

**SHARP ESTIMATES
FOR THE IDENTITY MINUS HARDY OPERATOR
ON THE CONE OF DECREASING FUNCTIONS**

NATAN KRUGLYAK AND ERIC SETTERQVIST

(Communicated by N. Tomczak-Jaegermann)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if we restrict the identity minus Hardy operator on the cone of nonnegative decreasing functions f in L^p , then we have the sharp estimate

$$\|(I - H)f\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(p-1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for $p = 2, 3, 4, \dots$. In other words,

$$\|f^{**} - f^*\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(p-1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for each $f \in L^p$ and each integer $p \geq 2$.

It is also shown, via a connection between the operator $I - H$ and Laguerre functions, that

$$\|(1 - \alpha)I + \alpha(I - H)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = \|I - \alpha H\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = 1$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of the Hardy operator

$$(Hf)(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

in analysis and its applications is well known. In this paper we consider the related operator

$$(I - H)f(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt,$$

which also seems to be quite interesting. For example, in [1] it was shown that this operator is bounded and has a bounded inverse in $L^p(t^\alpha, \frac{dt}{t})$ for $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in (-1, \infty) \setminus 0$. Moreover, in [2] (see also [3] for the weighted case) it was shown that if we take $e_0 = \chi_{(0,1)}$, the characteristic function of the unit interval, then the sequence

$$(1.1) \quad e_n = (I - H)^n e_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Received by the editors February 9, 2006, and, in revised form, January 26, 2007, and March 30, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D10, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. The Hardy operator, cone of decreasing functions, sharp estimates.

forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2 = L^2(0, \infty)$. Therefore

$$(I - H)e_n = e_{n+1},$$

and we see that $I - H$ and its inverse $(I - H)^{-1}$ are shift isometries in L^2 . In particular, we have

$$\|(I - H)f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$. With this interesting property in mind it is natural to consider estimates for the operator $I - H$ for the case $p \neq 2$.

This paper consists of two parts. In the first part we will show that if we consider the bijective isometry $(Uf)(x) = e^{\frac{x}{2}}f(e^x)$ between the spaces $L^2(0, \infty)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the operator $U(I - H)U^{-1}$ coincides with the shift operator in the orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ which consists of the Laguerre functions $l_n(x)$ and the functions $u_{-n}(x) = l_n(-x)$. This gives a new simple proof of (1.1) and also shows that

$$\|\alpha I + (1 - \alpha)(H - I)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1;$$

i.e., the unit sphere of the space of all bounded linear operators on L^2 contains an interval with the endpoints $I, H - I$ and the midpoint $\frac{1}{2}H$.

The second part of the paper is devoted to the study of estimates for $H - I$ and its inverse $(H - I)^{-1}$ on certain cones of functions in $L^p = L^p(0, \infty)$. To formulate the result let us consider the cone C^p of decreasing nonnegative functions from L^p and introduce the notation

$$(1.2) \quad \|H - I\|_{C^p} = \sup_{f \in C^p, \|f\|_{L^p} \leq 1} \|(H - I)f\|_{L^p}.$$

We prove that

$$(1.3) \quad \|H - I\|_{C^p} = \frac{1}{(p - 1)^{1/p}}, \quad p \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}.$$

We give an example which shows that this estimate is not true if instead of C^p we consider the whole space L^p . Our proof does not work for other values of p and we do not know if (1.3) is true for all $p \geq 2$.

For each f in the cone of decreasing functions we have

$$(H - I)f(x) = f^{**}(x) - f^*(x)$$

for almost every x . So we see that (1.3) gives a sharp estimate in the following well-known equivalence in Lorentz $L^{p,q}$ -spaces (see [4]):

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(x^{1/p} f^*(x) \right)^q \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{1/q} \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(x^{1/p} (f^{**}(x) - f^*(x)) \right)^q \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{1/q}$$

for the case $q = p \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. In fact the functional $f^{**} - f^*$ is of considerable interest in a number of contexts. One notable instance is the paper [5] (see also [4]), and there are numerous more recent papers which also deal with it.

