

## ACTIONS OF POINTED HOPF ALGEBRAS WITH REDUCED PI INVARIANTS

PIOTR GRZESZCZUK AND MAŁGORZATA HRYNIEWICKA

(Communicated by Martin Lorenz)

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be an  $H$ -module algebra, where  $H$  is a pointed Hopf algebra acting on  $R$  finitely of dimension  $N$ . Suppose that  $L^H \neq 0$  for every nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal of  $R$ . It is proved that if  $R^H$  satisfies a polynomial identity of degree  $d$ , then  $R$  satisfies a polynomial identity of degree  $dN$  provided at least one of the following additional conditions is fulfilled:

- (1)  $R$  is semiprime and  $R^H$  is almost central in  $R$ ,
- (2)  $R$  is reduced.

If we also assume that  $R^H$  is central, then  $R$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $2[\sqrt{N}]$ , where  $[\sqrt{N}]$  is the greatest integer in  $\sqrt{N}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is motivated by the following general question: if  $H$  is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over the field  $K$ , and  $R$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra such that the algebra of invariants  $R^H$  satisfies a polynomial identity, must  $R$  also satisfy a polynomial identity? The answer to this question is positive in many concrete situations, e.g.,

- (1) when  $H = K[G]$ , where  $G$  is a finite group, and either  $|G|^{-1} \in K$  or  $R$  is reduced (see [K1] and [K2]);
- (2) when  $H = K[G]^*$  (see [BC] and [BaZ]);
- (3) when  $H = u(L)$ , where  $L$  is a finite-dimensional restricted Lie algebra of derivations of a prime ring  $R$  with  $\text{char } R = p > 0$  such that  $R^H$  is semiprime and the elements inducing the  $X$ -inner part of  $L$  generate a quasi-Frobenius algebra (see [K3]);
- (4) when  $H$  is such that for every  $H$ -module algebra  $R$  such that  $R^H$  is nilpotent, also  $R$  is nilpotent (see [BaL]);
- (5) when  $H$  is pointed and  $R$  contains an element  $\gamma$  such that  $t \cdot \gamma = 1$ , for some  $0 \neq t \in \int_H^l$ , the space of left integrals of  $H$  (see [BeT]).

However for actions of finite groups, where  $|G|R = 0$ , it is known that the answer can be negative. In an example of Bergman, there is an action of a group  $G$  of order  $p^2$  (where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $K$ ) on the algebra  $R = M_2(K[x, y])$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a free algebra  $K[x, y]$  such that  $R^G$  is commutative. Recall that in

---

Received by the editors January 8, 2006 and, in revised form, April 25, 2006.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16R20, 16S40, 16W30.  
The first author was supported by Polish KBN grant No. 1 P03A 032 27.

this example,  $G$  is generated by the inner automorphisms induced by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $R$  is a prime ring where every nonzero  $G$ -stable left (right) ideal of  $R$  contains nontrivial invariants. This shows that the assumption that every nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal of  $R$  contains nontrivial invariants is not sufficient to obtain a positive answer to the above question. Notice that in the above example  $R^H$  contains nilpotent elements. The main goal of this paper is to present a condition, which guarantees, for a semiprime algebra  $R$ , that if  $R^H$  satisfies a PI, then  $R$  also satisfies a PI. We will show that if  $H$  is pointed and every nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal contains a nontrivial central invariant, then  $R^H$  satisfying a PI implies that  $R$  satisfies a PI. This extends a situation considered in [C] and [CW], where actions of Hopf algebras with central invariants are studied. The PI condition for prime rings with central rings of invariants under the action of  $p$ -nilpotent groups, nilpotent Lie algebras and Lie superalgebras was also considered in [BCF] and [BG]. In the second main result, we show that if  $R$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements, then the assumption that nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideals contain nontrivial invariants is sufficient for lifting the PI property from  $R^H$  to  $R$ . Note that the most typical nontrivial examples of pointed Hopf algebras, which are neither group algebras nor universal enveloping algebras, are given by Lusztig's finite-dimensional Hopf algebras  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$  arising from quantized enveloping algebras at roots of unity for semisimple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  (see [AS]).

