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Abstract. A new result on existence of nonzero positive solutions of systems
of second order elliptic boundary value problems is obtained under some sub-
linear conditions involving the principal eigenvalues of the corresponding linear
systems. Results on eigenvalue problems of such elliptic systems are derived
and generalize some previous results on the eigenvalue problems of systems of
Laplacian elliptic equations. Applications of our results are given to two such
systems with specific nonlinearities.

1. Introduction

We consider existence of nonzero positive solutions of systems of second order
elliptic equations
\begin{equation}
\mathbb{L} z_i(x) = f_i(x, z(x)) \quad \text{on } \Omega, \ i \in I_n := \{1, \cdots, n\}
\end{equation}
subject to boundary conditions involving first order boundary operators, where \(\mathbb{L}\) is a strongly uniformly elliptic differential operator and \(\Omega\) is a suitable bounded open set in \(\mathbb{R}^m\). We seek solutions of (1.1) in \(C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}\).

When \(n = 1\) and \(f_1\) satisfies suitable monotonicity conditions, (1.1) was studied, for example by Amann in [1, 2].

A special case of (1.1) with the Dirichlet boundary condition is the system of semilinear elliptic equations of the form
\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
-\Delta z_i(x) = \lambda f_i(z(x)) & \text{on } \overline{\Omega}, \ i \in I_n, \\
z_i(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

An open question proposed by Lions in [8] is whether (1.2) with \(\lambda = 1\) has a nonzero positive solution under sublinear or superlinear conditions which involve the principal eigenvalues of the corresponding linear systems (see [8] question (c) in section 4.2)).

There have been some results on the above question under the sublinear cases. Hai and Wang [7] prove that (1.2) has a nonzero positive solution in \(C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)\) for each \(\lambda \in (0, \infty)\) under the following sublinear condition:
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\[ B\] is said to be strongly uniformly elliptic if
\[ \mu_1 \] is the largest characteristic value of the linear system corresponding to (1.1) and \(|z| = \max\{|zi| : i \in I_n\}|. Hence, our result improves the results in [7]. As illustrations, we apply our result to (1.1) with some specific nonlinearities.

2. NONZERO POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF SYSTEMS OF SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

We study existence of nonzero positive solutions of systems of second order elliptic boundary value problems of the form
\[
Lz_i(x) = f_i(x, z(x)) \quad \text{on} \quad \overline{\Omega}, \quad i \in I_n
\]
subject to the following boundary condition:
\[
Bz_i(x) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega,
\]
where \(z(x) = (z_1(x), \ldots, z_n(x))\), \(L\) is a strongly uniformly elliptic differential operator, \(B\) is a first order boundary operator and \(f_i : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \to \mathbb{R}_+\) is continuous.

If \(m = 1\), let \(\Omega = (x_0, x_1)\), where \(x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(x_0 < x_1\). If \(m \geq 2\), we assume that \(\Omega\) is a bounded open set in \(\mathbb{R}^m\) and the boundary \(\partial \Omega\) of \(\Omega\) is assumed to be an \((m-1)\)-dimensional \(C^{2+\mu}\)-manifold for some \(\mu \in (0, 1)\) such that \(\Omega\) lies locally on one side of \(\partial \Omega\) (see [1] section 4 of Chapter 1).

Let \(\mu = 0\) if \(m = 1\) and \(\mu = \mu_1\) if \(m \geq 2\). Recall that a second order elliptic differential operator \(L\) defined by
\[
L u = - \sum_{k,j=1}^{m} a_{kj}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_k(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} + c(x)u
\]
is said to be strongly uniformly elliptic if \(a_{kj}, b_k, c \in C^{\mu}(\overline{\Omega})\) for \(k, j \in I_m\), \(c(x) \geq 0\) for \(x \in \overline{\Omega}\), \(a_{kj}(x) = a_{kj}(x)\) for \(x \in \overline{\Omega}\) and \(k, j \in I_m\), and there exists \(\mu_0 > 0\) such that
\[
\sum_{k,j=1}^{m} a_{kj}(x) \xi_k \xi_j \geq \mu_0 |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \overline{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m.
\]
If \(m = 1\), the first order boundary operator \(B\) is
\[
B u(x) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_0 u(x_0) - \beta_0 u'(x_0) & \text{if} \ x = x_0, \\
\alpha_1 u(x_1) - \beta_1 u'(x_1) & \text{if} \ x = x_1,
\end{cases}
\]
where \(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1 \in [0, \infty)\) satisfy \((\alpha_0 + \beta_0)(\alpha_1 + \beta_1) > 0\). If \(m \geq 2\), then
\[
(2.5) \quad Bu = bu + \delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial v},
\]
where \(v\) is an outward pointing, nowhere tangent vector field on \(\partial \Omega\) of \(C^{1+r}\), \(\partial u/\partial v\) denotes the directional derivative of \(u\) with respect to \(v\), and \(\delta\) and \(b\) satisfy one of the following conditions: (i) \(\delta = 0\) and \(b \equiv 1\) (Dirichlet boundary operator); (ii) \(\delta = 1\), \(b \equiv 0\) and \(c \neq 0\) on \(\bar{\Omega}\) (Neumann boundary operator); or (iii) \(\delta = 1\), \(b \in C^{1+r}(\partial \Omega)\), \(b(x) \geq 0\) and \(b(x) \neq 0\) on \(\partial \Omega\) (regular oblique derivative boundary operator).

