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Abstract. It is shown that existence of a global solution to a particular non-
linear system of second order partial differential equations on a complete con-
nected Riemannian manifold has topological and geometric implications and
that in the domain of positivity of such a solution, its reciprocal is the radial
function of only one of the following rotationally symmetric hypersurfaces in
\( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \): paraboloid, ellipsoid, one sheet of a two-sheeted hyperboloid, and a
hyperplane.

1. Main result

Let \( M \) be a \( C^\infty \) complete Riemannian manifold of dimension \( n \geq 2 \) with metric \( h \). Denote by \( \nabla^2 \) the Hessian matrix of the second covariant derivatives in the
metric \( h \). The well known theorem of Obata \([9]\) states that if the system
\begin{equation}
\nabla^2 w + k^2 w h = 0, \ k = \text{const} > 0,
\end{equation}

admits a solution \( w \not\equiv 0 \), then \( M \) is isometric to a sphere of radius \( 1/k \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \).

There have been many important applications of Obata’s theorem to problems
of isometry and conformality of manifolds with Euclidean spheres \([10]\), \([14]\), \([6]\).

On the other hand, some of the known characterizations of quadrics in Euclidean
space use systems of third order partial differential equations (PDE’s) defining
second order spherical harmonics (see \([15]\) and other references there), while other
characterizations are based on affine invariants and affine isoperimetric inequalities
\([7]\), \([8]\), or on postulated relations between principal curvatures \([1]\), \([4]\), \([5]\), \([15]\). The
proofs usually require substantial efforts. By contrast, the characterization given
in the following theorem uses a nonlinear second order system of PDE’s, ultimately
connected with first order spherical harmonics and it is obtained by rather simple
means akin to the original Obata’s theorem. In fact, the proof relies on Obata’s
theorem.

Recall that a hypersurface \( F \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) is defined by its radial function if \( F \) is a
graph of a positive function \( \rho \) over some domain \( \omega \subset S^n \), where \( S^n \) is a unit sphere in
\( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) with the center at the origin of a Cartesian coordinate system. The position
vector of $F$ is given by $\rho(x)x$, $x \in \varnothing$. Here and below $x$ is treated as a point in $\mathbb{S}^n$ and a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $M$ be a $C^\infty$ complete connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with metric $h$. Suppose there exists a function $w \in C^2(M)$, satisfying the system of PDE’s

$$
(1.2) \quad 2w \nabla^2 w + (w^2 - \lvert \nabla w \rvert^2)h = (c^2 - 1)h \text{ on } M,
$$

where $c = \text{const}$ and $w^2 \neq c^2 - 1$. Then $M$ is isometric to a unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Fix one such sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and choose a Cartesian coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the origin $O$ at the center of $\mathbb{S}^n$. Obviously, the function $-w$ is also a solution of (1.2) and below we always deal with the solution $w$ which is positive somewhere on $\mathbb{S}^n$. The following statements hold:

(a) If $c^2 > 1$ then $w(x) = \sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1} + C \langle x, \xi \rangle$, $x, \xi \in \mathbb{S}^n$, and $C = \text{const} \neq 0$. The function $w > 0$ everywhere on $\mathbb{S}^n$. The function $\rho(x) = 1/w(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$, is the radial function of an ellipsoid of revolution with axis $\xi$ and one of its foci at $O$. Depending on the choice of the center of $\mathbb{S}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\xi$, such an ellipsoid is defined up to a translation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and rotation about the focus at $O$. Furthermore, the eccentricity and location of the other focus are determined by the parameters $c$ and $C$.

(b) If $c^2 = 1$ then $w(x) = \lvert C \rvert + C \langle x, \xi \rangle$, $x, \xi \in \mathbb{S}^n$, and $C = \text{const} \neq 0$. The function $w$ vanishes only at $\bar{x} = -\text{sign}(C)\xi$. The function $\rho(x) = 1/w(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{\bar{x}\}$, is the radial function of a paraboloid of revolution with axis $\xi$ and focus at $O$. Again, depending on the choice of the center of $\mathbb{S}^n$ and $\xi$, this paraboloid is defined up to a translation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and rotation about its focus. Replacing $C$ by $\lambda C$, where $\lambda > 0$, corresponds to a homothety of the paraboloid with respect to its focus.

