

ON THE TOPOLOGY OF POINTWISE CONVERGENCE ON THE BOUNDARIES OF L_1 -PREDUALS

WARREN B. MOORS AND JIŘÍ SPURNÝ

(Communicated by Nigel J. Kalton)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a theorem more general than the following: “If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is an L_1 -predual, B is any boundary of X and $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is any subset of X , then the closure of $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence on B is separable with respect to the topology generated by the norm, whenever $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ is weak* Lindelöf.” Several applications of this result are also presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

We shall say that a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is an L_1 -predual if X^* is isometric to $L_1(\mu)$ for some suitable measure μ . Some examples of L_1 -preduals include $(C(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, and more generally, the space of continuous affine functions on a Choquet simplex (see [10] for the definition) endowed with the supremum norm (see, [4, Proposition 3.23]). We shall also consider the notion of a boundary. Specifically, for a nontrivial Banach space X over \mathbb{R} we say that a subset B of B_{X^*} , the closed unit ball of X^* , is a *boundary* if for each $x \in X$ there exists a $b^* \in B$ such that $b^*(x) = \|x\|$. The prototypical example of a boundary is $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$, the set of all extreme points of B_{X^*} , but there are many other interesting examples given in [9].

In the recent paper [9] the authors investigate the topology on a Banach space X that is generated by $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ and, more generally, the topology on X generated by an arbitrary boundary of X . This paper continues this study.

To be more precise we must first introduce some notation. For a nonempty subset Y of the dual of a Banach space X we shall denote by $\sigma(X, Y)$ the weakest linear topology on X that makes all the functionals from Y continuous. In [9] the authors show (see, [9, Theorem 2.2]) using [3, Lemma 1] that for any compact Hausdorff space K , any countable subset $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $C(K)$ and any boundary B of $(C(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, the closure of $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with respect to the $\sigma(C(K), B)$ topology is separable with respect to the topology generated by the norm. In this paper we extend this result by showing that if $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is an L_1 -predual, B is any boundary of X and $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is any subset of X , then the closure of $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Received by the editors May 22, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46A50; Secondary 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Compact convex, extreme points, boundary, L_1 -predual.

The second author was supported by the research project MSM 0021620839 financed by MSMT and by the grant GA ĀR 201/07/0388.

in the $\sigma(X, B)$ topology is separable with respect to the topology generated by the norm whenever $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ is weak* Lindelöf.

We conclude this paper with some applications that indicate the utility of our results.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let X be a topological space and let \mathcal{F} be a family of nonempty, closed and separable subsets of X . Then \mathcal{F} is *rich* if the following two conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) for every separable subspace Y of X , there exists a $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $Y \subseteq Z$;
- (ii) for every increasing sequence $(Z_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ in \mathcal{F} , $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n} \in \mathcal{F}$.

For any topological space X , the collection of all rich families of subsets forms a partially ordered set, under the binary relation of set inclusion. This partially ordered set has a greatest element, namely,

$$\mathcal{G}_X := \{S \subseteq X : S \text{ is a nonempty, closed and separable subset of } X\}.$$

On the other hand, if X is a separable space, then the partially ordered set has a least element, namely, $\mathcal{G}_\emptyset := \{X\}$.

The raison d'être for rich families is revealed next.

Proposition 1. *Suppose that X is a topological space. If $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are rich families of X , then so is $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n$.*

For a proof of this proposition see [2, Proposition 1.1].

Throughout this paper we will be primarily working with Banach spaces, so a natural class of rich families, given a Banach space X , is the family of all closed separable linear subspaces of X , which we denote by \mathcal{S}_X . There are however many other interesting examples of rich families that can be found in [2] and [7].

For our first result we will provide another nontrivial example of a rich family, but to achieve this we first need a preliminary result that characterises when a given Banach space is an L_1 -predual.

