

NOTE ON ARITHMETIC CONVOLUTION EQUATIONS

MICHAL FEČKAN

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Borwein)

ABSTRACT. We investigate the solvability of polynomial equations on the \mathbb{C} -algebra of arithmetic functions $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all arithmetic functions $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ under point-wise addition and scalar multiplication [5]. The null element is the null function. The Dirichlet convolution $* : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$(g * h)(n) = \sum_{n_1 n_2 = n} g(n_1)g(n_2) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then \mathcal{A} is considered as a \mathbb{C} -algebra under linear operations and the Dirichlet convolution.

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and \mathcal{A}_r be the complex Banach algebra of arithmetic functions $g \in \mathcal{A}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|g\|_r := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g(n)|n^{-r}.$$

For abbreviation we write $g^{*d} := g * \cdots * g$. The following result is proved by Glöckner, Lucht and Porubský in [5]:

Theorem 1.1. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}$, let $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be defined by*

$$Tg := a_d * g^{*d} + a_{d-1} * g^{*(d-1)} + \cdots + a_1 * g + a_0$$

with $a_d, a_{d-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a_d \neq 0$. If z_0 is a simple zero of the polynomial

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) := a_d(1)z^d + a_{d-1}(1)z^{(d-1)} + \cdots + a_1(1)z + a_0(1),$$

then there exists a uniquely determined solution $g \in \mathcal{A}$ of the equation

$$(1.2) \quad Tg = 0$$

satisfying $g(1) = z_0$. Moreover, if $a_d, a_{d-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$ for some $\rho \geq 0$, then there is an $r \geq \rho$ such that $g \in \mathcal{A}_r$.

Received by the editors December 1, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A25.

Key words and phrases. Arithmetic functions, Dirichlet convolution.

This work was supported by the Grant VEGA-SAV 2/7140/27.

©2007 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

We refer the reader to papers of Carroll and Gioia [2], Cohen [3], Dehaye [4], Glöckner, Lucht and Porubský [5], Haukkanen [6], Porubský [8] and Subbarao [9] for more details and known results about arithmetic convolution equations.

Theorem 1.1 is proved in [5] by using a deep result from the theory of topological vector spaces: the implicit function theorem in a version of Biller [1, Theorem 7.2]. The main purpose of this note is to present an elementary proof of Theorem 1.1. But we also extend it to any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ along with finding a lower bound r_0 for the number r and with estimating from above the norm $\|g\|_{r_0}$ of the solution g . At the end of this note, we also solve a simple quadratic convolution equation to apply our general result.

Finally, I thank Professor Štefan Porubský for stimulating discussions during the preparation of this note. I am also grateful to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions which substantially improved the paper.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let z_0 be a simple zero of (1.1). As in [5], we start from (1.2) with $g(1) = z_0$, which has the form

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{j=0}^d \sum_{ln_1 \cdots n_j = n} a_j(l)g(n_1) \cdots g(n_j) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next, we rewrite (2.1) as

