

FINITE $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -CW COMPLEXES WHICH ARE NOT HOMOTOPICALLY STRATIFIED BY ORBIT TYPE

ANDREW NICAS AND DAVID ROSENTHAL

(Communicated by Alexander N. Dranishnikov)

ABSTRACT. For $k \geq 2$, we construct finite $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -CW complexes with one $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -cell in dimensions 0, 1 and $k + 1$. Using a theorem of Bruce Hughes, we show that these complexes are not homotopically stratified by orbit type in the sense of Quinn.

Homotopically stratified sets were introduced by Quinn in [5] as a means of studying purely topological stratified phenomena. Quinn showed, under suitable conditions, that the orbit space of a finite group acting on a manifold, with the orbit type partition, is a homotopically stratified set ([5, Corollary 1.6]). In this paper we construct examples of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -CW complexes having few $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -cells whose orbit spaces, with the orbit type partition, are not homotopically stratified.

A closed subspace Y of a space X is *forward tame* in X if there exists a neighborhood U of Y in X and a homotopy $H : U \times I \rightarrow X$ such that H_0 is inclusion $U \hookrightarrow X$, $H_t|_Y$ is inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow X$ for every $t \in I$, $H_1(U) = Y$ and $H((U - Y) \times [0, 1]) \subseteq X - Y$. The *homotopy link* of Y in X is $\text{holink}(X, Y) = \{\omega \in X^I \mid \omega(t) \in Y \text{ if and only if } t = 0\}$. A *stratification* of a space X consists of an indexed locally finite partition $\{X_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ of X by locally closed subspaces. We refer to X together with its stratification as a *stratified space*. Given a space X with an action of a group G , the *orbit type* corresponding to a subgroup $H \subset G$ is the set of all points in X whose isotropy group is conjugate to H . The *orbit type partition* of X consists of the connected components of the orbit types of X . The orbits of these components give a partition of the orbit space $G \backslash X$.

A stratified space X is said to satisfy the *frontier condition* if for every $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, $X_i \cap \text{closure}(X_j) \neq \emptyset$ implies that $X_i \subseteq \text{closure}(X_j)$. This induces a relation $<$ on \mathcal{I} , defined by $i < j$ if and only if $i \neq j$ and $X_i \subset \text{closure}(X_j)$. The orbit type stratification of a finite G -CW complex need not satisfy the frontier condition. For example, let $X = S^1 \vee S^1 \vee S^1$ be the wedge of three circles along a basepoint $*$. Express $X - *$ as the union of three disjoint 1-cells e_1^1, e_2^1, e_3^1 whose closures are the corresponding S^1 factors and give X the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -CW complex structure: one $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -0-cell, the basepoint $*$ (isotropy $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$), and two $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -1-cells: $e_1^1 \cup e_2^1$ on which $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts by interchanging e_1^1 and e_2^1 (trivial isotropy) and e_3^1 (isotropy $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$). The orbit

Received by the editors December 14, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57N80, 57S17, 57N40.

Key words and phrases. CW complex, homotopically stratified, orbit type.

The first author was partially supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

type stratification on X does not satisfy the frontier condition since $e_3^1 \cup *$ is not a subset of the closure of e_j^1 for $j = 1, 2$.

Definition 1 ([3, Definition 5.2]). A stratified space X satisfying the frontier condition is *homotopically stratified* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) Forward tameness: For every $k > i$, X_i is forward tame in $X_i \cup X_k$.
- (2) Normal fibrations: For every $k > i$, evaluation at the initial point of a path, $\text{ev}_0 : \text{holink}(X_i \cup X_k, X_i) \rightarrow X_i$, is a Hurewicz fibration.

We construct examples of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -CW complexes that satisfy the frontier condition and the forward tameness condition but are not homotopically stratified by orbit type.