Lemma 1 ([6, §21, Theorem 7]). *For a Banach space X the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is an L_1 -predual;
- (ii) for each weak* continuous convex function f on B_{X^*} ,

$$f^*(0) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{f(x^*) + f(-x^*) : x^* \in B_{X^*}\},$$

where $f^* = \inf\{h : h \geq f \text{ and } h \text{ is weak* continuous and affine on } B_{X^*}\}$.

Before proceeding further we shall introduce the following notation. If X is a normed linear space, then each $x \in X$ defines a weak* continuous affine function \hat{x} on B_{X^*} via the canonical embedding, that is, $\hat{x}(x^*) := x^*(x)$ for all $x^* \in B_{X^*}$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be an L_1 -predual. Then the set of all closed separable linear subspaces of X that are themselves L_1 -preduals forms a rich family.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} := \{Z \in \mathcal{S}_X : Z \text{ is an } L_1\text{-predual}\}$. We shall verify that \mathcal{L} is a rich family. So first let us consider an arbitrary separable closed linear subspace Y of X . Then by [6, §23, Lemma 1] there exists a closed separable subspace $Z \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $Y \subseteq Z$. Next, let us consider an increasing sequence $(Z_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ in \mathcal{L}

and let $Z := \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n}$. To show that $Z \in \mathcal{L}$ we shall appeal to Lemma 1. Let f be a weak* continuous convex function on B_{Z^*} . Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \max\{f(x^*) + f(-x^*) : x^* \in B_{Z^*}\} \leq f^*(0),$$

it is enough to verify that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $f^*(0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{f(x^*) + f(-x^*) : x^* \in B_{Z^*}\} + \varepsilon$. To this end, suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is weak* continuous and convex and B_{Z^*} is weak* compact, by [1, Corollary I.1.3] there exist $z_i \in Z$ and $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that the weak* convex continuous $g : B_{Z^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g := \max\{\widehat{z}_1 + c_1, \widehat{z}_2 + c_2, \dots, \widehat{z}_n + c_n\}$$

satisfies

$$f(z^*) - \varepsilon < g(z^*) < f(z^*), \quad z^* \in B_{Z^*}.$$

Since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$ is dense in Z we may further assume that all the elements z_i are contained in some fixed Z_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, let $r : B_{Z^*} \rightarrow B_{Z_j^*}$ be the restriction mapping (i.e., $r(z^*) = z^*|_{Z_j}$ for all $z^* \in B_{Z^*}$) and let $h : B_{Z_j^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $h := \max\{\widehat{z}_1 + c_1, \widehat{z}_2 + c_2, \dots, \widehat{z}_n + c_n\}$. Then h is weak* continuous and convex on $B_{Z_j^*}$ and $g = h \circ r$. Moreover, by the definition of g (and the fact that r is weak*-to-weak* continuous and linear) we have that $g^*(z^*) \leq h^*(r(z^*))$ for all $z^* \in B_{Z^*}$. Now, by the assumption that Z_j is an L_1 -predual (and Lemma 1) there exists a $y^* \in B_{Z_j^*}$ such that

$$h^*(0) = \frac{1}{2}[h(y^*) + h(-y^*)].$$

Choose $z^* \in r^{-1}(y^*)$, which is nonempty by the Hahn-Banach extension theorem. Then,

$$g^*(0) \leq h^*(0) = \frac{1}{2}[h(y^*) + h(-y^*)] = \frac{1}{2}[g(z^*) + g(-z^*)] \leq g^*(0).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(0) - \varepsilon = (f - \varepsilon)^*(0) &\leq g^*(0) = \frac{1}{2}[g(z^*) + g(-z^*)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}[f(z^*) + f(-z^*)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{f(x^*) + f(-x^*) : x^* \in B_{Z^*}\}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $f^*(0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{f(x^*) + f(-x^*) : x^* \in B_{Z^*}\} + \varepsilon$, which completes the proof. □

Before we can introduce another class of rich families, we require the following lemma, which is a Banach space version of [11, Theorem 2.10].

