PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 139, Number 7, July 2011, Pages 2327–2336
S 0002-9939(2010)10675-5
Article electronically published on December 2, 2010

NEW CRITERIONS OF EXISTENCE AND CONJUGACY OF HALL SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

WENBIN GUO AND ALEXANDER N. SKIBA

(Communicated by Jonathan I. Hall)

Abstract. In the paper, new criterions for existence and conjugacy of Hall subgroups of finite groups are given. In particular, the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, Hall theorem and Čunihin theorem are generalized.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite, G denotes a finite group and π denotes a non-empty subset of the set of all primes. A subgroup H is said to be permutable with a subgroup B if $HB = BH$. The notation and terminology are standard, as in [10] and [3].

The famous Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem asserts that: *If G has a normal Hall π-subgroup A, then G is an $E_{\pi'}$-group (that is, G has a Hall π'-group). Moreover, if either A or G/A is soluble, then A is a $C_{\pi'}$-subgroup (that is, any two Hall π'-subgroups of G are conjugate).*

In 1928, Hall [6] proved that: *A finite soluble group has a Hall π-subgroup and any two Hall π-subgroups are conjugate in G.*

In 1949, Čunihin developed further the Schur-Zassenhaus and Hall theorems and proved the following classical result.

Theorem (S. A. Čunihin [1]). *If G is π-separable, then G is an E_π-group and an $E_{\pi'}$-group. Moreover, if G is π-soluble, then G is a C_π-group and a $C_{\pi'}$-group.*

Note that a group G is said to be π-separable if G has a normal series

$$(*) \qquad 1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \ldots \leq G_{t-1} \leq G_t = G,$$

where each index $|G_i : G_{i-1}|$ is either a π-number or a π'-number. A group G is said to be π-soluble if each index $|G_i : G_{i-1}|$ of Series $(*)$ is either a π-prime power (that is, a power of some prime in π) or a π'-number.

The example of the group $PSL(2,7)$ shows that the condition of normality for the members of Series $(*)$ could not be omitted.

Received by the editors February 23, 2010 and, in revised form, May 12, 2010 and June 19, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D10, 20D20.

Key words and phrases. Sylow subgroup, Hall subgroup, π-separable group, permutable subgroups, C_π-group.

The research of the first author was supported by an NNSF grant of China.

It is well known that the above Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, Hall theorem and Čunihin theorem are truly fundamental results of group theory. In connection with these important results, the following two problems have naturally arisen:

Problem I. Whether the conclusion of the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem holds if the Hall subgroup A of G is not normal. In other words, can we weaken the condition of normality for the Hall subgroup A of G so that the conclusion of the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem is still true?

Problem II. Whether we can replace the condition of normality for the members of Series $(*)$ by some weaker condition, for example, by permutability of the members of Series $(*)$ with some systems of subgroups of G.

Some results pertaining to Problem I have been obtained in [4, 5]. In Section 3 of this paper, we give the following further generalization of the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem.

Theorem A. *Let A be a Hall π-subgroup of G. Let $G = AT$ for some subgroup T of G, and let q be a prime. If A permutes with every Sylow p-subgroup of T, for all primes $p \neq q$, and either A or T is soluble, then T contains a complement of A in G and any two complements of A in G are conjugate.*

Notice that the well known Feit-Thompson theorem about solvability of groups of odd order is not used in the proof of Theorem A. By using the Feit-Thompson theorem, we obtain the following stronger version of Theorem A.

Theorem A*. *Let A be a Hall π-subgroup of G. Let $G = AT$ for some subgroup T of G, and let q be a prime. If A permutes with every Sylow p-subgroup of T for all primes $p \neq q$, then T contains a complement of A in G and any two complements of A in G are conjugate.*

Recall that a subgroup H of G is said to be a supplement of a subgroup A in G if $AH = G$. Let

$$(**) \qquad 1 = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \ldots \leq H_{t-1} \leq H_t = G$$

be some subgroup series of G. We say that a subgroup series

$$1 = T_t \leq T_{t-1} \leq \ldots \leq T_1 \leq T_0 = G$$

is a *supplement of Series* $(**)$ *in* G if T_i is a supplement of H_i in G for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, t$.