Proposition 2. *Let X be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$ a closed subspace. Let U be a neighborhood of Y in X . Suppose $\text{ev}_0 : \text{holink}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ is a Hurewicz fibration. Then the restriction of ev_0 to $\text{holink}(U, Y)$ is also a Hurewicz fibration.*

Proof. Since $\text{holink}(U, Y)$ and Y are metrizable, it suffices to verify the homotopy lifting property with respect to metric spaces [2, XX, Corollary 2.3]. Let Z be a metric space and $f : Z \rightarrow \text{holink}(U, Y)$, $F : Z \times I \rightarrow Y$ be a lifting problem, i.e., $\text{ev}_0 \circ f = F_0$. Since $\text{ev}_0 : \text{holink}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ is a Hurewicz fibration, there exists $\tilde{F} : Z \times I \rightarrow \text{holink}(X, Y)$ such that $\text{ev}_0 \circ \tilde{F} = F$ and $\tilde{F}_0 = i \circ f$, where $i : \text{holink}(U, Y) \hookrightarrow \text{holink}(X, Y)$ is inclusion. As in the proof of [5, Lemma 2.4(1)], there is a continuous function $r : \text{holink}(X, Y) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that for every ω in $\text{holink}(X, Y)$, $\omega([0, r(\omega)]) \subseteq U$. Note that $\text{holink}(U, Y)$ is open in $\text{holink}(X, Y)$. Therefore, $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\text{holink}(U, Y))$ is open in $Z \times I$ and it contains $Z \times \{0\}$. Thus, $Z \times \{0\}$ and $Z \times I - \tilde{F}^{-1}(\text{holink}(U, Y))$ are disjoint closed sets in the metric space $Z \times I$, and so there exists a continuous $\phi : Z \times I \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\phi|_{Z \times \{0\}} = 1$ and $\phi|_{Z \times I - \tilde{F}^{-1}(\text{holink}(U, Y))} = 0$. Let $\mu = \max(\phi, r \circ \tilde{F})$. Then $\hat{F}(z, t)(s) := \tilde{F}(z, t)(\mu(z, t)s)$ defines a homotopy $\hat{F} : Z \times I \rightarrow \text{holink}(U, Y)$ such that $\text{ev}_0 \circ \hat{F} = F$ and $\hat{F}_0 = f$. Hence, the restriction of ev_0 to $\text{holink}(U, Y)$ is also a Hurewicz fibration. \square

Our Proposition 2 is closely related to [4, Proposition 4.4], in which the same conclusion is reached under the additional hypothesis that Y is forward tame in X .

Lemma 3. *Let $U := (X \times (0, 1]) \cup_f Y$ be the half-open mapping cylinder of $f : X \rightarrow Y$, and let $N := (X \times [1/2, 1]) \cup_f Y$. Then $\text{ev}_0 : \text{holink}(N, Y) \rightarrow Y$ is a Hurewicz fibration if $\text{ev}_0 : \text{holink}(U, Y) \rightarrow Y$ is a Hurewicz fibration.*

Proof. Define a retraction $r : U \rightarrow N$ by

$$r(z, t) = \begin{cases} (z, 1/2) & \text{if } 1/2 < t < 1, \\ (z, t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \end{cases}$$

and $r(y) = y$ if $y \in Y$. Since $r(U - Y) \subseteq N - Y$, r induces a retraction $r_* : \text{holink}(U, Y) \rightarrow \text{holink}(N, Y)$, defined by $r_*(\omega) = r \circ \omega$. The result now follows from the fact that the retract of a fibration is a fibration. \square

Let $p : S^n \rightarrow S^m$ be a surjective map. Take two disjoint copies of S^n and map them both to S^m using p ; call the resulting map $q : S^n \amalg S^n \rightarrow S^m$. Attach a pair of $(n + 1)$ -cells to S^m using q and call the resulting space $E(p)$. Define a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -action on $E(p)$ by interchanging the interior of the two $(n + 1)$ -cells and leaving

the S^m subspace fixed. The space $E(p)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -CW complex with strata (by orbit type): $S^m \subseteq E(p)$ (isotropy $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$) and the two $(n + 1)$ -cells (trivial isotropy). By [5, Theorem 1.4], $E(p)$ is homotopically stratified by orbit type if and only if $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \setminus E(p)$ is homotopically stratified by orbit type.

