

**DYNAMICS OF THE w FUNCTION
AND THE GREEN-TAO THEOREM
ON ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS IN THE PRIMES**

YONG-GAO CHEN AND YING SHI

(Communicated by Wen-Ching Winnie Li)

ABSTRACT. Let A_3 be the set of all positive integers pqr , where p, q, r are primes and possibly two, but not all three of them are equal. For any $n = pqr \in A_3$, define a function w by $w(n) = P(p+q)P(p+r)P(q+r)$, where $P(m)$ is the largest prime factor of m . It is clear that if $n = pqr \in A_3$, then $w(n) \in A_3$. For any $n \in A_3$, define $w^0(n) = n$, $w^i(n) = w(w^{i-1}(n))$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. An element $n \in A_3$ is semi-periodic if there exists a nonnegative integer s and a positive integer t such that $w^{s+t}(n) = w^s(n)$. We use $\text{ind}(n)$ to denote the least such nonnegative integer s . Wushi Goldring [*Dynamics of the w function and primes*, J. Number Theory 119(2006), 86-98] proved that any element $n \in A_3$ is semi-periodic. He showed that there exists i such that $w^i(n) \in \{20, 98, 63, 75\}$, $\text{ind}(n) \leq 4(\pi(P(n)) - 3)$, and conjectured that $\text{ind}(n)$ can be arbitrarily large.

In this paper, it is proved that for any $n \in A_3$ we have $\text{ind}(n) = O((\log P(n))^2)$, and the Green-Tao Theorem on arithmetic progressions in the primes is employed to confirm Goldring's above conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let

$$A_3 = \{n = pqr \mid p, q, r \text{ are all primes}\} \setminus \{n = p^3 \mid p \text{ is prime}\}.$$

For any $n \in A_3$, define a function w by

$$w(n) = P(p+q)P(p+r)P(q+r),$$

where $P(m)$ is the largest prime factor of m . It is clear that $w(A_3) \subseteq A_3$ (see [1, Lemma 2.1]). For any $n \in A_3$, define $w^0(n) = n$, $w^i(n) = w(w^{i-1}(n))$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and define the w -orbit of n to be the sequence $W(n) = [n, w(n), \dots, w^i(n), \dots]$.

Wushi Goldring [1] proved that for any $n \in A_3$, there exists i such that $W(n) = [n, w(n), \dots, w^{i-1}(n), 20, 98, 63, 75]$. For $n \in A_3$, the periodicity index of n is defined to be the least nonnegative integer i such that $w^i(n) \in \{20, 98, 63, 75\}$. Denote the periodicity index of n by $\text{ind}(n)$. Wushi Goldring[1] proved that $\text{ind}(n) \leq 4(\pi(P(n)) - 3)$, and posed several conjectures related to $w(n)$. Two of them are

Received by the editors October 6, 2006, and, in revised form, April 30, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11A25, 11A41, 37B99.

The authors were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grants No. 10471064 and 10771103.

©2008 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Conjecture 2.9. $ind(n) = O(\log \pi(P(n)))$.

Conjecture 2.10. *There are sets in A_3 of arbitrarily large periodicity index.*

In this paper we improve the upper bound of $ind(n)$ and employ the Green-Tao Theorem on arithmetic progressions in the primes to confirm Goldring’s Conjecture 2.10.

Theorem 1. *For any $n \in A_3$, we have $ind(n) = O((\log P(n))^2)$.*

Theorem 2. *$ind(n)$ can be arbitrarily large.*

Remark. By the Prime Number Theorem, it is clear that $\log(\pi(P(n))) \sim \log P(n)$. By the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, we believe that Conjecture 2.9 is not true.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

We will make repeated use of the following trivial facts.

Lemma 1. *Let p, q be odd primes. Then*

- (a) $P(p + q) \leq \frac{1}{2}(p + q)$;
- (b) *if $p + 2$ is composite, then $P(p + 2) \leq \frac{1}{3}(p + 2)$;*
- (c) *if $p + 2$ is prime and $p > 3$, then $p + 4$ must be composite and $P(p + 4) \leq \frac{1}{3}(p + 4)$.*

Lemma 2. *Let X be an integer with $X \geq 3$ and α be a real number with $0 < \alpha < 1$. For any $n = pqr \in A_3$, where p, q, r are all primes with $p \leq X, q \leq \alpha X$ and $r \leq \alpha X$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq 3$ such that*

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + 3)X + 4.$$

Proof. By the definition of w we have

$$w(n) = P(p + q)P(p + r)P(q + r).$$

If $p \leq \alpha X$, then by Lemma 1,

$$P(w(n)) \leq \alpha X + 2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + 3)X + 4.$$

Now we may assume that $p > \alpha X$. If $p = 3$, then by $q \leq \alpha X$ and $r \leq \alpha X$ we have $q = r = 2$. Then

$$P(w(n)) = 5 \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + 3)X + 4.$$

Thus we may assume that $p \geq 5$ and $p > \alpha X$.