Lemma 2. *Let Y be a closed separable linear subspace of a Banach space X and suppose that $L \subseteq \text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ is weak* Lindelöf. Then there exists a closed separable linear subspace Z of X , containing Y , such that for any $l^* \in L$ and any $x^*, y^* \in B_{Z^*}$, if $l^*|_Z = \frac{1}{2}(x^* + y^*)$, then $x^*|_Y = y^*|_Y$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be a countable base for the topology on (B_{Y^*}, weak^*) consisting of closed convex sets. Recall that such a base exists because (B_{Y^*}, weak^*) is compact, by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem, and (B_{Y^*}, weak^*) is metrizable, since Y is separable. Let:

- (i) $\mathcal{F} := \{r^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$, where $r : B_{X^*} \rightarrow B_{Y^*}$ is the restriction mapping;
- (ii) $\mathcal{R} := \{\frac{1}{2}(F_1 + F_2) : F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset\}$.

By construction $\bigcup \mathcal{R} \subseteq B_{X^*} \setminus \text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ and so $L \cap \bigcup \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$. Furthermore, for each $l^* \in L$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists a $y \in X$ such that

$$\sup\{\widehat{y}(f^*) : f^* \in F\} < \widehat{y}(l^*).$$

Therefore, since L is weak* Lindelöf for each $F \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists a countable subset C_F in X such that, for each $l^* \in L$ there exists a $y \in C_F$ such that $\sup\{\widehat{y}(f^*) : f^* \in F\} < \widehat{y}(l^*)$. If we set $C := \bigcup\{C_F : F \in \mathcal{R}\}$ and $Z := \overline{\text{span}}(C \cup X)$, then $X \subseteq Z$ and Z is a closed separable linear subspace of X .

It now only remains to verify that if $l^* \in L$, $x^*, y^* \in B_{Z^*}$ and $l^*|_Z = \frac{1}{2}(x^* + y^*)$, then $x^*|_Y = y^*|_Y$. So, in order to obtain a contradiction, suppose that for some $l^* \in L$ and $x^*, y^* \in B_{Z^*}$, $l^*|_Z = \frac{1}{2}(x^* + y^*)$ but $x^*|_Y \neq y^*|_Y$. Then there exists $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x^*|_Y \in B_1$ and $y^*|_Y \in B_2$ and $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. Set $F_1 := r^{-1}(B_1)$ and $F_2 := r^{-1}(B_2)$. Then $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$. Now, by the Hahn-Banach Extension Theorem there exist $x_1^* \in B_{X^*}$ and $y_1^* \in B_{X^*}$ such that $x_1^*|_Z = x^*$ and $y_1^*|_Z = y^*$. Moreover,

$$x_1^*|_Y = (x_1^*|_Z)|_Y = x^*|_Y \in B_1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_1^*|_Y = (y_1^*|_Z)|_Y = y^*|_Y \in B_2.$$

That is, $x_1^* \in F_1$ and $y_1^* \in F_2$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{2}(x_1^* + y_1^*) \in \frac{1}{2}(F_1 + F_2) =: F$. Since $F \in \mathcal{R}$, by the construction there exists a $y \in C_F \subseteq C \subseteq Z$ such that $\sup\{\widehat{y}(f^*) : f^* \in F\} < \widehat{y}(l^*)$. In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2}(x^* + y^*)(y) = \widehat{y}(\frac{1}{2}(x_1^* + y_1^*)) < l^*(y) = (l^*|_Z)(y).$$

