PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 137, Number 10, October 2009, Pages 3227-3233 S 0002-9939(09)09913-4 Article electronically published on May 27, 2009

# AFFINE ALGEBRAIC MONOIDS AS ENDOMORPHISMS' MONOIDS OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRAS

# ALEXANDER PEREPECHKO

(Communicated by Birge Huisgen-Zimmermann)

ABSTRACT. We prove that any affine algebraic monoid can be obtained as the endomorphisms' monoid of a finite-dimensional (nonassociative) algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Recall that an affine algebraic semigroup is an affine variety M over K with an associative product  $\mu: M \times M \to M$ , which is a morphism of algebraic varieties. Denote an element  $\mu(a, b)$  by ab. A semigroup is called a monoid if it contains an identity element  $e \in M$  such that em = me = m for any  $m \in M$ . An element  $0 \in M$  is called zero if 0m = m0 = 0 for any  $m \in M$ . Obviously, a monoid cannot contain more than one zero. It is well known that every affine algebraic monoid is isomorphic to a Zariski closed submonoid of the monoid L(V) of all linear operators on some finite-dimensional vector space V; e.g. see [4, Theorem 3.8] or [1, Lemma 1.11]. A systematic account of the theory of affine algebraic monoids is given in [3] and [4]. The classification of irreducible affine monoids, whose unit group is reductive, is obtained in [5] and [6].

Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over the field K, i.e. a finite-dimensional vector space A with a bilinear map  $\alpha \colon A \times A \to A$ . Note that the associativity or commutativity of the map  $\alpha$  is not assumed. It is convenient to denote by vect(A) the underlying vector space of an algebra A. By an ideal of an algebra A we mean a two-sided ideal. An algebra A is called *simple* if it does not contain proper ideals. The set of all endomorphisms of A,

 $\operatorname{End}(A) := \{ \phi \in \operatorname{L}(\operatorname{vect}(A)) \mid \alpha(\phi(a), \phi(b)) = \phi(\alpha(a, b)) \text{ for } a, b \in A \},\$ 

is a monoid with respect to composition. It is easy to check that this monoid is Zariski closed in L(vect(A)); therefore it is an affine algebraic monoid.

It is shown in [2] that any affine algebraic group can be realized as the group of automorphisms of some finite-dimensional simple algebra. This paper aims to obtain a similar realization of an arbitrary affine algebraic monoid M as the endomorphisms' monoid of a finite-dimensional algebra A. In this case two differences occur. First, we cannot assume that A is simple, since the kernel of any endomorphism is an ideal of A. Second, the monoid End(A) contains the zero  $\mathfrak{z} \in End(A)$ ,

©2009 American Mathematical Society Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Received by the editors September 13, 2008.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17A36, 20M20; Secondary 16W22, 20G20.

 $\mathfrak{z}(a) = 0$  for any  $a \in A$ , while M does not necessarily contain a zero. Under these circumstances we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** For any affine algebraic monoid M there exists a finite-dimensional algebra A such that  $End(A) \cong M \sqcup \{\mathfrak{z}\}$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{z}\}$  is an (isolated) component of the monoid End(A).

Particularly, if M is an affine algebraic group, then there exists an algebra A such that  $Aut(A) \cong M$  (see [2]).

**Example 1.2.** Let us consider the monoid M = L(V) for a finite-dimensional space V. Then we may take the algebra A constructed in the following way. First, let e be a left identity of A and

$$\operatorname{vect}(A) := \langle e \rangle \oplus V,$$

where  $\langle X \rangle$  stands for the linear span of a set X. Next, for any  $v, w \in V$  put  $\alpha(v, w) = 0$ ,  $\alpha(v, e) = \lambda v$ , where  $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Taking into account equations  $\alpha(e, v) = v$  and  $\alpha(e, e) = e$ , we obtain the multiplication table for A.

Note that any endomorphism sends e to e or 0, since these two are the only idempotents of A. This way, the reader will easily prove that  $\operatorname{End}(A) \cong \operatorname{L}(V) \sqcup \{\mathfrak{z}\}$ .