Throughout the paper  $K$  will be a field,  $H$  a pointed Hopf algebra over  $K$ , and  $R$  an algebra over  $K$ . We let  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  be the comultiplication of  $H$ ,  $\epsilon : H \rightarrow K$  is the counit of  $H$ , and  $S : H \rightarrow H$  the antipode of  $H$ . We say that  $R$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra if  $R$  is a left  $H$ -module such that  $h \cdot ab = \sum (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b)$  and  $h \cdot 1_R = \epsilon(h)1_R$ , where  $h \in H$ ,  $\Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2$ ,  $a, b \in R$ . If  $A$  is a subset of  $R$  such that  $h \cdot A \subseteq A$ , for all  $h \in H$ , then we say that  $A$  is  $H$ -stable. When  $R$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra one can consider the smash product  $R \# H$ . As a vector space  $R \# H$  is  $R \otimes H$ . The elements of  $R \# H$  can be written as finite sums  $\sum a_h h$ , where  $h \in H$  and  $a_h \in R$ . Then the multiplication in  $R \# H$  is determined by the formula  $(ah)(bl) = \sum a(h_1 \cdot b)h_2 l$ , for all  $a, b \in R$  and  $h, l \in H$ . The ring of invariants  $R^H$  is defined as  $\{r \in R \mid h \cdot r = \epsilon(h)r, \text{ for all } h \in H\}$ .

If  $R$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra, then  $R$  becomes a left  $R \# H$ -module using the left action  $(ah) \cdot r = a(h \cdot r)$ , where  $a, r \in R$  and  $h \in H$ . Then the commuting ring  $\text{End}_{R \# H}(R)$  is isomorphic to  $R^H$  and the submodules of  $R$  over  $R \# H$  are precisely left  $H$ -stable ideals of  $R$ .

If  $M$  is a left  $H$ -module, then there is a homomorphism  $\pi : H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(M)$  defined by  $\pi(h)(m) = hm$ , for all  $h \in H$  and  $m \in M$ . If  $\dim_K \pi(H) = N < \infty$ , then we say that  $H$  acts **finitely** of dimension  $N$ . Clearly  $\dim_K \pi(H) \leq \dim_K H$ , so if  $H$  is finite dimensional, then  $H$  acts finitely on each  $H$ -module.

If  $R$  is semiprime, we let  $Q = Q(R)$  denote the symmetric Martindale quotient ring. Its center, known as the extended centroid of  $R$ , we denote by  $C$ . The following properties of  $Q$ , when  $R$  is acted on by a Hopf algebra, are proved in Propositions 1, 2 and 5 of [GH].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime  $H$ -module algebra such that the  $H$ -action on  $R$  extends to an  $H$ -action on  $Q$  and any nonzero  $H$ -stable ideal of  $R$  contains nontrivial invariants. Then*

- (1) *the ring  $C^H = C \cap Q^H$  is von Neumann regular and selfinjective.*
- (2) *For any nonempty subset  $X$  of  $Q$  there exists an idempotent  $\widehat{e}_X \in C^H$  such that  $\text{ann}_{C^H}(X) = (1 - \widehat{e}_X)C^H$ . If  $X$  is an injective  $C^H$ -submodule of  $Q$ , then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\text{ann}_{C^H}(X) = \text{ann}_{C^H}(x) = (1 - \widehat{e}_x)C^H$ .*
- (3) *If  $L \subseteq Q$  is an  $H$ -stable subalgebra of  $Q$  which is injective as a  $C^H$ -module, then  $L^H$  is also injective as a  $C^H$ -module.*
- (4) *If a nonempty subset  $S \subseteq C^H \setminus \{0\}$  is closed under a multiplication, then the localization  $Q_S$  of  $Q$  at  $S$  is semiprime and  $Z(Q_S) = C_S$ .*
- (5) *If  $H$  acts finitely on  $Q$  and  $S = C^H \setminus M$ , where  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $C^H$ , then the  $H$ -action on  $Q$  extends to an  $H$ -action on  $Q_S$  and  $(Q^H)_S = (Q_S)^H$ , where  $(C^H)_S = (C_S)^H = C_S \cap (Q_S)^H$  is a field contained in the center of  $Q_S$ .*

It is also known ([GH, Proposition 2]) that under the assumptions of Lemma 1, the ring  $Q$  is nonsingular and injective as a  $C^H$ -module. This immediately implies that if  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  is an onto  $C^H$ -module map, where  $0 \neq N \subseteq Q$  and  $M$  is injective, then  $N$  is also an injective  $C^H$ -module. In particular, any principal left ideal  $Qq$  of  $Q$  is nonsingular and injective as a  $C^H$ -module. Hence each finitely generated left ideal of  $Q$  (finitely generated as a left  $Q$ -module) is also injective over  $C^H$ .