**Lemma 2.1 (\(\square\)).** For every \(v \in C^m(\bar{\Omega})\), the linear boundary value problem
\[
(2.6) \quad \begin{cases}
L u(x) = v(x) & \text{on } \bar{\Omega}, \\
Bu(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
has a unique solution \(u \in C^{2+\mu}(\bar{\Omega})\).

For every \(v \in C^m(\bar{\Omega})\), we denote by \(T^*\) \(v\) the unique solution of (2.6). It is known that \(T^*: C^m(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{2+\mu}(\bar{\Omega})\) is a bounded and surjective linear operator and has a unique extension, denoted by \(T\), to \(C(\bar{\Omega})\). We write
\[
(2.7) \quad e = T^* v_0, \quad \text{where } v_0(x) \equiv 1.
\]
It is known that \(e\) is an interior point of the positive cone \(P_1\) in \(C(\bar{\Omega})\), where
\[
P_1 = \{z \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : z(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}.
\]
The following result gives the properties of \(T\) which are contained in [1, Theorem 4.2] and [2, Lemma 5.3].

**Lemma 2.2.** \(T: C(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C(\bar{\Omega})\) is a compact linear operator such that \(T(P_1) \subset P_1\) and for each \(v \in P_1 \setminus \{0\}\), there exists \(\alpha_v > 0\) such that \(Tv \geq \alpha_v e\).

By Lemma 2.2 and the well-known Krein-Rutman theorem (see [1, Theorem 3.1] or [2]), it is easy to see that \(\mu_1 \in (0, \infty)\) and there exists \(\varphi_1 \in P_1 \setminus \{0\}\) such that
\[
(2.8) \quad \varphi_1 = \mu_1 T \varphi_1,
\]
where \(\mu_1 = 1/r(T)\) and \(r(T)\) is the spectral radius of \(T\).

We use the following maximum norm in \(\mathbb{R}^n\):
\[
(2.9) \quad |z| = \max\{|z_i| : i \in I_n\},
\]
where \(z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)\). We denote by \(C(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)\) the Banach space of continuous functions from \(\bar{\Omega}\) into \(\mathbb{R}^n\) with norm \(|z| = \max\{|z_i| : i \in I_n\}\), where
\[
z(x) = (z_1(x), \cdots, z_n(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega}.
\]
We use the standard positive cone in \(C(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)\) defined by
\[
(2.10) \quad P = C(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n_+).
\]

We define \(L: C(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)\) by
\[
(2.11) \quad (Lz)(x) = ((Tz_1)(x), \cdots, (Tz_n)(x))
\]
and a Nemytskii operator \(F: P \rightarrow P\) by
\[
(2.12) \quad (Fz)(x) = (f_1(x, z(x)), \cdots, f_n(x, z(x))).
\]
It is easy to verify that (2.1) is equivalent to the following fixed point equation:

\( z(x) = (LFz)(x) := Az(x) \) for \( x \in \Omega \).

Recall that a solution \( z \in C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n) \) of (2.1) is said to be a nonzero positive solution if \( z \in P \setminus \{0\} \); that is, \( z \in C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( z(x) = (z_1(x), \ldots, z_n(x)) \) satisfies \( z_i(x) \geq 0 \) for \( x \in \Omega \) and \( i \in I_n \) and there exists \( k \in I_n \) such that \( z_k(x) \neq 0 \) on \( \Omega \).