**Example 1.3.** Assume char  $K \neq 2$ . Consider a two-dimensional space V over K with a basis  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  and the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(V)$  with a basis  $\{1, v_1, v_2, v_1 \land v_2\}$ . Let us take a monoid  $M \subset L(\operatorname{vect}(\Lambda(V)))$ ,

$$M := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{11} & b_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & c_1 & c_2 & d \end{array} \right) \middle| d = \det \left( \begin{array}{ccc} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{array} \right), b_{ij}, c_i \in \mathbf{K} \right\}.$$

One may prove that M acts on  $\Lambda(V)$  by endomorphisms. Moreover,  $\operatorname{End}(\Lambda(V)) = M \sqcup \{\mathfrak{z}\}$ . Generally, a similar equation holds for the exterior algebra of an arbitrary space.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of two steps. First, for every finite-dimensional space U and its subspace S we construct a finite-dimensional algebra A such that  $\operatorname{End}(A)$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{L}(U)_S \sqcup \{\mathfrak{z}\}$ , where  $\operatorname{L}(U)_S$  is the normalizer of some vector subspace S of a special  $\operatorname{L}(U)$ -module. Second, an arbitrary affine algebraic monoid M is represented as  $\operatorname{L}(U)_S$  for appropriate U and S. Overall, we follow the scheme of the proof in [2], but the ideas of the first step are significantly changed.

## 2. Some special algebras

In this section we define and study some finite-dimensional algebras to be used hereafter.

2.1. Algebra A(V, S). Let V be a nonzero finite-dimensional vector space. Denote by T(V) the tensor algebra of V and by  $T(V)_+$  its maximal homogeneous ideal,

(2.1) 
$$T(V)_{+} := \bigoplus_{i \ge 1} V^{\otimes i},$$

endowed with the natural L(V)-structure

(2.2) 
$$g \cdot t_i := g^{\otimes i}(t_i), \quad g \in \mathcal{L}(V), \ t_i \in V^{\otimes i}.$$

Thus, L(V) acts on  $T(V)_+$  faithfully by endomorphisms. Therefore we may identify L(V) with the corresponding submonoid of  $End(T(V)_+)$ .

Fix an integer r > 1. For an arbitrary subspace  $S \subseteq V^{\otimes r}$  we define

(2.3) 
$$I(S) \coloneqq S \oplus (\bigoplus_{i>r} V^{\otimes i})$$

It is an ideal of  $T(V)_+$ . Define A(V, S) as the factor algebra modulo this ideal,

(2.4) 
$$A(V,S) := T(V)_+ / I(S).$$

Then

(2.5) 
$$\operatorname{vect}(A(V,S)) = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} V^{\otimes i}) \oplus (V^{\otimes r}/S)$$

We may consider  $L(V)_S := \{ \phi \in L(V) \mid \phi(S) \subseteq S \} \subset L(V).$ 

**Proposition 2.1.**  $\{\sigma \in \operatorname{End}(A(V,S)) \mid \sigma(V) \subseteq V\} = \operatorname{L}(V)_S.$ 

Proof. By definition, elements of A(V,S) are equivalence classes x + I(S),  $x \in T(V)_+$ . Let us prove the inclusion  $\subseteq$ . Consider  $\sigma \in \text{End}(A(V,S))$  such that  $\sigma(V) \subseteq V$ . Then the  $\sigma$ -action coincides with the action of  $\tilde{\sigma} := \sigma|_V \in L(V)$  on A(V,S) in accordance with (2.2), since the algebra A(V,S) is generated by V. The  $\sigma$ -action preserves the zero of A(V,S); hence  $\tilde{\sigma}(I(S)) \subseteq I(S)$  and  $\sigma \in L(V)_S$ .

Now we prove the inverse inclusion. For arbitrary subsets  $X, Y \subset T(V)$  define  $X \otimes Y := \{x \otimes y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \subset T(V)$ . Let  $\sigma \in L(V)_S$ . Then  $\sigma((x + I(S)) \otimes (y + I(S))) \subseteq \sigma(x \otimes y) + I(S) = \sigma(x) \otimes \sigma(y) + I(S)$  by definition of the L(V)-action on  $T(V)_+$ . Hence  $\sigma \in End(A(V, S))$ .

2.2. Algebra  $D(P, U, S, \gamma)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let A be an algebra with a left identity  $e \in A$  such that  $vect(A) = \langle e \rangle \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_r$ , where  $A_i$  is the eigenspace with an eigenvalue  $\alpha_i \neq 0, 1$  for the operator of right multiplication of A by e. Assume that 0 and e are the only idempotents in A. Then

- (i) e is the unique left identity in A;
- (ii) if  $\sigma \in \text{End}(A)$ , then either  $\sigma(e) = e$  and  $\sigma(A_i) \subseteq A_i$  for any i, or  $\sigma = \mathfrak{z}$ .

*Proof.* (i) The left identity is a nonzero idempotent. Hence it is unique.

(ii) Since the image of an idempotent is an idempotent,  $\sigma(e) = 0$  or  $\sigma(e) = e$ . If  $\sigma(e) = 0$ , then  $\sigma(a) = \sigma(ea) = \sigma(e)\sigma(a) = 0$ , i.e.  $\sigma = \mathfrak{z}$ . Now assume that  $\sigma(e) = e$ . Then  $\sigma(A_i)$  is the eigenspace with an eigenvalue  $\alpha_i \neq 0, 1$  for the operator of right multiplication by e. Hence  $\sigma(A_i) \subseteq A_i$ .

Let P be a two-dimensional vector space with a basis  $\{p_1, p_2\}$ , U be a nonzero finite-dimensional space, and

$$(2.6) V := P \oplus U.$$

Fix an integer r > 1 as well as

(i) a subspace  $S \subset V^{\otimes r}$ ;

(ii) a sequence  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_6) \in (K \setminus \{0, 1\})^6$ ,  $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Define an algebra  $D(P, U, S, \gamma)$  in the following way. First, A(V, S) is the subalgebra of  $D(P, U, S, \gamma)$  and elements  $b, c, d, e \in D(P, U, S, \gamma)$  are such that

(2.7) 
$$\operatorname{vect}(D(P, U, S, \gamma)) = \langle e \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle \oplus \langle c \rangle \oplus \langle d \rangle \oplus \operatorname{vect}(A(V, S)).$$

Second, the following conditions hold:

(D1) e is the left identity of  $D(P, U, S, \gamma)$ .

#### A. PEREPECHKO

- (D2)  $\langle b \rangle$ ,  $\langle c \rangle$ ,  $\langle d \rangle$  as well as  $P, U \subset V = V^{\otimes 1} \subset A(V, S)$  and  $(\bigoplus_{i=2}^{r-1} V^{\otimes i}) \oplus (V^{\otimes r}/S) \subset A(V, S)$  are the eigenspaces with the eigenvalues  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_6$ , respectively, of the operator of right multiplication by e.
- (D3) The multiplication table for b, c, d is

(2.8) 
$$b \cdot b := 0, \qquad b \cdot c := c + \gamma_{bc}b, \qquad b \cdot d := 0, \\ c \cdot b := -c, \qquad c \cdot c := b, \qquad c \cdot d := e, \\ d \cdot b := p_1, \qquad d \cdot c := d, \qquad d \cdot d := p_2, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{where } \gamma_{bc} = \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_3}. \\ \text{(D4)} \ \langle b, c, d \rangle \cdot A(V, S) = A(V, S) \cdot \langle b, c, d \rangle = 0. \end{array}$$

Define the action of  $g \in L(V)_S$  on  $vect(D(P, U, S, \gamma))$  as follows:  $g|_{\langle b \rangle} = g|_{\langle c \rangle} = g|_{\langle d \rangle} = g|_{\langle e \rangle} = id, g|_V$  is the natural L(V)-action on V, and on other summands of A(V, S) it is defined by (2.2). By Proposition 2.1 we may identify  $L(V)_S$  with the corresponding submonoid of  $L(vect(D(P, U, S, \gamma)))$ . Further, we may consider an embedding  $L(U) \hookrightarrow L(V), h \mapsto id|_P \oplus h$ . Thus,  $L(U)_S \subseteq L(V)_S$ , and we obtain the  $L(U)_S$ -action on  $vect(D(P, U, S, \gamma))$ .

Proposition 2.3. We have

$$\operatorname{End}(D(P, U, S, \gamma)) = \operatorname{L}(U)_S \sqcup \{\mathfrak{z}\}$$

where  $\{\mathfrak{z}\}$  is an (isolated) component of the monoid  $\operatorname{End}(D(P, U, S, \gamma))$ .

*Proof.* First of all, we show that 0 and e are the only idempotents of  $D(P, U, S, \gamma)$ . Indeed, let  $\varepsilon = \lambda_e e + \lambda_b b + \lambda_c c + \lambda_d d + a$ , where  $a \in A(V, S)$ . Then

(2.9) 
$$\varepsilon^{2} = (\lambda_{e}^{2} + \lambda_{c}\lambda_{d})e + (\lambda_{b}\lambda_{e}(1+\gamma_{1}) + \lambda_{c}^{2} + \lambda_{b}\lambda_{c}\gamma_{bc})b + \lambda_{c}\lambda_{e}(1+\gamma_{2})c + ((1+\gamma_{3})\lambda_{d}\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{d}\lambda_{c})d + a' = \lambda_{1}e + \lambda_{2}d + \lambda_{3}c + \lambda_{4}b + a, \text{ where } a, a' \in A(V,S).$$

Hence

(2.10) 
$$\lambda_e = \lambda_e^2 + \lambda_c \lambda_d,$$

(2.11) 
$$\lambda_b = \lambda_b \lambda_e (1 + \gamma_1) + \lambda_c^2 + \lambda_b \lambda_c \gamma_{bc},$$

(2.12) 
$$\lambda_c = \lambda_c \lambda_e (1 + \gamma_2),$$

(2.13) 
$$\lambda_d = \lambda_d \lambda_e (1 + \gamma_3) + \lambda_c \lambda_d$$

Assume  $\lambda_c \neq 0$ . By (2.12),  $1 + \gamma_2 \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_e = \frac{1}{1+\gamma_2}$  and  $\lambda_c \lambda_d = \lambda_e - \lambda_e^2 \neq 0$ , so  $\lambda_d \neq 0$ . Hence equation (2.13) implies  $\lambda_c = 1 - \lambda_e (1 + \gamma_3) = \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_3}{1+\gamma_2}$ . Finally, by (2.11) we have  $\lambda_c^2 = \lambda_b (1 - \lambda_e (1 + \gamma_1) - \lambda_c \gamma_{bc}) = \lambda_b (\frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}{1+\gamma_2} - \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_3}{1+\gamma_2} \cdot \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_3}) = 0$ . From this contradiction we deduce  $\lambda_c = 0$ .

Moreover,  $\lambda_e = 0$  or  $\lambda_e = 1$  by (2.10). If  $\lambda_e = 0$ , then  $\lambda_b = \lambda_d = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon = a \in A(V, S)$  and  $\varepsilon = 0$ , since zero is the only idempotent of A(V, S). Now assume  $\lambda_e = 1$ . From equations (2.11) and (2.13) accordingly follow  $\lambda_b = 0$  and  $\lambda_d = 0$ . Thus,  $\varepsilon = e + a$ ,  $a \in A(V, S)$ .

Let  $a = a_P + a_U + a_{\Sigma}$ , where  $a_P \in P, a_U \in U, a_{\Sigma} \in (\bigoplus_{i=2}^{r-1} V^{\otimes i}) \oplus (V^{\otimes r}/S)$ . Then

(2.14) 
$$\varepsilon^2 = e + (1 + \gamma_4)a_P + (1 + \gamma_5)a_U + a'_{\Sigma} = e + a_P + a_U + a_{\Sigma},$$

3230

where  $a'_{\Sigma} \in (\bigoplus_{i=2}^{r-1} V^{\otimes i}) \oplus (V^{\otimes r}/S)$ . Hence  $a_U = a_P = 0$ . Assume  $a_{\Sigma} \neq 0$ . Then we may write  $a_{\Sigma} = a_k + \ldots + a_r$ ,  $a_k \neq 0$ , where  $a_i \in V^{\otimes i}$  for i < r and  $a_r \in V^{\otimes r}/S$ . This way,

(2.15) 
$$(e+a_k+\ldots+a_r)^2 = e+(1+\gamma_6)a_k+a''=e+a_k+\ldots+a_r,$$

where  $a'' \in (\bigoplus_{i=k+1}^{r-1} V^{\otimes i}) \oplus (V^{\otimes r}/S)$  for k < r and a'' = 0 for k = r. This implies  $a_k = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $a_{\Sigma} = 0$  and  $\varepsilon = e$ .

Thus,  $D(P, U, S, \gamma)$  contains no idempotents different from 0 and e. Let  $\sigma \in$ End $(D(P, U, S, \gamma)) \setminus \{\mathfrak{z}\}$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\sigma(e) = e$  and  $\langle b \rangle$ ,  $\langle c \rangle$ ,  $\langle d \rangle$ , P, U, A(V, S) are  $\sigma$ -invariant. Let  $\sigma(b) = \delta_b b$ ,  $\sigma(c) = \delta_c c$ ,  $\sigma(d) = \delta_d d$ . The equations cd = e, dc = d, cb = -c imply  $\delta_c \delta_d = 1$ ,  $\delta_c \delta_d = \delta_d$ ,  $\delta_b \delta_c = \delta_c$ . One may check that  $\delta_b = \delta_c = \delta_d = 1$ . Finally, the equations  $db = p_1$ ,  $dd = p_2$  imply  $\sigma|_P = \mathrm{id}_P$ .

Since V and A(V, S) are  $\sigma$ -invariant,  $\sigma|_{A(V,S)} \in L(V)_S$  by Proposition 2.1. Taking into account  $\sigma|_P = id_P$  and  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$ , we obtain  $\sigma \in L(U)_S$ .

# 3. Affine monoids as the normalizers of linear subspaces

**Proposition 3.1.** Let M be an affine algebraic monoid. There is a finite-dimensional vector space U and an integer r > 1 such that the following holds. Let P be a two-dimensional vector space with a trivial L(U)-action. Then the L(U)-module  $(P \oplus U)^{\otimes r}$  contains a linear subspace S such that  $L(U)_S \cong M$ .

*Proof.* Since there exists a closed embedding  $M \hookrightarrow L(U)$  for some finite-dimensional space U, we may suppose  $M \subseteq L(U)$ . Consider the action of L(U) on itself by left multiplication. Additionally, consider the L(U)-action on the algebra K[L(U)] of regular functions on L(U),

(3.1) 
$$(g \cdot f)(u) := f(ug), \quad g, u \in \mathcal{L}(U), f \in \mathcal{K}[\mathcal{L}(U)].$$

Denote  $d := \dim U$ . Note that the L(U)-modules K[L(U)] and  $Sym(U^{\oplus d})$  are isomorphic. To prove this, it suffices to associate a linear function on L(U) to every vector  $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \in U^{\oplus d}$ , since  $K[L(U)] = Sym(L(U)^*)$ . Identify U with  $K^d$ , L(U)with  $Mat_{d \times d}(K)$ ; let A be in L(U), B be a matrix with columns  $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ . Set  $l_{u_1,\ldots,u_d}(A) := \operatorname{tr} AB$ . Then  $(g \cdot l_{u_1,\ldots,u_d})(A) = \operatorname{tr} AgB = l_{gu_1,\ldots,gu_d}(A)$ ; i.e. we have an L(U)-equivariant isomorphism.

By the definition of a symmetric algebra fix a natural epimorphism

(3.2) 
$$\xi: \operatorname{T}(U^{\oplus d}) \to \operatorname{Sym}(U^{\oplus d}) \cong \operatorname{K}[\operatorname{L}(U)].$$

There is a finite-dimensional subspace  $W \subset K[L(U)]$  such that

$$(3.3) L(U)_W = M$$

In order to prove this, one may show that a linear span of an L(U)-'orbit' of an arbitrary function  $f \in K[L(U)]$  is finite-dimensional. Indeed, since the L(U)-action is a morphism,  $(g \cdot f)(u) = f(ug) \in K[L(U) \times L(U)] = K[L(U)] \otimes K[L(U)]$ , where  $u, g \in L(U)$ , there are functions  $F_j, H_j \in K[L(U)]$  such that

(3.4) 
$$(g \cdot f)(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} F_j(u) H_j(g).$$

Therefore, the L(U)-'orbit' of the function f is contained in the finite-dimensional subspace  $\langle F_1, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ .

### A. PEREPECHKO

Let  $I(M) = (f_1, \ldots, f_t) \triangleleft K[L(U)]$  be the ideal of functions vanishing on M. Summing the linear spans of L(U)-'orbits' of the functions  $f_i$  we obtain a finitedimensional L(U)-invariant subspace  $V \subset K[L(U)]$ . Define  $W = I(M) \cap V$ . First, it contains  $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ . Second, it is M-invariant, since the ideal I(M) is M-invariant. Obviously,  $g \in M$  implies  $g \cdot W \subseteq W$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $g \cdot W \subseteq W$ , where  $g \in L(U)$ . Then  $f_i(g) = (g \cdot f_i)(E) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, t$ , where E is the identity of L(U) and is automatically contained in M. Therefore,  $g \in M$ . This proves (3.3).

Further, since the space W is finite-dimensional, there is an integer  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

(3.5) 
$$W \subseteq \xi(\bigoplus_{i \le h} (U^{\oplus d})^{\otimes i}).$$

Define  $W' := \xi^{-1}(W) \cap (\bigoplus_{i \leq h} (U^{\oplus d})^{\otimes i})$ . The L(U)-equivariance of  $\xi$  implies

$$(3.6) L(U)_{W'} = L(U)_{W}$$

Fix a basis  $\{p_1, p_2\}$  of the space P. There exists an embedding of L(U)-modules

(3.7) 
$$\iota: \mathbf{T}(U^{\oplus d}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T}(\langle p_1 \rangle \oplus U)$$

Indeed, let  $U_i$  be the *i*th summand of  $U^{\oplus d}$ . Consider an arbitrary basis  $\{f_{ij} \mid j = 1, \ldots, d\}$  of  $U_i$  and define an embedding as follows:

(3.8) 
$$\iota(f_{i_1j_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes f_{i_tj_t}) := p_1^{\otimes i_1}\otimes f'_{i_1j_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes p_1^{\otimes i_t}\otimes f'_{i_tj_t},$$

where  $f'_{ij}$  is the image of  $f_{ij}$  under the identity isomorphism  $U_i \to U$ . It is easy to check that the embedding  $\iota: T(U^{\oplus d}) \to T(\langle p_1 \rangle \oplus U)$  defined on the basis of  $T(U^{\oplus d})_+$  by formula (3.8) and sending 1 to 1 is the one required.

Now we may consider a space  $W'' := \iota(W')$ ,

$$(3.9) L(U)_{W''} = L(U)_{W'}$$

Since W'' is finite-dimensional, there exists an integer  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(3.10) W'' \subseteq \bigoplus_{i < b} (\langle p_1 \rangle \oplus U)^{\otimes i}$$

Take  $r \ge b$  such that r > 1 and consider a linear mapping

$$(3.11) \ \iota_r \colon \bigoplus_{i \leqslant b} (\langle p_1 \rangle \oplus U)^{\otimes i} \to (P \oplus U)^{\otimes r}, \quad f_i \mapsto p_2^{\otimes (r-i)} \otimes f_i, f_i \in (\langle p_1 \rangle \oplus U)^{\otimes i}.$$

Obviously,  $\iota_r$  is an embedding of L(U)-modules. Define  $S = \iota_r(W'')$ . Then

$$(3.12) L(U)_S = L(U)_{W''}.$$

Now the claim follows from equations (3.3), (3.6), (3.9) and (3.12).

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let M be an arbitrary affine algebraic monoid, U, b, r, P, S be as in Proposition 3.1. Fix some set  $\gamma \in (K \setminus \{0,1\})^6$  such that  $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and consider the algebra  $D(P, U, S, \gamma)$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 2.3 that  $\operatorname{End}(D(P, U, S, \gamma)) \cong M \sqcup \{\mathfrak{z}\}.$ 

## Acknowledgement

The author expresses his sincere thanks to I.V. Arzhantsev for posing the problem and for useful discussions.

3232

### References

- I.V. Arzhantsev, Affine embeddings of homogeneous spaces, in "Surveys in Geometry and Number Theory", N. Young (Editor), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 338, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, 1–51. MR2306139 (2008d:14074)
- N.L. Gordeev and V.L. Popov, Automorphism groups of finite dimensional simple algebras, Annals of Mathematics 158 (2003), 1041–1065. MR2031860 (2005b:20086)
- [3] M.S. Putcha, *Linear algebraic monoids*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 133, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988. MR964690 (90a:20003)
- [4] L. Renner, *Linear algebraic monoids*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2005. MR2134980 (2006a:20002)
- [5] A. Rittatore, Algebraic monoids and affine embeddings, Transform. Groups 3 (1998), no. 4, 375–396. MR1657536 (2000a:14056)
- [6] E.B. Vinberg, On reductive algebraic semigroups, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 169 (1995), 145–182. MR1364458 (97d:20057)

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{perepechko@mccme.ru}$