2. THE IDENTITY MINUS HARDY OPERATOR AND LAGUERRE POLYNOMIALS

It is well known that the Laguerre polynomials $L_n(x)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ (see [6]) have the following properties:

$$(2.1) \quad L_n(0) = 1, \quad L_0(x) \equiv 1, \quad L'_n(x) - L_n(x) = L'_{n+1}(x).$$

From (2.1) it follows that

$$\int_0^x (L'_n(s) - L_n(s))ds = \int_0^x L'_{n+1}(s)ds$$

and, therefore,

$$(2.2) \quad L_n(x) - \int_0^x L_n(s)ds = L_{n+1}(x).$$

It also follows from (2.1), using integration by parts, that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_x^\infty e^{-s}L_{m+1}(s)ds = e^{-x}(L_{m+1}(x) - L_m(x)) \text{ for all } m \geq 0.$$

It is well known that the Laguerre functions

$$l_n(x) = L_n(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}}$$

form an orthonormal basis in $L^2(0, \infty)$. Therefore the family of functions

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(u) &= l_{n-1}(u)\chi_{(0,\infty)}, n \geq 1, \\ g_n(u) &= -l_{-n}(-u)\chi_{(-\infty,0)}, n \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

form an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\varphi(u) = e^{-u/2}\chi_{(0,\infty)}$. Then, by applying (2.2) for $n \geq 0$ and by applying (2.3) for $n \leq -1$, we see that the operator

$$Sf = f - f * \varphi,$$

where $*$ means convolution, has the property

$$Sg_n = g_{n+1}.$$

So S is a shift isometry in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$ and consider the operator

$$(Uf)(x) = e^{x/2}f(e^x).$$

As

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)^2dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty (f(e^x)e^{\frac{x}{2}})^2dx,$$

we obtain that $U : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a bijective isometry. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} (UHf)(u) &= e^{u/2} \frac{1}{e^u} \int_0^{e^u} f(s)ds = \int_{-\infty}^u f(e^v)e^{v/2}e^{-(u-v)/2}dv \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^u (Uf)(v)e^{-(u-v)/2}dv. \end{aligned}$$

We get $(UHf)(u) = Uf * \varphi(u)$ and therefore $U(I - H)f = Uf - Uf * \varphi = SUf$, i.e.

$$U(I - H) = SU,$$

or equivalently

$$U(I - H)U^{-1} = S.$$

As $(Uf)(x) = e^{\frac{x}{2}}f(e^x)$ is a bijective isometry between the spaces $L^2(0, \infty)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we immediately obtain from the last equality that the sequence

$$e_n = -U^{-1}g_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2(0, \infty)$ and

$$(I - H)e_n = e_{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Clearly $U(\chi_{(0,1)}) = -g_0$ and so $e_0 = \chi_{(0,1)}$. Thus we have obtained a new proof of the result of [2] which was mentioned in the introduction. (Cf. (1.1)).

Corollary 1. *For any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \|\alpha I + (1 - \alpha)(H - I)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = 1;$$

i.e. the unit sphere of the space of all bounded linear operators on L^2 contains an interval with the endpoints I and $H - I$ and the midpoint $\frac{1}{2}H$.

Proof. So far I has denoted the identity operator on $L^2(0, \infty)$. Here it will also unambiguously denote the identity operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Let V be the shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then it is easy to check that

$$(2.5) \quad \|\alpha I + (1 - \alpha)V\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})} = 1$$

for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Now we let $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the canonical orthonormal basis of ℓ^2 , i.e., $\psi_n = \{\delta_{mn}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and use the fact that, obviously, $\{(-1)^n e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(0, \infty)$. We define an isometry W of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ onto $L^2(0, \infty)$ by setting $W\psi_n = (-1)^n e_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(H - I)(-1)^n e_n = (-1)^{n+1} e_{n+1}$ we see that $H - I = WW^{-1}$. Thus (2.4) follows immediately from (2.5). \square

Remark 1. Using the same method of proof as in Corollary 1, we can also show that

$$\|(1 - \alpha)I + \alpha(I - H)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = \|I - \alpha H\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = 1$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

3. OPERATOR NORMS OF $H - I$ AND $(H - I)^{-1}$ ON CONES IN $L^p(0, \infty)$

We start with the case $p = 3$.

Theorem 1. $\|H - I\|_{C^3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}}$.