Let \( \rho > 0 \) and let \( P_\rho = \{ x \in P : \| x \| < \rho \} \), \( \overline{P}_\rho = \{ x \in P : \| x \| \leq \rho \} \) and \( \partial P_\rho = \{ x \in P : \| x \| = \rho \} \).

We need some results from the theory of the fixed point index for compact maps defined on cones in a Banach space \( X \) (see [1]).

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume that \( A : \overline{P}_\rho \rightarrow P \) is a compact map. Then the following results hold:

1. If there exists \( x_0 \in P \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( z \neq Az + \nu x_0 \) for \( z \in \partial P_\rho \) and \( \nu \geq 0 \), then \( i_P(A, P_\rho) = 0 \).
2. If \( z \neq \varrho Az \) for \( x \in \partial P_\rho \) and \( \varrho \in (0, 1] \), then \( i_P(A, P_\rho) = 1 \).
3. If \( i_P(A, P_\rho) = 1 \) and \( i_P(A, P_{\rho_0}) = 0 \) for some \( \rho_0 \in (0, \rho) \), then \( A \) has a fixed point in \( P_\rho \setminus \overline{P}_{\rho_0} \).

Now, we are in a position to give our main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( \mu_1 \) be the same as in (2.8). Assume that the following conditions hold:

- \( ((f_{i_0})_{0})_{\rho_0} \) There exist \( i_0 \in I_n \), \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( \rho_0 > 0 \) such that
  \( f_{i_0}(x, z) \geq (\mu_1 + \varepsilon)z_{i_0} \) for \( x \in \Omega \) and all \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n_{+} \) with \( |z| \in [0, \rho_0] \).
  \( (f_{i_0})_{\rho_1} \) There exist \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( \rho_1 > 0 \) such that for each \( i \in I_n \),
  \( f_i(x, z) \leq (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)|z| \) for \( x \in \Omega \) and all \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n_{+} \) with \( |z| \geq \rho_1 \).

Then (2.1) has a nonzero positive solution in \( P \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.2 \( L : C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n) \) is compact and satisfies \( L(P) \subset P \). This, together with the continuity of \( f_i \), implies that \( A : P \rightarrow P \) is compact. Without loss of generalization, we assume that \( z \neq Az \) for \( z \in \partial P_{\rho_0} \). Let \( \varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_1) \), where \( \varphi_1 \) is the same as in (2.8). We prove that

\[ z \neq Az + \nu \varphi \] for all \( z \in \partial P_{\rho_0} \) and \( \nu \geq 0 \).

In fact, if not, there exist \( z \in \partial P_{\rho_0} \) and \( \nu > 0 \) such that \( z = Az + \nu \varphi \). Then

\[ z_{i_0}(x) = T(f_{i_0}(x, z(x))) + \nu \varphi_1(x) \] for all \( x \in \Omega \).

It follows that \( z_{i_0}(x) \geq \nu \varphi_1(x) \) for \( x \in \Omega \). Let

\( \tau = \sup\{ \tau_0 > 0 : z_{i_0}(x) \geq \tau_0 \varphi_1(x) \} \) for all \( x \in \Omega \).

Then \( 0 < \nu \leq \tau < \infty \) and \( z_{i_0}(x) \geq \tau \varphi_1(x) \) for all \( x \in \Omega \). This, together with (2.14), \( (f_{i_0})_{0})_{\rho_0} \) and (2.8), implies that for all \( x \in \Omega \),

\[ z_{i_0}(x) \geq T((\mu_1 + \varepsilon)z_{i_0}(x)) \geq (\mu_1 + \varepsilon)\tau T\varphi_1(x) = (\mu_1 + \varepsilon)\tau (\varphi_1(x)/\mu_1). \]

Hence, we have \( \tau \geq (\mu_1 + \varepsilon)\tau/\mu_1 > \tau \), a contradiction. It follows from (2.13) and Lemma 2.3 (1) that \( i_P(A, P_{\rho_0}) = 0 \).