$$(2.2) \quad f'(g(1))g(n) = - \sum_{j=0}^d \sum_{\substack{ln_1 \cdots n_j = n \\ n_1, \dots, n_j < n}} a_j(l)g(n_1) \cdots g(n_j), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Since $f'(g(1)) \neq 0$, we can solve (2.2) to get the solution $g \in \mathcal{A}$ of (1.2). If in addition $a_d, a_{d-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$ for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, then from (2.2) for $r \geq \rho$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} f'(g(1))g(n)n^{-r} &= - \sum_{j=0}^d a_j(1) \sum_{\substack{n_1 \cdots n_j = n \\ n_1, \dots, n_j < n}} g(n_1)n_1^{-r} \cdots g(n_j)n_j^{-r} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^d \sum_{\substack{ln_1 \cdots n_j = n \\ 2 \leq l; n_1, \dots, n_j < n}} \\ &\quad \times l^{\rho-r} a_j(l)l^{-\rho} g(n_1)n_1^{-r} \cdots g(n_j)n_j^{-r}, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $m \geq 3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |f'(g(1))| \sum_{n=2}^m |g(n)|n^{-r} \\
 & \leq \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=2}^d |a_j(1)| \sum_{\substack{n_1 \cdots n_j = n \\ n_1, \dots, n_j < n}} |g(n_1)|n_1^{-r} \cdots |g(n_j)|n_j^{-r} \\
 & \quad + 2^{\rho-r} \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=0}^d \sum_{\substack{l n_1 \cdots n_j = n \\ 2 \leq l; n_1, \dots, n_j < n}} |a_j(l)|l^{-\rho} |g(n_1)|n_1^{-r} \cdots |g(n_j)|n_j^{-r} \\
 & \leq \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=2}^d |a_j(1)| \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \binom{j}{k} |g(1)|^k \sum_{\substack{n_1 \cdots n_{j-k} = n \\ 2 \leq n_1, \dots, n_{j-k} < n}} \\
 & \quad \times |g(n_1)|n_1^{-r} \cdots |g(n_{j-k})|n_{j-k}^{-r} \\
 & \quad + 2^{\rho-r} \sum_{j=0}^d \left(\sum_{l=1}^m |a_j(l)|l^{-\rho} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |g(n)|n^{-r} \right)^j \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=2}^d |a_j(1)| \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \binom{j}{k} |g(1)|^k \left(\sum_{n=2}^{m-1} |g(n)|n^{-r} \right)^{j-k} \\
 & \quad + 2^{\rho-r} \sum_{j=0}^d \|a_j\|_{\rho} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |g(n)|n^{-r} \right)^j \\
 & = \left(\sum_{n=2}^{m-1} |g(n)|n^{-r} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{j=2}^d |a_j(1)| \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \binom{j}{k} |g(1)|^k \left(\sum_{n=2}^{m-1} |g(n)|n^{-r} \right)^{j-2-k} \right) \\
 & \quad + 2^{\rho-r} \sum_{j=0}^d \|a_j\|_{\rho} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |g(n)|n^{-r} \right)^j .
 \end{aligned}$$

Recalling $g(1) = z_0$ and setting

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_m & := \sum_{n=2}^m |g(n)|n^{-r}, \quad m \geq 2, \\
 P(z) & := \frac{1}{|f'(z_0)|} z^2 \left(\sum_{j=2}^d |a_j(1)| \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \binom{j}{k} |z_0|^k z^{j-2-k} \right), \\
 Q(z) & := \frac{1}{|f'(z_0)|} \sum_{j=0}^d \|a_j\|_{\rho} z^j,
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & S_2 = |g(2)|2^{-r}, \\
 & S_m \leq P(S_{m-1}) + 2^{\rho-r} Q(|z_0| + S_{m-1}), \quad m \geq 3.
 \end{aligned}$$

If there are $x_0 > 0$ and $r \geq \rho$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad |g(2)|2^{-r} \leq x_0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad P(x_0) + 2^{\rho-r} Q(|z_0| + x_0) \leq x_0,$$

then clearly

$$S_m \leq x_0 \quad \forall m \geq 2.$$

From (2.5) we derive

$$(2.6) \quad 2^{\rho-r} \leq \frac{x_0 - P(x_0)}{Q(|z_0| + x_0)}.$$

Since $P(0) = P'(0) = 0$ and $P(z) \geq 0$ for $z \geq 0$, we can easily check that for $d \geq 2$ there are positive constants M_0 and x_0 such that

$$(2.7) \quad M_0 := \max_{z \in [0, \infty)} \frac{z - P(z)}{Q(|z_0| + z)} = \frac{x_0 - P(x_0)}{Q(|z_0| + x_0)}.$$