Another purpose of this paper is to give a positive answer to Problem II. We will prove the following results.

Theorem B. *Suppose that G has a subgroup series*

$$1 = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \ldots \leq H_{t-1} \leq H_t = G$$

and a supplement

$$1 = T_t \leq T_{t-1} \leq \ldots \leq T_1 \leq T_0 = G$$

of this series in G such that H_i permutes with every Sylow subgroup of T_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. If each index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is either a π-number or a π'-number, then G is an E_π-group and an $E_{\pi'}$-group. Moreover, if each π-index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is a prime power, then G has a soluble Hall π-subgroup.

Corollary 1.1. *Suppose that G has a subgroup series*

$$1 = H_0 \le H_1 \le \ldots \le H_{t-1} \le H_t = G$$

and a supplement

$$1 = T_t \le T_{t-1} \le \ldots \le T_1 \le T_0 = G$$

of this series in G such that H_i permutes with all Sylow subgroups of T_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. If each index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, t-1)$ is a prime power, then G is an E_π-group, for any set π of primes.

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that G has a subgroup series*

$$1 = H_0 \le H_1 \le \ldots \le H_{t-1} \le H_t = G$$

and a supplement

$$1 = T_t \le T_{t-1} \le \ldots \le T_1 \le T_0 = G$$

of this series in G such that H_i permutes with all Sylow subgroups of T_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. If each index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is a prime power, then G is soluble.

Theorem C. *Suppose that G has a subgroup series*

$$1 = H_0 < H_1 \le \ldots \le H_{t-1} \le H_t = G$$

and a subgroup T such that $G = H_1T$ and H_i permutes with all subgroups of T for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. If each index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is either a π-number or a π'-number, then G is a C_π-group and a $C_{\pi'}$-group.

The following example shows that, under the conditions of Theorems A, B or C, the group G is not necessarily π-separable.

Example 1.1. Let $G = A_5 \times C_7$, where C_7 is a group of order 7 and A_5 is the alternating group of degree 5. Let C_5 be a Sylow 5-subgroup of A_5. Consider the subgroup series

$$(***) \qquad 1 = H_0 < H_1 < H_2 < H_3 = G,$$

where $H_1 = A_4$ and $H_2 = A_5$. Then the series $1 = T_3 < T_2 < T_1 < T_0 = G$, where $T_2 = C_7$ and $T_1 = C_5 \times C_7$, is a supplement of Series $(***)$ in G. It is clear also that H_i permutes with all subgroups of T_i, for all i. Let $\pi = \{5, 7\}$. Then every index of Series $(***)$ is either a π-number or a π'-number. However, G is not π-separable.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we cite some known results which are used in our proofs.

Lemma 2.1 (S. A. Čunihin [2, Theorem 1.4.2])**.** *Let N be a normal subgroup of G. If N and G/N are C_π-groups, then G is a C_π-group.*

Lemma 2.2 (O. Kegel [8, Theorem 3])**.** *Let A and B be subgroups of G such that $G \ne AB$ and $AB^x = B^xA$, for all $x \in G$. Then G has a proper normal subgroup N such that either $A \le N$ or $B \le N$.*

Let A be a subgroup of G. A subgroup T is said to be a minimal supplement of A in G if $AT = G$ but $AT_0 \ne G$ for all proper subgroups T_0 of G.

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 2.3. *If N is normal in G and T is a minimal supplement of N in G, then $N \cap T \le \Phi(T)$.*

Lemma 2.4 (P. Hall [7])**.** *Suppose that G has a Hall p'-subgroup for each prime p dividing $|G|$. Then G is soluble.*

Let A and B be subgroups of G and $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq G$. Following [4], we say that A is X-permutable (or A X-permutes) with B if $AB^x = B^x A$ for some $x \in X$.

The following lemma is also evident.

