

GLOBAL COEFFICIENT RING IN THE NILPOTENCE CONJECTURE

JOSEPH GUBELADZE

(Communicated by Martin Lorenz)

ABSTRACT. In this note we show that the nilpotence conjecture for toric varieties is true over any regular coefficient ring containing \mathbb{Q} .

In [G] we showed that for any additive submonoid M of a rational vector space with the trivial group of units and a field \mathbf{k} with $\text{char } \mathbf{k} = 0$ the multiplicative monoid \mathbb{N} acts nilpotently on the quotient $K_i(\mathbf{k}[M])/K_i(\mathbf{k})$ of the i th K -groups, $i \geq 0$. In other words, for any sequence of natural numbers $c_1, c_2, \dots \geq 2$ and any element $x \in K_i(\mathbf{k}[M])$ we have $(c_1 \cdots c_j)_*(x) \in K_i(\mathbf{k})$ for all $j \gg 0$ (potentially depending on x). Here c_* refers to the group endomorphism of $K_i(\mathbf{k}[M])$ induced by the monoid endomorphism $M \rightarrow M$, $m \mapsto m^c$, writing the monoid operation multiplicatively.

The motivation of this result is that it includes the known results on (stable) triviality of vector bundles on affine toric varieties and higher K -homotopy invariance of affine spaces. Here we show how the mentioned nilpotence extends to all regular coefficient rings containing \mathbb{Q} , thus providing the last missing argument in the long project spread over many papers. See the introduction of [G] for more details.

Using Bloch-Stienstra's actions of the big Witt vectors on the NK_i -groups [St] (which has already played a crucial role in [G], but in a different context), Lindel's technique of étale neighborhoods [L], van der Kallen's étale localization [K], and Popescu's desingularization [Sw], we show

Theorem 1. *Let M be an additive submonoid of a \mathbb{Q} -vector space with a trivial group of units. Then for any regular ring R with $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ the multiplicative monoid \mathbb{N} acts nilpotently on $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R)$, $i \geq 0$.*

Conventions. All our monoids and rings are assumed to be commutative. X is a variable. The monoid operation is written multiplicatively, denoting by e the neutral element. \mathbb{Z}_+ is the additive monoid of nonnegative integers. For a sequence of natural numbers $\mathbf{c} = c_1, c_2, \dots \geq 2$ and an additive submonoid N of a rational space V we put

$$N^{\mathbf{c}} = \varinjlim \left(N \xrightarrow{-c_1} N \xrightarrow{-c_2} \cdots \right) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} N^{\frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_j}} \subset V.$$

Received by the editors January 16, 2007 and, in revised form, February 5, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19D50; Secondary 13B40, 13K05, 20M25.

The author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0600929.

©2007 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Lemma 2. *Let M be a finitely generated submonoid of a rational vector space with the trivial group of units. Then M embeds into a free commutative monoid \mathbb{Z}_+^r .*

For the stronger version of Lemma 2 with $r = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes M)$ see, for instance, [BG, Proposition 2.15(e)]. (In [BG] the monoids as in Lemma 2 are called the *affine positive monoids*.)

Lemma 3. *Let F be a functor from rings to abelian groups, H be a monoid with the trivial group of units, and $\Lambda = \bigoplus_H \Lambda_h$ be an H -graded ring (i.e. $\Lambda_h \Lambda_{h'} \subset \Lambda_{hh'}$). Then we have the implication*

$$F(\Lambda) = F(\Lambda[H]) \implies F(\Lambda_e) = F(\Lambda).$$

The special case of Lemma 3 when $H = \mathbb{Z}_+$ is known as the *Swan-Weibel homotopy trick*, and the proof of the general cases makes no real difference; see [G, Proposition 8.2].

Lemma 4. *Theorem 1 is true for any coefficient ring of the form $S^{-1}\mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}_+^r]$ where \mathbf{k} is a field of characteristic 0 and $S \subset \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}_+^r]$ is a multiplicative subset.*

In the special case when \mathbf{k} is a number field, Lemma 4 is proved in Step 2 in [G, §8], but word-by-word the same argument goes through for a field \mathbf{k} provided the nilpotence conjecture is true for the monoid rings with coefficients in \mathbf{k} .