Then conditions (2.4) and (2.5) hold with these positive constants M_0 and x_0 of (2.7) for any $r \geq r_0$ where r_0 is given by

$$(2.8) \quad r_0 := \max \left\{ \frac{\log(|g(2)|/x_0)}{\log 2}, \rho - \min \left\{ 0, \frac{\log M_0}{\log 2} \right\} \right\}.$$

Here we set $\log 0 = -\infty$. We note

$$(2.9) \quad g(2) = -\frac{1}{f'(z_0)} \sum_{j=0}^d a_j(2)z_0^j.$$

Summarizing, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $d \geq 2$ and z_0 be a simple zero of the polynomial (1.1) with $a_d, a_{d-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$ for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_d \neq 0$. Then a unique solution $g \in \mathcal{A}$ of (1.2) satisfying $g(1) = z_0$ belongs to $g \in \mathcal{A}_{r_0}$ for $r_0 \geq \rho$ given by (2.8), while the involved constants x_0 , M_0 and $g(2)$ of the formula (2.8) are determined by (2.7) and (2.9), respectively. Moreover, we have $\|g\|_{r_0} \leq |z_0| + x_0$.*

To proceed with the investigation of (1.2), we need the following definition.

Definition 2.1. An $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *constant coefficient* if $a(j) = 0 \forall j \geq 2$.

Remark 2.1. If not all $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, d - 1, d$, are constant coefficients, then the polynomial $Q(z)$ can be replaced in Theorem 2.1 with the following:

$$Q(z) := \frac{1}{|f'(z_0)|} \sum_{j=0}^d (\|a_j\|_\rho - |a_j(1)|) z^j.$$

If $d = 1$ and $a_1, a_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$ for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, then $P(z) = 0$ and

$$Q(z) = \frac{1}{|a_1(1)|} (\|a_0\|_\rho - |a_0(1)| + (\|a_1\|_\rho - |a_1(1)|) z),$$

where we suppose that a_1 is not a constant coefficient, because the constant coefficient case is trivial. So (2.6) becomes

$$(2.10) \quad 2^{\rho-r} \leq \frac{x_0 |a_1(1)|}{\|a_0\|_\rho - |a_0(1)| + (\|a_1\|_\rho - |a_1(1)|) (|z_0| + x_0)},$$

where $z_0 = -a_0(1)/a_1(1)$. Analyzing (2.10), we consider two cases:

First case: $2|a_1(1)| \leq \|a_1\|_\rho$. Then we fix any r satisfying

$$(2.11) \quad r > \rho - \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(\frac{|a_1(1)|}{\|a_1\|_\rho - |a_1(1)|} \right),$$

and take

$$(2.12) \quad x_0 := \max \left\{ \frac{|g(2)|}{2^r}, \frac{2^{\rho-r} (\|a_0\|_\rho - |a_0(1)| + (\|a_1\|_\rho - |a_1(1)|) |z_0|)}{|a_1(1)| - 2^{\rho-r} (\|a_1\|_\rho - |a_1(1)|)} \right\},$$

where $g(2) = \frac{a_1(2)a_0(1) - a_0(2)a_1(1)}{a_1(1)^2}$ is defined by (2.9).

Second case: $2|a_1(1)| > \|a_1\|_\rho$. Then

$$(2.13) \quad r = \rho, \quad x_0 := \max \left\{ \frac{|g(2)|}{2^\rho}, \frac{\|a_0\|_\rho - |a_0(1)| + (\|a_1\|_\rho - |a_1(1)|) |z_0|}{2|a_1(1)| - \|a_1\|_\rho} \right\}.$$

Note that $r = \rho$ in (2.13) can also be derived as follows: Let $A_1(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_1(n)n^{-s}$ be the Dirichlet series for the complex variable $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re} \geq \rho$. Then $|A_1(s)| \geq 2|a_1(1)| - \|a_1\|_\rho \geq 2|a_1(1)| - \|a_1\|_\rho > 0$. Hence [7] implies that $a_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$ and then $g = -a_1^{-1} * a_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$.

