

A 2×2 LATTICE SPACE-TIME CODE OF THE HIGHEST RANK

SHICHUN YANG, BO HE, AND ALAIN TOGBÉ

(Communicated by Wen-Ching Winnie Li)

ABSTRACT. For all previous constructions of 2×2 lattice space-time codes with a positive diversity product, the rank $r(2)$ was such that $r(2) \leq 4$. An example of a 2×2 lattice space-time code of rank 5 with a positive diversity product was given by Xing and Li. In this paper, we give an example of a 2×2 lattice space-time code of rank 8 with a positive diversity product. This gives an answer to the open problem set by Xing and Li.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{N} be the sets of all complex numbers, real numbers, integers and positive integers respectively. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the set of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . A lattice space-time code over \mathbb{C} is a set \mathcal{A} consisting of matrices in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that \mathcal{A} is a free abelian group under the matrix addition. The rank of this group is called the dimension or rank of \mathcal{A} . In recent years, the study of space-time codes has generated much interest. Many mathematical areas such as number theory, algebra, combinatorics, etc., have been employed to construct good lattice codes ([1], [2], [5], [6], [7], [8], [11], [9], [12], [13], [14]).

The diversity product of \mathcal{A} is defined by (see [14])

$$(1.1) \quad \delta(\mathcal{A}) := \inf\{|\det(A - B)| : A, B \in \mathcal{A}, A \neq B\}.$$

The normalized diversity product of \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by (see [10], [8], [5])

$$(1.2) \quad d_g = \frac{(\delta(\mathcal{A}))^2}{|\det G| \cdot |\mathcal{L}|^{n/2}} = \frac{(\delta(\mathcal{A}))^2}{\sqrt{|\det g|}},$$

where G is the corresponding generating matrix of the complex lattice \mathcal{A} , g is the corresponding real generating matrix for Λ_G , and $|\mathcal{L}|$ denotes the absolute value of the determinant of the 2×2 generating matrix of the two-dimensional real base lattice \mathcal{L} .

The criteria for lattice space-time codes are: the rank of \mathcal{A} should be as large as possible, the diversity product of \mathcal{A} should be as large as possible, and the discriminant of \mathcal{A} should be as small as possible. A natural question is: *what is the maximal rank of a lattice space-time code \mathcal{A} such that $\delta(\mathcal{A}) > 0$?*

We define $r(n)$ by (see [14])

$$(1.3) \quad r(n) := \max\{\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \text{ is a lattice in } \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \delta(\mathcal{A}) > 0\}.$$

Received by the editors February 17, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 06B99, 15A15; Secondary 11Z05.

Key words and phrases. Rank, diversity product, lattice.

©2009 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Determining the exact value for $r(n)$ seems difficult. One obvious lower bound is $r(n) \geq 2n$ (see [1], [2], [7], [8], [9]). Recently, Xing and Li [14] gave an upper bound $r(n) \leq 2n^2$.

The case $n = 2$ is of a particular interest. However, even in this case, we only know that $4 \leq r(n) \leq 8$. No 2×2 lattice space-time codes of rank greater than 4 with a positive diversity product had been constructed until in [14], Xing and Li gave an example of a 2×2 lattice space-time code of rank 5 with a positive diversity product and raised the following question.

Open problem. *Is there a 2×2 lattice space-time code of rank greater than 5 with a positive diversity product?*

In this paper, we give an example of a 2×2 lattice space-time code of rank 8 with a positive diversity product (Section 2). Moreover, we prove that if \mathcal{A} is the lattice generated by A_j ($1 \leq j \leq 8$) and if the set $\{A_j : (1 \leq j \leq 8)\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , then $\delta(\mathcal{A}) \leq a$, where a is a constant, only dependent on A_j ($1 \leq j \leq 8$). See Section 3.

2. A 2×2 LATTICE SPACE-TIME CODE OF RANK 8

Theorem 2.1. *Consider the eight 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{C} :*

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{q} \end{pmatrix}, A_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q}i & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{q}i \end{pmatrix}, A_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{q} \\ \sqrt{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{q}i \\ -\sqrt{q}i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where q is a positive integer such that $q \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Let \mathcal{A} be the lattice generated by $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, A_7$ and A_8 . Then the rank of \mathcal{A} is 8 and the diversity product of \mathcal{A} is 1.

Proof. First of all, it is clear that $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_8\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{R} . Let

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= x_1A_1 + x_2A_2 + x_3A_3 + x_4A_4 + y_1A_5 + y_2A_6 + y_3A_7 + y_4A_8 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + y_1\sqrt{q} + (-x_2 + y_2\sqrt{q})i & x_3 + y_3\sqrt{q} + (-x_4 + y_4\sqrt{q})i \\ -x_3 + y_3\sqrt{q} + (-x_4 - y_4\sqrt{q})i & x_1 - y_1\sqrt{q} + (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{q})i \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

To show that the rank of \mathcal{A} is 8 and the diversity product of \mathcal{A} is at least 1, it is sufficient to prove that for any eight integers $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$ with $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, we have $|\det(A)| \geq 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\gcd(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = 1$.