(c) If $c^2 < 1$ then $w^\pm(x) = \pm \sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1} + C \langle x, \xi \rangle$, $x, \xi \in \mathbb{S}^n$, for $C^2 = \text{const} \geq 1 - c^2$. If $C^2 > 1 - c^2$ then $\rho^\pm(x) = 1/w^\pm(x)$, $x \in \varnothing^\pm := \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n \mid w^\pm > 0\}$, are the radial functions of one sheet of a two-sheeted hyperboloid of revolution with axis $\xi$ and one of the foci at $O$. Depending on the choice of the center of $\mathbb{S}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\xi$, such a hyperboloid is defined up to a translation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and rotation about $O$. In addition, the eccentricity and location of the other focus are determined by the parameters $c$ and $C$. When $C^2 = 1 - c^2$ the corresponding function $\rho(x) = 1/C \langle x, \xi \rangle$, $x \in \varnothing := \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n \mid C \langle x, \xi \rangle > 0\}$, is the radial function of a hyperplane with normal $\xi$.

**Remark 1.2.** Let $F$ be one of the following quadrics of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$: a paraboloid, an ellipsoid, and one sheet of a two-sheeted hyperboloid of revolution. Assume that the origin of a Cartesian coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is positioned at one of the foci of $F$. Then the radial function of $F$ is

$$
(1.3) \quad \rho(x) = \frac{f}{1 - \epsilon \langle x, \xi \rangle}, \quad x \in \varnothing \subset \mathbb{S}^n,
$$

where $\xi$ is a unit vector in the direction of the axis of $F$, $\epsilon$ is the eccentricity, and $f$ is the focal parameter. If $f > 0$ and $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$ then $\varnothing = \mathbb{S}^n$ and $F$ is an ellipsoid; when $f > 0$, $\epsilon = 1$ and $\varnothing = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{\xi\}$, then $F$ is a paraboloid; if $f \neq 0$ and $|\epsilon| > 1$ then (1.3) defines one of the sheets of a two-sheeted hyperboloid of revolution (over a sub-domain of $\mathbb{S}^n$, where $\rho(x) > 0$).
If \( f \neq 0 \) and \( \rho(x) = f/(x, \xi) \), \( x \in \emptyset := \{ x \in S^n \mid f(x, \xi) > 0 \} \), then \( F \) is a hyperplane. Note that in all cases the reciprocal \( 1/\rho \) is defined on the entire \( S^n \) and satisfies (1.2) with an appropriate choice of \( c \).

It is not accidental that a one-sheeted hyperboloid of revolution is not among the hypersurfaces listed above. The reason is that it cannot be represented in the form (1.3) because such a representation is possible only if the quadric of revolution also has a directrix hyperplane perpendicular to the axis of revolution.

**Remark 1.3.** The system (1.2) is motivated by the reflector problem and its generalizations \[13\], \[12\], \[11\]. Also, M. Gursky \[2\] pointed out to the author that on a unit sphere \( S^n \), the left hand side of (1.2) can be transformed into an expression defining the Schouten tensor \[3\]. More precisely, assume \( w \) in (1.2) is positive and put \( w = e^n \). Then \( u \) satisfies the system

\[
e^{2u} \left[ \nabla^2 u + \nabla u \otimes \nabla u + \frac{1 - |\nabla u|^2}{2h} \right] = \frac{c^2 - 1}{2} h.
\]

The \((0,2)\)-tensor in the square brackets is the Schouten tensor of the metric \( e^{-2u}h \) on \( S^n \) with \( h \) being the standard metric induced from \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \).

**Remark 1.4.** In order to include characterizations of spheres of radius \( \neq 1 \) the statement in the first paragraph of Theorem \[11\] should be modified as follows. Let \( M \) be a complete connected Riemannian manifold of dimension \( n \geq 2 \) with metric \( h \). Suppose there exists a function \( w \in C^2(M) \), satisfying the system

\[
2w \nabla^2 w + (k^2w^2 - |\nabla w|^2)h = (c^2 - 1)h \text{ on } M,
\]

where \( k = \text{const} > 0 \), \( c = \text{const} \) and \( k^2w^2 \neq c^2 - 1 \). Then \( M \) is isometric to a sphere of radius \( 1/k \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \).

