \|w(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_x)} = 0$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ . We first show that an inequality similar to (2.6) holds for  $w$ .

Consider  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\chi$  decreasing,  $\chi(s) = 1$  for  $s \leq 1$  and  $\chi(s) = 0$  for  $s \geq 2$ , and define  $v_n(t, x) = \chi(t/n)w(t, x)$ . Then

$$v_n \in L^2(]1, +\infty[, H^2(\mathbb{R}_x)) \cap H^1(]1, +\infty[, L^2(\mathbb{R}_x))$$

and is compactly supported, so that by (2.6) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \int_1^{+\infty} b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \chi^2\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \|w_t(t, \cdot) - a(t)w_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \geq \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda_0} \int_1^{+\infty} b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \chi^2\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \|w_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \quad + \int_1^{+\infty} \Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \chi^2\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \|w(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \quad - 2 \int_1^{+\infty} b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \left(\frac{1}{n}\chi'\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right)^2 \|w(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ . Now remark that  $\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) \leq \nu^{-1}(\gamma\|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_x)})$  for all  $\gamma$  and  $t$ , while  $e^{-\alpha\|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_x)}} \leq b(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t$ . Consequently we have

$$\Phi_\gamma(t) \leq \nu^{-1}(\gamma\|\psi\|_{L^1})\|\varphi\|_{L^1} e^{\alpha\|\psi\|_{L^1}} t = C_\gamma t$$

for all  $\gamma$  and  $t$ . Hence, using (2.7) and the fact that  $w \in C(]1, +\infty[, H^1(\mathbb{R}_x))$  (see [6, pp. 18-19]), we deduce that

$$b(t)\varphi(t)e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)}\chi^2\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\|w_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq K_\gamma\varphi(t),$$

$$\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \chi^2\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \|w(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq K'_\gamma\varphi(t)$$

and

$$b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \left(\frac{1}{n}\chi'\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right)^2 \|w(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq K''_\gamma e^{-\tilde{\lambda}t}$$

for a.e.  $t$ . Passing to the limit for  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and applying the dominated convergence theorem on the right-hand side and the monotone convergence theorem on

the left-hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \int_1^{+\infty} b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|w_t(t, \cdot) - a(t)w_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \geq \frac{\alpha}{2\Lambda_0} \int_1^{+\infty} b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|w_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{+\infty} \Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|w(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ .

Now let  $u$  be a rapidly decaying solution to (1.7). Let  $\theta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\theta$  increasing,  $\theta(s) = 0$  for  $s \leq 2$  and  $\theta(s) = 1$  for  $s \geq 3$ . Setting  $w(t, x) = \theta(t)u(t, x)$  and applying (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_1^3 b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|(\theta u)_t - a(t)(\theta u)_{xx}\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \int_3^{+\infty} b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|u_t - a(t)u_{xx}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & = \int_1^{+\infty} b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|w_t - a(t)w_{xx}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \geq \frac{\alpha}{2\Lambda_0} \int_1^{+\infty} b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|w_x\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{+\infty} \Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \geq \frac{\alpha}{2\Lambda_0} \int_3^{+\infty} b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_3^{+\infty} \Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, also using (1.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_1^3 b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|(\theta u)_t - a(t)(\theta u)_{xx}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \geq \int_3^{+\infty} b(t) \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\Lambda_0} - 1\right) \varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 & \quad + \int_3^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) - 1\right) b(t)\varphi(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

We take  $\alpha = 2\Lambda_0$ . We recall that  $\Phi_\gamma$  is increasing. Hence

$$\int_1^3 b(t) \|(\theta u)_t - a(t)(\theta u)_{xx}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \geq \int_3^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) - 1\right) b(t)\varphi(t) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ . Since  $\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) \geq \Psi_\gamma(\gamma)$  for all  $t \geq 1$  we obtain

$$\int_3^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) - 1\right) b(t)\varphi(t) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\Psi_\gamma(\gamma) - 1\right) \int_3^{+\infty} b(t)\varphi(t) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 dt.$$

From (1.9) we deduce that  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi_\gamma(\gamma) = +\infty$  and consequently letting  $\gamma$  go to  $+\infty$  we obtain that  $u(x, t) = 0$  in  $[3, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}$ . We now apply the backward uniqueness result in [3], and we easily deduce that  $u \equiv 0$ .