An important role will be played by the following result of Bergen, Cohen and Fischman on irreducible actions of Hopf algebras (see [BCF], Theorem 2.2).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be a left  $H$ -module algebra such that  $A\#H$  acts irreducibly on  $A$ ,  $A$  has a finite left Goldie rank, and  $H$  acts finitely of dimension  $N$  on  $A$ . Then  $[A : A^H]_r \leq N$ , where  $[A : A^H]_r$  is the dimension of  $A$  as a right vector space over the division ring  $A^H$ .*

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section  $H$  will be a pointed Hopf algebra over a field  $K$ . Recall that a ring  $R$  is said to be **reduced** if it does not contain nonzero nilpotent elements. It is well known that if  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  are elements of a reduced ring  $R$  such that  $r_1 r_2 \dots r_n = 0$ , then  $r_{f(1)} r_{f(2)} \dots r_{f(n)} = 0$  for any bijection  $f : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

If  $R$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra with center  $Z(R)$ , we say that the ring of invariants is **almost central** in  $R$  if  $L^H \cap Z(R) \neq 0$  for every nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $R$ . Notice that if  $R$  is semiprime and  $R^H$  is almost central in  $R$ , then  $R^H$  is reduced. Indeed, suppose there exists  $0 \neq a \in R^H$  such that  $a^2 = 0$ . The left ideal  $Ra$  is  $H$ -stable, so one can find a nonzero element  $ra \in (Ra)^H \cap Z(R)$ . Then  $ara = a(ra) = (ra)a = 0$  and thus  $(ra)^2 = 0$ , which is impossible since  $Z(R)$  is reduced.

Our first main goal is to prove the following.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime  $K$ -algebra with center  $Z$  and suppose  $R$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra, where  $H$  is a pointed Hopf algebra acting on  $R$  finitely of dimension  $N$ . If the subalgebra of invariants  $R^H$  is almost central in  $R$ , and  $R^H$*

satisfies a polynomial identity of degree  $d$ , then  $R$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $dN$ . If in addition  $R^H \subseteq Z$ , then  $R$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $2[\sqrt{N}]$ , where  $[\sqrt{N}]$  is the greatest integer in  $\sqrt{N}$ .

Our next result concerns the situation when the algebra  $R$  is reduced.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced  $H$ -module  $K$ -algebra, where  $H$  is a pointed Hopf algebra acting on  $R$  finitely of dimension  $N$ . Suppose that  $L^H \neq 0$  for every nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $R$ . If  $R^H$  satisfies a polynomial identity of degree  $d$ , then  $R$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $dN$ .*

The proofs require some preparation. Recall that a module  $M$  is called uniform if the intersection of any two nonzero submodules is nonzero. We start with the following general observation.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $M$  be an irreducible (uniform) left  $R\#H$ -module and suppose that  $H$  acts finitely on  $M$ . Then  $M$  has finite length (finite Goldie rank) as a left  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be an arbitrary (not necessarily irreducible) left  $R\#H$ -module. Let  $\pi : H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(M)$  be a homomorphism of algebras induced by the action of  $H$  on  $M$ . By using the Taft-Wilson Theorem (see [M1, Theorem 5.4.1]) we can decompose  $H$  as a finite union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N H_i$  of an increasing chain of subspaces  $\{H_i\}$  such that

- (i)  $\pi(H_i) = \pi(H_{i-1}) + K \cdot \pi(h_i)$ , where  $h_1 = 1_H$  and  $h_i \in H$  for  $2 \leq i \leq N$ ,
- (ii)  $\Delta(h_i) \in \sigma \otimes h_i + h_i \otimes \tau + H_{i-1} \otimes H_{i-1}$ , where  $\sigma, \tau \in G$  and  $2 \leq i \leq N$ .

Moreover, we can assume in (ii) that if  $h_i \neq \tau$  (that is, if  $h_i$  is not a group-like element), then  $\tau \in H_{i-1}$ .

If  $A$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  and  $j \geq 1$ , let

$$A_{(j)} = \{m \in M \mid h_1 m, \dots, h_j m \in A\}.$$

If  $h_i \in H$  satisfies (ii), then

$$h_i(rm) = \sigma(r)h_i m + (h_i \cdot r)\tau m + \sum (h_{i1} \cdot r)h_{i2} m,$$

where  $r \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $h_{i1}, h_{i2} \in H_{i-1}$ . Thus an easy induction argument shows that  $A_{(j)}$  is also an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Since  $\{\pi(h_1), \dots, \pi(h_N)\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $\pi(H)$ , we obtain immediately that  $hA_{(N)} \subseteq A_{(N)}$ , for all  $h \in H$ ; thus  $A_{(N)}$  is an  $R\#H$ -submodule. In fact  $A_{(N)}$  is the largest  $R\#H$ -submodule contained in  $A$ . Now if  $\{A_\alpha\}$  is a chain of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ , each of which contains no nonzero  $R\#H$ -submodule, then  $\bigcup A_\alpha$  also contains no nonzero  $R\#H$ -submodule. Indeed, if  $B \subseteq \bigcup A_\alpha$  is a nonzero  $R\#H$ -submodule and  $0 \neq b \in B$ , then  $\{h_1 b, \dots, h_N b\} \subseteq A_{\alpha_0}$  for some  $\alpha_0$ . Therefore  $(R\#H)b \subseteq A_{\alpha_0}$ , and so  $A_{\alpha_0}$  contains a nonzero  $R\#H$ -submodule, a contradiction. Consequently, by Zorn's Lemma, there exists an  $R$ -submodule  $\widehat{A}$  of  $M$  which is maximal with respect to containing no nonzero  $R\#H$ -submodule. We can now consider the chain of  $R$ -submodules

$$M \supseteq \widehat{A} = \widehat{A}_{(1)} \supseteq \widehat{A}_{(2)} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \widehat{A}_{(N-1)} \supseteq \widehat{A}_{(N)} = 0.$$