## 2. Proofs

We will need the following

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( M \) be a complete connected Riemannian manifold of dimension \( n \geq 2 \) with metric \( h \) and \( A = \text{const} \). Suppose there exists a function \( w \in C^2(M) \), \( w^2 \neq A \), satisfying

\[
2w \nabla^2 w + (w^2 - |\nabla w|^2 - A)h = 0 \text{ on } M.
\]

Let \( M_0 = \{ x \in M \mid w(x) = 0 \} \). (The case when \( M_0 = \emptyset \) is not excluded.) On the set \( M \setminus M_0 \) define a function \( S \) by the equation

\[
w^3 + |\nabla w|^2 + A = 2Sw.
\]

Then \( S \equiv \text{const} \) on \( M \setminus M_0 \) and can be extended by the same constant to the entire \( M \). In addition, the function \( w \) satisfies the system

\[
\nabla^2 w + (w - S)h = 0 \text{ on } M.
\]

**Proof.** Clearly, \( S \in C^1(M \setminus M_0) \). Denote by \( \nabla_i, \nabla_{ij} \) the operators of the first and second covariant derivatives in some local coordinates. Differentiating \((2.2)\), multiplying by \( w \), and taking into account \((2.1)\), we obtain, after some manipulations,

\[
2w \nabla_i (Sw) = w^2 [2w \nabla_{si} w + (w^2 - |\nabla w|^2 - A)h_{si}] + w^2 [(w^2 + |\nabla w|^2 + A)h_{si}] = 2Sw \nabla_i w,
\]

where \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), \( w^a = h^{ak} \nabla_k w \), \( [h_{sk}] = [h_{sk}]^{-1} \) and the summation convention over repeated indices is in effect. This implies that \( w^2 \nabla_i S = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n, \) and we
conclude that \( S = \text{const} \) on \( M \setminus M_0 \). Since \( w \in C^2(M) \), we can extend \( S \) to the entire \( M \) by setting it equal to the same value as on \( M \setminus M_0 \). Then the equation \((2.2)\) is satisfied everywhere on \( M \) with the same constant \( S \).

To prove \((2.3)\) we calculate, using \((2.2)\),
\[
2w|\nabla^2 w + (w - S)h| = 2w\nabla^2 w + (w^2 - |\nabla w|^2 - A)h = 0.
\]
This implies that
\[(2.4)\]
\[
\nabla^2 w + (w - S)h = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad M \setminus M_0.
\]

Let us show that the set \( M_0 \) has no interior points. It suffices to consider the case when \( M_0 \neq \emptyset \). Then the set \( M \setminus M_0 \) is open. Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists an interior point \( \bar{x} \in M_0 \). Take a geodesic \( l(t) \) originating at \( \bar{x} \) and parametrized by arc length. Then \( w(l(t)) = 0 \) for \( |t| < \delta \) for some \( \delta > 0 \). This is true for any geodesic originating at \( \bar{x} \). In particular, if we take a geodesic joining \( \bar{x} \) with any point in \( M \setminus \bar{x} \), we obtain a contradiction as \( w(l(t)) \) will have to remain identically zero on such a geodesic and this is impossible. Thus, \( M_0 \) cannot have interior points and for each \( \bar{x} \in M_0 \) there exists a sequence of points in \( M \setminus M_0 \) converging to \( \bar{x} \). Therefore, \((2.4)\) and \( C^2 \) continuity of \( w \) imply \((2.3)\).

**Proof Theorem 1.1.** Set \( A = c^2 - 1 \) in Lemma 2.1. Then the function \( w \) satisfies \((2.2)\) with \( S = c^2 - 1 \) everywheere on \( M \). Consequently, the function \( \bar{w} := w - S \) satisfies the system
\[
\nabla^2 \bar{w} + \bar{w}h = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad M.
\]

If \( w \equiv S \) then it follows from \((2.2)\) that \( w^2 = c^2 - 1 \). This contradicts one of the assumptions in the theorem. Therefore, \( \bar{w} \neq 0 \). By Obata’s theorem \( M \) is isometric to a sphere of unit radius in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \). Taking the trace of this system we obtain
\[
\Delta \bar{w} + n\bar{w} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad M.
\]

It is well known that the only solutions of this equation on a unit sphere are the first order spherical harmonics. Fix one such sphere \( S^n \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) and choose the Cartesian coordinate system in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) with the origin at the center of \( S^n \). Then \( \bar{w} = C(x, \xi) \), where \( C = \text{const} \neq 0 \), \( x \in S^n \), and \( \xi \) is an arbitrary but fixed point of \( S^n \). Thus \( w = S + C(x, \xi) \). It is easy to check that \( \bar{w}^2 + |\nabla \bar{w}|^2 = C^2 \). Then \( (w - S)^2 + |\nabla w|^2 = C^2 \) and using \((2.2)\) we obtain \( S^2 = C^2 + c^2 - 1 \).