Lemma 2.5. *Let A, B, X be subgroups of G and $K \trianglelefteq G$. If A is X-permutable with B, then AK/K is XK/K-permutable with BK/K in G/K.*

Lemma 2.6 (O. Kegel [9, Theorem 3])**.** *If a subgroup A of G permutes with all Sylow subgroups of G, then A is subnormal in G.*

Lemma 2.7 (H. Wielandt [11])**.** *If a π-subgroup A of G is subnormal in G, then $A \leq O_\pi(G)$.*

3. Proofs of Theorems A and A*

Theorem A is a special case (when $X = 1$) of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a normal π-separable subgroup of G and A a Hall π-subgroup of G. Let $G = AT$ for some subgroup T of G, and let q be a prime. If A is X-permutable with every Sylow p-subgroup of T for all primes $p \neq q$ and either A is soluble or every π'-subgroup of T is soluble, then T contains a complement of A in G and any two complements of A in G are conjugate.*

Proof. Suppose that this theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then, clearly, T is not a subgroup of G with prime power order and $|\pi'| \geq 2$. We now proceed with the proof via the following steps.

(1) $X = 1$.

Suppose that $X \neq 1$ and let D be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in X. Then D is either a π-group or a π'-group. In the former case we have $D \leq A$. Otherwise, $D \leq T$. We first claim that the hypothesis is still true for G/D. Clearly, $G/D = (AD/D)(TD/D)$, where AD/D is a Hall π-subgroup of G/D and X/D is a normal π-separable subgroup of G/D. Moreover, if A is soluble, then $AD/D \simeq A/(A \cap D)$ is soluble. Suppose that every π'-subgroup of T is soluble. Let V/D be a π'-subgroup of TD/D. Then $V = V \cap TD = D(V \cap T)$. Since $V/D = D(V \cap T)/D \simeq (V \cap T)/(V \cap T \cap D)$, $(V \cap T)/(V \cap T \cap D)$ is a π'-group. If D is a π'-group, then $D \leq T$ and so V is a π'-subgroup of T. Hence V is soluble and thereby V/D is soluble. Now assume that D is a π-group. Then $V \cap T = [V \cap T \cap D]E$ for a Hall π'-subgroup E of $V \cap T$ by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem. Since E is soluble by hypothesis, V/D is soluble. Thus every π'-subgroup of TD/D is soluble. Now let Q/D be a Sylow p-subgroup of TD/D, where $p \neq q$. Then for some Sylow p-subgroup P of T, we have $Q/D = DP/D$. By hypothesis, A X-permutes with P. Hence, AD/D is XD/D-permutable with $Q/D = DP/D$ in G/D by Lemma 2.5. Therefore, our claim holds.

Since $|G/D| < |G|$, the minimal choice implies that TD/D contains a complement V/D of AD/D in G/D and every two complements of AD/D in G/D are conjugate. Obviously, $V/D = (V \cap TD)/D = D(V \cap T)/D \simeq (V \cap T)/(V \cap T \cap D)$. Since AD/D is a Hall π-subgroup of G/D, V/D is a Hall π'-subgroup of G/D. If D is a π'-group, then V is a Hall π'-subgroup of G. Hence V is a complement of A in G. If D is a π-group, then by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, $V \cap T = [V \cap T \cap D]E$, for a Hall π'-subgroup E of $V \cap T$. It follows that $V = D(V \cap T) = DE$ and so $G = AE$. Thus, E is a complement of A in G since E is a Hall π'-subgroup of G.

Now let T_1 and T_2 be Hall π'-subgroups of G, where $T_2 \leq T$. Then $T_1D/D = {T_2}^x D/D$, for some $x \in G$. If D is a π'-group, then $T_1 = {T_2}^x$, which contradicts the choice of G. Hence D is a π-group. By hypothesis, either D or ${T_2}^x$ is soluble. Therefore, by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, T_1 and ${T_2}^x$ are conjugate in T_1D. This implies that every Hall π'-subgroup of G is conjugate with T_2 and hence every two complements of A in G are conjugate, which contradicts the choice of G.