Case 1. $p + 2$ is composite.

By Lemma 1 we have

$$P(p + q) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p+2}{3}, & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \frac{1+\alpha}{2}X, & \text{if } q \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad P(p + r) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p+2}{3}, & \text{if } r = 2, \\ \frac{1+\alpha}{2}X, & \text{if } r \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and

$$P(q + r) \leq \begin{cases} \alpha X, & \text{if } q \geq 3 \text{ and } r \geq 3, \\ \alpha X + 2, & \text{if } q = 2 \text{ or } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$P(w(n)) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + 3)X + 4.$$

Case 2. $p + 2$ is prime. Since $p > 3$ and $p, p + 2$ are both primes, we have $3 \mid p + 4$.

Subcase 2.1. q, r are both odd primes. By Lemma 1 we have

$$P(w(n)) \leq \max\left\{\frac{1 + \alpha}{2}X, \alpha X\right\} \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + 3)X + 4.$$

Subcase 2.2. $q > r = 2$. Then $w(n) = (p + 2)P(p + q)P(q + 2)$. Let $p_1 = p + 2, q_1 = P(p + q), r_1 = P(q + 2)$. Since $p_1 \leq p + 2, q_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha)X, r_1 \leq \alpha X + 2$, and r_1 is odd, by Lemma 1 we have

$$P(p_1 + q_1) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p+4}{3}, & \text{if } q_1 = 2, \\ \frac{3+\alpha}{4}X + 1, & \text{if } q_1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$P(q_1 + r_1) \leq \begin{cases} \alpha X + 4, & \text{if } q_1 = 2, \\ \frac{1+3\alpha}{4}X + 1, & \text{if } q_1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$P(p_1 + r_1) \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{2}X + 2.$$

Hence

$$P(w^2(n)) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + 3)X + 4.$$

Similarly, when $r > q = 2$, we can get the same conclusion.

Subcase 2.3. $q = r = 2$. Then

$$w^3(n) = P(p + 4) \cdot P^2(P(p + 4) + p + 2).$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$P(p + 4) \leq \frac{p + 4}{3}, P(P(p + 4) + p + 2) \leq \frac{p + 2 + (p + 4)/3}{2} = \frac{2p + 5}{3}.$$

Hence

$$P(w^3(n)) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + 3)X + 4.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2. □

Corollary 3. Let X be an integer with $X \geq 3$ and α be a real number with $0 < \alpha < 1$. For $n = pqr \in A_3$ with $p \geq q \geq r \geq 3, p \leq X$ and $r \leq \alpha X$, there exists $2 \leq i \leq 4$ such that

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{1}{8}(\alpha + 7)X + 4.$$

Proof. Let

$$p_1 = P(p + q), q_1 = P(p + r), r_1 = P(q + r).$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$p_1 \leq X, q_1 \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{2}X, r_1 \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{2}X.$$

Since $w(n) = P(p + q)P(p + r)P(q + r)$, by Lemma 2, there exists $1 \leq j \leq 3$ such that

$$P(w^j(w(n))) \leq \frac{3 + (1 + \alpha)/2}{4}X + 4.$$

Therefore, there exists $2 \leq i \leq 4$ such that

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{1}{8}(\alpha + 7)X + 4.$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 3. □

Lemma 4. *For any $n \in A_3$ there exists $1 \leq i \leq 2 \log(P(n) + 2) + 6$ such that*

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{15}{16}P(n) + 6.$$

Proof. For any $n = pqr \in A_3$ with $p \geq q \geq r \geq 2$, we have

$$w(n) = P(p + q)P(p + r)P(q + r).$$

Case 1. p, q, r are all odd primes.

Subcase 1.1. At most one of $\frac{1}{2}(p + q)$, $\frac{1}{2}(p + r)$, and $\frac{1}{2}(q + r)$ is an odd prime.

