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Abstract. Evans and Spruck (1991) considered an approximate equation for the level-set equation of the mean curvature flow and proved the convergence of solutions. Deckelnick (2000) established a rate for the convergence. In this paper, we will provide a simple proof for the same result as that of Deckelnick. Moreover, we consider generalized mean curvature equations and introduce approximate equations for them and then establish a rate for the convergence.

1. Introduction

We are concerned with the initial value problem for the level-set equation of the mean curvature flow

$$\begin{cases}
  u_t - \text{tr} \left( b(Du)D^2u \right) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty), \\
  u(\cdot,0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{cases}$$

where \( u \) is the real-valued unknown function on \( \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty) \), \( u_t := \partial u / \partial t \), \( Du := (\partial u / \partial x_1, \ldots, \partial u / \partial x_n) \), \( b(p) := I - (p \otimes p) / |p|^2 \) for all \( p \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \), where \( I \) is the unit matrix. It is worth mentioning that it is difficult to investigate (1), since \( b(p) \) has a singularity at \( p = 0 \) and it is degenerate for \( p \neq 0 \). Indeed, \( b(p)p = 0 \) for any \( p \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \).

Evans and Spruck [7] and Chen, Giga and Goto [2, 3] established existence and uniqueness results in the context of the theory of viscosity solutions (see [4] for instance). In [3] the solution was constructed by Perron’s method, while in [7], [2] the solution is constructed by approximating (1) by uniformly parabolic equations. In particular, the authors in [7] considered an approximate equation

$$\begin{cases}
  u_t - \text{tr} \left( b_\varepsilon(Du)D^2u \right) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty), \\
  u(\cdot,0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{cases}$$

for \( \varepsilon > 0 \), where \( b_\varepsilon(p) = I - (p \otimes p) / (|p|^2 + \varepsilon^2) \) and then showed that solutions \( u_\varepsilon \) of (2) converge locally uniformly on \( \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty) \) to the (unique) viscosity solution \( u \) of (1) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). We note that (2) has neither singularities nor degeneracies for...
any $\varepsilon > 0$ and therefore it is easier to deal with (2) from the point of view of the theory of PDE.

From the point of view of numerical analysis, (2) is important too. Indeed, Crandall and Lions in [5] gave an explicit finite difference scheme which is both monotone and consistent by introducing a scheme for (2) and by using the convergence $u_\varepsilon \to u$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ rather than discretizing (1) directly. Deckelnick in [6] derived an $L^\infty$-error estimate between the numerical solution and the viscosity solution of (1) by using the convergence rate of $u_\varepsilon \to u$. Deckelnick has already obtained essentially the same convergence rate result as that in Theorem 1, but we emphasize that the proof in [6] seems to be more technical and we give a simple proof in this paper. Moreover, we give the constant appearing in the convergence rate result more explicitly.

We make the following assumption on $u_0$ throughout this paper:

$$
u_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad |u_0(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

and

$$\text{there exists } R_0 > 0 \text{ such that } u_0(x) = -1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R_0),$$

where $B(0, R_0) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| < R_0 \}$. Then it is known that the solution of (1) is Lipschitz continuous with a Lipschitz constant $\|Du_0\|_{\infty}$ with respect to the $x$-variable and $u(x, t) = -1$ for all $(x, t) \in (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R_0 + \sqrt{2})) \times [0, \infty)$, where $\| \cdot \|_{\infty} = \| \cdot \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ (see [7, 8]).

In Section 2 we prove the convergence rate result for (1), and in Section 3 we consider its generalization.

2. CONVERGENCE RATE FOR APPROXIMATE MEAN CURVATURE EQUATION

We prove in this section

**Theorem 1.** Let $u$ and $u_\varepsilon$ be the viscosity solutions of (1) and (2), respectively. Then there exists $C > 0$ which depends only on $N$ such that

$$\| (u - u_\varepsilon)(\cdot, t) \|_{\infty} \leq C\|Du_0\|_{\infty}^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \sqrt{kt}\varepsilon^{\frac{k-2}{2(k-1)}}$$

for $t > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 4$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

**Proof.** Fix $T > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 4$. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $K > 0$, which will be fixed later. We consider

$$\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in [0,T]} \{u(x, t) - u_\varepsilon(y, t) - \frac{|x-y|^{k}}{\delta k} - Kt\},$$

and then the supremum is attained at some $(\pi, \vartheta, \bar{t}) \in \overline{B}(0, R_0 + \sqrt{2})^2 \times [0, T]$.