(2) $O_\pi(G) = 1$ and $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$ (see the proof of (1)).

(3) *A permutes with every Sylow p-subgroup P of G, for all $p \neq q$ such that a Sylow p-subgroup of T is a Sylow p-subgroup of G.*

Since a Sylow p-subgroup T_p of T is a Sylow p-subgroup of G, $P = {T_p}^x$, for some $x \in G$. Because $G = AT$, $x = ta$, where $a \in A$ and $t \in T$. Since A permutes with the Sylow subgroup ${T_p}^t$ of T, we obtain that A permutes with ${T_p}^x = P$.

(4) *G is not simple.*

Let P be any Sylow p-subgroup of G, where $q \neq p \in \pi'$. Then by (2), $AP^x = P^xA$, for all $x \in G$. Besides, $AP \neq G$ since $|\pi'| \geq 2$. Hence G is not simple by Lemma 2.2.

(5) *T has a Hall π'-subgroup.*

Suppose that it is false. Then $D = A \cap T \neq 1$. Obviously, $T = DT$. Since A is a Hall π-subgroup of G, D is a Hall π-subgroup of T. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of T, where $p \neq q$. Since $AP = PA$ by (1), $(A \cap T)P = AP \cap T = PA \cap T = P(A \cap T)$. Hence the hypothesis holds for (D, T). If $T \neq G$, then T is a $C_{\pi'}$-group by the choice of G. In particular, T has a Hall π'-subgroup E, which, evidently, is a Hall π'-subgroup G.

Now assume that $T = G$. First suppose that A is a q-group. Let D be a proper normal subgroup of G. We show that D is a C_π-group. Let $p \neq q$ be a prime dividing $|D|$, P a Sylow p-subgroup of D and G_p a Sylow p-subgroup of G containing P. Then by hypothesis, $AG_p = G_pA$. Hence, $AG_p \cap D$ is a Hall $\{q, p\}$-subgroup of D. Besides, $A \cap D$ is a Sylow q-group of D and $P = G_p \cap D$. Since $(A \cap D)P \leq AG_p \cap D$ and $|AG_p \cap D| = |A \cap D||P|$, we have that $(A \cap D)P = AG_p \cap D = P(A \cap D)$. Therefore the hypothesis holds for $D = (A \cap D)D$. This implies that D is a $C_{\pi'}$-group by the choice of G. Let $D_{\pi'}$ be a Hall π'-subgroup of D. By the Frattini argument, $G = DN$, where $N = N_G(D_{\pi'})$. It follows from $|G : N| = |D : N \cap D|$ that $|G : N| = q^a$. Let A_0 be a Sylow q-subgroup of N. Since $T = G$, by (1), A permutes with any Sylow p-subgroup P of G, where $p \neq q$. Hence A^x also permutes with all Sylow p-subgroups of G, where $p \neq q$. We may, therefore, assume that $A_0 \leq A$. Then $A \cap N = A_0$ and, clearly, the hypothesis holds on $N = A_0N$. In view of (2), $N \neq G$. Hence N is a $C_{\pi'}$-group by the choice of G. Let E be a Hall π'-subgroup of N. Then, evidently, E is also a Hall π'-subgroup of G since $|G : N| = q^a$.

Now let T_1 and T_2 be Hall π'-subgroups of G. Then $D_1 = T_1 \cap D$ and $D_2 = T_2 \cap D$ are Hall π'-subgroups of D. Hence D_1 and D_2 are conjugate in D. It follows that $N_G(D_1) = N_G(D_2)^x$ for some $x \in G$. Since $T_1 \leq N_G(D_1)$ and $T_2 \leq N_G(D_2)$, T_1 is a conjugate of some Hall π'-subgroup of $N_G(D_2)$. Hence T_1 and T_2 are conjugate in G. This contradiction shows that A is not a Sylow q-subgroup of G. Let P be any Sylow p-subgroup of G, where $p \neq q$ is a prime dividing $|A|$. Since $T = G$, by (2), $AP^x = P^xA = A$, for all $x \in G$. Hence $P^G \leq A$, which contradicts (2).