Now we consider each of the cases in the statement of the theorem individually.

In case (a), \( c^2 - 1 > 0 \). By \((2.2)\) \( Sw > 0 \). Since it is assumed that \( w > 0 \), we also have \( S > 0 \) and then \( S = \sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1} \). Thus, any solution of \((1.2)\) is of the form \( w = \sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1} + C(x, \xi) \). Put
\[
f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1}}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{|C|}{\sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x) = \frac{f}{1 + \epsilon \langle x, \xi \rangle}, \quad x \in S^n,
\]
where + is taken if \( C > 0 \) and − if \( C < 0 \). Clearly, \( \rho \) is the radial function of an ellipsoid of revolution with axis \( \xi \), focal parameter \( f \) and eccentricity \( \epsilon \). The remaining statements in (a) follow from the construction.

In case (b), \( c^2 - 1 = 0 \) and then, by one of the assumptions in the theorem, \( w \neq 0 \). Then \( S \neq 0 \) by \((2.2)\) and \( Sw \geq 0 \). The choice of \( w \geq 0 \) on \( S^n \) implies \( S > 0 \). Then \( S = |C| \) and any nonnegative solution of \((1.1)\) must be of the form \( w(x) = |C| + C(x, \xi), \ x, \xi \in S^n \). Clearly, for a fixed \( \xi \) and \( C \neq 0 \) the function \( w \) vanishes only at \( x = -\text{sign}(C)\xi \).
Consider the function
\[ \rho(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1}}, \quad x \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{-\xi\} & \text{if } C > 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{\xi\} & \text{if } C < 0. \end{cases} \]

In either case, this is the equation of the radial function of a paraboloid of revolution with axis \(-\xi\) or \(\xi\) and focal parameter \(1/|C|\). Obviously, changing \(\xi \in \mathbb{S}^n\) corresponds to rotation of such a paraboloid about its focus, while multiplying \(C\) by a positive constant corresponds to rescaling.

Finally, we consider the case (c). In this case \(c^2 - 1 < 0\) and in order for \(S\) to be defined we require \(C^2 \geq 1 - c^2\). Then \(S = \pm \sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1}\) and we have two representations \(w^\pm(x) = \pm \sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1} + C(x, \xi), \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^n\). Assuming first that \(C^2 + c^2 - 1 > 0\) we put
\[ f = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1}}, \quad \epsilon = \pm \frac{C}{\sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x) = \frac{f}{1 + \epsilon \langle x, \xi \rangle}, \quad \text{where } x \in \varnothing := \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n \mid w^\pm > 0\}. \]

Note that \(|\epsilon| > 1\) and \(\rho\) defines one sheet of a two-sheeted hyperboloid of revolution.

It is easy to see that when \(C^2 + c^2 - 1 = 0\) the hypersurfaces
\[ \rho(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C^2 + c^2 - 1}}, \quad x \in \varnothing^\pm := \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n \mid \langle x, \xi \rangle > 0 \text{ if } C = \sqrt{1 - c^2}\}, \\ \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n \mid \langle x, \xi \rangle < 0 \text{ if } C = -\sqrt{1 - c^2}\} \end{cases} \]
are hyperplanes. The remaining statements in case (c) are obvious.

The proof of the claim in Remark 1.4 is based on a modified version of Lemma 2.1 and arguments similar to those in the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 1.1. In the statement of Lemma 2.1, the equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) should be replaced, respectively, by
\begin{align*}
2w\nabla^2 w + (k^2 w^2 - |\nabla w|^2 - A)h &= 0 \text{ on } M, \\
k^2 w^2 + |\nabla w|^2 + A &= 2Skw \quad \text{and} \\
\nabla^2 w + k^2 (w - \frac{S}{k})h &= 0.
\end{align*}

The required changes in the proof of the so modified Lemma 2.1 are obvious.
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