Now suppose that  $M$  is irreducible (resp. uniform) as a left  $R\#H$ -module. Since  $B_{(N)} \neq 0$ , for any  $R$ -submodule  $B$  properly containing  $\widehat{A}$ , we see that the factor  $R$ -module  $M/\widehat{A}$  is irreducible (resp. uniform). If  $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$ , then we can consider the maps

$$\varphi_i : \widehat{A}_{(i)} \rightarrow M/\widehat{A}$$

defined as  $\varphi_i(a) = h_{i+1}a + \widehat{A}$ , for all  $a \in \widehat{A}_{(i)}$ . By (ii) there exist  $\sigma, \tau \in G$  such that  $\Delta(h_{i+1}) - \sigma \otimes h_{i+1} - h_{i+1} \otimes \tau \in H_i \otimes H_i$ . Hence if  $r \in R$  and  $a \in \widehat{A}_{(i)}$ , then since  $H_i a \subseteq \widehat{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(ra) &= h_{i+1}(ra) + \widehat{A} = (h_{i+1}r)a + \widehat{A} = \sigma(r)h_{i+1}a + (h_{i+1} \cdot r)\tau a + \widehat{A} \\ &= \sigma(r)h_{i+1}a + \widehat{A} = \sigma(r)\varphi_i(a). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\ker \varphi_i = \widehat{A}_{(i+1)}$ . Therefore each  $\varphi_i$  induces an embedding of the lattice of  $R$ -submodules of  $\widehat{A}_{(i)}/\widehat{A}_{(i+1)}$  into the lattice of  $R$ -submodules of  $M/\widehat{A}$ . In our situation the  $R$ -module  $M/\widehat{A}$  is irreducible, so each  $\widehat{A}_{(i)}/\widehat{A}_{(i+1)}$  is either the zero module or irreducible (resp. uniform) as an  $R$ -module. Therefore  $M$  has a finite length (resp. finite Goldie rank), not exceeding  $N$ , as an  $R$ -module.  $\square$

Let  $Q = Q(R)$  be the symmetric Martindale quotient ring of  $R$ . From the result of Montgomery (see [M2, Corollary 3.5]) it follows that when  $H$  is pointed, the  $H$ -action on  $R$  can be extended to an  $H$ -action on  $Q$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that if  $H$  acts finitely on  $R$ , then every essential ideal of  $R$  contains an  $H$ -stable ideal which is also essential in  $R$  (see [GH, Lemma 9]). As a consequence of some basic properties of  $Q$ , we obtain the following.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $H$  be a pointed Hopf algebra and let  $R$  be a semiprime left  $H$ -module algebra such that  $R^H$  is reduced and  $L^H \neq 0$  for every nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $R$ . Suppose  $R^H$  satisfies a multilinear identity of degree  $d$  and  $H$  acts on  $R$  finitely of dimension  $N$ . Then*

- (1)  $L^H \neq 0$ , for every nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $Q$ .
- (2)  $Z(R^H) \subseteq Z(Q^H)$ .
- (3)  $Q^H$  is reduced and satisfies the same multilinear identity as  $R^H$ .
- (4)  $H$  acts finitely of dimension  $N$  on  $Q$ .
- (5) If  $R^H$  is almost central in  $R$ , then  $Q^H$  is almost central in  $Q$ .
- (6) If in addition  $R^H \subseteq Z$ , then  $Q^H \subseteq C$ .

*Proof.* For (1), if  $L$  is a nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal of  $Q$ , then  $\widehat{L} = L \cap R$  is a nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal of  $R$ . By assumption  $\widehat{L}^H \neq 0$ , so  $L^H \neq 0$ .