(6) *G/D is a $C_{\pi'}$-group, for every non-trivial normal subgroup D of G.*

In view of (5), we may, without loss of generality, assume that T is a Hall π'-subgroup of G. Hence, as in the proof of (1), we obtain that G/D satisfies the hypothesis. The minimal choice of G implies that G/D is a $C_{\pi'}$-group.

(7) *Every proper normal subgroup D of G is a $C_{\pi'}$-group.*

By (5), we may assume that $G = AT$, where A is a Hall π-subgroup and T is a Hall π'-subgroup. Then $D = (D\cap A)(D\cap T)$. Let $p \neq q$ be a prime dividing $|T\cap D|$, P a Sylow p-subgroup of $T \cap D$ and G_p a Sylow p-subgroup of G containing P. Then by hypothesis, $AG_p = G_pA$. Hence $AG_p \cap D$ is a Hall $\pi \cup \{p\}$-subgroup of D. Besides, $A\cap D$ is a Hall π-subgroup of D and $P = G_p\cap D$. Since $(A\cap D)P \leq AG_p\cap D$ and $|AG_p \cap D| = |A \cap D||P|$, $(A \cap D)P = AG_p \cap D = P(A \cap D)$. Therefore the hypothesis holds for $D = (A \cap D)(D \cap T)$. The minimal choice implies that D is a $C_{\pi'}$-group.

Final contradiction. By (4), G has a proper normal subgroup $D \neq 1$. By (6) and (7) both D and G/D are $C_{\pi'}$-groups. Hence G is a $C_{\pi'}$-group by Lemma 2.1. The final contradiction completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem A.* In view of the Feit-Thompson Theorem about solvability of groups of odd order, we know that either every π-group or every π'-group is soluble. Hence Theorem A* is a corollary of Theorem A.

4. Proof of Theorem B

Theorem B is a special case (when $X = 1$) of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a normal π-separable subgroup of G. Suppose that G has a subgroup series*

$$1 = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \ldots \leq H_{t-1} \leq H_t = G$$

and a supplement

$$1 = T_t \leq T_{t-1} \leq \ldots \leq T_1 \leq T_0 = G$$

of this series in G such that H_i X-permutes with every Sylow subgroup of T_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. If each index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is either a π-number or a π'-number, then G is an E_π-group and an $E_{\pi'}$-group. Moreover, if each π-index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is a prime power, then G has a soluble Hall π-subgroup.

Proof. Suppose that this theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $H_1 \neq 1$. We proceed with the proof by proving the following claims:

(1) *The assertions of the theorem hold for every non-trivial quotient G/N of G.*

We consider the series

$$(1) \qquad 1 = H_0N/N \leq H_1N/N \leq \ldots \leq H_{t-1}N/N \leq H_tN/N = G/N$$

and its supplement

$$1 = T_tN/N \leq T_{t-1}N/N \leq \ldots \leq T_1N/N \leq T_0N/N = G/N$$

in G/N. By Lemma 2.5, H_iN/N is XN/N-permutable with any Sylow subgroup of T_iN/N for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. On the other hand, since $|H_{i+1}N/N : H_iN/N| = |H_{i+1}N : H_iN| = |H_{i+1} : H_i| : |N \cap H_{i+1} : N \cap H_i|$, every index of the series (1) is either a π-number or a π'-number (a π-prime power or a π'-number). Moreover, obviously, $XN/N \simeq X/(X \cap N)$ is π-separable. This shows that the hypothesis holds on G/N. Hence in the case $N \neq 1$, the assertions of the theorem hold for G/N by the choice of G.

(2) $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1 = O_\pi(G)$.