Let us come to the proof of Lemma 1. Setting  $z(t, x) = e^{\Phi_\gamma(t)}v(t, x)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{+\infty} b(t) e^{2\Phi_\gamma(t)} \|v_t(t, \cdot) - a(t)v_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x)}^2 dt \\ &= \int_1^{+\infty} b(t) \|z_t(t, \cdot) - a(t)z_{xx}(t, \cdot) - \Phi'_\gamma(t)z(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &= \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t) |\hat{z}_t(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt + \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t) (a(t)\xi^2 - \Phi'_\gamma(t))^2 |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\ & \quad + 2\Re \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t) (a(t)\xi^2 - \Phi'_\gamma(t)) \hat{z}_t(t, \xi) \overline{\hat{z}(t, \xi)} d\xi dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{z}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $z$  with respect to the  $x$  variable. We compute the second part of the last term of the above inequality, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\Re \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t) \Phi'_\gamma(t) \hat{z}_t(t, \xi) \overline{\hat{z}(t, \xi)} d\xi dt \\ &= \int_1^{+\infty} \Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) b(t) \varphi(t) \|z(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ & \quad + \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} \gamma \Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) \left( \int_0^t b(s) \varphi(s) ds \right) |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the quantity

$$2\Re \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t) a(t) \xi^2 \hat{z}_t(t, \xi) \overline{\hat{z}(t, \xi)} d\xi dt.$$

Since  $a$  is not Lipschitz-continuous and consequently we cannot integrate by parts, we exploit the approximation technique developed in [3]. Let  $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } \rho \subseteq [-1/2, 1/2]$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(s) ds = 1$  and  $\rho(s) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . We set

$$a_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(s) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho\left(\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}\right) ds,$$

where  $a$  has been extended to  $\mathbb{R}$  setting  $a(t) = a(0)$  for all  $t \leq 0$ . We obtain that there exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$|a_\varepsilon(t) - a(t)| \leq \mu(\varepsilon) \psi(t)$$

and

$$|a'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C_0 \frac{\mu(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \psi(t)$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1/2[$  and for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\Re \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t) a(t) \xi^2 \hat{z}_t(t, \xi) \overline{\hat{z}(t, \xi)} d\xi dt \\ &= 2\Re \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t) a_\varepsilon(t) \xi^2 \hat{z}_t(t, \xi) \overline{\hat{z}(t, \xi)} d\xi dt \\ & \quad + 2\Re \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t) (a(t) - a_\varepsilon(t)) \xi^2 \hat{z}_t(t, \xi) \overline{\hat{z}(t, \xi)} d\xi dt. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2\Re \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t)a_\varepsilon(t)\xi^2 \hat{z}_t(t, \xi) \overline{\hat{z}(t, \xi)} d\xi dt \\
 &= - \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} (b(t)a_\varepsilon(t))' \xi^2 |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\
 &\geq \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t)(\alpha\varphi(t)a_\varepsilon(t) - |a'_\varepsilon(t)|)\xi^2 |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\
 &\geq \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda_0} \int_1^{+\infty} b(t)\varphi(t) \|z_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
 &\quad - C_0 \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t)\psi(t) \frac{\mu(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \xi^2 |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2\Re \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t)(a(t) - a_\varepsilon(t))\xi^2 \hat{z}_t(t, \xi) \overline{\hat{z}(t, \xi)} d\xi dt \\
 &\geq - \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t)|\hat{z}_t(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt - \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t)\psi^2(t)\mu^2(\varepsilon)\xi^4 |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting all these inequalities together it is easy to see that (2.6) will be a consequence of the following claim: for all  $\alpha > 0$  there exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$  and a function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow ]0, 1/2[$ ,  $\xi \mapsto \varepsilon_\xi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} (b(t)(a(t)\xi^2 - \Phi'_\gamma(t))^2 + \gamma\Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) \int_0^t b(s)\varphi(s) ds) |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\
 & - \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi} b(t)\psi(t) (C_0 \frac{\mu(\varepsilon_\xi)}{\varepsilon_\xi} \xi^2 + \psi(t)\mu^2(\varepsilon_\xi)\xi^4) |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$  and for all  $z(t, x) = e^{\Phi_\gamma(t)}v(t, x)$ , provided  $v \in L^2(]1, +\infty[, H^2(\mathbb{R}_x)) \cap H^1(]1, +\infty[, L^2(\mathbb{R}_x))$  is compactly supported.