Before proving (2), notice that if  $I$  is an  $H$ -stable essential ideal of  $R$ , then  $\text{l.ann}_R(I^H \cap Z(R^H)) = 0$ . Indeed, it is clear that  $L = \text{l.ann}_R(I^H \cap Z(R^H))$  is an  $H$ -stable left ideal of  $R$ . If  $L \neq 0$ , then  $0 \neq I \cdot L \subseteq I \cap L$  and since  $R^H$  is reduced, we obtain that  $(I \cap L)^H$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R^H$ . By assumption  $R^H$  satisfies a PI, so  $0 \neq Z((I \cap L)^H) \subseteq Z(R^H)$ . Thus one can choose a nonzero element  $c \in (I \cap L)^H \cap Z(R^H)$ . But then  $c^2 \in L \cdot (I^H \cap Z(R)) = 0$ , which is impossible, since  $R^H$  is reduced. This also implies that  $\text{l.ann}_Q(I^H \cap Z(R^H)) = 0$ . By using an easy induction argument, we obtain that for any  $d \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \text{l.ann}_Q((I^H \cap Z(R^H))^d) = 0.$$

This immediately implies that  $Z(R^H) \subseteq Z(Q^H)$ . To see this, take a nonzero  $q \in Q^H$  and an essential  $H$ -stable ideal  $J$  of  $R$  satisfying  $Jq \subseteq R$  and  $qJ \subseteq R$ . Then, for any  $x \in J^H$  and  $c \in Z(R^H)$ , we have  $qx \in R^H$  and

$$0 = [qx, c] = [q, c]x + q[x, c] = [q, c]x.$$

Hence  $[q, c]J^H = 0$  and by (2.1),  $[q, c] = 0$ . Consequently,  $Z(R^H) \subseteq Z(Q^H)$ . This ends the proof of (2).

For the first part of (3), take  $q \in Q^H$  such that  $q^2 = 0$ , and  $I$  an essential  $H$ -stable ideal of  $R$  satisfying  $qI \cup Iq \subseteq R$ . Then  $(I^H \cap Z(R^H))q \subseteq R$  and using (2) we obtain  $((I^H \cap Z(R^H))q)^2 = q^2(I^H \cap Z(R^H))^2 = 0$ . Since  $R^H$  is reduced,  $(I^H \cap Z(R^H))q = 0$  and (2.1) forces that  $q = 0$ . Therefore  $Q^H$  is reduced.

Now let  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} a_\sigma x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \dots x_{\sigma(d)}$  be a multilinear polynomial such that the identity  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = 0$  is satisfied by  $R^H$ . Take  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d \in Q^H$  and an  $H$ -stable essential ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $q_j I \subseteq R$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Then for all  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_d \in I^H \cap Z(R^H)$  we have  $c_i q_i \in R^H$ , so by using (2),

$$0 = f(c_1 q_1, c_2 q_2, \dots, c_d q_d) = f(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d) c_1 c_2 \dots c_d.$$

This means that  $f(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d) \in \text{l.ann}_Q((I^H \cap Z)^d) = 0$ . Thus the identity

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = 0$$

is satisfied also by  $Q^H$ . This proves (3).

For (4), let  $\hat{\pi} : H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(Q)$  be the natural  $K$ -algebra homomorphism, corresponding to the action of  $H$  on  $Q$ . We need to show that  $\ker \pi = \ker \hat{\pi}$ . The inclusion  $\ker \pi \supseteq \ker \hat{\pi}$  is clear. Suppose  $h \in \ker \pi$ . Take  $q \in Q$  and  $I$  an essential  $H$ -stable ideal of  $R$  such that  $qI \subseteq R$ . Since  $\hat{\pi}(h)$  is an  $R^H$ -bimodule map, we obtain that

$$\hat{\pi}(h)(q)a = \hat{\pi}(h)(qa) = \pi(h)(qa) = 0$$

for any  $a \in I^H$ . Hence  $\hat{\pi}(h)(q) \in \text{l.ann}_Q(I^H) \subseteq \text{l.ann}_Q(I^H \cap Z(R^H)) = 0$ . Thus  $h \in \ker \hat{\pi}$  and consequently  $\ker \hat{\pi} = \ker \pi$ . Thus  $\dim_K \hat{\pi}(H) = \dim_K \pi(H)$ .

For (5), if  $L$  is a nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal of  $Q$ , then  $\hat{L} = L \cap R$  is a nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal of  $R$ . Since  $Z(R) \subseteq C$  and  $\hat{L}^H \cap Z(R) \neq 0$ , we obtain that  $L^H \cap C \neq 0$ . Thus  $Q^H$  is almost central in  $Q$ .

For (6), take  $q \in Q^H$  and an  $H$ -stable essential ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $qI \subseteq R$ . If  $c \in I^H$ , then  $qc \in R^H \subseteq Z$  and hence

$$(qr - rq)c = (qr)c - r(qc) = (qc)r - (qc)r = 0,$$

for any  $r \in R$ . Thus  $rq - qr \in \text{l.ann}_Q(I^H \cap Z(R^H)) = 0$ . Therefore  $q$  centralizes  $R$ , so  $q \in C$ . □

We are now ready to prove the first main result of the paper.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** By Lemma 6, all assumptions on  $R$  can be lifted to  $Q$ . Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_N \in H$  be such that  $\{\pi(h_1), \pi(h_2), \dots, \pi(h_N)\}$  is a basis for  $\pi(H) \subseteq \text{End}_K(Q)$ . Notice that for any  $q \in Q$  the left ideal  $L = \sum_{i=1}^N Q(h_i \cdot q)$  is  $H$ -stable. By applying the remarks after Lemma 1, we see that any finitely generated (as a left  $Q$ -module) left ideal of  $Q$  is contained in an  $H$ -stable finitely generated left ideal which is also injective as a  $C^H$ -module.

Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $C^H$  and  $\eta_M : Q \rightarrow Q_M$  be a natural ring homomorphism, where  $Q_M$  is the localization of  $Q$  at  $S = C^H \setminus M$ . By Lemma 1 it follows that  $Q_M$  is semiprime and Lemma 6 shows that  $(Q_M)^H = (Q^H)_M$  satisfies a multilinear identity of degree  $d$ . We claim that  $(Q^H)_M$  is almost central in  $Q_M$ . Take a nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal  $T$  of  $Q_M$  and choose a finitely generated  $H$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $Q$  such that  $0 \neq \eta_M(L) \subseteq T$ . Then  $L$  is injective as a left  $C^H$ -module and by Lemma 1(3),  $L^H$  is also injective as a left  $C^H$ -module. Since  $C$  is injective over  $C^H$ , the intersection  $L^H \cap C$  is injective as a  $C^H$ -module. By Lemma 1(2), there exist  $x \in L^H \cap C$  and an idempotent  $\hat{e}_x \in C^H$  such that

$\text{ann}_{C^H}(L^H \cap C) = \text{ann}_{C^H}(x) = (1 - \widehat{e}_x)C^H$ . We claim that  $(1 - \widehat{e}_x)L = 0$ . If not, then  $(1 - \widehat{e}_x)L$  is a nonzero  $H$ -stable left ideal of  $Q$ . Since  $Q^H$  is almost central in  $Q$ , we can choose a nonzero  $c \in ((1 - \widehat{e}_x)L)^H \cap C$ . Then  $c \in L^H \cap C$  and  $c = (1 - \widehat{e}_x)c \in (1 - \widehat{e}_x)C^H = \text{ann}_{C^H}(L^H \cap C)$ . Therefore  $c^2 = 0$ , which is impossible because  $C$  is reduced. This proves the claim. Since  $\eta_M(L) \neq 0$ ,  $1 - \widehat{e}_x \in M$ . Hence  $\text{ann}_{C^H}(x) \subseteq M$  and thus  $0 \neq \eta_M(x) \in T^H \cap C_M$ . Therefore  $(Q_M)^H$  is almost central in  $Q_M$ .

On the other hand by Lemma 1(5),  $(Q_M)^H \cap C_M$  is a field, so  $Q_M$  does not contain proper  $H$ -stable left ideals. Thus  $Q_M$  is an irreducible left  $Q_M \# H$ -module. By Lemma 5,  $Q_M$  has finite length as a left  $Q_M$ -module, so in particular  $Q_M$  has finite left Goldie rank. We are now in a position to apply Theorem 2. It asserts that  $(Q_M)^H$  is a division ring and  $[Q_M : (Q_M)^H]_r = n \leq N$ . If we let  $A_M$  denote the annihilator ideal  $\{w \in Q_M \# H \mid wQ_M = 0\}$ , then  $Q_M \# H/A_M \simeq M_n((Q_M)^H)$ . The division algebra  $(Q_M)^H$  satisfies a polynomial identity of degree  $d$ , so  $M_n((Q_M)^H)$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity  $s_{dn}$  of degree  $dn \leq dN$ . Since  $Q_M$  is semiprime we have an embedding  $Q_M \hookrightarrow Q_M \# H/A_M$ . Thus for any maximal ideal  $M$  of  $C^H$ , the localization  $Q_M$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity  $s_{dN}$ . The fact that  $C^H$  is von Neumann regular implies immediately the existence of an embedding  $Q \hookrightarrow \prod_M Q_M$ , where the product is taken over all maximal ideals of  $C^H$ . Therefore  $Q$  satisfies  $s_{dN}$ .