However, this contradicts the fact that $\frac{1}{2}(x^* + y^*) = l^*|_Z$. □

Theorem 2. *Let X be a Banach space and let $L \subseteq \text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ be a weak* Lindelöf subset. Then the set of all Z in \mathcal{S}_X such that $\{l^*|_Z : l^* \in L\} \subseteq \text{Ext}(B_{Z^*})$ forms a rich family.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} denote the family of all closed separable linear subspaces Z of X such that $\{l^*|_Z : l^* \in L\} \subseteq \text{Ext}(B_{Z^*})$. We shall verify that \mathcal{L} is a rich family of closed separable linear subspaces of X . So first let us consider an arbitrary closed separable linear subspace Y of X , with the aim of showing that there exists a subspace $Z \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $Y \subseteq Z$. We begin by inductively applying Lemma 2 to obtain an increasing sequence $(Z_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of closed separable linear subspaces of X such that: $Y \subseteq Z_1$ and for any $l^* \in L$ and any $x^*, y^* \in B_{Z_{n+1}^*}$, if $l^*|_{Z_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2}(x^* + y^*)$, then $x^*|_{Z_n} = y^*|_{Z_n}$.

We now claim that if $Z := \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n}$, then $l^*|_Z \in \text{Ext}(B_{Z^*})$ for each $l^* \in L$. To this end, suppose that $l^* \in L$ and $l^*|_Z = \frac{1}{2}(x^* + y^*)$ for some $x^*, y^* \in B_{Z^*}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$l^*|_{Z_{n+1}} = (l^*|_Z)|_{Z_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2}(x^* + y^*)|_{Z_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2}(x^*|_{Z_{n+1}} + y^*|_{Z_{n+1}})$$

and $x^*|_{Z_{n+1}}, y^*|_{Z_{n+1}} \in B_{Z_{n+1}^*}$. Therefore, by construction, $x^*|_{Z_n} = y^*|_{Z_n}$. Now since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$ is dense in Z and both x^* and y^* are continuous, we may deduce that $x^* = y^*$, which in turn implies that $l^*|_Z \in \text{Ext}(B_{Z^*})$. This shows that $Y \subseteq Z$ and $Z \in \mathcal{L}$.

To complete this proof we must verify that for each increasing sequence of closed separable subspaces $(Z_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ in \mathcal{L} , $\overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n} \in \mathcal{L}$. This, however, follows easily from the definition of the family \mathcal{L} . \square

Let X be a normed linear space. Then we say that an element $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ is *weak* exposed* if there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $y^*(x) < x^*(x)$ for all $y^* \in B_{X^*} \setminus \{x^*\}$. It is not difficult to show that if $\text{Exp}(B_{X^*})$ denotes the set of all weak* exposed points of B_{X^*} , then $\text{Exp}(B_{X^*}) \subseteq \text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$. However, if X is a separable L_1 -predual, then the relationship between $\text{Exp}(B_{X^*})$ and $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ is much closer.

Lemma 3 ([13, Lemma 3.3(b)]). *If X is a separable L_1 -predual, then $\text{Exp}(B_{X^*}) = \text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$.*

Let us also pause for a moment to recall that if B is any boundary of a Banach space X , then

$$\text{Exp}(B_{X^*}) \subseteq B \cap \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}) \subseteq \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}) \subseteq \overline{B}^{\text{weak}^*}.$$

The fact that $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*}) \subseteq \overline{B}^{\text{weak}^*}$ follows from Milman’s theorem, [10, page 8] and the fact that $B_{X^*} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\text{weak}^*}(B)$, which in turn follows from a separation argument. Let us also take this opportunity to observe that if B_X denotes the closed unit ball in X , then B_X is closed in the $\sigma(X, B)$ topology for any boundary B of X . Finally, let us end this section with one more simple observation that will turn out to be useful in our later endeavours.

