

UPPER BOUNDS FOR FINITE ADDITIVE 2-BASES

GANG YU

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

ABSTRACT. For a positive integer N , a set $\mathcal{A} \subset [0, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is called a 2-basis for N if every integer $n \in [0, N]$ can be represented as $n = a + b$, where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. In this paper, we give a lower bound estimate for the cardinality of an additive 2-basis for N , as $N \rightarrow \infty$, which improves the existing results on this topic.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a positive integer N , an *additive 2-basis* (or simply a *2-basis*) of size k for N is a set $\{0 = a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k\}$ of integers such that every positive integer up to N can be represented as a sum of two elements of the set. A 2-basis \mathcal{A} for N is called *restricted* if $\mathcal{A} \subset [0, N/2]$.

For a positive integer N , we denote by $k = k(N)$ (resp. $k_r = k_r(N)$) the smallest number of elements that can form a 2-basis (resp. a restricted 2-basis) for N . In this paper, we are interested in a lower bound estimation for k and k_r , as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For this purpose, let

$$\sigma := \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N}{(k(N))^2}, \quad \sigma_r := \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N}{(k_r(N))^2}.$$

Rohrbach [9] conjectured that $\sigma = \frac{1}{4}$, but this was disproved by many authors based on various constructions of thin 2-bases (cf. [2], [7]). In particular, Mrose [7] constructed a 2-basis $\mathcal{A} \subset [0, N]$ for every large N with

$$\frac{N}{|\mathcal{A}|^2} \geq \frac{2}{7} = 0.285714 \dots$$

The exact value of σ is still a mystery. Even a heuristic argument is still to be found in the literature that would suggest what the true value of σ should be. Nevertheless, besides various constructions of thin 2-bases which yield lower bounds, there have been a number of results giving upper bounds for σ . For any 2-basis \mathcal{A} for a positive integer N , a simple counting argument implies that

$$N + 1 \leq \binom{|\mathcal{A}| + 1}{2},$$

which yields $\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This trivial bound for σ has been improved as follows:

Received by the editors June 25, 2007, and, in revised form, November 15, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11B13.

The author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0601033.

©2008 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

$\sigma \leq 0.4992$	(Rohrbach [9])
$\sigma \leq 0.4903$	(Moser [5])
$\sigma \leq 0.4867$	(Riddell [8])
$\sigma \leq 0.4847$	(Moser, Pounder and Riddell [6])
$\sigma \leq 0.4802$	(Klotz [4])
$\sigma \leq 0.4789$	(Güntürk and Nathanson [1])

Among all these results, Rohrbach [9] attained his bound with a combinatorial argument, and all others used the Fourier series. In particular, Klotz [4] also appealed to Rohrbach's combinatorial method; Güntürk and Nathanson introduced the Fourier series for functions of two variables into the problem to obtain their improvement.

The restricted case has also been studied by several authors. Rohrbach [9] proved that $\sigma_r \leq 0.4654$. This was later improved by Riddell [8] to 0.43356, and subsequently by Moser, Pounder and Riddell [6] to 0.42435.

For a large integer N and a 2-basis \mathcal{A} for N , from the definition we know that $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$ covers all integers in the interval $[0, N]$. This determines asymptotically $2N$ elements of $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$, yet gives a trivial estimate $|\mathcal{A}| \geq (\sqrt{2} + o(1))\sqrt{N}$. Any better estimate for $|\mathcal{A}|$, no matter what method is used, essentially depends on the study of the irregular distribution of the elements of the sumset $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$ over the interval $[0, 2N]$ (or $[0, N]$ if \mathcal{A} is restricted). Such irregularity is often captured by studying the values of the exponential sums over the set. Inspired by an idea involved in the author's recent work [10] on upper bounds for generalized Sidon sets, we shall study the distribution of the sumset $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$ over some subintervals of $[0, 2N]$ and derive from that a better upper bound for σ .

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$\sigma \leq 0.46972.$$

With a similar method, we also get an improvement for σ_r .