From (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - qy_1^2 - qy_2^2 - qy_3^2 - qy_4^2 \\ &\quad + 2(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 + x_4y_3)\sqrt{q}i, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(A)|^2 &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - qy_1^2 - qy_2^2 - qy_3^2 - qy_4^2)^2 \\ &\quad + 4q(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 + x_4y_3)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If one of the two terms $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - qy_1^2 - qy_2^2 - qy_3^2 - qy_4^2$ and $x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 + x_4y_3$ is not zero, then $|\det(A)| \geq \min\{1, 2\sqrt{q}\} = 1$. Therefore we assume that

$$(2.2) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - qy_1^2 - qy_2^2 - qy_3^2 - qy_4^2 = 0$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 + x_4y_3 = 0.$$

Now, equations (2.2) and (2.3) imply that

$$(2.4) \quad (x_1 + y_2)^2 + (x_2 + y_1)^2 + (x_3 + y_4)^2 + (x_4 + y_3)^2 = (1 + q)(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2).$$

It follows that

$$(2.5) \quad (x_1 + y_2)^2 + (x_2 + y_1)^2 + (x_3 + y_4)^2 + (x_4 + y_3)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Since the square of an integer is always $\equiv 0, 1$ or $4 \pmod{8}$, then

$$(2.6) \quad 2 \mid x_1 + y_2, 2 \mid x_2 + y_1, 2 \mid x_3 + y_4, 2 \mid x_4 + y_3.$$

So x_1 and y_2 , x_2 and y_1 , x_3 and y_4 , x_4 and y_3 are of the same parity. On the other hand, from (2.3), there are three possibilities:

- (i) all x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_3y_4 , and x_4y_3 are even;
- (ii) two of $x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_3y_4, x_4y_3$ are odd and the other two are even;
- (iii) all x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_3y_4 , and x_4y_3 are odd.

Case (i) We suppose that all x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_3y_4 , and x_4y_3 are even. As $2 \mid x_1 + y_2$ and $2 \mid x_1y_2$, then we have $2 \mid x_1$ and $2 \mid y_2$. By the same argument, the other 6 variables are all even. Therefore, with $\gcd(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = 1$, we obtain a contradiction.

Case (ii) Now we suppose that two of $x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_3y_4, x_4y_3$ are odd and the other two are even. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$2 \nmid x_1y_2, 2 \nmid x_2y_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \mid x_3y_4, 2 \mid x_4y_3.$$

Then x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 are odd, and x_3, x_4, y_3, y_4 are even. From (2.3), we deduce the following congruence:

$$x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 \equiv -(x_3y_4 + x_4y_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

This implies that, by considerations modulo 4, one of x_1y_2, x_2y_1 is 1 and the other is 3, say $x_1y_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $x_2y_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. It follows that $x_1 + y_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $x_2 + y_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Hence, by (2.4) and $2 \mid y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2$ we have

$$4 + (x_3 + y_4)^2 + (x_4 + y_3)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

This and the fact that $(x_3 + y_4)^2, (x_4 + y_3)^2 \equiv 0$ or $4 \pmod{16}$ lead to a contradiction.

Case (iii) Finally, we take all x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_3y_4 , and x_4y_3 to be odd. Since the x_i and y_i are all odd, then equation (2.4) gives us

$$(x_1 + y_2)^2 + (x_2 + y_1)^2 + (x_3 + y_4)^2 + (x_4 + y_3)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{32}.$$

So we obtain

$$\left(\frac{x_1 + y_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_2 + y_1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_3 + y_4}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_4 + y_3}{2}\right)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

This implies that $\frac{x_1 + y_2}{2}, \frac{x_2 + y_1}{2}, \frac{x_3 + y_4}{2}$ and $\frac{x_4 + y_3}{2}$ are both even.

On the other hand, from equations (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$(x_1 - y_2)^2 + (x_2 - y_1)^2 + (x_3 - y_4)^2 + (x_4 - y_3)^2 = (q + 1)(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2).$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{x_1 - y_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_2 - y_1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_3 - y_4}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_4 - y_3}{2}\right)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Therefore, $\frac{x_1 - y_2}{2}$, $\frac{x_2 - y_1}{2}$, $\frac{x_3 - y_4}{2}$, and $\frac{x_4 - y_3}{2}$ are also even. Thus we have

$$2 \mid \frac{x_1 + y_2}{2} + \frac{x_1 - y_2}{2} = x_1.$$

But x_1 is an odd integer. This is impossible.

Combining the above three cases, we have shown that equations (2.2) and (2.3) cannot simultaneously hold. Thus we have $|\det(A)| \geq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

We have the following remarks.

Remark 2.2. (1) The condition $q \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ can be replaced by $q = 2^{2r}(8t + 7)$, for nonnegative integers r and t . To see this, if instead of $q = 8t + 7$ we consider $2^{2r}(8t + 7)$, then we only need to take $2^r y_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) instead of y_j in our proof.

On the other hand, if q doesn't have the form $2^{2r}(8t + 7)$, then Theorem 2.1 is not true. In this case, we set $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = y_3 = 0$ and $x_4 = q$. Thus equation (2.3) is satisfied and equation (2.2) becomes $q^2 = q(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_4^2)$, and so we obtain

$$q = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_4^2.$$

The above equation has integer solutions (y_1, y_2, y_4) . One can see [3], page 271, or [4], page 133.

(2) From [10], [5] and using equation (1.2), we can compute the discriminant and the normalized diversity product of the lattice \mathcal{A} given by Theorem 1.

Letting $z_1 = x_1 + y_2\sqrt{q}i, z_2 = x_2 + y_1\sqrt{q}i, z_3 = x_3 + y_4\sqrt{q}i, z_4 = x_4 - y_3\sqrt{q}i, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}i]$, from (2.1), we get

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 - iz_2 & z_3 - iz_4 \\ -z_3 - iz_4 & z_1 + iz_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The generating matrix G of the lattice \mathcal{A} is $G = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -G_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where

$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}, G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -1 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, and $|\mathcal{L}| = \sqrt{q}$. Then the discriminant of \mathcal{A} is $d_{\mathcal{A}} = -4$, and the normalized diversity product of \mathcal{A} is $d_g = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{q}}$.

3. AN UPPER BOUND OF ANY 2×2 LATTICE SPACE-TIME CODE

In this section, we will use a result in Diophantine approximation to get a relative upper bound of $\delta(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $X \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n > m \geq 1$. Let $L_i(\vec{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$, where $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. If

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \leq A_i, i = 1, \dots, m,$$

then there exists a nonvanishing vector $\vec{x}^{(0)} = (x_{01}, \dots, x_{0n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, satisfying

$$|L_i(\vec{x}^{(0)})| < A_i X^{1-\frac{n}{m}}, i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and

$$|x_{0j}| \leq X, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. See page 62 of [15]. □

Now we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. For any eight 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{C} :

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_{j1} + a_{j2}i & a_{j3} + a_{j4}i \\ a_{j5} + a_{j6}i & a_{j7} + a_{j8}i \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{j1}, a_{j2}, a_{j3}, a_{j4}, a_{j5}, a_{j6}, a_{j7}, a_{j8} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\{A_j (1 \leq j \leq 8)\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{R} . Let \mathcal{A} be the lattice generated by $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, A_7$ and A_8 . Then

$$\delta(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sqrt{(a_1^2 + a_2^2)(a_7^2 + a_8^2)} + \sqrt{(a_3^2 + a_4^2)(a_5^2 + a_6^2)},$$

where $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^8 |a_{jk}|$, $1 \leq k \leq 8$.

Proof. We assume that $x_j \in \mathbb{Z} (1 \leq j \leq 8)$ and let $A = \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j A_j$. Then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j a_{j1} + i \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j a_{j2} & \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j a_{j3} + i \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j a_{j4} \\ \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j a_{j5} + i \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j a_{j6} & \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j a_{j7} + i \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j a_{j8} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Lemma 3.1, there exists nonvanishing vector $\vec{x}^{(0)} = (x_{01}, \dots, x_{08}) \in \mathbb{Z}^8$, satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^8 x_{0j} a_{jk} \right| < a_k X^{1-\frac{8}{4}} = \frac{a_k}{X}, k = 1, \dots, 4,$$

and

$$|x_{0j}| \leq X, j = 1, \dots, 8,$$

where $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^8 |a_{jk}|$, $X \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus from (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(A) &\leq \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{X}(a_1 + ia_2) & \frac{1}{X}(a_3 + ia_4) \\ -(a_5 + ia_6)X & (a_7 + ia_8)X \end{pmatrix} \right| = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + ia_2 & a_3 + ia_4 \\ -(a_5 + ia_6) & a_7 + ia_8 \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{(a_1^2 + a_2^2)(a_7^2 + a_8^2)} + \sqrt{(a_3^2 + a_4^2)(a_5^2 + a_6^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Remark 3.3. (1) From Theorem 3.2 and the work done in [10], [5], we have

$$d_g \leq \frac{(\sqrt{(a_1^2 + a_2^2)(a_7^2 + a_8^2)} + \sqrt{(a_3^2 + a_4^2)(a_5^2 + a_6^2)})^2}{\sqrt{|\det g|}}$$

where g is the corresponding real generating matrix for Λ_G .

- (2) Recently, Liao, Wang, and Xia ([5]) constructed a full-rate space-time code based on the optimal quadratic extension on $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ as

$$C_{2,2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 + \exp(\frac{i\pi}{6})z_2 & \rho(z_3 + \exp(\frac{i\pi}{6})z_4) \\ \rho(z_3 + \exp(\frac{i5\pi}{6})z_4) & z_1 + \exp(\frac{i5\pi}{6})z_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\rho = \sqrt{1+i}$. They also constructed a full-rate space-time code based on the optimal quadratic extension on $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_6]$ as

$$C_{2,3} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 + \theta_1 z_2 & \rho(z_3 + \theta_1 z_4) \\ \rho(z_3 + \theta_2 z_4) & z_1 + \theta_2 z_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where $\zeta_6 = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{6})$, $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_6]$, θ_1, θ_2 are the roots of $x^2 + x + \zeta_6$, and $\rho = \sqrt{1+\zeta_6}$. The normalized diversity product for code $C_{2,2}$ is $d_g = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.2357$ and the normalized diversity product for code $C_{2,3}$ is $d_g = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{39}} \approx 0.2135$. These normalized diversity products are both larger than ours obtained in Remark 2.2 (2). In fact, Theorem 3.2 gives a relative upper bound of d_g .

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Prof. Xiang-Gen Xia, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Delaware, for pointing out to them his papers that help to define the normalized diversity product. They are also grateful to the anonymous referee for insightful and valuable comments that helped to improve the manuscript. The first and second authors were supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province and the Education Department of Sichuan Province. The third author was supported in part by Purdue University North Central.

REFERENCES

1. M. O. Damen, A. Tewfik and J. C. Belfiore, *A construction of a space-time code based on number theory*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 48, No. 3 (2002), 753–760. MR1889980 (2003i:94003)
2. P. Dayal and M. K. Varanasi, *An algebraic family of complex lattices for fading channels with application to space-time codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 51, No. 12 (2005), 4184–4202. MR2243151 (2007b:94153)
3. L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers, Volume II: Diophantine Analysis*, Dover, New York, 2005.
4. W. J. LeVeque, *Topics in Number Theory, Volumes I and II*, Dover, New York, 2002. MR1942365 (2003j:11002)
5. H. Liao, H. Wang, and X.-G. Xia, *Some designs and normalized diversity product upper bounds for lattice-based diagonal and full-rate space-time block codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 55, No. 2 (2009), 569–583.
6. A. Shokrollahi, B. Hassibi, B. M. Hochwald and W. Sweldens, *Representation theory for high-rate multiple-antenna code design*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 47, No. 6 (2001), 2335–2367. MR1873925 (2003j:94120)
7. V. Tarokh, H. Jafarkhani, and A. R. Calderbank, *Space-time block codes from orthogonal designs*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 45, No. 5 (1999), 1456–1467. MR1699070 (2000g:94001)
8. G. Wang, H. Liao, H. Wang, and X.-G. Xia, *Systematic and optimal cyclotomic lattices and diagonal space-time block code designs*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 50, No. 12 (2004), 3348–3360. MR2103509
9. G. Wang and X.-G. Xia, *On optimal multilayer space-time code designs*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 51, No. 3 (2005), 1102–1135. MR2237973 (2007h:94088a)

10. G. Wang and X.-G. Xia, *Correction to the definition of diversity product in "On optimal multi-layer cyclotomic space-time code designs"*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 51, No. 7 (2005), 2732–2733. MR2246395 (2007h:94088b)
11. H. Wang, G. Wang, and X.-G. Xia, *Some 2×2 unitary space-time codes from sphere packing theory with optimal diversity product of code size 6*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 50, No. 12 (2004), 3361–3368. MR2103510
12. H. Wang and X.-G. Xia, *Optimal normalized diversity product of 2×2 lattice-based diagonal space-time codes from QAM signal constellations*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 54, No. 12 (2008), 1814–1818. MR2450310
13. C. P. Xing, *Diagonal lattice space-time codes from number fields and asymptotic bounds*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 53, No. 11 (2007), 3921–3926. MR2446545
14. C. P. Xing and W. Li, *A 2×2 lattice space-time code of rank 5*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 136, No. 10 (2008), 3415–3418. MR2415024
15. Y. C. Zhu and L. X. Wang, *An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation*, Beijing: Science Press, 1993, 62–63 (in Chinese).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ABA TEACHERS' COLLEGE, WENCHUAN, SICHUAN, 623000,
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: `yssc1020@sina.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ABA TEACHERS' COLLEGE, WENCHUAN, SICHUAN, 623000,
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: `bhe@live.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY NORTH CENTRAL, 1401 S, U.S. 421,
WESTVILLE, INDIANA 46391

E-mail address: `atogbe@pnc.edu`