Proposition 2. *Suppose that Y is a linear subspace of a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and B is any boundary for X . Then for each $e^* \in \text{Exp}(B_{Y^*})$ there exists $b^* \in B$ such that $e^* = b^*|_Y$.*

Proof. Suppose that $e^* \in \text{Exp}(B_{Y^*})$. Then there exists an $x \in Y$ such that $y^*(x) < e^*(x)$ for each $y^* \in B_{Y^*} \setminus \{e^*\}$. By the fact that B is a boundary of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ there exists a $b^* \in B$ such that $b^*(x) = \|x\| \neq 0$. Then for any $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$ we have

$$y^*(x) \leq |y^*(x)| \leq \|y^*\| \|x\| \leq \|x\| = b^*(x) = (b^*|_Y)(x).$$

In particular, $e^*(x) \leq b^*|_Y(x)$. Since $b^*|_Y \in B_{Y^*}$ and $y^*(x) < e^*(x)$ for all $y^* \in B_{Y^*} \setminus \{e^*\}$, it must be the case that $e^* = b^*|_Y$. \square

This ends our preliminary section.

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3. *Let B be any boundary for a Banach space X that is an L_1 -predual and suppose that $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$. Then*

$$\overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, B)} \subseteq \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))}.$$

Proof. In order to obtain a contradiction let us suppose that

$$\overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, B)} \not\subseteq \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))}.$$

Choose $x \in \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, B)} \setminus \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))}$. Then there exists a finite set $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots, e_m^*\} \subseteq \text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$\{y \in X : |e_k^*(x) - e_k^*(y)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq m\} \cap \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset.$$

Let $Y := \overline{\text{span}}(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\})$, let \mathcal{F}_1 be any rich family of L_1 -preduals whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 1, and let \mathcal{F}_2 be any rich family such that for every $Z \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and every $1 \leq k \leq m$, $e_k^*|_Z \in \text{Ext}(B_{Z^*})$, whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2. Next, let us choose $Z \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2$ so that $Y \subseteq Z$. Recall that this is possible because, by Proposition 1, $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2$ is a rich family. Since Z is a separable L_1 -predual we have by Lemma 3 that $e_k^*|_Z \in \text{Exp}(B_{Z^*})$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$. Now, by Proposition 2, for each $1 \leq k \leq m$ there exists a $b_k^* \in B$ such that $e_k^*|_Z = b_k^*|_Z$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_k^*(x) - b_k^*(x_j)| &= |(b_k^*|_Z)(x) - (b_k^*|_Z)(x_j)| \\ &= |(e_k^*|_Z)(x) - (e_k^*|_Z)(x_j)| = |e_k^*(x) - e_k^*(x_j)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $1 \leq k \leq m$. Thus,

$$\{y \in X : |b_k^*(x) - b_k^*(y)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq m\} \cap \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset.$$

This contradicts the fact that $x \in \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X,B)}$, which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1 ([13, Theorem 1.1(a)]). *Let B be any boundary for a Banach space X that is an L_1 -predual. Then every relatively countably $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact subset is relatively countably $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ -compact. In particular, every norm bounded, relatively countably $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact subset is relatively weakly compact.*

Proof. Suppose that a nonempty set $C \subseteq X$ is relatively countably $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact. Let $\{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be any sequence in C . Then by Theorem 3

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\{c_k : k \geq n\}}^{\sigma(X,B)} \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\{c_k : k \geq n\}}^{\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))}.$$

Hence C is relatively countably $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ -compact. In the case when C is also norm bounded the result follows from either [5] or [8]. \square

Recall that a *network* for a topological space X is a family \mathcal{N} of subsets of X such that for any point $x \in X$ and any open neighbourhood U of x there is an $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq U$, and a topological space X is said to be \aleph_0 -*monolithic* if the closure of every countable set has a countable network.

The next corollary generalises [9, Theorem 2.2].