Theorem 1.2. *We have*

$$\sigma_r \leq \frac{7 + \sqrt{5}}{22} = 0.41982\dots$$

Notation. Throughout this paper, $A \gtrsim B$ (resp. $A \lesssim B$) means that $A \geq (1+o(1))B$ (resp. $A \leq (1+o(1))B$) as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For a finite set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $f_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta)$ the generating function of \mathcal{B} as

$$f_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} e(\beta b), \quad \text{where } e(t) = \exp(2\pi i t).$$

We also define, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, that

$$r_{\mathcal{B}}(n) := \#\{(a, b) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} : a + b = n\}$$

and

$$d_{\mathcal{B}}(n) := \#\{(a, b) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} : a - b = n\}.$$

The following lemma (Lemma 2.1) is fundamental in our proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. It is a more general form of Lemma 2 in [10]. We believe that such a result is of independent interest and will be useful in other places. Thus we give the lemma in a more general setting even though the proofs of the theorems will only need it with a very special weight function.

Let $u(x)$ be a non-negative function supported on $[0, 1]$, with piecewise continuous derivative and bounded total variation, and

$$\int_0^1 u(t)dt = 1.$$

Let $W(x)$ be the even function on $[-1, 1]$ defined by

$$W(x) := \int_0^{1-|x|} u(t)u(t+|x|)dt.$$

For given real numbers p, δ with $p > 2\delta > 0$, let $w_{p,\delta}(x)$ be the periodic function on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ which, on a period $[-p/2, p/2]$, is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad w_{p,\delta}(x) = \begin{cases} W(x/\delta) & \text{if } |x| \leq \delta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \delta < |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}p. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{B} \subset [0, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, and $w_{p,\delta}(x)$ is given by (2.1). Let*

$$D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{m=-N}^N w_{p,\delta}(m/N)d_{\mathcal{B}}(m)$$

and

$$R_{p,\delta,\kappa}(\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} w_{p,\delta}(m/N + \kappa)r_{\mathcal{B}}(m).$$

Then for any fixed real number κ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{B}) + R_{p,\delta,\kappa}(\mathcal{B}) \geq \frac{2\delta}{p}|\mathcal{B}|^2$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{B}) \geq R_{p,\delta,\kappa}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. We first note that $w_{p,\delta}(x)$ has a formal Fourier expansion into the cosine series

$$(2.4) \quad w_{p,\delta}(x) = \frac{a_{p,\delta}(0)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) \cos(2n\pi x/p),$$

where, for the integer $n \geq 0$,

$$a_{p,\delta}(n) = \frac{2}{p} \int_{-\frac{p}{2}}^{\frac{p}{2}} w_{p,\delta}(x) \cos(2n\pi x/p) dx.$$

By a straightforward calculation, we get

$$a_{p,\delta}(n) = \frac{2\delta}{p} \left\{ \left(\int_0^1 u(t) \cos(2n\pi\delta t/p) dt \right)^2 + \left(\int_0^1 u(t) \sin(2n\pi\delta t/p) dt \right)^2 \right\},$$

from which we see that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{a_{p,\delta}(0)}{2} = \frac{\delta}{p}, \quad a_{p,\delta}(n) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

and that the Fourier series (2.4) converges uniformly to $w_{p,\delta}(x)$. From (2.4) and (2.5), we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{B}) &= \sum_{m=-N}^N d_{\mathcal{B}}(m) \left(\frac{\delta}{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) \cos\left(\frac{2nm\pi}{pN}\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{\delta}{p} \sum_{m=-N}^N d_{\mathcal{B}}(m) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) \sum_{m=-N}^N d_{\mathcal{B}}(m) \cos\left(\frac{2nm\pi}{pN}\right) \\
(2.6) \quad &= \frac{\delta}{p} |\mathcal{B}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) \left| f_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\frac{n}{pN}\right) \right|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{p,\delta,\kappa}(\mathcal{B}) &= \sum_{m=0}^{2N} r_{\mathcal{B}}(m) \left(\frac{\delta}{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) \cos\left(\frac{2n(m+\kappa N)\pi}{pN}\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{\delta}{p} \sum_{m=0}^{2N} r_{\mathcal{B}}(m) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) \sum_{m=0}^{2N} r_{\mathcal{B}}(m) \cos\left(\frac{2n(m+\kappa N)\pi}{pN}\right) \\
(2.7) \quad &= \frac{\delta}{p} |\mathcal{B}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) \Re\left(f_{\mathcal{B}}^2\left(\frac{n}{pN}\right) e\left(\frac{\kappa n}{p}\right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.6), (2.7), we thus have

$$(2.8) \quad D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{B}) + R_{p,\delta,\kappa}(\mathcal{B}) = \frac{2\delta}{p} |\mathcal{B}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) \left(\left| f_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\frac{n}{pN}\right) \right|^2 + \Re\left(f_{\mathcal{B}}^2\left(\frac{n}{pN}\right) e\left(\frac{\kappa n}{p}\right) \right) \right).$$

Note that, for each $n \geq 1$, we have $a_{p,\delta}(n) \geq 0$ and

$$\left| f_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\frac{n}{pN}\right) \right|^2 + \Re\left(f_{\mathcal{B}}^2\left(\frac{n}{pN}\right) e\left(\frac{\kappa n}{p}\right) \right) \geq 0;$$

(2.2) then follows. It is also clear that (2.3) holds for any κ from (2.6), (2.7), and the fact that $a_{p,\delta}(n) \geq 0$ for all positive integers n . \square

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a 2-basis for a sufficiently large integer N . Then for any integer n not divisible by N , we have*

$$(2.9) \quad \left| f_{\mathcal{A}}(n/N) \right| \lesssim \sqrt{|\mathcal{A}|^2 - 2N}.$$

Proof. When $N \nmid n$, we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e(nm/N) = 0.$$

From this we get

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}^2(n/N) = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) e(mn/N) = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} r_{\mathcal{A}}^*(m) e(mn/N),$$

where

$$r_{\mathcal{A}}^*(m) = \begin{cases} r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) - 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq N-1, \\ r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{A} is a 2-basis for N , we have $r_{\mathcal{A}}^*(m) \geq 0$ for all but at most $O(|\mathcal{A}|)$ integers $m \in [0, N-1]$. Thus

$$\left| f_{\mathcal{A}}^2(n/N) \right|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^{2N} r_{\mathcal{A}}^*(m) + O(|\mathcal{A}|) \leq |\mathcal{A}|^2 - 2N + O(|\mathcal{A}|).$$

The lemma then follows from the fact that $|\mathcal{A}|^2 > (2 + \varepsilon)N$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

In our application of Lemma 2.1 to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we take $u(t) = 1$ on $[0, 1]$. Thus

$$(2.10) \quad w_{p,\delta}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - |x|/\delta & \text{if } |x| \leq \delta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \delta < |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}p. \end{cases}$$

In the next lemma and henceforth, we shall suppose $w_{p,\delta}(x)$ given by (2.10). We note that in the cosine series (2.4) we then have the following, which is more precise than (2.5):

$$(2.11) \quad a_{p,\delta}(n) = \frac{p}{\delta(n\pi)^2} (1 - \cos(2n\pi\delta/p)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p,\delta}(n) = 1 - \frac{\delta}{p}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a 2-basis for a sufficiently large integer N , and $D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{A})$ is as defined in Lemma 2.1, with $w_{p,\delta}(x)$ given by (2.10). If $p \geq 1$, then we have*

$$(2.12) \quad D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \leq D_{1,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \lesssim |\mathcal{A}|^2 - 2(1 - \delta)N.$$

Furthermore, if \mathcal{A} is a restricted 2-basis for N , and $p \geq \frac{1}{2} + \delta$, then (2.12) holds as well.

Proof. Note that if $p \geq 1$, then

$$w_{p,\delta}(x) \leq w_{1,\delta}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [-1, 1],$$

which yields

$$(2.13) \quad D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \leq D_{1,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{if } p \geq 1.$$

Now from (2.6), (2.11), and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{1,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) &= \delta|\mathcal{A}|^2 + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ N \nmid n}}^{\infty} a_{1,\delta}(n) \left| f_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{n}{N} \right) \right|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{1,\delta}(nN) |\mathcal{A}|^2 \\ &\lesssim \delta|\mathcal{A}|^2 + (1 - \delta)(|\mathcal{A}|^2 - 2N) + O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{A}|^2}{N^2\delta}\right) \\ (2.14) \quad &\lesssim_{\delta} |\mathcal{A}|^2 - 2(1 - \delta)N, \end{aligned}$$

which, along with (2.13), proves (2.12).

If \mathcal{A} is a restricted 2-basis for N , and $p \geq \frac{1}{2} + \delta$, then we have $D_{p,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) = D_{1,\delta}(\mathcal{A})$ since in both sums $m \in [-N/2, N/2]$ and $w_{p,\delta}(x) = w_{1,\delta}(x)$ for $x \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we shall use the lemmas to prove Theorem 1.1. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a 2-basis for a sufficiently large integer N . Without loss of generality, we shall assume $|\mathcal{A}| \asymp \sqrt{N}$. Let δ, ε be real numbers satisfying $0 < \varepsilon < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, the actual values to be determined later, and $w_{p,\delta}(x)$ be given by (2.10). From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$D_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta}(\mathcal{A}) + R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \frac{2\delta}{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon)}|\mathcal{A}|^2$$

and

$$D_{1+3\delta-\varepsilon,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) + R_{1+3\delta-\varepsilon,\delta,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \frac{2\delta}{1+3\delta-\varepsilon}|\mathcal{A}|^2.$$

Combining these with Lemma 2.3, we see that $R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}) + R_{1+3\delta-\varepsilon,\delta,\delta}(\mathcal{A})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\gtrsim \frac{2\delta}{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon)}|\mathcal{A}|^2 + \frac{2\delta}{1+3\delta-\varepsilon}|\mathcal{A}|^2 - 2D_{1,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \\ (3.1) \quad &\gtrsim \left(\frac{2\delta}{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon)} + \frac{2\delta}{1+3\delta-\varepsilon} - 2 \right) |\mathcal{A}|^2 + 4(1-\delta)N. \end{aligned}$$

Now we notice that

$$R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}) = R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}) + R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}),$$

where

$$R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{m \leq \varepsilon N} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{m}{\varepsilon N} \right) r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) + \sum_{(1-\varepsilon)N < m \leq N} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{N-m}{\varepsilon N} \right) r_{\mathcal{A}}(m)$$

and

$$R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{N < m \leq (1+2\delta-\varepsilon)N} \left(1 - \frac{|m - (1+\delta-\varepsilon)N|}{\delta N} \right) r_{\mathcal{A}}(m).$$

From these and the fact that

$$R_{1+3\delta-\varepsilon,\delta,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{(1+\delta-\varepsilon)N < m \leq (1+3\delta-\varepsilon)N} \left(1 - \frac{|m - (1+2\delta-\varepsilon)N|}{N} \right) r_{\mathcal{A}}(m),$$

we have

$$(3.2) \quad R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}) + R_{1+3\delta-\varepsilon,\delta,\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \leq R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}) + \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} r_{\mathcal{A}}(m).$$

Since \mathcal{A} is a 2-basis for N and since the coefficient of $r_{\mathcal{A}}(m)$ for each m in $R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A})$ is less than 1, we see that, apart from an error term of at most $O(|\mathcal{A}|)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^N r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) - R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon),\delta,\delta-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}) \\ (3.3) \quad &\gtrsim 2N - 2 \left(\sum_{m \leq \varepsilon N} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{m}{\varepsilon N} \right) + \sum_{(1-\varepsilon)N < m \leq N} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{N-m}{\varepsilon N} \right) \right) \\ &\gtrsim \left(2 - \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\delta} \right) N. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{A}|^2 &= \sum_{m=0}^N r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) + \sum_{m=N+1}^{2N} r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \\
 &\gtrsim R_{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon), \delta, \delta-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}) + \left(2 - \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\delta}\right)N + \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \\
 &\gtrsim \left(\frac{2\delta}{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon)} + \frac{2\delta}{1+3\delta-\varepsilon} - 2\right)|\mathcal{A}|^2 + 4(1-\delta)N + \left(2 - \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\delta}\right)N,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{|\mathcal{A}|^2}{N} \gtrsim \frac{6 - 4\delta - \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\delta}}{3 - \frac{2\delta}{1+2(\delta-\varepsilon)} - \frac{2\delta}{1+3\delta-\varepsilon}}.$$

Let $\delta = 0.2257$ and $\varepsilon = 0.0882$ in (3.4), then we have

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}|^2}{N} \gtrsim 2.12893875 > \frac{1}{0.46972},$$

which proves Theorem 1.1. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a restricted 2-basis for a sufficiently large integer N . (Thus $\mathcal{A} \subset [0, N/2]$.) Let $\delta \in [1/6, 1/2]$. From (2.3) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(4.1) \quad R_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta, \delta, \frac{1}{2}\delta-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq D_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta, \delta}(\mathcal{A}) \lesssim |\mathcal{A}|^2 - 2(1-\delta)N.$$