If we additionally assume that  $R^H \subseteq Z$ , then by Lemma 6(6),  $Q^H \subseteq C$ . Thus for a given maximal ideal  $M$  of  $C^H$ ,  $Q_M$  is a semisimple finite-dimensional algebra containing a central subfield  $(C_M)^H$  such that  $\dim_{(C_M)^H} Q_M \leq N$ . Therefore, the Amitsur-Levitzki Theorem asserts that  $Q_M$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $2[\sqrt{N}]$ . As a result, if the invariants  $R^H$  are central in  $R$ , then  $R$  satisfies  $s_{2[\sqrt{N}]}$ , thereby concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Let us first consider the special case where  $R^H$  is a domain. Then, by Posner’s Theorem,  $R^H$  is a Goldie ring. Furthermore, if we put  $T = Z(R^H) \setminus \{0\}$ , then the localization  $T^{-1}R^H$  is a division algebra with center  $Z = T^{-1}Z(R^H)$  and  $\dim_Z T^{-1}R^H \leq \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2$ . It is easy to see that every nonzero element  $z \in Z(R^H)$  is regular in  $R$ . In fact, since  $R$  is reduced,  $J = \text{l.ann}_R(z) = \text{r.ann}_R(z)$  is a two-sided  $H$ -stable ideal of  $R$ . If  $J$  is nonzero, then  $Z(J^H) \neq 0$  (because  $R^H$  satisfies a PI), and clearly  $Z(J^H) \subseteq Z(R^H)$ . But  $Z(J^H)z = 0$ , and this contradicts our assumption that  $R^H$  is a domain. We claim that the subset  $T$  satisfies the left Ore condition in  $R$ . To see this, note that by Lemma 5,  $R$  has a finite left Goldie rank. Furthermore  $R$ , as a reduced ring, certainly has zero singular ideal. Thus  $R$  is left Goldie. Now it is enough to show that any essential left ideal of  $R$  intersects  $T$  nontrivially. Since the group  $G = G(H)$  of group-like elements acts finitely, we need only consider essential left ideals which are  $G$ -stable. Let  $L$  be a  $G$ -stable essential left ideal of  $R$  and, using the notation in Lemma 5, let  $L_{(j)} = \{x \in L \mid h_1 \cdot x, \dots, h_j \cdot x \in L\}$ . We will show by induction that  $L_{(j)}$  is essential for all  $j \geq 1$ . To see this, let  $I$  be any nonzero left ideal of  $R$ . Given  $0 \neq a \in L_{(j-1)} \cap I$ , the left ideal  $E = \bigcap_{i \leq j} \{r \in R \mid r(h_i \cdot a) \in L\}$  is essential. Since  $R$  is nonsingular, we can choose  $r \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in G} E^\sigma$  with  $ra \neq 0$ . Then

$$h_j \cdot (ra) = \sigma(r)(h_j \cdot a) + (h_j \cdot r)\tau(a) + \sum (h_{j1} \cdot r)(h_{j2} \cdot a) \in L.$$

Thus  $0 \neq ra \in L_{(j)}$ , so  $L_{(j)}$  is essential. In particular  $\widehat{L} = L_{(N)}$  is nonzero and  $H$ -stable. By assumption  $\widehat{L}^H$  is a nonzero left ideal of  $R^H$ . But  $R^H$  is a PI domain, so any nonzero left ideal intersects  $T$  nontrivially. This proves the claim.

Notice that  $T^{-1}R$  is in a natural way a left  $H$ -module algebra and  $(T^{-1}R)^H = T^{-1}R^H$  is a division algebra satisfying a polynomial identity of degree  $d$ . It is also clear that  $T^{-1}R$  has no proper left  $H$ -stable ideals, so  $T^{-1}R$  becomes an irreducible left  $(T^{-1}R)\#H$ -module. Applying the same argument as in the proof of our previous theorem, we obtain that  $T^{-1}R$  satisfies  $s_{dN}$ . Therefore  $R$  also satisfies the standard identity  $s_{dN}$ .

For the general case, since  $R$  is reduced, the symmetric Martindale quotient ring  $Q$  is also reduced. Similarly, as in Theorem 3, let us consider a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $C^H$  and the canonical map  $\eta_M : Q \rightarrow Q_M$ . We claim that  $(Q^H)_M$  is a domain. To this end, let  $a, b \in Q^H$  be such that  $ab = 0$ , and let  $e_a, e_b \in C^H$  be idempotents such that  $\text{ann}_Q(QaQ) = (1 - e_a)Q$  and  $\text{ann}_Q(QbQ) = (1 - e_b)Q$ . Since  $Q$  is reduced,  $\text{l.ann}_Q(a) = \text{r.ann}_Q(a) = \text{ann}_Q(QaQ)$ . Thus  $\text{r.ann}_Q(a) = (1 - e_a)Q$ . Hence  $e_a b = 0$ . On the other hand  $\text{l.ann}_Q(b) = (1 - e_b)Q$ , so there exists  $x \in Q$  satisfying  $e_a = (1 - e_b)x$ . Now it is clear that  $e_a e_b = 0$ , and thus either  $e_a \in M$  or  $e_b \in M$ . This immediately implies that either  $\eta_M(a) = 0$  or  $\eta_M(b) = 0$ . Therefore  $(Q^H)_M$  is a domain, as claimed. Notice that the ring  $Q_M$  is reduced. Indeed, if  $q \in Q$  and  $c \in C^H \setminus M$  are such that  $cq^2 = 0$ , then  $(cq)^2 = 0$  and  $cq = 0$ , since  $Q$  is reduced. Consequently  $\eta_M(q) = 0$ . As a result  $Q_M$  is a reduced left  $H$ -module algebra and its subalgebra of invariants  $(Q_M)^H = (Q^H)_M$  is a domain satisfying a polynomial identity of degree  $d$ . By the previous paragraph  $Q_M$  satisfies  $s_{dN}$ . Since this holds for any maximal ideal  $M$  of  $C^H$ , the ring  $Q$  satisfies  $s_{dN}$ .  $\square$