We consider the case where $\bar{t} \in (0, T]$. In view of Ishii’s lemma (see [1] for instance), for any $\rho > 0$ there exist $(a, p, X) \in \overline{J}^{2,+} u(\pi, \bar{t})$ and $(b, p, Y) \in \overline{J}^{2,+} u_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \bar{t})$ such that

$$\begin{align*}
a - b &= K, \\
p &= \frac{k|\pi - \vartheta|^k - 2}{\delta k} (\pi - \vartheta), \\
\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & -Y \end{pmatrix} &\leq A + \rho A^2,
\end{align*}$$
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where \( J^2_+ f(x,t) \) (resp., \( J^2_- f(x,t) \)) is the closure of the superjet (resp., subjet) of a function \( f \) at \((x,t)\) and

\[
A := \frac{k}{\delta} \| x - y \|^{k-2} \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} + \frac{k(k-2)}{\delta^k} \| x - y \|^{k-4} \begin{pmatrix} (x - y) \otimes (x - y) & -(x - y) \otimes (x - y) \\ -(x - y) \otimes (x - y) & (x - y) \otimes (x - y) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The definition of viscosity solutions immediately implies the following inequality:

\[
(5) \quad K - \text{tr} (b(p)X)^* + \text{tr} (b_\varepsilon(p)Y) \leq 0,
\]

where \(*\) denotes the upper-semicontinuous envelope.

In the case where \( p = 0 \), we have \( x = y \). Therefore we have \( A = 0 \), \( X \leq 0 \) and \( Y \geq 0 \), which implies that \( \text{tr} (b(p)X)^* - \text{tr} (b_\varepsilon(p)Y) \leq 0 \), which contradicts that \( K > 0 \). We consider the case where \( p \neq 0 \). Note that \( b^{1/2}(p) = b(p) \) and

\[
b_\varepsilon^{1/2}(p) = I - \frac{p \otimes p}{\langle p \rangle_\varepsilon (\langle p \rangle_\varepsilon + \varepsilon)}
\]

for all \( p \in \mathbb{R}^N \), where \( \langle p \rangle_\varepsilon := \sqrt{|p|^2 + \varepsilon^2} \). Setting \( a := \text{tr} (b(p)X) - \text{tr} (b_\varepsilon(p)Y) \), we calculate that

\[
a = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle X b^{1/2}(p)e_i, b^{1/2}(p)e_i \rangle - \langle Y b_\varepsilon^{1/2}(p)e_i, b_\varepsilon^{1/2}(p)e_i \rangle \]

\[
\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \langle A \begin{pmatrix} b^{1/2}(p)e_i \\ b_\varepsilon^{1/2}(p)e_i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b^{1/2}(p)e_i \\ b_\varepsilon^{1/2}(p)e_i \end{pmatrix} \rangle + \rho |A|,
\]

where \( |\cdot| \) is a matrix norm and

\[
\sum_{i=1}^N \langle A \begin{pmatrix} b^{1/2}(p)e_i \\ b_\varepsilon^{1/2}(p)e_i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b^{1/2}(p)e_i \\ b_\varepsilon^{1/2}(p)e_i \end{pmatrix} \rangle \leq \frac{Nk(k-1)}{\delta^k} \| x - y \|^{k-2} |b^{1/2}(p) - b_\varepsilon^{1/2}(p)|^2
\]

\[
\leq \frac{Nk(k-1)}{\delta^k} \left( \frac{\delta k}{p} \right)^{\frac{k-2}{k}} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|p|^2 + \varepsilon^2}
\]

\[
\leq C_1 (k-1) \delta^{\frac{k}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{k-2}{k}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|p|^2 + \varepsilon^2} \right) - C_1 (k-1) \delta^{\frac{k}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{k-2}{k}},
\]

where \( C_1 \) is a constant which depends only on \( N \). Set

\[
f_\varepsilon(r) := \frac{\varepsilon^2 r^{\frac{k-2}{k}}}{r^2 + \varepsilon^2}.
\]

Then we have \( f_\varepsilon(r) \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{k-2}{k}} \) for all \( r \geq 0 \). Therefore sending \( \rho \to 0 \) in (6) yields

\[
a \leq C_1 (k-1) \delta^{\frac{k}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{k-2}{k}}.
\]

Putting \( K = C_1 k \delta^{\frac{k}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{k-2}{k}} \), we necessarily have \( \bar{I} = 0 \). Since we have

\[
(8) \quad \| x - y \| \leq \| Du_0 \|_{\infty} \delta^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{k}{k-1}}},
\]
in view of the Lipschitz continuity of $u$, we have

$$(u - u_\varepsilon)(x, t) \leq u(x, 0) - u_\varepsilon(y, 0) - \frac{|x - y|^k}{\delta^k} + Kt$$

$$\leq \|Du_0\|_{\infty} \delta^\frac{k}{\alpha \nu} + C_1 k\delta^{-\frac{k}{\alpha \nu}} \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{\alpha \nu} - 1}$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty)$. By optimizing with respect to $\delta$, we get the conclusion. \hfill \Box