Notice. The reason we state the result in [G] only for number fields is that the preceding result in [G] is the validity of the nilpotence conjecture for such coefficient fields. Actually, the proof of Theorem 1 is an étale version of the idea of interpreting the globalization problem for coefficient rings in terms of the K -homotopy invariance, used for Zariski topology in [G, §8].

Finally, in order to explain one formula we now summarize very briefly the Bloch-Stienstra action of the ring of big Witt vectors $W(\Lambda)$ on

$$NK_i(\Lambda) = \text{Coker}(K_i(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_i(\Lambda[X])).$$

For the details the reader is referred to [St].

The additive group of $W(R)$ can be thought of as the multiplicative group of formal power series $1 + X\Lambda[[X]]$. It has the decreasing filtration by the ideals $I_p(R) = (1 + X^{p+1}\Lambda[[X]])$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$, and every element $\alpha(X) \in W(\Lambda)$ admits a convergent series expansion in the corresponding additive topology $\alpha(X) = \prod_{\mathbb{N}}(1 - \lambda_m X^m)$, $\lambda_m \in \Lambda$. To define a continuous $W(\Lambda)$ -module structure on $NK_i(\Lambda)$ it is enough to define the appropriate action of the Witt vectors of type $1 - \lambda X^m$, satisfying the condition that every element of $NK_i(\Lambda)$ is annihilated by some ideal $I_p(W(\Lambda))$. Finally, such an action of $1 - \lambda X^m$ on $NK_i(\Lambda)$ is provided by the composite map in the upper row of the following commutative diagram with exact

vertical columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 NK_i(\Lambda[X]) & \longrightarrow & NK_i(\Lambda[X]) & \longrightarrow & NK_i(\Lambda[X]) & \longrightarrow & NK_i(\Lambda[X]) \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K_i(\Lambda[X]) & \xrightarrow{m^*} & K_i(\Lambda[X]) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} & K_i(\Lambda[X]) & \xrightarrow{m_*} & K_i(\Lambda[X]) \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K_i(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{m \cdot -} & K_i(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{1} & K_i(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{1} & K_i(\Lambda) \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

where:

- (1) m_* corresponds to scalar extension through the Λ -algebra endomorphism $\Lambda[X] \rightarrow \Lambda[X], X \mapsto X^m$,
- (2) m^* corresponds to *scalar restriction* through the same endomorphism $\Lambda[X] \rightarrow \Lambda[X]$,
- (3) λ_* corresponds to scalar extension through the Λ -algebra endomorphism $\Lambda[X] \rightarrow \Lambda[X], X \mapsto \lambda X$.
- (4) $m \cdot -$ is multiplication by m .

A straightforward check of the commutativity of the appropriate diagrams, based on the description above, shows that for a ring homomorphism $f : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ we have

$$(1) \quad f_*(\alpha z) = f_*(\alpha)f_*(z), \quad \alpha \in W(\Lambda_1), \quad z \in NK_i(\Lambda_1),$$

where the same f_* is used for the both induced homomorphisms

$$W(\Lambda_1) \rightarrow W(\Lambda_2) \quad \text{and} \quad NK_i(\Lambda_1) \rightarrow NK_i(\Lambda_2).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since K -groups commute with filtered colimits there is no loss of generality in assuming that M is finitely generated. Then by Lemma 2, $R[M]$ admits a \mathbb{Z}_+ -grading

$$R[M] = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}_+} R_j, \quad R_e = R.$$

In particular, by the Quillen local-global patching for higher K -groups [V], we can without loss of generality assume that R is local.

Notice. Actually, the local-global patching proved in [V] is for the special case of polynomial extensions. However, the more general version for graded rings is a straightforward consequence via the Swan-Weibel homotopy trick, discussed above.

By Popescu’s desingularization [Sw] and the same filtered colimit argument we can further assume that R is a regular localization of an affine \mathbf{k} -algebra for a field \mathbf{k} with $\text{char } \mathbf{k} = 0$. In this situation Lindel has shown [L, Proposition 2] that there is a subring $A \subset R$ of the form $\mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}_+^d]_\mu, \mu \in \max(\mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}_+^d])$, $d = \dim R$, such that

$$(2) \quad R \text{ is étale over } A.$$

Notice. Lindel’s result is valid in arbitrary characteristic under the conditions that the residue field of R is a simple separable extension of \mathbf{k} , which is automatic in our situation because $\text{char } \mathbf{k} = 0$.