For each \( i \in I_n \), by the continuity of \( f_i \), there exists \( b_i > 0 \) such that

\[ f_i(x, z) \leq b_i \] for \( x \in \Omega \) and \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n_{+} \) with \( |z| \leq \rho_1 \).
This, together with \((f_\infty)_{\mu_1}\), implies that, for each \(i \in I_n\),
\[
(f_\infty)(z, x) \leq b_i + (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)|z| \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ and all } z \in \mathbb{R}^n_+.
\]
Since \(r((\mu_1 - \varepsilon)T) = (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)r(T) < 1, (I - (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)T)^{-1}\) exists and is bounded and satisfies \((I - (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)T)^{-1}P_1 \subset P_1\), let \(b_i(x) \equiv b_i\) for \(x \in \overline{\Omega}\), \(\rho_1 = \max\{\|Tb_i\| : i \in I_n\}\) and \(\rho^* = \|(I - (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)T)^{-1}\rho_1\|\), where \(\rho_1(x) \equiv \rho_1\) for \(x \in \overline{\Omega}\). Let \(\rho > \rho^*\). We prove
\[
(z, x) \neq gAz \quad \text{for } z \in \partial P_\rho \text{ and } g \in (0, 1].
\]
Indeed, if not, there exist \(z \in \partial P_\rho\) and \(g \in (0, 1]\) such that \(z = gAz\). By \((2.14)\), we have for each \(i \in I_n\),
\[
z_i(x) \leq \rho_1 + (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)(T|z|(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\Omega},
\]
where \(|z|(x) = \max\{|z_i(x)| : i \in I_n\}\). Taking the maximum in the above inequality implies that
\[
|z|(x) \leq \rho_1 + (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)(T|z|(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\Omega}
\]
and \((I - (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)T)|z|(x) \leq \rho_1\) for \(x \in \overline{\Omega}\). Since \((I - (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)T)^{-1}P_1 \subset P_1\),
\[
|z|(x) \leq (I - (\mu_1 - \varepsilon)T)^{-1}\rho_1(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\Omega}.
\]
Hence, we have
\[
\rho = \|z\| = \max\{|z|(x) : x \in \overline{\Omega}\} \leq \rho^* < \rho,
\]
a contradiction. By \((2.17)\) and Lemma \(2.3\) (2), \(i \rho(A, P_\rho) = 1\). By Lemma \(2.3\) (3), \(2.1\) has a solution in \(P_\rho \setminus \overline{P_\rho}\).

**Notation.** Let
\[
\bar{f}_i(z) = \inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} f_i(x, z), \quad \bar{f}_i(z) = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} f_i(x, z);
\]
\[
(f_i)_0 = \liminf_{|z| \to 0^+} f_i(z)/|z|, \quad (f_i)_{\infty} = \limsup_{|z| \to \infty} |f_i(z)|/|z|.
\]
As a special case of Theorem \(2.1\) we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.1.** Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) There exists \(i_0 \in I_n\) such that \((f_{i_0})_0 > \mu_1\).

(ii) \((f_i)_{\infty} < \mu_1\) for all \(i \in I_n\).

Then \(2.1\) has a nonzero positive solution in \(P\).

Corollary \(2.1\) with \(n = 1\) improves Theorem 1.3 in [5], where \(f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is locally Lipschitz continuous satisfying \(f(0) = 0\), and Corollary II.1 in [3], where \(f\) satisfies the Carathéodory conditions, but the positive solutions are in \(W^{2,p}(\Omega)\) for every \(1 < p < \infty\). When \(m = 1, \mathbb{L}z = -z''\), Corollary \(2.2\) with \(n = 1\) and \(2.1\) improve Theorem 4.1 \((H_2)\) in [11], where \([x_0, x_1] = [0, 1]\).

As an application of Corollary \(2.1\) we consider the following eigenvalue problem:
\[
(2.18) \quad \mathbb{L}z_i(x) = \lambda f_i(x, z(x)) \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega}, i \in I_n,
\]
subject to \(2.2\).

**Corollary 2.2.** Assume there exists \(i_0 \in I_n\) such that
\[
0 \leq (f_{i_0})_0 < \lambda_0 \leq (f_{i_0})_{\infty},
\]
where \(\lambda_{\infty} = \max\{(f_i)_0 : i \in I_n\}\). Then for each \(\lambda \in \left[\frac{\mu_1}{(f_{i_0})_0}, \frac{\mu_1}{(f_{i_0})_{\infty}}\right]\), \(2.18\) \(2.2\) has a nonzero positive solution in \(P\).
Proof. Since for each \( \lambda \in \left( \frac{\mu_1}{\|f_0\|}, \frac{1}{2\|f_0\|} \right) \), \( \lambda f_0 < \mu_1 \) and \( \lambda(f_i) \leq \mu_1 \) for all \( i \in I_n \), the result follows from Corollary 2.1.

Corollary 2.2 generalizes Theorem 1.2 with \( p = 2 \) in [7], where \( f_0 = 0 \), \( (f_0)_0 = \infty \), the norm \( \|z\| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |z_i| \) is used and (2.2) is considered.