Proof. Let us consider the subset consisting of all simple functions in C^3 . Each simple function in C^3 can be written in the form

$$(3.1) \quad g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_{(0, a_i)}, \quad c_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad 0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n,$$

where $\chi_{(a,b)}$ denotes the characteristic function of (a, b) . This subset is dense in C^3 ; therefore to prove that $\|H - I\|_{C^3} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}}$, it is enough to show that

$$\|(H - I)g\|_{L^3} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \|g\|_{L^3}$$

holds for all simple functions $g \in C^3$. Straightforward calculations give:

$$(H - I)g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & , 0 < x < a_1, \\ \frac{c_1 a_1}{x} & , a_1 < x < a_2, \\ \frac{c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2}{x} & , a_2 < x < a_3, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + \dots + c_n a_n}{x} & , a_n < x. \end{cases}$$

Let us calculate the norm of g :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|g\|_{L^3}^3 &= \int_0^\infty |g(x)|^3 dx \\
 &= (c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_n)^3 a_1 + (c_2 + c_3 + \dots + c_n)^3 (a_2 - a_1) + \dots \\
 (3.2) \quad &+ (c_{n-1} + c_n)^3 (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}) + c_n^3 (a_n - a_{n-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the norm of $\sqrt[3]{2}(H - I)g$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \sqrt[3]{2}(H - I)g \right\|_{L^3}^3 &= \int_0^\infty \left| \sqrt[3]{2}(H - I)g(x) \right|^3 dx \\
 &= c_1^3 a_1^3 \left(\frac{1}{a_1^2} - \frac{1}{a_2^2} \right) + (c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2)^3 \left(\frac{1}{a_2^2} - \frac{1}{a_3^2} \right) + \dots \\
 &+ (c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + \dots + c_{n-1} a_{n-1})^3 \left(\frac{1}{a_{n-1}^2} - \frac{1}{a_n^2} \right) \\
 (3.3) \quad &+ (c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + \dots + c_{n-1} a_{n-1} + c_n a_n)^3 \frac{1}{a_n^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The two expressions (3.2) and (3.3) are both homogeneous polynomials of order 3 in the variables c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n . Thus, to compare the sizes of $\|g\|_{L^3}^3$ and $\|\sqrt[3]{2}(H - I)g\|_{L^3}^3$, we compare the coefficients of these two polynomials in Table 1.

TABLE 1

	$\ g\ _{L^3}^3$	$\ \sqrt[3]{2}(H - I)g\ _{L^3}^3$
c_i^3 :	a_i	a_i
$c_i^2 c_j, i < j$:	$3a_i$	$3\frac{a_i^2}{a_j}$
$c_i c_j^2, i < j$:	$3a_i$	$3a_i$
$c_i c_j c_k, i < j < k$:	$6a_i$	$6\frac{a_i a_j}{a_k}$

To obtain these coefficients we use the multinomial theorem and note that in the case of $\|g\|_{L^3}^3$, when calculating the coefficient of $c_i c_j c_k$, we only have to consider the contributions of the first m terms of (3.2), where $m = \min\{i, j, k\}$.

Analogously, in the case of $\|\sqrt[3]{2}(H - I)g\|_{L^3}^3$, for the coefficient of $c_i c_j c_k$, we only have to consider the contribution of the m th term in (3.3) and those after it, where this time $m = \max\{i, j, k\}$.

We observe that the coefficients for $c_i^2 c_j$ and $c_i c_j c_k$ differ between $\|g\|_{L^3}^3$ and $\|\sqrt[3]{2}(H - I)g\|_{L^3}^3$. For $c_i^2 c_j$ we have

$$\frac{a_i^2}{a_j} < a_i$$

because $0 < a_i < a_j$ and for $c_i c_j c_k$ the inequality

$$\frac{a_i a_j}{a_k} < a_i$$

is true because $0 < a_j < a_k$. Hence, all coefficients for $\|g\|_{L^3}^3$ are greater than or equal to those for $\|\sqrt[3]{2}(H - I)g\|_{L^3}^3$. Altogether this gives the inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \|(H - I)g\|_{L^3} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \|g\|_{L^3}.$$

To finish the proof of the theorem we only need to note that we obtain equality in (3.4) when $g(x) = \chi_{(0,a)}$. □

For a general integer $p \geq 2$ the calculations become more involved, but in principle the same method as in Theorem 1 works.

Theorem 2. $\|H - I\|_{C^p} = \frac{1}{(p-1)^{1/p}}$, $p \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$.