Corollary 2. *Let B be any boundary for a Banach space X that is an L_1 -predual and suppose that $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$. Then $\overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X,B)}$ is norm separable whenever X is \aleph_0 -monolithic in the $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ topology. In particular, $\overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X,B)}$ is norm separable whenever $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ is weak* Lindelöf.*

Proof. From Theorem 3, $\overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X,B)} \subseteq \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))}$. Since X is \aleph_0 -monolithic in the $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ topology $\overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))}$ has a countable network with respect to the $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ topology and hence so does $\overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X,B)}$. Let $\mathcal{A}(B_{X^*})$ denote the set of all weak* continuous real-valued affine mappings on B_{X^*} . Then the mapping $T : (X, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}(B_{X^*}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ defined by $T(x)(x^*) := x^*(x)$ for all $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ is a homeomorphic embedding with respect to both (i) the norm topologies on X and $\mathcal{A}(B_{X^*})$ and (ii) the $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(X^*))$ and $\tau_p(\text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ topologies on X and $\mathcal{A}(B_{X^*})$ respectively. The result then follows from [9, Theorem 2.6]. The last claim follows from [9, Theorem 2.14], where

it is shown that if $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$ is weak* Lindelöf, then X is \aleph_0 -monolithic in the $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ topology. \square

In [9] many conditions are given under which $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ is \aleph_0 -monolithic.

To demonstrate how this last theorem may be applied we shall present some sample applications.

4. APPLICATIONS

Our first application is to metrizability of compact convex sets. If K is a compact convex set in a real locally convex space, let $\mathcal{A}(K)$ stand for the space of all affine continuous functions on K .

Proposition 3. *Let K be a Choquet simplex in a separated locally convex space (over \mathbb{R}) such that every regular Borel probability measure carried on $\text{Ext}(K)$ is atomic. Then K is metrizable if, and only if, the space $(B_{\mathcal{A}(K)}, \sigma(\mathcal{A}(K), B))$ is separable, for some boundary B of $(\mathcal{A}(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 3 and [9, Theorem 2.19]. \square

We remark that there exists a nonmetrizable Choquet simplex K and a boundary B of $(\mathcal{A}(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ such that $(B_{\mathcal{A}(K)}, \sigma(\mathcal{A}(K), B))$ is separable. (It is shown in [13, Section 4] that the construction of [9, Example 2.10] yields the required example.)

Our final few results concern automatic continuity. In particular, the next result improves [12, Theorem 6].

Proposition 4. *Let B be any boundary for a Banach space X that is an L_1 -predual and suppose that A is a separable Baire space. If X is \aleph_0 -monolithic in the $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ topology, then for each continuous mapping $f : A \rightarrow (X, \sigma(X, B))$ there exists a dense subset D of A such that f is continuous with respect to the norm topology on X at each point of D .*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the open set:

$$O_\varepsilon := \bigcup \{U \subseteq A : U \text{ is open and } \|\cdot\| \text{-diam}[f(U)] \leq 2\varepsilon\}.$$

We shall show that O_ε is dense in A . To this end, let W be a nonempty open subset of A and let $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable dense subset of W . Then by continuity

$$f(W) \subseteq \overline{\{f(a_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\sigma(X, B)},$$

which is norm separable by Corollary 2. Therefore there exists a countable set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in X such that $f(W) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x_n + \varepsilon B_X)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_n := f^{-1}(x_n + \varepsilon B_X)$. Since each $x_n + \varepsilon B_X$ is closed in the $\sigma(X, B)$ topology each set C_n is closed in A and, moreover, $W \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$. Since W is of the second Baire category in A there exist a nonempty open set $U \subseteq W$ and a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \subseteq C_k$. Then $U \subseteq O_\varepsilon \cap W$ and O_ε is indeed dense in A . Hence f is $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous at each point of $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} O_{1/n}$. \square

Theorem 4. *Suppose that A is a topological space with countable tightness that possesses a rich family \mathcal{F} of Baire subspaces and suppose that X is an L_1 -predual. Then for any boundary B of X and any continuous function $f : A \rightarrow (X, \sigma(X, B))$ there exists a dense subset D of A such that f is continuous with respect to the norm topology on X at each point of D provided X is \aleph_0 -monolithic in the $\sigma(X, \text{Ext}(B_{X^*}))$ topology.*