Theorem 4. *If $E(p)$ is homotopically stratified by orbit type, then the attaching map $p : S^n \rightarrow S^m$ is an approximate fibration.*

Proof. The orbit space, $X = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \setminus E(p)$, of $E(p)$ is the CW complex obtained by attaching an $(n + 1)$ -cell to S^m via the attaching map p . Since $E(p)$ is homotopically stratified by orbit type, so is X with strata $X_0 = S^m$ and X_1 equal to the open $(n + 1)$ -cell. Let $U = X - x_1$, where x_1 is in X_1 . By Proposition 2, $\text{ev}_0 : \text{holink}(U, S^m) \rightarrow S^m$ is a Hurewicz fibration. Since U is homeomorphic to $(S^n \times (0, 1]) \cup_p S^m$, the half-open mapping cylinder of $p : S^n \rightarrow S^m$, Lemma 3 implies that $\text{ev}_0 : \text{holink}(N, S^m) \rightarrow S^m$ is a Hurewicz fibration, where $N = (S^n \times [1/2, 1]) \cup_p S^m$. Therefore, $\text{ev}_0 : \text{holink}(\text{cyl}(p), S^m) \rightarrow S^m$ is a Hurewicz fibration, since $\text{cyl}(p) = (S^n \times [0, 1]) \cup_p S^m$, the mapping cylinder of $p : S^n \rightarrow S^m$, is homeomorphic to N . Since $S^m \subseteq \text{cyl}(p)$ is forward tame, $\text{cyl}(p)$ is homotopically stratified with strata S^m and $S^n \times [0, 1)$. By [3, Theorem 5.11], $p : S^n \rightarrow S^m$ is an approximate fibration. \square

Lemma 5. *Suppose $p : S^k \rightarrow S^1$ is a smooth surjective map and $k > 1$. Then p is not an approximate fibration.*

Proof. By Sard's Theorem, p must have a regular value z in S^1 . Then $F = p^{-1}(\{z\})$ is a smooth compact $(k - 1)$ -manifold. Let F_0 be a path component of F and x_0 in F_0 be a basepoint. Since F is a smooth submanifold of S^k , its shape homotopy groups coincide with its homotopy groups. Suppose p is an approximate fibration. Then the corresponding homotopy long exact sequence for approximate fibrations, [1, Corollary 3.5], implies that $\pi_m(F_0, x_0) \cong \pi_m(S^k, x_0)$ for $m \geq 1$. It follows that F_0 is $(k - 1)$ -connected and so by Hurewicz's Theorem, $\pi_k(F_0, x_0) \cong H_k(F_0)$. Since F_0 is a $(k - 1)$ -manifold and $k > 1$, $H_k(F_0) = 0$, contradicting $\pi_k(F_0, x_0) \cong \pi_k(S^k, x_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Combining Theorem 4 and Lemma 5 yields:

Theorem 6. *Suppose $p : S^k \rightarrow S^1$ is a smooth surjective map and $k > 1$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -CW complex $E(p)$ is not homotopically stratified by orbit type.* \square

Note that for p as in Theorem 6 the space $E(p)$ is a topological manifold of dimension $(k + 1)$ away from a codimension k singular set homeomorphic to S^1 . Although $E(p)$ is not homotopically stratified by orbit type, the orbit type partition may have a refinement for which $E(p)$ is homotopically stratified. For example, if the surjective map $p : S^k \rightarrow S^1$ is subanalytic, then [3, Corollary 7.5] asserts that there are Whitney stratifications of S^k and S^1 such that p becomes a stratified approximate fibration. By [3, Theorem 5.11], $\text{cyl}(p)$ with its natural partition is homotopically stratified and it follows that $E(p)$ with the corresponding partition, refining the orbit type partition, is homotopically stratified.

REFERENCES

[1] D.S. Coram and P.F. Duvall, *Approximate fibrations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **7** (1977), 275–288. MR0442921 (56:1296)
 [2] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, MA, 1966. MR0193606 (33:i824)

- [3] B. Hughes, *Stratifications of mapping cylinders*, *Topology Appl.* **94** (1999), 127–145. MR1695351 (2000j:57054)
- [4] B. Hughes, L. Taylor, S. Weinberger and B. Williams, *Neighborhoods in stratified spaces with two strata*, *Topology* **39** (2000), no. 5, 873–919. MR1763954 (2001e:57026)
- [5] F. Quinn, *Homotopically stratified sets*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988), 441–499. MR928266 (89g:57050)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONTARIO,
CANADA L8S 4K1

E-mail address: `nicas@mcmaster.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ST. JOHNS UNIVERSITY, 8000 UTOPIA
PARKWAY, JAMAICA, NEW YORK 11439

E-mail address: `rosenthd@stjohns.edu`