Using again that K -groups commute with filtered colimits, the validity of Theorem 1 for R is easily seen to be equivalent to the equality

$$(3) \quad K_i(R) = K_i(R[M^\mathbf{c}])$$

for every sequence of natural numbers $\mathbf{c} = c_1, c_2, \dots \geq 2$.

Next we show that (3) follows from the condition

$$(4) \quad NK_i(R[M^\mathbf{c}]) = 0.$$

In fact, by the filtered colimit argument we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_i(R[M^\mathbf{c}]) &= K_i(R[M^\mathbf{c}])[X] \implies \\ K_i(R[M^\mathbf{c}]) &= K_i(R[M^\mathbf{c}])[\mathbb{Z}_+^\mathbf{c}] (= \varinjlim K_i(R[M^\mathbf{c}])[\mathbb{Z}_+]). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2 the ring $R[M^\mathbf{c}]$ has a $\mathbb{Z}_+^\mathbf{c}$ -grading:

$$R[M^\mathbf{c}] = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}_+^\mathbf{c}} S_j, \quad S_e = R.$$

So by Lemma 3 we have (3).

To complete the proof it is enough to show (4) assuming (2).

By the base change property, the ring extension $A[M] \subset R[M] = A[M] \otimes_A R$ is étale. Then by van der Kallen’s result [K, Theorem 3.2] we have the isomorphism of $W(R[M])$ -modules

$$(5) \quad NK_i(R[M]) = W(R[M]) \otimes_{W(A[M])} NK_i(A[M]).$$

Notice. Van der Kallen proves his formula (the *étale localization*) for a modified tensor product that takes care of the filtrations on $W(A[M])$ and $W(R[M])$ by the ideals $I_p(-)$. But the presence of the characteristic 0 subfield \mathbf{k} yields (via the *ghost map*) the infinite product presentations $W(A[M]) = \prod_{\mathbb{N}} A[M]$ and $W(R[M]) = \prod_{\mathbb{N}} R[M]$ and, in particular, makes checkable the appropriate compatibility of the two filtrations: $I_p(R[M]) = I_p(A[M])W(R[M])$; see the discussion before [K, Theorem 3.2].

Pick an element $z \in NK_i(R[M])$. By (5) it admits a representation of the form

$$z = \sum_q \alpha_q \bar{y}_q, \quad \alpha_q \in W(R[M]), \quad y_q \in NK_i(A[M]),$$

where the bar refers to the image in $NK_i(R[M])$.

By Lemma 4 we know that Theorem 1 is true for A . Therefore, $(c_1 \cdots c_j)_*(y_q) = 0$ for all q provided $j \gg 0$. In particular, (1) implies

$$(c_1 \cdots c_j)_*(z) = \sum_q (c_1 \cdots c_j)_*(\alpha_q) \overline{(c_1 \cdots c_j)_*(y_q)} = 0, \quad j \gg 0.$$

Since z was an arbitrary element, the filtered colimit argument shows (4). □

REFERENCES

- [BG] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, *Polytopes, Rings, and K-theory*, book in preparation. (Preliminary version: <http://math.sfsu.edu/gubeladze/publications/kripo.html>)
- [G] J. Gubeladze, *The nilpotence conjecture in K-theory of toric varieties*, Invent. Math. **160** (2005), 173–216. MR2129712 (2006d:145057)
- [K] W. van der Kallen, *Descent for the K-theory of polynomial rings*, Math. Z. **191** (1986), 405–415. MR0824442 (87h:13012)
- [L] H. Lindel, *On the Bass-Quillen conjecture concerning projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **65** (1981), 319–323. MR0641133 (83g:13009)
- [St] J. Stienstra, *Operations in the higher K-theory of endomorphisms. (Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 1, Semin. London/Ont. 1981)*, CMS Conf. Proc. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, 59–115. MR0686113 (84d:14012)
- [Sw] R. Swan, *Néron-Popescu desingularization*, in *Algebra and Geometry*, 135–192, Lect. Algebra Geom. **2**, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998. MR1697953 (2000h:13006)
- [V] T. Vorst, *Localization of the K-theory of polynomial extensions*, Math. Ann. **244** (1979), 33–53. MR0550060 (80k:18016)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94132

E-mail address: `soso@math.sfsu.edu`