Summarizing, we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $a_1, a_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$ for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and let a_1 be a nonconstant coefficient satisfying $a_1(1) \neq 0$. Then a unique solution $g \in \mathcal{A}$ of $a_1 * g + a_0 = 0$ belongs to $g \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and $\|g\|_r \leq \frac{|a_0(1)|}{|a_1(1)|} + x_0$, where r, x_0 are given either by (2.11) or (2.12) when $2|a_1(1)| \leq \|a_1\|_\rho$, or by (2.13) when $2|a_1(1)| > \|a_1\|_\rho$.*

More general systems of convolution equations are studied in [5], which need not be polynomial. But since computations like the above seem to be rather awkward for such systems, we omit them in this note. We give a rather simple example in the next section.

3. QUADRATIC EQUATIONS

In this section, we study the quadratic equation

$$(3.1) \quad g * g = a, \quad a(1) = 1, \quad \|a\|_\infty := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a(n)| < \infty.$$

We also suppose that a is not a constant coefficient, because the constant coefficient case is trivial. Since $a(1) = 1 \neq 0$, we can use Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.1 for (3.1). An interesting discussion on the solvability of (3.1) and the more general polynomial equations $g^{*d} = a, a \in \mathcal{A}$, is given in [5].

For any $\rho > 1$ we compute

$$(3.2) \quad \|a\|_\rho \leq \|a\|_\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-\rho} \leq \|a\|_\infty \left(1 + \int_1^\infty x^{-\rho} dx \right) = \frac{\rho}{\rho - 1} \|a\|_\infty.$$

Also using Remark 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |z_0| &= |g(1)| = \sqrt{|a(1)|} = 1, & |g(2)| &= \frac{|a(2)|}{2|g(1)|} \leq \|a\|_\infty/2, \\ P(z) &= z^2/2, & Q(z) &= (\|a\|_\rho - 1)/2. \end{aligned}$$

So (2.7) gives

$$M_0 = \max_{z \in [0, \infty)} \frac{(2z - z^2)}{\|a\|_\rho - 1} = \frac{1}{\|a\|_\rho - 1}, \quad x_0 = 1,$$

and by (2.8) we can take any r_0 such that

$$r_0 \geq \max \left\{ \frac{\log(|a(2)|/2)}{\log 2}, \rho + \max \left\{ 0, \frac{\log(\|a\|_\rho - 1)}{\log 2} \right\} \right\}.$$

Hence according to (3.2) we take

$$(3.3) \quad r_0 = \max \left\{ \frac{\log(\|a\|_\infty/2)}{\log 2}, \rho + \frac{1}{\log 2} \max \left\{ 0, \log \left(\frac{\rho(\|a\|_\infty - 1) + 1}{\rho - 1} \right) \right\} \right\}.$$

Now fixing $\|a\|_\infty \geq 1$ and varying $\rho > 1$, we intend to find the smallest value of r_0 in (3.3). We consider two cases:

First case: $\|a\|_\infty \geq 2$. Then it holds that

$$\frac{\rho(\|a\|_\infty - 1) + 1}{\rho - 1} \geq \|a\|_\infty - 1 \geq \|a\|_\infty/2 \geq 1.$$

Consequently, (3.3) possesses the form

$$r_0 = F_{\|a\|_\infty}(\rho) := \rho + \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(\frac{\rho(\|a\|_\infty - 1) + 1}{\rho - 1} \right).$$

It is easy to verify that $F_{\|a\|_\infty}(\rho)$ is strictly convex on $(1, \infty)$, i.e. $F''_{\|a\|_\infty}(\rho) > 0 \forall \rho > 1$. So the function $F_{\|a\|_\infty}(\rho)$ has the unique global minimum on $(1, \infty)$ achieved at a unique $\rho_0 > 1$ solving $F'_{\|a\|_\infty}(\rho_0) = 0$. But for a general $\|a\|_\infty$, the explicit formula for this global minimum $F_{\|a\|_\infty}(\rho_0)$ is ugly and long, so we do not present it here. On the other hand, we computed for $\|a\|_\infty = 10$ that $\rho_0 \doteq 1.82706$ and $F_{10}(\rho_0) \doteq 6.22562$.