As an illustration, we consider the existence of positive solutions of the following system:

\[
(2.19) \quad Lz_i(x) = (a_i z_i^{\alpha_i}(x) + b_i z_i^{\beta_i}(x)) h_i(\hat{z}_i(x)) \quad \text{on} \ \Omega, \ i \in I_n,
\]

where \( \hat{z}_i = (z_1, \cdots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \cdots, z_n) \).

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) For each \( i \in I_n \), \( \alpha_i, \beta_i < 1 \) and \( a_i, b_i \geq 0 \).

(ii) For each \( i \in I_n \), \( h_i : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is continuous and satisfies

\[
\omega := \sup \{ h_i(\hat{z}_i) : \hat{z}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \ \text{and} \ i \in I_n \} < \infty.
\]

(iii) There exists \( i_0 \in I_n \) such that \( a_{i_0} + b_{i_0} > 0 \) and

\[
\xi := \min \{ h_{i_0}(\hat{z}_{i_0}) : \hat{z}_{i_0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \} > 0.
\]

Then equations (2.19) and (2.2) have a nonzero positive solution in \( P \).

Proof. For each \( i \in I_n \), we define a function \( f_i : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) by

\[
f_i(x, z) = (a_i z_i^{\alpha_i} + b_i z_i^{\beta_i}) h_i(\hat{z}_i).
\]

Let \( \epsilon > 0, \sigma = \max \{ \alpha_{i_0}, \beta_{i_0} \} \) and \( 0 < \rho_0 < \min \{ 1, \left( \frac{(a_{i_0} + b_{i_0})}{\mu_1 + \epsilon} \right) \} \). Then for \( x \in \overline{\Omega}, \ z \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \) with \( |z| \in [0, \rho_0] \) and \( z_{i_0} \neq 0 \),

\[
f_{i_0}(x, z) \geq \left( \frac{a_{i_0}}{1-\sigma} + \frac{b_{i_0}}{\hat{z}_{i_0}} \right) \xi z_{i_0} \geq \left( \frac{a_{i_0}}{\rho_0 - \sigma} + \frac{b_{i_0}}{\rho_0 - \sigma} \right) \xi z_{i_0} \geq (\mu_1 + \epsilon) z_{i_0}.
\]

Hence, \( (f_{i_0})_{\rho_0} \) holds. Since \( \overline{f}_i(z) \leq |a_i| |z|^{\alpha_i} + |b_i| |z|^{\beta_i} \omega \) for each \( i \in I_n \), it follows that \( (f_i)_{\infty} = \limsup_{|z| \to \infty} \overline{f}_i(z)/|z| = 0 \). The result follows from Theorem 2.1.

As an application of Corollary 2.1, we consider the existence of positive solutions of the following system:

\[
(2.20) \quad Lz_i(x) = a_i(x) |z|^{\alpha_i}(x) + b_i(x) |z| \quad \text{on} \ \overline{\Omega}.
\]

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) For each \( i \in I_n \), \( 0 < \alpha_i < 1 \) and \( a_i, b_i : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) are continuous.

(ii) There exists \( i_0 \in I_n \) such that \( \min \{ a_{i_0}(x) : x \in \overline{\Omega} \} > 0 \).

(iii) \( \|b_i\| < \mu_1 \) for each \( i \in I_n \).

Then equations (2.20) and (2.2) have a nonzero positive solution in \( P \).

Proof. For each \( i \in I_n \), we define a function \( f_i : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) by

\[
f_i(x, z) = a_i(x) |z|^{\alpha_i} + b_i(x) |z|.
\]

Let \( \sigma_1 = \min \{ a_{i_0}(x) : x \in \overline{\Omega} \} \) and \( \sigma_2 = \min \{ b_{i_0}(x) : x \in \overline{\Omega} \} \). Then

\[
f_{i_0}(z) \geq \sigma_1 |z|^{\alpha_i} + \sigma_2 |z| \quad \text{and} \quad (f_{i_0})_0 = \liminf_{|z| \to 0} \overline{f}_i(z)/|z| = \infty.
\]

Since \( \overline{f}_i(z) \leq \|a_i\| |z|^{\alpha_i} + \|b_i\| |z| \), \( (f_i)_{\infty} = \limsup_{|z| \to \infty} \overline{f}_i(z)/|z| \leq \|b_i\| < \mu_1 \). The result follows from Corollary 2.1.

\[\square\]
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