From (2.3) and (2.4) we have that

$$(2.10) \quad \Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) = \Psi_\gamma^2(\gamma t)\mu\left(\frac{1}{\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t)}\right)\psi(t).$$

The concavity of  $\mu$  implies that the function  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma\mu(1/\sigma)$  is increasing on  $[1, +\infty[$  and consequently the function  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^2\mu(1/\sigma)$  is increasing, and  $\sigma^2\mu(1/\sigma) \geq \sigma\mu(1)$  for all  $\sigma \in [1, +\infty[$ . Hence (2.10) gives

$$(2.11) \quad \Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) \geq \mu(1)\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t)\psi(t) \geq \mu(1)\Psi_\gamma(\gamma)\psi(t)$$

for all  $t \in [1, +\infty[$ . On the other hand from (2.1) and (2.2) we deduce that

$$(2.12) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^1} e^{\alpha\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \geq \frac{1}{b(t)} \int_0^t b(s)\varphi(s) ds \geq 1$$

for all  $t \in [1, +\infty[$ . Finally since  $\mu$  is increasing there exists  $\xi_0 \geq \sqrt{2}$  such that

$$(2.13) \quad \mu\left(\frac{1}{\xi^2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4\Lambda_0^2\|\psi\|_\infty(C_0 + \|\psi\|_\infty\mu(1))}$$

for all  $\xi$  with  $|\xi| \geq \xi_0$ . Moreover  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi_\gamma(\gamma) = +\infty$  and then there exists  $\gamma_0 > 0$  such that

$$(2.14) \quad \mu(1)\gamma\Psi_\gamma(\gamma) \int_0^1 \varphi(s) ds \geq (C_0 + \|\psi\|_\infty \mu(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2})) \mu(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2}) \xi_0^4$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ . It is not restrictive to also suppose that

$$(2.15) \quad \xi_0 \geq 2\Lambda_0 \|\varphi\|_{L^1} e^{\alpha\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_0 \geq 4\Lambda_0^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^1}^2 e^{2\alpha\|\varphi\|_{L^1}} (C_0 + \|\psi\|_\infty \mu(1)).$$

We set

$$\varepsilon_\xi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\xi_0^2} & \text{if } |\xi| \leq \xi_0, \\ \frac{1}{\xi^2} & \text{if } |\xi| \geq \xi_0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose first  $|\xi| \leq \xi_0$ . From (2.11), (2.12) and (2.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) \int_0^t b(s)\varphi(s) ds &\geq \gamma\mu(1)\Psi_\gamma(\gamma)\psi(t)b(t) \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds \\ &\geq b(t)\psi(t)(C_0 + \|\psi\|_\infty \mu(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2})) \mu(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2}) \xi_0^4 \\ &\geq b(t)\psi(t)(C_0 \frac{\mu(\varepsilon_\xi)}{\varepsilon_\xi} \xi^2 + \psi(t)\mu^2(\varepsilon_\xi)\xi^4) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$  and for all  $t \in [1, +\infty[$ . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq \xi_0} (b(t)(a(t)\xi^2 - \Phi'_\gamma(t))^2 + \gamma\Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) \int_0^t b(s)\varphi(s) ds) |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\ - \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq \xi_0} b(t)\psi(t)(C_0 \frac{\mu(\varepsilon_\xi)}{\varepsilon_\xi} \xi^2 + \psi(t)\mu^2(\varepsilon_\xi)\xi^4) |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ .

Suppose now  $|\xi| \geq \xi_0$ . If  $a(t)\xi^2 \geq 2\Phi'_\gamma(t)$ , then

$$b(t)(a(t)\xi^2 - \Phi'_\gamma(t))^2 \geq b(t) \frac{a^2(t)}{4} \xi^4 \geq b(t) \frac{1}{4\Lambda_0^2} \xi^4.$$

As a consequence, from (2.13), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.16) \quad &b(t)\psi(t)(C_0 \frac{\mu(\varepsilon_\xi)}{\varepsilon_\xi} \xi^2 + \psi(t)\mu^2(\varepsilon_\xi)\xi^4) \\ &= b(t)\psi(t)(C_0 \mu(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2}) \xi^4 + \psi(t)\mu^2(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2}) \xi^4) \\ &\leq b(t)\|\psi\|_\infty (C_0 + \|\psi\|_\infty \mu(1)) \mu(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2}) \xi^4 \\ &\leq b(t) \frac{1}{4\Lambda_0^2} \xi^4 \leq b(t)(a(t)\xi^2 - \Phi'_\gamma(t))^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a(t)\xi^2 \leq 2\Phi'_\gamma(t)$ , then (1.5), (2.5) and (2.12) imply that

$$\Psi_\gamma(\gamma t) \geq \frac{\xi^2}{2\Lambda_0 \|\varphi\|_{L^1} e^{\alpha\|\varphi\|_{L^1}}}.$$



From (2.10) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) &\geq \frac{\xi^4}{4\Lambda_0^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^1}^2 e^{2\alpha\|\varphi\|_{L^1}}} \mu\left(\frac{2\Lambda_0 \|\varphi\|_{L^1} e^{\alpha\|\varphi\|_{L^1}}}{\xi^2}\right) \psi(t) \\ &\geq \frac{\xi^4}{4\Lambda_0^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^1}^2 e^{2\alpha\|\varphi\|_{L^1}}} \mu(1/\xi^2) \psi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.17) \quad \gamma \Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) \int_0^t b(s) \varphi(s) ds \geq b(t) \psi(t) \left( C_0 \frac{\mu(\varepsilon_\xi)}{\varepsilon_\xi} \xi^2 + \psi(t) \mu^2(\varepsilon_\xi) \xi^4 \right)$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ . Finally, (2.16) and (2.17) give

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_1^{+\infty} \int_{|\xi| \geq \xi_0} (b(t)(a(t)\xi^2 - \Phi'_\gamma(t))^2 + \gamma \Psi'_\gamma(\gamma t) \int_0^t b(s) \varphi(s) ds) |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\ &\quad - \int_1^{+\infty} \int_{|\xi| \geq \xi_0} b(t) \psi(t) \left( C_0 \frac{\mu(\varepsilon_\xi)}{\varepsilon_\xi} \xi^2 + \psi(t) \mu^2(\varepsilon_\xi) \xi^4 \right) |\hat{z}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ . The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon and L. Nirenberg, Lower bounds and uniqueness theorems for solutions of differential equations in a Hilbert space, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **20** (1967), 207–229. MR0204829 (34:4665)
- [2] P.J. Cohen and M. Lees, Asymptotic decay of solutions of differential inequalities, *Pacific J. Math.* **11** (1961), 1235–1249. MR0133601 (24:A3427)
- [3] D. Del Santo and M. Prizzi, Backward uniqueness for parabolic operators whose coefficients are non-Lipschitz continuous in time, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **84** (2005), no. 4, 471–491. MR2133125
- [4] P.D. Lax, A stability theorem for solutions of abstract differential equations, and its application to the study of the local behavior of solutions of elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **9** (1956), 747–766. MR0086991 (19:281a)
- [5] M. Lees, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of parabolic differential inequalities, *Canad. J. Math.* **14** (1962), 626–631. MR0157116 (28:354)
- [6] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Nonhomogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972. MR0350176 (50:2669)
- [7] K. Miller, Nonunique continuation for uniformly parabolic and elliptic equations in self-adjoint divergence form with Hölder continuous coefficients, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **54** (1974), 105–117. MR0342822 (49:7566)
- [8] H. Ogawa, Lower bounds for solutions of parabolic differential inequalities, *Canad. J. Math.* **19** (1967), 667–672. MR0255967 (41:627)
- [9] M.H. Protter, Properties of solutions of parabolic equations and inequalities, *Canad. J. Math.* **13** (1961), 331–345. MR0153982 (27:3943)
- [10] S. Tarama, Local uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for second order elliptic equations with non-Lipschitzian coefficients, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **33** (1997), no. 1, 167–188. MR1442496 (98g:35053)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRIESTE, VIA VALERIO 12/1, 34127 TRIESTE, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `delsanto@univ.trieste.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRIESTE, VIA VALERIO 12/1, 34127 TRIESTE, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `prizzi@dsi.univ.trieste.it`