Proof. As in Theorem 1 it suffices to consider simple functions in C^p , which can be written in the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_{(0,a_i)}, \quad c_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad 0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n.$$

Calculations using the multinomial theorem show that we have the formulas shown in Table 2 for the coefficient for a general term $c_{i_1}^{j_1} c_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots c_{i_m}^{j_m}$ in the polynomials $\|g\|_{L^p}^p$ and $\|(p - 1)^{1/p}(H - I)g\|_{L^p}^p$,

TABLE 2

	$\ g\ _{L^p}^p$	$\ (p - 1)^{1/p} (H - I)g\ _{L^p}^p$
$c_{i_1}^{j_1} c_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots c_{i_m}^{j_m} :$	$\frac{p!}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_m!} a_{i_1}$	$\frac{p!}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_m!} \frac{a_{i_1}^{j_1} a_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots a_{i_m}^{j_m}}{a_{i_m}^{p-1}}$

where m is an integer with $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$, $j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_m = p$, $j_1 > 0$ and $j_2, j_3, \dots, j_m \geq 0$.

The fact that we have chosen m arbitrarily in the above calculations of the coefficients of the multiple powers $c_{i_1}^{j_1} c_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots c_{i_m}^{j_m}$ means that we have accounted for all possible terms in the polynomials $\|g\|_{L^p}^p$ and $\|(p - 1)^{1/p}(H - I)g\|_{L^p}^p$.

Since $j_1 > 0$, we see that

$$\frac{a_{i_1}^{j_1} a_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots a_{i_m}^{j_m}}{a_{i_m}^{p-1}} \leq a_{i_1}$$

for all possible choices of $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m$ and m . This gives the inequality

$$\|(H - I)g\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(p - 1)^{1/p}} \|g\|_{L^p}.$$

The case of equality is obtained when $g(x) = \chi_{(0,a)}$. □

The restriction to the functions in $C^p \subset L^p(0, \infty)$ is essential for the estimate

$$\|(H - I)f\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(p - 1)^{1/p}} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

to be true. We illustrate this with the following example:

Example 1. Let $f(x) = \chi_{(1,3/2)}$. Straightforward calculations give: $\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \|f\|_{L^3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{4}}$ and $\|(H - I)f\|_{L^3} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{11}{36}}$. Hence, $\|(H - I)f\|_{L^3} > \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \|f\|_{L^3}$.

We now turn to an estimate for the inverse operator $(H - I)^{-1}$. As shown in [1], this operator is given by

$$(3.5) \quad (H - I)^{-1}f(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{f(s)}{s} ds - f(x).$$

Let us introduce the cone \tilde{C}^p defined by

Definition 1. $\tilde{C}^p = \{f \in L^p(0, \infty) : xf(x) \text{ is an increasing nonnegative function}\}$.

By analogy with C^p we define the operator norm on \tilde{C}^p to be:

$$\|(H - I)^{-1}\|_{\tilde{C}^p} = \sup_{f \in \tilde{C}^p, \|f\|_{L^p} \leq 1} \|(H - I)^{-1}f\|_{L^p}.$$

The reason for considering the cone \tilde{C}^p is connected with the following fact.

Proposition 1. *The operator $H - I$ maps the cone C^p onto the cone \tilde{C}^p and therefore the operator $(H - I)^{-1}$ maps the cone \tilde{C}^p onto the cone C^p .*

Proof. First of all, if $f \in C^p$, then

$$(H - I)f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t)dt - f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x (f(t) - f(x)) dt \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if $0 < x_1 < x_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(H - I)f(x_1) &= x_1 \left(\frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} (f(t) - f(x_1)) dt \right) = x_2 \left(\frac{1}{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} (f(t) - f(x_1)) dt \right) \\ &\leq x_2 \left(\frac{1}{x_2} \int_0^{x_2} (f(t) - f(x_2)) dt \right) = x_2(H - I)f(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

So, since the operator $H - I$ is bounded in L^p for $p > 1$, we see that it maps the cone C^p into the cone \tilde{C}^p . To prove that it maps onto the cone \tilde{C}^p it is enough to show that $(H - I)^{-1}$ maps the cone \tilde{C}^p into the cone C^p . Indeed, if $f \in \tilde{C}^p$, then

$$(H - I)^{-1}f(x) = \int_x^\infty f(s) \frac{ds}{s} - f(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{sf(s) - xf(x)}{s} \frac{ds}{s} \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if $0 < x_1 < x_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (H - I)^{-1}f(x_1) &= \int_{x_1}^\infty \frac{sf(s) - x_1f(x_1)}{s} \frac{ds}{s} \geq \int_{x_2}^\infty \frac{sf(s) - x_2f(x_2)}{s} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= (H - I)^{-1}f(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