3. Generalization

We consider in this section the level-set equation of the evolution of compact hypersurfaces $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ moving according to the law $V = \kappa^\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1/(2n-1)$ with any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $V = \kappa^\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, where $V$ is the normal velocity of $\Gamma_t$ and $\kappa$ is the mean curvature; i.e.,

$$u_t = \text{tr} \left(b(Du)D^2u\right)^\alpha |Du|^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$$

or

$$u_t = \text{tr} \left(b(Du)D^2u\right)^\alpha |Du|^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty).$$

Here $a(x)_+ := \max\{a(x), 0\}$ for any function $a$. Equations (9), (10) are one of the fundamental equations appearing in the image processing (see [1, 8] for instance). Note that in the case where $\alpha = 1$, (11) corresponds to the mean curvature equation and in the case where $\alpha = 1/3$, (12) or (13) is called the affine curvature equation.

We note that these equations are included by the general geometric equations which are dealt with in [3, 9, 8], and therefore we know that there exists a unique viscosity solution of (9) or (10).

We introduce approximate equations for (9) and (10):

$$u_t = \text{tr} \left(b_\epsilon^\alpha(Du)D^2u\right)^\alpha |Du|^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$$

and

$$u_t = \text{tr} \left(b_\epsilon^\alpha(Du)D^2u\right)^\alpha |Du|^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty),$$

where

$$b_\epsilon^\alpha(p) = P \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{|p|^{2/\alpha+2}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{2}{|p|^{2/\alpha+2}}
\end{pmatrix} P^T$$

and $P$ is an orthogonal matrix which satisfies

$$b(p) = P \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix} P^T.$$
Finally we give a sketch of the proof of

**Theorem 2.** Let $\alpha > 0$ and $u, u_\varepsilon$ be the viscosity solutions of the initial value problems of (9) and (11) or (10) and (12) with the initial value $u_0$, respectively. Then there exists $C > 0$ which depends only on $N, \alpha$ such that

$$
\|(u - u_\varepsilon)(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \leq C\|Du_0\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{k-1}{\alpha}} k^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}} k^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \varepsilon^{(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha})} t^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}
$$

for $t > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 4$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

**Sketch of Proof.** We only consider equations (9) and (11). Let $\delta, K, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, p$ and $A$ be the same as those in the proof of Theorem 1. Noting that $r^\alpha - s^\alpha \leq 2(r - s)^\alpha$ for any $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
K \leq C_1(k - 1)^\alpha \delta^{-\frac{\alpha k}{1-\alpha}} \varepsilon^{2\alpha r} p^{\frac{\alpha (k-2)}{1-\alpha}} (|p|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^\alpha |p|^{1-\alpha} + \rho |A^2|
$$

for some constant $C_1$ which depends only on $\alpha, N$. Setting

$$
f_\varepsilon^\alpha(r) := \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha r} r^{1-\alpha} + \frac{\alpha (k-2)}{1-\alpha}}{(r^2 + \varepsilon^2)^\alpha},
$$

we have $f_\varepsilon^\alpha(r) \leq C_2 \varepsilon^{\alpha(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})}$ for all $r \geq 0$ and some $C_2 > 0$ which depends only on $\alpha$. Sending $\rho \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$
K \leq C_3(k - 1)^\alpha \delta^{-\frac{\alpha k}{\alpha}} \varepsilon^{\alpha(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})},
$$

where $C_3$ is a constant which depends only on $N, \alpha$.

Putting $K = C_3 k^\alpha \delta^{-\frac{\alpha k}{\alpha}} \varepsilon^{\alpha(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})}$, we necessarily have $t = 0$. Therefore by (8) we have

$$
(u - u_\varepsilon)(x, t) \leq u(\bar{x}, 0) - u_\varepsilon(\bar{y}, 0) - \frac{|\bar{x} - \bar{y}|^k}{\delta^k} + K t
$$

$$
\leq \|Du_0\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{k-1}{\alpha}} \delta^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}} + C_3 k^\alpha \delta^{-\frac{\alpha k}{\alpha}} \varepsilon^{\alpha(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})} t
$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty)$. By optimizing with respect to $\delta$, we get the conclusion.

\[ \square \]
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