Second case: $\|a\|_\infty < 2$. Then (3.3) possesses the form

$$(3.4) \quad r_0 = \begin{cases} \rho & \text{for } \rho \geq \frac{2}{2-\|a\|_\infty}, \\ F_{\|a\|_\infty}(\rho) & \text{for } 1 < \rho \leq \frac{2}{2-\|a\|_\infty}. \end{cases}$$

Since again for a general $\|a\|_\infty$ the explicit formula for the global minimum of (3.4) is ugly and long, we do not present it here. We concentrate on the case $\|a\|_\infty = 1$. Then (3.4) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad r_0 = \begin{cases} \rho & \text{for } \rho \geq 2, \\ F_1(\rho) = \rho - \frac{\log(\rho-1)}{\log 2} & \text{for } 1 < \rho \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

We can easily check that the piecewise smooth function in (3.5) has a unique global minimum 2 on $(1, \infty)$ at $\rho_0 = 2$.

Summarizing from Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.1 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1. *Let a be a nonconstant coefficient. If $\|a\|_\infty = 1$ then the only two solutions $g_\pm \in \mathcal{A}$ of (3.1) with $g_\pm(1) = \pm 1$, respectively, belong to \mathcal{A}_2 and $\|g_\pm\|_2 \leq 2$. If $\|a\|_\infty = 10$, then $g_\pm \in \mathcal{A}_{r_0}$ and $\|g_\pm\|_{r_0} \leq 2$ for $r_0 \doteq 6.22562$.*

According to [5, Corollary 2], we obtain $g_- = -g_+$ in Corollary 3.1. Similar numerical results hold for other numerical values of $\|a\|_\infty$.

REFERENCES

[1] H. BILLER: *Analyticity and naturality of the multi-variable functional calculus*, TU Darmstadt Preprint **2332**, 2004; <http://wwwbib.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/Math-Net/Preprints/Listen/files/2332.ps.gz>.
 [2] T. CARROLL AND A. A. GIOIA: *Roots of multiplicative functions*, *Compositio Mat.* **65** (1988), 349-358. MR932075 (89c:11007)
 [3] M. J. COHEN: *Advanced problem 5293*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **72** (1965), 555; Solution, *ibid* **73** (1966), 553-554.
 [4] P.-O. DEHAYE: *On the structure of the group of multiplicative arithmetical functions*, *Bull. Belgian Math. Soc.* **9** (2002), 15-21. MR1905645 (2003d:11009)

- [5] J. GLÖCKNER, L. G. LUCHT AND Š. PORUBSKÝ: *Solutions to arithmetic convolution equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear. MR2286069
- [6] P. HAUKKANEN: *Arithmetical equations involving semi-multiplicative functions and the Dirichlet convolution*, Rend. Mat. Appl., VII. Ser. **8** (1988), 511-517. MR1032719 (90k:11007)
- [7] P. HEWITT AND J. H. WILLIAMSON: *Note on absolutely convergent Dirichlet series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 863-868. MR0090680 (19:851b)
- [8] Š. PORUBSKÝ: *Structure of the group of quasi-multiplicative arithmetical functions*, Acta Arith. Paed. Agiensis, Sect. Math. **30** (2003), 133-145. MR2054723 (2005b:11006)
- [9] M. V. SUBBARAO: *A class of arithmetical functions*, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde (3) **15** (1967), 211-217. MR0223293 (36:6341)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY, MLYNSKÁ DOLINA, 842 48 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA; AND MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŠTEFÁNIKOVA 49, 814 73 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA

E-mail address: Michal.Feckan@fmph.uniba.sk