So $(H - I)^{-1}f$ is a decreasing nonnegative function. As $(H - I)^{-1}$ is bounded in L^p for $p > 1$, we obtain that $(H - I)^{-1}$ maps \tilde{C}^p into C^p . \square

Now we proceed to our main result for $\|(H - I)^{-1}\|_{\tilde{C}^p}$:

Theorem 3. $\|(H - I)^{-1}\|_{\tilde{C}^q} = (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}$, where $q = p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ and $p \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \tilde{C}^q$. Then $(H - I)^{-1}f \in C^q$. Therefore, for the function

$$g(x) = \lambda |(H - I)^{-1}f(x)|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}((H - I)^{-1}f(x))$$

where

$$\lambda = \left(\int_0^\infty |(H - I)^{-1}f(x)|^q dx \right)^{-1/p}$$

we have $\|g\|_{L^p} = 1$ and $\int_0^\infty ((H - I)^{-1}f(x))g(x)dx = \|(H - I)^{-1}f\|_{L^q}$.

Moreover, from (3.5) it follows that $(H - I)^{-1} = (H - I)^*$. Therefore, from Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H - I)^{-1}f\|_{L^q} &= \int_0^\infty ((H - I)^{-1}f(x))g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty f(x)((H - I)g(x))dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^q} \|(H - I)g\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(p-1)^{1/p}} \|f\|_{L^q} \|g\|_{L^p} = (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\|(H - I)^{-1}\|_{\tilde{C}^q} \leq (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

We only need to note that

$$\|(H - I)^{-1}f\|_{L^q} = (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q}$$

for $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}\chi_{(a,\infty)} \in \tilde{C}^p$, $a > 0$ to finish the proof. \square

Corollary 2. Let $f \in C^q$ where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ and $p \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. Then

$$\|f\|_{L^q} \leq (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|(H - I)f\|_{L^q}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 3 above we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|(H - I)^{-1}g\|_{L^q} \leq (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|g\|_{L^q}, \forall g \in \tilde{C}^q.$$

Because $H - I$ is a bijective mapping between C^q and \tilde{C}^q we can write $g = (H - I)f$. We only need to substitute this expression in (3.6). \square

We would like to finish by stating two problems.

Problem 1. Is it true that $\|H - I\|_{C^p} = \frac{1}{(p-1)^{1/p}}$ for all $p \geq 2$?

Problem 2. Is it true that $\|H - I\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \frac{1}{p-1}$, $1 < p \leq 2$?

Remark 2. If $\|H - I\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \frac{1}{p-1}$, $1 < p \leq 2$, then from the well-known result

$$\|H\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = 1 + \frac{1}{p-1}$$

we will have

$$\|H\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \|I\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} + \|H - I\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p},$$

and therefore the unit sphere of the space of all bounded linear operators on L^p will contain an interval with the endpoints $(p-1)(H - I)$ and I (compare with Corollary 1).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very thankful to the referee for valuable comments which improved the initial version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Kruglyak, L. Maligranda and L.-E. Persson, *On an elementary approach to the fractional Hardy inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), pp. 727-734. MR1676324 (2000e:26020)
- [2] A. Brown, P.R. Halmos and A.L. Shields, *Cesàro operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **26** (1965), pp. 125-137. MR0187085 (32:4539)
- [3] N. Kaiblinger, L. Maligranda and L.-E. Persson, *Norms in weighted L^2 -spaces and Hardy operators*, Function Spaces (Poznan, 1998), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 213, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000, pp. 205-216. MR1772126 (2001g:46061)
- [4] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988. MR928802 (89e:46001)
- [5] C. Bennett, R. DeVore and R. Sharpley, *Weak- L^∞ and BMO*, Ann. of Math. (2) **113** (1981), pp. 601-611. MR621018 (82h:46047)
- [6] G. Sansone, *Orthogonal Functions* (Revised English Edition), Dover, New York, 1991, 411 pp. MR1118381 (92e:42015)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LULEÅ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SE-971 87, LULEÅ, SWEDEN

E-mail address: `natan@ltu.se`

GLOBAL SUN ENGINEERING AB, AURORUM SCIENCE PARK 2, SE-97775 LULEÅ, SWEDEN

E-mail address: `eric.setterquist@globalsunengineering.com`