Proof. In order to obtain a contradiction let us suppose that f does not have a dense set of points of continuity with respect to the norm topology on X . Since A is a Baire space (by [7, Theorem 3.3]), this implies that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ the open set

$$O_\varepsilon := \bigcup \{U \subseteq A : U \text{ is open and } \|\cdot\| \text{-diam}[f(U)] \leq 2\varepsilon\}$$

is not dense in A . That is, there exists a nonempty open subset W of A such that $W \cap O_\varepsilon = \emptyset$. For each $x \in A$, let $F_x := \{y \in A : \|f(y) - f(x)\| > \varepsilon\}$. Then $x \in \overline{F_x}$ for each $x \in W$. Moreover, since A has countable tightness, for each $x \in W$, there exists a countable subset C_x of F_x such that $x \in \overline{C_x}$.

Next, we inductively define an increasing sequence of separable subspaces $(F_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of A and countable sets $(D_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ in A such that:

- (i) $W \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) $\bigcup \{C_x : x \in D_n \cap W\} \cup F_n \subseteq F_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where D_n is any countable dense subset of F_n .

Note that since the family \mathcal{F} is rich, this construction is possible.

Let $F := \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n}$ and $D := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$. Then $\overline{D} = F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|\cdot\| \text{-diam}[f(U)] \geq \varepsilon$ for every nonempty open subset U of $F \cap W$. Therefore, $f|_F$ has no points of continuity in $F \cap W$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|$ -topology. This, however, contradicts Proposition 4. \square

Our final result improves [7, Theorem 4.7].

Corollary 3. *Suppose that A is a topological space with countable tightness that possesses a rich family of Baire subspaces and suppose that K is a compact Hausdorff space. Then for any boundary of $(C(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and any continuous function $f : A \rightarrow (C(K), \sigma(C(K), B))$ there exists a dense subset D of A such that f is continuous with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -topology at each point of D .*

REFERENCES

- [1] Erik M. Alfsen, *Compact convex sets and boundary integrals*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 57, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971. MR0445271 (56:3615)
- [2] Jonathan M. Borwein and Warren B. Moors, Separable determination of integrability and minimality of the Clarke subdifferential mapping, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 215–221. MR1622793 (2000c:49025)
- [3] Bernardo Cascales and Gilles Godefroy, Angelicity and the boundary problem, *Mathematika* **45** (1998), 105–112. MR1644346 (99f:46019)
- [4] V. P. Fonf, J. Lindenstrauss and R. R. Phelps, *Infinite dimensional convexity*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, 599–670. MR1863703 (2003c:46014)
- [5] S. S. Khurana, Pointwise compactness on extreme points, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1981), 347–348. MR624928 (82k:46018)
- [6] H. E. Lacey, *The isometric theory of classical Banach spaces*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 208, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974. MR0493279 (58:12308)
- [7] Peijie Lin and Warren B. Moors, Rich families and the product of Baire spaces, *Math. Balkanica*, to appear, available at <http://www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~moors/>.
- [8] Warren B. Moors, A characterisation of weak compactness in Banach spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **55** (1997), 497–501. MR1456278 (98g:46019)
- [9] Warren B. Moors and Evgenii A. Reznichenko, Separable subspaces of affine function spaces on compact convex sets, *Topology Appl.* **155** (2008), 1306–1322.
- [10] R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's Theorem*, second edition, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 1757, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. MR1835574 (2002k:46001)

- [11] Evgenii A. Reznichenko, Compact convex spaces and their maps, *Topology Appl.* **36** (1990), 117–141. MR1068165 (91g:54010)
- [12] J. Saint Raymond, Jeux topologiques et espaces de Namioka, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1983), 449–504. MR684646 (83m:54060)
- [13] Jiří Spurný, The boundary problem for L_1 -preduals. *Illinois J. Math.* to appear in 2009, available at <http://www.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/kma-preprints/>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, PRIVATE BAG 92019, AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: moors@math.auckland.ac.nz

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: spurny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz