

JORDAN-CHEVALLEY DECOMPOSITION IN FINITE DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRAS

LEANDRO CAGLIERO AND FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

(Communicated by Gail R. Letzter)

ABSTRACT. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. An element x of \mathfrak{g} is said to have an *abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition* if there exist unique $s, n \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $x = s + n$, $[s, n] = 0$ and given any finite dimensional representation $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is $\pi(x) = \pi(s) + \pi(n)$.

In this paper we prove that $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ has an abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition if and only if $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, in which case its semisimple and nilpotent parts are also in $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and are explicitly determined. We derive two immediate consequences: (1) every element of \mathfrak{g} has an abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition if and only if \mathfrak{g} is perfect; (2) if \mathfrak{g} is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, k)$, then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ contains the semisimple and nilpotent parts of all its elements. The last result was first proved by Bourbaki using different methods.

Our proof uses only elementary linear algebra and basic results on the representation theory of Lie algebras, such as the Invariance Lemma and Lie's Theorem, in addition to the fundamental theorems of Ado and Levi.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. All Lie algebras and representations are assumed to be finite dimensional. An element x of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to have an *abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition* if there exist unique $s, n \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $x = s + n$, $[s, n] = 0$, and this is compatible with every representation of \mathfrak{g} , in the sense that given any finite dimensional representation $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is $\pi(x) = \pi(s) + \pi(n)$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} itself is said to have an abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition if every one of its elements does. As is well-known all semisimple Lie algebras possess this property. A proof of this fact can be found in any standard book on Lie algebras (see for instance [B1], [FH] or [Hu]). As a consequence, if $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a representation of a semisimple Lie algebra and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the semisimple and nilpotent parts of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ actually belong to $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$.

Received by the editors July 5, 2010 and, in revised form, September 29, 2010 and October 7, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B05, 17B10; Secondary 15A21.

Key words and phrases. Perfect and semisimple Lie algebras, Jordan-Chevalley decomposition, representations.

The first author was supported in part by CONICET and SECYT-UNC grants.

The second author was supported in part by an NSERC discovery grant.

Both authors thank A. Pianzola for pointing out the work of Bourbaki on decomposable Lie algebras and J. Vargas for useful discussions on the paper.

More generally, if $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, then, according to Bourbaki (Ch. VII, §5, in [B2]), \mathfrak{g} is said to be *decomposable* if for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ the semisimple and nilpotent parts of x in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ belong to \mathfrak{g} . In particular, every semisimple Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is decomposable. It is also a classical result that the Lie algebra of any algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is decomposable; see for instance Ch. I, §4, in [Bo]. Moreover, Corollary 2 of Ch. VII, §5.5, in [B2] states that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is decomposable for any Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{g} of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. In particular, perfect Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ are decomposable. It must be pointed out, however, that given two decomposable Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and a homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$, it is not necessarily true that f transforms semisimple (resp. nilpotent) elements of \mathfrak{g}_1 to semisimple (resp. nilpotent) elements of \mathfrak{g}_2 (a counterexample already appears with $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i = 1$, $i = 1, 2$). This applies in particular to representations of decomposable Lie subalgebras.

This leads naturally to the question of what is the class of Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} that have an abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition. The answer to this question is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has an abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition if and only if \mathfrak{g} is perfect.*

Although this result is suggested by the statement of Corollary 2 of Ch. VII, §5.5, in [B2], we could not find an explicit reference to it in the literature. It is also not clear that this theorem is a direct consequence of the results established in Ch. VII, §5, in [B2].

Theorem 1 as well as Bourbaki's Corollary 2 of Ch. VII, §5.5, in [B2] follow at once from Theorem 2 below. Moreover, Theorem 2 determines what specific elements of an arbitrary Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admit an abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition, namely those in $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Furthermore, the semisimple and nilpotent parts of any $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ are shown to lie in $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ as well, and we explicitly indicate how to obtain them.

Theorem 2. *An element x of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has an abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition if and only if x belongs to the derived algebra $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, in which case the semisimple and nilpotent parts of x also belong to $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.*

The proof of Theorem 2 uses only elementary linear algebra and basic results on representation theory of Lie algebras, such as the Invariance Lemma and Lie's Theorem in addition to the fundamental theorems of Ado and Levi.

If k is a perfect field of positive characteristic, any restricted Lie algebra admits such an abstract decomposition, known as the Jordan-Chevalley-Seligman decomposition (see [Se]). However, the above theorems are false in general, and in fact they are already false for simple Lie algebras as the following example shows. Let k be the (perfect) field with 2 elements and let \mathfrak{g} be the 3 dimensional Lie algebra with basis i, j, k satisfying $[i, j] = k$, $[j, k] = i$ and $[k, i] = j$. Clearly \mathfrak{g} is perfect and, being 3 dimensional, it is simple. However, the semisimple and nilpotent parts of $ad_{\mathfrak{g}}(i)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ are easily seen not to be in $ad(\mathfrak{g})$.

2. BASIC PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect in four lemmas some basic facts that will be used in the next section. Here k denotes an arbitrary field.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be an upper triangular $n \times n$ matrix over k . Then A is diagonalizable if and only if $A_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i < j$ and $A_{ii} = A_{jj}$.*

Proof. A is diagonalizable if and only if the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of α are the same for every diagonal entry α of A . This means that the leading 1's of the reduced row echelon form of $A - \alpha I$ all occur in positions (m, m) where $A_{mm} \neq \alpha$, which is equivalent to $A_{ij} = 0$ for all $i < j$ such that $A_{ii} = A_{jj}$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a diagonalizable upper triangular $n \times n$ matrix over k . Then there exists $P \in \text{GL}_n(k)$ such that P is upper triangular and $P^{-1}AP$ is diagonal.*

Proof. Replace A by $P^{-1}AP$, where $P = I + \alpha E^{12}$, $\alpha = 0$ if $A_{12} = 0$, $\alpha = A_{12}/(A_{22} - A_{11})$ if $A_{12} \neq 0$ (a valid choice by Lemma 2.1). Repeat until $A_{12} = \dots = A_{1n} = 0$ and then reason by induction. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $S : V \rightarrow V$ be a diagonalizable endomorphism and let \mathcal{B} be a basis of V such that $A = [S]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is upper triangular. Let V_0 be the 0-eigenspace of S and let V_* be the sum of all other eigenspaces. Let $P : V \rightarrow V$ be the projection with image V_* and kernel V_0 . Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be an endomorphism such that $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is strictly upper triangular. Then $P(S + T)|_{V_*} : V_* \rightarrow V_*$ is invertible.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we may assume that A is already diagonal. Strike out from \mathcal{B} all vectors from V_0 . This produces a basis \mathcal{C} of V_* . The matrix $[PS|_{V_*}]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is diagonal with non-zero entries and $[PT|_{V_*}]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is strictly upper triangular, so $P(S + T)|_{V_*}$ is invertible. \square

The next result is a well-known consequence of the Invariance Lemma (see Lemma 9.13 of [FH]) and Lie's Theorem (see [FH] or [Hu]) and is included for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose k is algebraically closed and of characteristic 0. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over k with solvable radical \mathfrak{r} . Then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]$ acts trivially on every irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module.*

Proof. Since $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]$ is solvable, Lie's theorem implies the existence of a linear functional $\lambda : [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}] \rightarrow k$ such that $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid r \cdot v = \lambda(r)v \text{ for all } r \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]\}$ is non-zero. But $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , so V_λ is \mathfrak{g} -invariant by the Invariance Lemma, whence $V = V_\lambda$ by the irreducibility of V . Let $r \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]$. Then the trace of r acting on V is 0, while the trace of r acting on V_λ is $\lambda(r)\dim V_\lambda$. Hence $\lambda(r) = 0$ (cf. with the proof of Lemma C.19 of [FH]). \square

3. DETERMINATION OF THE SEMISIMPLE AND NILPOTENT PARTS

The difficult part of Theorem 2 requires the three subsidiary results below, which explicitly describe how the semisimple and nilpotent parts of a given $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ can be obtained. Here k stands for an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

We consider a Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ and let $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]$. Note that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{s} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}$. Moreover, we fix $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, so $x = a + r$ for unique $a \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $r \in \mathfrak{n}$, and let $a = s + n$ be the abstract Jordan decomposition of a in \mathfrak{s} . Furthermore, we let \mathfrak{n}_0 be the 0-eigenspace of $ad_{\mathfrak{g}}s$ acting on \mathfrak{n} and denote by \mathfrak{n}_* the sum of the remaining eigenspaces.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a representation. Then $\pi(n + b)$ is nilpotent for all $b \in \mathfrak{n}$.*

Proof. Arguing by induction we are reduced to the case when V is irreducible. In this case $\pi(b) = 0$, by Lemma 2.4, and $\pi(n)$ is nilpotent. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a representation. Then $\pi(s + b)$ is diagonalizable for all $b \in \mathfrak{n}_*$.*

Proof. Consider the solvable subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} = ks \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. By Lie's Theorem and Lemma 2.2 there is a basis \mathcal{C} of V such that $S = [\pi(s)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is diagonal and $[\pi(t)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is upper triangular for every $t \in \mathfrak{t}$. Suppose first $b \in \mathfrak{n}(\alpha)$, the α -eigenspace of $ad_{\mathfrak{g}}s$ acting on \mathfrak{n} , $\alpha \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.1 $\pi(b)$ is nilpotent, so $B = [\pi(b)]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is strictly upper triangular. We wish to show that $S + B$ is diagonalizable. By Lemma 2.1 it suffices to verify that $B_{ij} = 0$ whenever $S_{ii} = S_{jj}$. Since $[s, b] = \alpha b$, we have $(S_{ii} - S_{jj})B_{ij} = \alpha B_{ij}$, so indeed $B_{ij} = 0$ whenever $S_{ii} = S_{jj}$. In general $b = b_1 + \cdots + b_m$, where $b_i \in \mathfrak{n}(\alpha_i)$ and $\alpha_i \neq 0$, so this case follows from the first. \square

Proposition 3.3. *There exists $b \in \mathfrak{n}_*$ such that $[a + r, b] = [s, r]$.*

Proof. Consider the solvable subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} = ks \oplus kn \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Argue as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 to find a basis \mathcal{B} of \mathfrak{t} such that $[ad_{\mathfrak{t}}(s)]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is diagonal and $[ad_{\mathfrak{t}}(n)]_{\mathcal{B}}, [ad_{\mathfrak{t}}(r)]_{\mathcal{B}}$ are strictly upper triangular. Apply Lemma 2.3 with $V = \mathfrak{t}$, $S = ad_{\mathfrak{t}}(s)$ and $T = ad_{\mathfrak{t}}(n) + ad_{\mathfrak{t}}(r)$. Clearly $V_* = \mathfrak{n}_*$ and $V_0 = ks \oplus kn \oplus \mathfrak{n}_0$. Since $[s, r] \in \mathfrak{n}_*$, Lemma 2.3 ensures the existence of $b \in \mathfrak{n}_*$ such that $[a + r, b] = [s, r] + c$, where $c = [a + r, b] - [s, r] \in V_0 \cap \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_0$. We next show that $c = 0$. Indeed, by Ado's theorem \mathfrak{g} has faithful representation $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, k)$. We have $[\pi(s) + \pi(b), -\pi(n) - \pi(r) + \pi(b)] = \pi(c)$ (*). By Lie's Theorem there is a basis \mathcal{C} of $\pi(\mathfrak{t})$ such that $[ad_{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}(\pi(t))]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is upper triangular for every $t \in \mathfrak{t}$. Apply Lemma 2.3 with $V = \pi(\mathfrak{t})$, $S = ad_{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}(\pi(s) + \pi(b))$ and $T = ad_{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}(-\pi(b))$. Here S is diagonalizable by Proposition 3.2. By Lemma 2.3 $\ker(S + T) \cap V_* = \{0\}$. However, $\pi(c) \in \ker(S + T)$ since $c \in \mathfrak{n}_0$, and $\pi(c) \in V_*$, applying the fact that S is diagonalizable to (*). Hence $\pi(c) = 0$, so $c = 0$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Throughout the proof, k is a field of characteristic 0.

Necessity. This is clear since any linear map from \mathfrak{g} to $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ such that $\dim \pi(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ and $\pi([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0$ is a representation.

Sufficiency. Suppose first that k is algebraically closed. Let $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Fix a Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ and let $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]$. Then $x = a + r$ for unique $a \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $r \in \mathfrak{n}$. Let $a = s + n$ be the abstract Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of a in \mathfrak{s} and set $\mathfrak{n}_* = [s, \mathfrak{n}]$. By Proposition 3.3 there exists $b \in \mathfrak{n}_*$ such that $[a + r, b] = [s, r]$. This translates into $[s + b, n + r - b] = 0$. Clearly $x = (s + b) + (n + r - b)$. Moreover, if $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a representation, then Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 ensure that $\pi(s + b)$ is diagonalizable and $\pi(n + r - b)$ is nilpotent, as required.

Suppose next that k is arbitrary. Let $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Let k_0 be an algebraic closure of k . Let \mathfrak{g}_0 be the extension of \mathfrak{g} to k_0 . By the above x has an abstract Jordan decomposition $x = s + n$ in $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, k)$ be a representation of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\pi_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, k_0)$ be its extension to \mathfrak{g}_0 . Let $\pi(x) = S + N$ be the Jordan decomposition of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, k)$. The minimal polynomial, say p , of S is a product of distinct monic irreducible polynomials over k . Since k has characteristic 0, we see that p has distinct roots in k_0 , whence S is diagonalizable over k_0 . It

follows that $\pi(x) = S + N$ is the Jordan decomposition of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, k_0)$. On the other hand, by the above $S = \pi_0(s) \in \pi_0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $N = \pi_0(n) \in \pi_0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. Hence $S, N \in \pi_0(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cap \mathfrak{gl}(n, k)$. We claim that this intersection is $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, let \mathcal{B} be a basis of k_0 over k containing 1. Every element T of $\pi_0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is a sum of matrices of the form $\alpha\pi(y)$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. By the linear independence of \mathcal{B} , if T is also in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, k)$, then all summands are 0 but $1 \cdot \pi(y)$, as required. This proves that $\pi_0(s), \pi_0(n) \in \pi(\mathfrak{g})$. This holds in particular when π is faithful. Using \mathcal{B} once more we see that π_0 is faithful. It follows that $s, n \in \mathfrak{g} \cap [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and, a fortiori, the Jordan decomposition of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, k)$, for π arbitrary, is $\pi(x) = \pi(s) + \pi(n)$.

The uniqueness of s and n now follows from the uniqueness of the Jordan decomposition of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, k)$ when π is faithful. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bo] Borel, A., *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 126. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR1102012 (92d:20001)
- [B1] Bourbaki, N., *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, chapters 1–3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR1728312 (2001g:17006)
- [B2] Bourbaki, N., *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, chapters 7–9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. MR2109105 (2005h:17001)
- [FH] Fulton, W. and Harris, J., *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR1153249 (93a:20069)
- [Hu] Humphreys, J. E., *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978. MR499562 (81b:17007)
- [Se] Seligman, Q. B., *Modular Lie Algebras*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1967. MR0245627 (39:6933)

CIEM-CONICET, FAMAFA-UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139-4307

E-mail address: `cagliero@famaf.unc.edu.ar`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF REGINA, REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA S4S 0A2

E-mail address: `fernando.szechtman@gmail.com`