If there is an odd prime, then this odd prime is not larger than p , but the other two prime factors of $w(n)$ are not larger than $\frac{1}{2}p$. Otherwise all of $P(p + q)$, $P(p + r)$ and $P(q + r)$ are not larger than $\frac{1}{2}p$. Hence, by Lemma 2, there exists $1 \leq i \leq 4$ such that

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(3 + \frac{1}{2}\right)p + 4 = \frac{7}{8}p + 4.$$

Subcase 1.2. Precisely two of $\frac{1}{2}(p + q)$, $\frac{1}{2}(p + r)$, and $\frac{1}{2}(q + r)$ are odd primes.

Firstly, we suppose that $\frac{1}{2}(p + q)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(p + r)$ are odd primes. Let

$$p_1 = P(p + q) = \frac{p + q}{2}, \quad q_1 = P(p + r) = \frac{p + r}{2}, \quad r_1 = P(q + r).$$

Since $p_1 + q_1 = p + \frac{q+r}{2}$ is even, $1 \neq \frac{q+r}{2}$ is odd. Thus $3 \leq r_1 = P(q + r) \leq \frac{1}{2}p$. By Corollary 3, there exists $1 \leq i \leq 5$ such that

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{1}{8}\left(7 + \frac{1}{2}\right)p + 4 = \frac{15}{16}p + 4.$$

Similarly, we have the same conclusion for the other two cases: (a) $\frac{1}{2}(p + q)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(q + r)$ are odd primes; (b) $\frac{1}{2}(p + r)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(q + r)$ are odd primes.

Subcase 1.3. All of $\frac{1}{2}(p + q)$, $\frac{1}{2}(p + r)$, and $\frac{1}{2}(q + r)$ are odd primes.

Let

$$p_1 = \frac{p + q}{2}, \quad q_1 = \frac{p + r}{2}, \quad r_1 = \frac{q + r}{2},$$

$$p_i = \frac{p_{i-1} + q_{i-1}}{2}, \quad q_i = \frac{p_{i-1} + r_{i-1}}{2}, \quad r_i = \frac{q_{i-1} + r_{i-1}}{2} \quad (i = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Assume that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, all of p_i, q_i, r_i are odd primes, and at least one of $p_{k+1}, q_{k+1}, r_{k+1}$ is not an odd prime. Since

$$1 \leq p_k - r_k = \frac{p_{k-1} - r_{k-1}}{2} = \dots = \frac{p - r}{2^k},$$

we have $k \leq 2 \log p$. By Subcases 1.1 and 1.2, there exists $1 \leq j \leq 5$ such that

$$P(w^j(p_k q_k r_k)) \leq \frac{15}{16}p_k + 4 \leq \frac{15}{16}p + 4.$$

Thus

$$P(w^{k+j}(n)) \leq \frac{15}{16}p + 4.$$

Hence, if p, q, r are all odd primes, there exists $1 \leq i \leq 2 \log p + 5$ such that

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{15}{16}p + 4.$$

Case 2. $p \geq q > r = 2$.

Now we note that $P(p + 2)$ and $P(q + 2)$ are odd primes.

Subcase 2.1. $P(p + q)$ is an odd prime.

As in Case 1, there exists $1 \leq i \leq 2 \log(p + 2) + 6$ such that

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{15}{16}(p + 2) + 4 \leq \frac{15}{16}p + 6.$$

Subcase 2.2. $P(p + q) = 2$.

- If at least one of $p + 2$ and $q + 2$ is not prime, then by Lemma 1 we have

$$P(p + 2) \leq \frac{p + 2}{3} \quad \text{or} \quad P(q + 2) \leq \frac{p + 2}{3}.$$

Noting that $2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(p + 2)$, by Lemma 2 there exists $1 \leq i \leq 4$ such that

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{1}{4}(3 + \frac{1}{2})(p + 2) + 4 = \frac{7}{8}(p + 2) + 4.$$

- If $p + 2, q + 2$ are both primes, then $p + 4$ is odd composite and $q + 4$ is odd composite or 7, and

$$w^2(n) = P(p + 4)P(q + 4)P(p + q + 4).$$

Since $P(p + q) = 2$, we have $4 \mid p + q + 4$. So

$$P(p + q + 4) \leq \frac{p + q + 4}{4}.$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$P(p + 4) \leq \frac{p + 4}{3}, \quad P(q + 4) \leq \max\{7, \frac{p + 4}{3}\}.$$