Suppose that $D = O_{\pi'}(G) \neq 1$. Then by (1), G/D has a Hall π'-subgroup A/D and a Hall π-subgroup B/D. Then, obviously, A is a Hall π'-subgroup of G. By the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, D has a complement V in B, which, clearly, is a Hall π-subgroup of G. Hence G is an E_π-group and an $E_{\pi'}$-group. Besides, if every π-index of series (1) is a prime power, then B/D has a soluble Hall π-subgroup B/D. It follows that V is also soluble. This contradiction shows that $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$. Analogously, we may prove that $O_\pi(G) = 1$.

(3) $X = 1$.

Indeed, if N is a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in X, then N is either a π-group or a π'-group, which contradicts (2).

(4) $T_1 \neq G$.

Suppose that $T_1 = G$. Then by hypothesis and (3), H_1 permutes with all Sylow subgroups of G. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that H_1 is subnormal in G. Since H_1 is either a π-group or a π'-group, $H_1 \leq O_\pi(G)$ or $H_1 \leq O_{\pi'}(G)$ by Lemma 2.7. It follows from (2) that $H_1 = 1$, which contradicts $H_1 \neq 1$. Hence (4) holds.

(5) *The assertions of the theorem hold for* T_1.

We consider the series

$$(2) \qquad 1 = H_0 \cap T_1 \leq H_1 \cap T_1 \leq \ldots \leq H_{t-1} \leq H_t \cap T_1 = T_1.$$

Then the series

$$1 = T_t \leq T_{t-1} \leq \ldots \leq T_1$$

is a supplement of the series (2) in T_1 since $(H_i \cap T_1)T_i = H_iT_i \cap T_1 = G \cap T_1 = T_1$. Since $H_{i+1} = H_iT_1 \cap H_{i+1} = H_i(H_{i+1} \cap T_1)$, $|H_{i+1} : H_i| = |H_{i+1} \cap T_1 : H_i \cap T_1|$, for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$ and $|H_1 \cap T_1 : H_0 \cap T_1| = |H_1 \cap T_1| \leq |H_1 : 1|$, we see that every index of the series (2) is either a π-number or a π'-number. Moreover, if every π-index of the series (1) is a prime power, then every π-index of the series (2) is a prime power. Now let E be a Sylow subgroup of T_i. By (3) and the hypothesis, $H_iE = EH_i$. Hence $H_iE \cap T_1 = E(H_i \cap T_1) = (H_i \cap T_1)E$. This shows that the hypothesis holds for T_1. The minimal choice of G implies that (5) holds.

Final contradiction. Let $(T_1)_\pi$ and $(T_1)_{\pi'}$ be a Hall π-subgroup and a Hall π'-subgroup of T_1, respectively. By (3) and the hypothesis, H_1 permutes with all Sylow subgroups of $(T_1)_\pi$. Hence H_1 permutes with $(T_1)_\pi$. Similarly, H_1 permutes with $(T_1)_{\pi'}$. By hypothesis, H_1 is either a π-group or a π'-group. Assume that H_1 is a π-group. Since $G = H_1T_1$, we see that $G_\pi = H_1(T_1)_\pi$ is a Hall π-subgroup of G and $(T_1)_{\pi'}$ is a Hall π'-subgroup of G. If H_1 is a π'-group, then $G_{\pi'} = H_1T_{\pi'}$ is a Hall π'-subgroup of G and T_π is a Hall π-subgroup of G. Finally, we prove that if every π-index of the series (1) is a prime power, then G has a soluble Hall π-subgroup. In fact, by (5), we see that $(T_1)_\pi$ is soluble. If H_1 is a π'-group, then $(T_1)_\pi$ is a soluble Hall π-subgroup of G since $G = H_1T_1$. If H_1 is a p-group, then $H_1(T_1)_\pi$ is a Hall π-subgroup of G. Since $(T_1)_\pi$ is soluble and H_1 permutes with every Sylow subgroup of $(T_1)_\pi$, we see that $H_1(T_1)_\pi$ is soluble by Lemma 2.4. The contradiction completes the proof.

5. Proof of Theorem C

Theorem C is a special case (when $X = 1$) of the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a normal π-separable subgroup of G. Suppose that G has a subgroup series*

$$1 = H_0 < H_1 \leq \ldots \leq H_{t-1} \leq H_t = G,$$

where $H_1 \neq 1$, and a subgroup T such that $TH_1 = G$ and H_i X-permutes with all nilpotent subgroups of T for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. If the index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is either a π-number or a π'-number, then G is a C_π-group and a $C_{\pi'}$-group as well.

Proof. Suppose that this theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. By Theorem 3.2, G has a Hall π-subgroup S and a Hall π'-subgroup J. Hence we may assume that either some Hall π-subgroup S_1 of G is not conjugate with S or some Hall π'-subgroup J_1 of G is not conjugate with J. We may, without loss of generality, assume that $2 \notin \pi$. Then S is soluble by the Feit-Thompson Theorem of groups of odd order. We proceed with the proof via the following steps.

(1) *The assertion of the theorem holds for every non-trivial quotient G/N of G.*

Consider the series

$$1 = H_0N/N \leq H_1N/N \leq \ldots \leq H_{t-1}N/N \leq H_tN/N = G/N \tag{3}$$

of G/N. Then $(H_1N/N)(TN/N) = G/N$. Let V/N be any nilpotent subgroup of TN/N. Then $V = N(V \cap T)$ and so $V/N \simeq V \cap T/V \cap T \cap N$. Let V_0 be a minimal supplement of $V \cap T \cap N$ in $V \cap T$. Then by Lemma 2.3, V_0 is nilpotent. Hence H_i X-permutes with V_0 by hypothesis. Besides, $V/N = N(V \cap T) = NV_0(V \cap T \cap N)/N = NV_0/N$. Hence by Lemma 2.5, H_iN/N is XN/N-permutable with any nilpotent subgroup of TN/N, for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. This shows that the hypothesis holds for G/N. Hence, in the case $N \neq 1$, the assertion of the theorem holds for G/N by the choice of G.

(2) $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1 = O_\pi(G)$.

Suppose that $D = O_{\pi'}(G) \neq 1$. Then by (1), there are elements $x, y \in G$ such that ${S_1}^x D = SD$ and ${J_1}^y D = JD$. Since $SD/D \simeq S$ is soluble, by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, ${S_1}^x$ and S are conjugate in SD. On the other hand, since $D \subseteq J$, ${J_1}^y = J$. This contradiction shows that $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$. Analogously, we can prove that $O_\pi(G) = 1$.

(3) $X = 1$.

Indeed, if N is a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in X, then P is either a π-group or a π'-group, which contradicts (2).

(4) $T \neq G$ (see the proof of (4) in the proof of Theorem 3.2).

(5) *The assertions of the theorem hold for T* (see the proof of (5) in the proof of Theorem 3.2).

(6) *If D is a normal subgroup of G and $H_1 \leq D$, then $D = G$.*

Suppose that $D \neq G$. Let $D_i = H_i \cap D$, for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. Consider the series

$$1 = D_0 \leq D_1 \leq \ldots \leq D_{t-1} \leq D_t = D. \tag{4}$$

First note that $D = D \cap H_1T = H_1(D \cap T)$. Let E be a nilpotent subgroup of $D \cap T$. Then $H_iE = EH_i$ and so $H_iE \cap D = E(H_i \cap D) = ED_i = D_iE$. Thus D_i permutes with every nilpotent subgroup of $D \cap T$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$. On the other hand, $|D_i : D_{i-1}| = |(D \cap H_i)H_{i-1} : H_{i-1}|||H_i : H_{i-1}|$. Hence each index $|D_i : D_{i-1}|$ is either a π-number or a π'-number. Therefore D is a C_π-group and a $C_{\pi'}$-group by the choice of G. Since $1 \neq H_1 \leq D$, G/D is a C_π-group and a $C_{\pi'}$-group by (1) and the choice of G. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that G is a C_π-group and a $C_{\pi'}$-group, which contradicts the choice of G. Hence, (6) holds.

(7) *If H_1 is a π-group (π'-group) and D is a normal subgroup of G containing a Hall π-subgroup of T (containing a Hall π'-subgroup of T, respectively), then the hypothesis holds for D.*

Suppose, for example, that H_1 is a π-group. We claim that $D = (H_1 \cap D)(T \cap D)$. In fact, let E be a Hall π-subgroup of T contained in D and $T_{\pi'}$ a Hall π'-subgroup of T. Since H_1 is a π-group and $G = H_1T$, $T_{\pi'}$ is also a Hall π'-subgroup of G. Clearly H_1E is a Hall π-subgroup of G. Hence $D = (D \cap H_1E)(D \cap T_{\pi'}) = E(D \cap H_1)(D \cap T_{\pi'}) = (D \cap H_1)(E(D \cap T_{\pi'})) = (D \cap H_1)(T \cap D)$. Thus, our claim holds. Now, by similar inference as in (6), we see that the hypothesis holds for D.

(8) *If H_1 is a π-group (π'-group) and E is a Hall π-subgroup of T (a Hall π'-subgroup of T), then $E^G \neq G$.*

Assume, for example, that H_1 is a π-group. Since $G = H_1T$, we have that $x = ht$, where $h \in H_1$ and $t \in T$, for any $x \in G$. Because H_1 permutes with all Sylow subgroups of T, $H_1E^t = E^tH_1$. Hence $H_1E^x = H_1E^{th} = E^{th}H_1$. Now by Lemma 2.2, either ${H_1}^G \neq G$ or $E^G \neq G$. But in view of (7), the former case is impossible. Hence $E^G \neq G$.

Final contradiction. In view of (1), (7), (8) and Lemma 2.1, G is a C_π-group and a $C_{\pi'}$-group. The contradiction completes the proof.

Remark. We prove Theorem C on the base of the Feit-Thompson Theorem of groups of odd order. The following fact may be proved without using this deep result.

Theorem. *Suppose that G has a subgroup series*

$$1 = H_0 < H_1 \leq \ldots \leq H_{t-1} \leq H_t = G$$

and a subgroup T such that $G = H_1T$ and H_i permutes with all subgroups of T for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. If each index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is either a π-number or a π'-number, then G is an E_π-group and an $E_{\pi'}$-group. Moreover, if each π-index $|H_{i+1} : H_i|$ is a prime power, then G is a C_π-group and a $C_{\pi'}$-group.

Acknowledgment

The authors are very grateful for the helpful suggestions of the referee.

References

[1] S. A. Čunihin, On theorems of Sylow's type, Doklady Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 1949, **66**, 165-168. MR0029903 (10:678a)

[2] S. A. Čunihin, Subgroups of finite groups, Nauka i Tehnika, Minsk, 1964. MR0212082 (35:2957)

[3] Wenbin Guo, The Theory of Classes of Groups, Science Press, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing-New York-Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000. MR1862683 (2003a:20029)

[4] Wenbin Guo, K. P. Shum and Alexander N. Skiba, X-semipermutable subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra, 2007, **315**, 31-41. MR2344332 (2008g:20039)

[5] Wenbin Guo, K. P. Shum and Alexander N. Skiba, Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem for X-permutable subgroups, Algebra Colloquium, 2008, **15**(2), 185-192. MR2400176 (2009b:20025)

[6] P. Hall, A note on soluble groups, J. London Math. Soc., 1928, **3**, 98–105.

[7] P. Hall, A characteristic property of soluble groups, J. London Math. Soc., 1937, **12**, 188-200.

[8] O. H. Kegel, Produkte nilpotenter Gruppen, Arch. Math., 1961, **12**, 90-93. MR0133365 (24:A3199)

[9] O. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, Math. Z., 1962, **78**, 205–221. MR0147527 (26:5042)

[10] D. J. S. Robinson, A Course in the Theory of Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1982. MR648604 (84k:20001)

[11] H. Wielandt, Subnormal subgroups and permutation groups. Lectures given at the Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 1971.

Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: wbguo@ustc.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Gomel 246019, Belarus

E-mail address: alexander.skiba49@gmail.com