On the other hand, since $\frac{1}{6} \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &R_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta, \delta, \frac{1}{2}\delta-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathcal{A}) \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq m \leq (\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\delta}{2})N} \left(1 - \frac{|m - (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\delta}{2})N|}{\delta N}\right) r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\delta}{2})N \leq m \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{|m - (\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2})N|}{\delta N}\right) r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \\
 (4.2) \quad &\gtrsim 2 \sum_{0 \leq m \leq (\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\delta}{2})N} \left(1 - \frac{|m - (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\delta}{2})N|}{\delta N}\right) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\delta}{2})N \leq m \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{|m - (\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2})N|}{\delta N}\right) \\
 &\gtrsim \left(4\delta - \frac{2(\frac{3}{2}\delta - \frac{1}{4})^2}{\delta}\right)N.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus from this and (4.1), we get

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}|^2}{N} \gtrsim 2 + 2\delta - \frac{2(\frac{3}{2}\delta - \frac{1}{4})^2}{\delta} := g(\delta).$$

Theorem 1.2 then follows by noticing that $\frac{\sqrt{5}}{10} \in [1/6, 1/2]$ and $\frac{1}{g(\sqrt{5}/10)} = \frac{7+\sqrt{5}}{22}$. \square

5. A FURTHER REMARK

When estimating the size of a set $\mathcal{A} \subset [0, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ in an order 2 additive problem, such as a 2-basis for N or a $B_2[g]$ set (a type of generalized Sidon set), it seems more natural to use the Fourier series of functions of two variables rather than a single variable function, at least in some special cases. For instance, to give an explicit upper bound for a weighted sum like

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}(N)} w(m/N) r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) = \sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathcal{A} \\ a+b \in \mathcal{I}(N)}} w\left(\frac{a+b}{N}\right)$$

with $\mathcal{I}(N)$ being a short interval close to 0 or $2N$, we can express the sum as a trigonometric series in accordance with the Fourier expansion of the function $f(x, y) = w(x + y)$ on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ or that of $w(x)$ on $[0, 2]$. Comparing the sum with the Fourier expansion of $w(x)$, the trigonometric sum resulting from the Fourier series of the 2 variable function $f(x, y)$ has a smaller “constant term”, and its cosine (and/or sine) terms have period 1 and are thus related to $f_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)$ (rather than $f_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{n}{2N}\right)$). Such sums (with $N \nmid n$) have presumably smaller sizes than $f_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{m}{2N}\right)$ ($2 \nmid m$) have in the 2-basis problem (and a smaller size on average in the $B_2[g]$ problem). This means that, if one could find a weight function w such that the Fourier series of $w(x + y)$ has a small L^1 -norm, then presumably a better lower bound should be obtained for 2-bases of integers; and a better upper bound for $B_2[g]$ -sets would be achieved if the $L^{\frac{4}{3}}$ -norm of the Fourier series is small. The difficulty of this approach is to find a (more or less) optimal weight function satisfying the properties we want. This surely requires a better understanding of the Fourier coefficients of 2-variable functions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Richard Aron for his helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Güntürk and M. Nathanson, *A new upper bound for finite additive bases*, Acta Arith., 124(2006), 235-255. MR2250418 (2007f:11012)
- [2] N. Hämmerer and G. Hofmeister, *Zu einer Vermutung von Rohrbach*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 286/287(1976), 239-247. MR0422189 (54:10181)
- [3] G. Hofmeister, *Thin bases of order two*, J. Number Theory, 86(2001), 118-132. MR1813532 (2001m:11018)
- [4] W. Klotz, *Eine obere Schranke für die Reichweite einer Extremalbasis zweiter Ordnung*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 238(1969), 161-168. MR0246848 (40:117)
- [5] L. Moser, *On the representation of $1, 2, \dots, n$ by sums*, Acta Arith., 6(1960), 11-13. MR0122800 (23:A133)
- [6] L. Moser, J. Pounder and J. Riddell, *On the cardinality of h -bases for n* , J. London Math. Soc., 44(1969), 397-407. MR0238798 (39:162)
- [7] A. Mrose, *Untere Schranken für die Reichweiten von Extremalbasen fester Ordnung*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 48(1979), 118-124. MR537452 (80g:10058)
- [8] J. Riddell, *On bases for sets of integers*, Master’s Thesis, University of Alberta, 1960.
- [9] H. Rohrbach, *Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie*, Math. Z., 42(1937), 1-30. MR1545658
- [10] G. Yu, *An upper bound for $B_2[g]$ sets*, J. Number Theory, 122(2007), no. 1, 211-220. MR2287120 (2008a:11012)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, KENT, OHIO 44242

E-mail address: `yu@math.kent.edu`