We close the paper with a remark concerning actions on reduced algebras. We see that the result of Kharchenko for group actions (mentioned in the introduction) is a direct consequence of his fundamental result on the existence of fixed elements and Theorem 4. Moreover, Beidar and Grzeszczuk proved in [BeG] an analogous result on the existence of nontrivial constants for actions of Lie algebras. Finally, Theorem 4 now provides us with a common proof of the following.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced algebra. Then*

- (1) (cf. [K2]) *if  $R$  is acted on by a finite group  $G$  and  $R^G$  satisfies a PI of degree  $d$ , then  $R$  satisfies a PI of degree  $d|G|$ .*
- (2) *If  $R$  is acted finitely on by a finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $L$  and  $R^L$  satisfies a PI of degree  $d$ , then  $R$  satisfies a PI of degree  $dN$ , where  $N$  is the dimension of the action.*

#### REFERENCES

- [AS] N. Andruskiewitsch, H.J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf Algebras*, New directions in Hopf Algebras. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 1-68, **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002, 634-654. MR1913436 (2003e:16043)
- [BaL] Y. Bahturin, V. Linchenko, *Identities of algebras with actions of Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **202** (1998), 634-654. MR1617671 (99d:16040)
- [BaZ] Y. Bahturin, M. Zaicev, *Identities of graded algebras*, J. Algebra **205** (1998), 1-12. MR1631298 (99f:17034)
- [BeG] K.I. Beidar, P. Grzeszczuk, *Actions of Lie algebras on rings without nilpotent elements*, Algebra Colloq. **2**(2) (1995), 105-116. MR1329141 (96f:16043)
- [BeT] K.I. Beidar, B. Torrecillas, *On actions of Hopf algebras with cocommutative coradical*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **161** (2001), 13-30. MR1834076 (2002f:16080)



- [BC] J. Bergen, M. Cohen, *Actions of commutative Hopf algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **18** (1986), 159-164. MR0818820 (87e:16052)
- [BCF] J. Bergen, M. Cohen, D. Fischman, *Irreducible actions and faithful actions of Hopf algebras*, Israel J. Math., **72** (1990), 5-18. MR1098978 (92g:16044)
- [BG] J. Bergen, P. Grzeszczuk, *Invariants of Lie superalgebras acting on associative rings*, Israel J. Math. **94** (1996), 403-428. MR1394584 (97g:16046)
- [C] M. Cohen, *Quantum commutativity and central invariants*, Advances in Hopf algebras, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 158, Dekker, New York, 1994, 25-38. MR1289420 (95h:16050)
- [CW] M. Cohen, S. Westreich, *Central invariants of  $H$ -module algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **21**(8) (1993), 2859-2883. MR1222747 (94d:16034)
- [GH] P. Grzeszczuk, M. Hryniewicka, *Polynomial identities of algebras with actions of pointed Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra, **278** (2004), 684-703. MR2071660 (2005d:16037)
- [K1] V. K. Kharchenko, *Generalized identities with automorphisms*, Algebra i Logika **14** (1975), 215-237 (English translation 1976, 132-148). MR0399153 (53:3004)
- [K2] V. K. Kharchenko, *Fixed elements under a finite group acting on a semiprime ring*, Algebra i Logika **14** (1975), 328-344 (English translation 1976, 409-417). MR0429998 (55:3006)
- [K3] V. K. Kharchenko, J. Keller, S. Rodrigues-Romo, *Prime rings with PI rings of constants*, Israel J. Math. **96** (1996), 357-377. MR1433695 (97k:16052)
- [M1] S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 82, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1993. MR1243637 (94i:16019)
- [M2] S. Montgomery, *Bi-invertible actions of Hopf algebras*, Israel J. Math., **83** (1993), no. 1-2, 45-71. MR1239716 (94g:16047)

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF BIAŁYSTOK, WIEJSKA 45A, 15-351 BIAŁYSTOK, POLAND

*E-mail address:* piotrgr@pb.bialystok.pl

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIAŁYSTOK, AKADEMICKA 2, 15-267 BIAŁYSTOK, POLAND

*E-mail address:* margitt@math.uwb.edu.pl