Hence

$$P(w^2(n)) \leq \frac{15}{16}p + 6.$$

Case 3. $q = r = 2$. Then $n = 2^2p$. By Lemma 2 with $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ and $X = p$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq 3$ such that

$$P(w^i(n)) \leq \frac{11}{12}p + 4.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

Proof of Theorem 1. For any $n = pqr \in A_3$ let $i_0 = 0$ and $P(n) = p$. By Lemma 4 there exist positive integers $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ such that

$$(1) \quad P(w^{i_k}(n)) \leq \frac{15}{16}P(w^{i_{k-1}}(n)) + 6, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(2) \quad i_k - i_{k-1} \leq 2 \log(P(w^{i_{k-1}}(n)) + 2) + 6, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

By (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(w^{i_k}(n)) &\leq \frac{15}{16}P(w^{i_{k-1}}(n)) + 6 \leq \left(\frac{15}{16}\right)^2 P(w^{i_{k-2}}(n)) + 6\left(\frac{15}{16}\right) + 6 \leq \dots \\ &\leq \left(\frac{15}{16}\right)^k P(w^{i_0}(n)) + 6\left(\frac{15}{16}\right)^{k-1} + 6\left(\frac{15}{16}\right)^{k-2} + \dots + 6\left(\frac{15}{16}\right) + 6 \\ &< \left(\frac{15}{16}\right)^k p + 96. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$k \geq \frac{\log p}{\log 16 - \log 15},$$

then

$$P(w^{i_k}(n)) \leq \left(\frac{15}{16}\right)^k p + 96 \leq 97.$$

For each $m \in A_3$ with $P(m) \leq 97$, by [1, Theorem 1.1] there exists j_m such that

$$w^{j_m}(n) \in \{20, 98, 63, 75\}.$$

Let $c = \max\{j_m \mid m \in A_3, P(m) \leq 97\}$. Then there exists j with $1 \leq j \leq c$ such that

$$w^{i_k+j}(n) = w^j(w^{i_k}(n)) \in \{20, 98, 63, 75\}.$$

By [1, Corollary 2.6] we have

$$(3) \quad P(w^{i_k}(n)) \leq p + 4, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

By (2) and (3) we have

$$i_k - i_{k-1} \leq 2 \log(p + 6) + 6, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus

$$i_k \leq 2k \log(p + 6) + 6k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Take an integer k with

$$k \geq \frac{\log p}{\log 16 - \log 15} > k - 1.$$

Then

$$\text{ind}(n) \leq i_k + j \leq 2k \log(p + 6) + 6k + c \ll (\log p)^2.$$

That is,

$$\text{ind}(n) = O((\log p)^2).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Finally, we employ the Green-Tao Theorem on arithmetic progressions in the primes to confirm Goldring's Conjecture 2.10 [1]; i.e., $\text{ind}(n)$ can be arbitrarily large.

Proof of Theorem 2. By the Green-Tao Theorem on arithmetic progressions in the primes (see [2]), for any positive integer $k \geq 7$, there exist two positive integers a, d such that $a + id$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2^k$) are all primes. Let

$$p_0 = a + 2^k d, \quad q_0 = a + 2^{k-1} d, \quad r_0 = a,$$

and

$$p_i = \frac{p_{i-1} + q_{i-1}}{2}, \quad q_i = \frac{p_{i-1} + r_{i-1}}{2}, \quad r_i = \frac{q_{i-1} + r_{i-1}}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1.$$

Then p_i, q_i and r_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$) are in the arithmetic progression $a + id$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2^k$). Hence p_i, q_i and r_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$) are all primes. Let $n = p_0 q_0 r_0$. Then $w^i(n) = p_i q_i r_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$). Noting that

$$p_i + q_i + r_i = p_0 + q_0 + r_0 > 2^k,$$

we have $w^i(n) \notin \{20, 63, 75, 98\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$). So $\text{ind}(n) \geq k$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the referee for his/her kind comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wushi Goldring, *Dynamics of the w function and primes*, J. Number Theory 119 (2006), 86-98. MR2228951 (2007a:11010)
- [2] Ben Green and Terence Tao, *The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions*, to appear in Ann. Math.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210097, PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: `ygchen@njnu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210097, PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA