

ψ_α -ESTIMATES FOR MARGINALS
OF LOG-CONCAVE PROBABILITY MEASURES

A. GIANNOPOULOS, G. PAOURIS, AND P. VALETTAS

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. We show that a random marginal $\pi_F(\mu)$ of an isotropic log-concave probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n exhibits better ψ_α -behavior. For a natural variant ψ'_α of the standard ψ_α -norm we show the following:

- (i) If $k \leq \sqrt{n}$, then for a random $F \in G_{n,k}$ we have that $\pi_F(\mu)$ is a ψ'_2 -measure. We complement this result by showing that a random $\pi_F(\mu)$ is, at the same time, super-Gaussian.
- (ii) If $k = n^\delta$, $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < 1$, then for a random $F \in G_{n,k}$ we have that $\pi_F(\mu)$ is a $\psi'_{\alpha(\delta)}$ -measure, where $\alpha(\delta) = \frac{2\delta}{3\delta-1}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to provide estimates on the ψ_α -behavior of random marginals of log-concave probability measures. We show that random k -dimensional projections of a high-dimensional measure of the log-concave class have better tail properties than the original measure. We give precise quantitative estimates for every $1 \leq k < n$. A typical k -dimensional marginal is ψ_2 as long as $k \leq \sqrt{n}$; after this critical value we still have non-trivial information (α is always greater than a simple function of $\frac{\log n}{\log k}$) in full generality. This observation may be viewed as a continuation of the ideas and the tools that were developed in [17]. It is also parallel to the philosophy behind Klartag's proof of the central limit theorem for convex bodies in [7] and [8] (see also [5] and [4]). A main ingredient in these works is the fact that appropriate marginals of log-concave measures in power-type dimensions ($k \simeq n^\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$) are approximately spherically-symmetric. As Klartag proves in [9] this phenomenon appears for a much wider class of probability measures and constitutes the measure analogue of Dvoretzky's theorem on approximately Euclidean sections of high-dimensional convex bodies. Actually, Dvoretzky's theorem plays a crucial role in all these works, as well as in the present paper.

Recall that a probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n is called log-concave if for any Borel sets A, B in \mathbb{R}^n and any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$(1.1) \quad \mu(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \mu(A)^\lambda \mu(B)^{1-\lambda}.$$

Received by the editors July 27, 2010 and, in revised form, December 24, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B07; Secondary 52A20.

Key words and phrases. Log-concave probability measures, random marginals, isotropic constant.

The second author was partially supported by an NSF grant.

©2011 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

It is known (see [2]) that if μ is log-concave and if $\mu(H) < 1$ for every hyperplane H , then μ has a density $f = f_\mu$, with respect to the Lebesgue measure, which is log-concave: $\log f$ is concave on its support $\{f > 0\}$.

We say that μ is isotropic if it is centered, i.e.

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, \theta \rangle f(x) dx = 0,$$

and satisfies the isotropic condition

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, \theta \rangle^2 f(x) dx = 1$$

for all $\theta \in S^{n-1}$. Then, the isotropic constant of μ is defined by $L_\mu := f(0)^{1/n}$.

Let $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. We say that a direction $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ is a ψ_α -direction for μ with constant $r > 0$ if

$$(1.4) \quad \|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq r \|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_2,$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad \|u\|_{\psi_\alpha} = \inf \left\{ t > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp((|u(x)|/t)^\alpha) f(x) dx \leq 2 \right\}.$$

We say that μ is a ψ_α -measure with constant $r > 0$ if (1.4) holds true for every $\theta \in S^{n-1}$. It is well known that there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that every log-concave probability measure μ is ψ_1 with constant C .

We study the ψ_α -behavior of marginals of μ . For every integer $1 \leq k < n$ and any $F \in G_{n,k}$, we consider the measure $\pi_F(\mu)$ with density

$$(1.6) \quad \pi_F(f)(x) = \int_{x+F^\perp} f(y) dy.$$

By the Prékopa–Leindler inequality (see [20]), $\pi_F(\mu)$ is a log-concave probability measure on F . As a simple consequence of Fubini’s theorem, one can check that if μ is isotropic, then $\pi_F(\mu)$ is also isotropic.

For the study of marginals, we need a variant of the ψ_α -norm. We start with the well-known fact that $\|u\|_{\psi_\alpha} \simeq \sup \left\{ \frac{\|u\|_q}{q^{1/\alpha}} : q \geq \alpha \right\}$ and recall that if μ is the Lebesgue measure μ_K on an isotropic convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n and if u is a linear functional, then

$$(1.7) \quad \|u\|_{\psi_\alpha} \simeq \sup_{q \geq \alpha} \frac{\|u\|_q}{q^{1/\alpha}} \simeq \sup_{\alpha \leq q \leq n} \frac{\|u\|_q}{q^{1/\alpha}}.$$

We define

$$(1.8) \quad \|u\|_{\psi'_\alpha} = \sup_{\alpha \leq q \leq n} \frac{\|u\|_q}{q^{1/\alpha}}.$$

It is clear that $\|u\|_{\psi'_\alpha} \leq c\|u\|_{\psi_\alpha}$. In view of (1.7) this is a natural definition of a “ ψ_α -norm” when one studies the behavior of linear functionals with respect to a log-concave measure on \mathbb{R}^n ; see, for example, the applications in Section 4.

Our first result provides estimates on the ψ'_α -behavior of random marginals of μ .

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n .*

- (i) If $k \leq \sqrt{n}$, then there exists $A_k \subseteq G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(A_k) > 1 - \exp(-c\sqrt{n})$ such that, for every $F \in A_k$, $\pi_F(\mu)$ is a ψ'_2 -measure with constant C , where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.
- (ii) If $k = n^\delta$, $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < 1$, then there exists $A_k \subseteq G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(A_k) > 1 - \exp(-ck)$ such that, for every $F \in A_k$, $\pi_F(\mu)$ is a $\psi'_{\alpha(\delta)}$ -measure with constant C , where $\alpha(\delta) = \frac{2\delta}{3\delta-1}$ and $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.

We next consider the question whether, in the case $1 \leq k \leq \sqrt{n}$, random marginals $\pi_F(\mu)$ of an isotropic log-concave probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n are super-Gaussian (in the terminology of [19]). If ν is an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^k , a direction $\theta \in S^{k-1}$ is called super-Gaussian for ν with constant $r > 0$ if, for all $1 \leq t \leq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{r}$,

$$(1.9) \quad \nu(\{x : |\langle x, \theta \rangle| \geq t\}) \geq e^{-r^2 t^2}.$$

The minimum of the set of $r > 0$ for which (1.9) holds true is called the super-Gaussian constant of ν in the direction of θ and is denoted by $\overline{sg}_\nu(\theta)$. It was proved in [19] that if K is an isotropic convex body in \mathbb{R}^k , then a random direction is super-Gaussian for ν_K with a constant $O(L_K)$ (the same question had been considered by Pivovarov [21] for the class of 1-unconditional bodies). We prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . If $k \leq \sqrt{n}$, then there exists $B_k \subseteq G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(B_k) > 1 - \exp(-c\sqrt{n})$ such that, for every $F \in B_k$, $\pi_F(\mu)$ is a super-Gaussian measure with constant c , where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant: this means that*

$$(1.10) \quad \inf_{\theta \in S_F} \overline{sg}_{\pi_F(\mu)}(\theta) \geq c.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce background material on L_q -centroid bodies; these play a central role in our approach. The proofs of the two main results are presented in Section 3. Generalizations, applications and further remarks are collected in Section 4.

Notation and Preliminaries. We work in \mathbb{R}^n , which is equipped with a Euclidean structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_2$ the corresponding Euclidean norm, and write B_2^n for the Euclidean unit ball, and S^{n-1} for the unit sphere. Volume is denoted by $|\cdot|$. We write σ for the rotationally invariant probability measure on S^{n-1} . The Grassmann manifold $G_{n,k}$ of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n is equipped with the Haar probability measure $\nu_{n,k}$. We also write \tilde{A} for the homothetic image of volume 1 of a compact set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. $\tilde{A} := \frac{A}{|A|^{1/n}}$.

The letters c, c', c_1, c_2 , etc., denote absolute positive constants which may change from line to line. Whenever we write $a \simeq b$, we mean that there exist absolute constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 a \leq b \leq c_2 a$. We refer to [14], [6] and [18] for information on isotropic convex bodies and to the books [15] and [20] for the asymptotic theory of finite dimensional normed spaces.

A convex body in \mathbb{R}^n is a compact convex subset C of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior. We say that C is symmetric if $x \in C$ implies that $-x \in C$. We say that C is centered if $\int_C \langle x, \theta \rangle dx = 0$ for every $\theta \in S^{n-1}$. The support function $h_C : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of C is defined by $h_C(x) = \max\{\langle x, y \rangle : y \in C\}$. For each $-\infty < p < \infty$, $p \neq 0$, we

define the p -mean width of C by

$$(1.11) \quad w_p(C) = \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} h_C^p(\theta) \sigma(d\theta) \right)^{1/p}.$$

Note that $w(C) := w_1(C)$ is the mean width of C . The radius of C is the quantity $R(C) = \max\{\|x\|_2 : x \in C\}$ and, if the origin is an interior point of C , the polar body of C is $C^\circ := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in C\}$. If K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , then the Brunn-Minkowski inequality implies that the measure μ_K with density $\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{K}}$ is log-concave. The usual definition of an isotropic convex body is the following: a convex body K of volume 1 in \mathbb{R}^n is called isotropic if it has center of mass at the origin and $Z_2(K) = L_K B_2^n$ for some constant $L_K > 0$ (the definition of the L_q -centroid bodies $Z_q(K)$ is given in the next section). One can check that K is isotropic if and only if the log-concave measure $L_K^n \mu_{L_K^{-1}K}$ is isotropic.

2. BASIC FORMULAS

2.1. Let μ be a log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n with a log-concave density f . For every $q \geq 1$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define

$$(2.1) \quad h_{Z_q(\mu)}(y) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x, y \rangle|^q f(x) dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

The integral is finite for every $q \geq 1$, by the log-concavity of μ . We define the L_q -centroid body $Z_q(\mu)$ of μ to be the centrally symmetric convex set with support function $h_{Z_q(\mu)}$.

L_q -centroid bodies were introduced in [11]. The normalization and notation were different (see also [12], where an L_q -affine isoperimetric inequality was proved). We follow the normalization and notation of [17]. If K is a convex body of volume 1, we also write $Z_q(K)$ instead of $Z_q(\mu_K)$.

It is a simple consequence of Hölder’s inequality that $Z_p(\mu) \subseteq Z_q(\mu)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. On the other hand, Borell’s lemma (see [15]) implies that

$$(2.2) \quad Z_q(\mu) \subseteq \bar{c}_0 \frac{q}{p} Z_p(\mu)$$

for all $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, where $\bar{c}_0 \geq 1$ is an absolute constant. For additional information on L_q -centroid bodies, we refer to [17] and [18].

2.2. Let μ be a log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n with a log-concave density f , and let $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $F \in G_{n,k}$. Fubini’s theorem shows that, for every $q \geq 1$ and $\theta \in S_F$,

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x, \theta \rangle|^q d\mu(x) = \int_F |\langle x, \theta \rangle|^q d\pi_F(\mu)(x).$$

Since $h_{F(Z_q(\mu))}(\theta) = h_{Z_q(\mu)}(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in S_F$, it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad P_F(Z_q(\mu)) = Z_q(\pi_F(\mu)).$$

2.3. Let μ be a log-concave centered probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . For every $q > -n$, $q \neq 0$, we define the quantities $I_q(\mu)$ by

$$(2.5) \quad I_q(\mu) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|_2^q d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q}.$$

The following fact is proved in [18]: For every $1 \leq q \leq n/2$,

$$(2.6) \quad I_{-q}(\mu) \simeq \sqrt{n/q} w_{-q}(Z_q(\mu))$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad I_q(\mu) \simeq \sqrt{n/q} w_q(Z_q(\mu)).$$

2.4. Let C be a symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Define $k_*(C)$ as the largest positive integer $k \leq n$ for which a random k -dimensional projection of C is 4-Euclidean: this can be made precise if we ask, for example, that the measure of the set of $F \in G_{n,k}$ which satisfy

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{2}W(C)(B_2^n \cap F) \subseteq P_F(C) \subseteq 2W(C)(B_2^n \cap F)$$

is greater than $\frac{n}{n+k}$. The parameter $k_*(C)$ is determined by the parameters $w(C)$ and $R(C)$: There exist absolute constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad c_1 n \frac{w(C)^2}{R(C)^2} \leq k_*(C) \leq c_2 n \frac{w(C)^2}{R(C)^2}$$

for every symmetric convex body C in \mathbb{R}^n . The lower bound appears in Milman’s proof of Dvoretzky’s theorem (see [13]) and the upper bound was proved in [16]. The following lemma is proved in [10]:

Lemma 2.1. *Let C be a symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then,*

- (i) $w_q(C) \simeq w(C)$ for all $q \leq k_*(C)$.
- (ii) $w_q(C) \simeq \sqrt{q/n} R(C)$ for all $k_*(C) \leq q \leq n$.
- (iii) $w_q(C) \simeq R(C)$ for all $q \geq n$.

2.5. We define

$$(2.10) \quad q_*(\mu) := \max\{k \leq n : k_*(Z_k(\mu)) \geq k\}.$$

Then, the main result of [18] states that for every centered log-concave probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n , one has

$$(2.11) \quad I_{-q}(\mu) \simeq I_q(\mu)$$

for every $1 \leq q \leq q_*(\mu)$. In particular, for all $q \leq q_*(\mu)$ one has $I_q(\mu) \leq CI_2(\mu)$, where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Assuming that μ is isotropic, one can check that $q_*(\mu) \geq c\sqrt{n}$, where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant (for a proof, see [17]). Thus, using (2.7), one has

$$(2.12) \quad I_q(\mu) \leq CI_2(\mu) \text{ for every } q \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

3. ψ_α -ESTIMATES FOR MARGINALS

Let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . We first prove Theorem 1.1(i) and Theorem 1.2.

3.1. The case $k \leq \sqrt{n}$. From (2.2) we see that $Z_q(\mu) \subseteq cqZ_2(\mu)$ for all $q \geq 2$. Since μ is isotropic, we have $Z_2(\mu) = B_2^n$, and hence, $R(Z_q(\mu)) \leq cq$ for all $q \geq 1$.

Let $d(q) = n \frac{w^2(Z_q(\mu))}{R^2(Z_q(\mu))}$ and $D(\mu) = \{q \geq 2 : q \leq d(q)\}$. Let q_0 be the maximum of the set of $q \geq 2$ for which $[2, q] \subseteq D(\mu)$. Then, by the continuity of $d(q)$, we have $q_0 = d(q_0)$. In particular, from Lemma 2.1 and (2.7) we have

$$(3.1) \quad w(Z_{q_0}(\mu)) \simeq w_{q_0}(Z_{q_0}(\mu)) \simeq \sqrt{q_0/n} I_{q_0}(\mu) \geq c_1 \sqrt{q_0}.$$

It follows that

$$(3.2) \quad q_0 = n \frac{w^2(Z_{q_0}(\mu))}{R^2(Z_{q_0}(\mu))} \geq \frac{c_1^2 n q_0}{q_0^2} = \frac{c_1^2 n}{q_0},$$

and hence $q_0 \geq c_1 \sqrt{n}$. By the definition of q_0 , for all $q \leq c\sqrt{n}$ we have $q \leq d(q)$, and the previous argument, applied for q , shows that

$$(3.3) \quad w(Z_q(\mu)) \geq c_2 \sqrt{q} \text{ and } k_*(Z_q(\mu)) \geq c_2 n/q.$$

Now, let $k \leq \sqrt{n}$. From (2.12) we see that for every $1 \leq q \leq k$ we have $I_q(\mu) \leq CI_2(\mu) = C\sqrt{n}$, and hence, by (2.7),

$$(3.4) \quad w(Z_q(\mu)) \leq w_q(Z_q(\mu)) \leq C\sqrt{q}.$$

Then, if we fix $q \leq k$, Dvoretzky's theorem (see [15]) shows that

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{2}w(Z_q(\mu))(B_2^n \cap F) \subseteq P_F(Z_q(\mu)) \subseteq 2w(Z_q(\mu))(B_2^n \cap F)$$

for all F in a subset $B_{k,q}$ of $G_{n,k}$ of measure

$$(3.6) \quad \nu_{n,k}(B_{k,q}) \geq 1 - e^{-c_3 k^*(Z_q(\mu))} \geq 1 - e^{-c_4 \sqrt{n}}.$$

Applying this argument for $q = 2^i$, $i = 1, \dots, \log_2 k$, and taking into account the fact that from (2.2), $Z_p(\mu) \subseteq Z_q(\mu) \subseteq 2\bar{c}_0 Z_p(\mu)$ if $p < q \leq 2p$, we conclude that there exists $B_k \subset G_{n,k}$ with $\nu_{n,k}(B_k) \geq 1 - e^{-c_5 \sqrt{n}}$ such that for every $F \in B_k$ and every $1 \leq q \leq k$,

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2}w(Z_q(\mu))(B_2^n \cap F) \subseteq Z_q(\pi_F(\mu)) = P_F(Z_q(\mu)) \subseteq 2w(Z_q(\mu))(B_2^n \cap F).$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) we have $w(Z_q(\mu)) \simeq \sqrt{q}$ for all $q \leq \sqrt{n}$. Therefore, the last formula can be written in the form

$$(3.8) \quad h_{Z_q(\pi_F(\mu))}(\theta) \simeq \sqrt{q}$$

for all $F \in B_k$, $\theta \in S_F$ and $1 \leq q \leq k$.

From the inequality

$$(3.9) \quad \sup_{1 \leq q \leq k} \frac{\|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_{L_q(\pi_F(\mu))}}{\sqrt{q}} = \sup_{1 \leq q \leq k} \frac{h_{Z_q(\pi_F(\mu))}(\theta)}{\sqrt{q}} \leq C, \quad \theta \in S_F,$$

we immediately get Theorem 1.1(i).

Next, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2, following an argument which essentially appears in [19]. Using the fact that

$$(3.10) \quad h_{Z_{2q}(\pi_F(\mu))}(\theta) \leq 2\bar{c}_0 h_{Z_q(\pi_F(\mu))}(\theta),$$

and applying the Paley-Zygmund inequality $\mathbb{P}(g(x) \geq t^q \mathbb{E}(g)) \geq (1 - t^q)^2 \frac{[\mathbb{E}(g)]^2}{\mathbb{E}(g^2)}$ for the function $g(x) = |\langle x, \theta \rangle|^q$, we see that for every $q \geq 1$ and every $\theta \in S_F$,

$$(3.11) \quad [\pi_F(\mu)] \left(\left\{ x \in F : |\langle x, \theta \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2} h_{Z_q(\pi_F(\mu))}(\theta) \right\} \right) \geq e^{-c_6 q}.$$

Then, (3.8) gives

$$(3.12) \quad [\pi_F(\mu)] (\{x \in F : |\langle x, \theta \rangle| \geq c_7 \sqrt{q}\}) \geq e^{-c_6 q}$$

for every $1 \leq q \leq k$ and every $\theta \in S_F$.

There exists an absolute constant $c_8 > 0$ such that if $1 \leq t \leq c_8\sqrt{k}$ we can write t in the form $t := c_7\sqrt{q}$ for some $q \leq k$. Then, a direct application of (3.12) gives

$$(3.13) \quad [\pi_F(\mu)](\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, \theta \rangle| \geq t\}) \geq e^{-c_9 t^2}$$

for all $\theta \in S_F$. This implies that $\overline{sg}_{\pi_F(\mu)}(\theta) \geq c$ for all $\theta \in S_F$, where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant. \square

It remains to prove Theorem 1.1(ii).

3.2. The case $k > \sqrt{n}$. Fix $k = n^\delta$, where $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, and let $1 \leq q \leq k$. We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . For every $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq q \leq k$,*

$$(3.14) \quad \left(\int_{G_{n,k}} R^k(Z_q(\pi_F(\mu))) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \right)^{1/k} \simeq w_k(Z_q(\mu)).$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1 and the identity (2.4), we see that for every $F \in G_{n,k}$,

$$(3.15) \quad R(Z_q(\pi_F(\mu))) \simeq w_k(Z_q(\pi_F(\mu))) = w_k(P_F(Z_q(\mu))).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{G_{n,k}} R^k(Z_q(\pi_F(\mu))) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \right)^{1/k} \simeq \left(\int_{G_{n,k}} w_k^k(P_F(Z_q(\mu))) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \right)^{1/k} \\ & = \left(\int_{G_{n,k}} \int_{S_F} h_{P_F(Z_q(\mu))}^k(\theta) d\sigma_F(\theta) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \right)^{1/k}, \end{aligned}$$

where σ_F is the rotationally invariant probability measure on the sphere $S_F := S^{n-1} \cap F$. Since

$$(3.16) \quad h_{P_F(Z_q(\mu))}(\theta) = h_{Z_q(\mu)}(\theta), \quad \theta \in S_F,$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{G_{n,k}} \int_{S_F} h_{Z_q(\mu)}^k(\theta) d\sigma_F(\theta) d\nu_{n,k}(F) = \int_{S^{n-1}} h_{Z_q(\mu)}^k(\theta) d\sigma(\theta) = w_k^k(Z_q(\mu)),$$

we get the result. \square

The next lemma gives some bounds for $w_k(Z_q(\mu))$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . If $k = n^\delta$, $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $1 \leq q \leq k$, then*

$$(3.18) \quad w_k(Z_q(\mu)) \leq c_3 q^{1/\alpha(\delta)},$$

where $\alpha(\delta) = \frac{2\delta}{3\delta-1}$.

Proof. Let $1 \leq q \leq k$. We distinguish two cases:

(i) Assume that $k \leq n/q$. Then, we have $q \leq n/q$ and (3.3) shows that $k_*(Z_q(\mu)) \geq cn/q$. Therefore, $k \leq ck_*(Z_q(\mu))$ and, taking into account (2.2), one can check that

$$(3.19) \quad w_k(Z_q(\mu)) \simeq w(Z_q(\mu)) \leq w_q(Z_q(\mu)) \simeq \sqrt{q}.$$

(ii) Assume that $k > n/q$. From Lemma 2.1 we have that $w_k(Z_q(\mu)) \simeq w(Z_q(\mu))$ if $k \leq k_*(Z_q(\mu))$ and $w_k(Z_q(\mu)) \simeq \sqrt{k/n}R(Z_q(K))$ if $k \geq k_*(Z_q(\mu))$. Since $q \leq k$,

using (3.4) we get that $w_k(Z_q(K)) \leq f(q, k)$, where $f(q, k) \leq c\sqrt{q}$ if $q \leq k \leq k_*(Z_q(\mu))$ and $f(q, k) \leq cq\sqrt{k/n}$ if $k \geq k_*(Z_q(\mu))$. Note that $k_*(Z_q(\mu)) \geq n/k$. So we get that

$$(3.20) \quad f(q, k) \leq c\sqrt{q} \text{ if } q \leq n/k \text{ and } f(q, k) \leq q\sqrt{k/n} \text{ if } n/k \leq q.$$

We want $q^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\sqrt{k} \leq C\sqrt{n}$ for all $q \leq k$. This will be true if $k^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \simeq n^{1/2}$. Since $k = n^\delta$, the optimal value of α is

$$(3.21) \quad \alpha(\delta) = \frac{2\delta}{3\delta - 1}.$$

From (i) we check that (3.18) holds true for $k \leq n/q$ as well. This proves the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). We apply Markov’s inequality for $q = 2^i, i = 1, \dots, \log_2 k$ in Lemma 3.1, and taking into account the fact that $Z_p(\mu) \subseteq Z_q(\mu) \subseteq cZ_p(\mu)$ if $p < q \leq 2p$, we conclude that

$$(3.22) \quad \sup_{1 \leq q \leq k} \frac{R(Z_q(\pi_F(\mu)))}{w_k(Z_q(\mu))} \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant, for all F in a subset A_k of $G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(A_k) \geq 1 - (\log_2 k)e^{-2k} \geq 1 - e^{-k}$.

Now we are using the estimates from Lemma 3.2: for every $F \in A_k$ we have

$$(3.23) \quad \|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_{\psi'_{\alpha(\delta)}} = \sup_{1 \leq q \leq k} \frac{\|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_{L_q(\pi_F(\mu))}}{q^{1/\alpha(\delta)}} \leq C_1 \sup_{1 \leq q \leq k} \frac{R(Z_q(\pi_F(\mu)))}{w_k(Z_q(\mu))} \leq C_2$$

for all $\theta \in S_F$, where $C_2 > 0$ is an absolute constant. \square

4. FURTHER REMARKS AND APPLICATIONS

4.1. Assume that μ is a ψ_β -measure with constant $r > 0$ for some $\beta \in (1, 2)$. Then, the argument of Section 3 leads to the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\beta \in (1, 2)$ and let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n which is ψ_β with constant $r > 0$.*

- (i) *If $k \leq n^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$, then there exists $A_k \subseteq G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(A_k) > 1 - \exp(-cn^{\frac{\beta}{2}})$ such that for every $F \in A_k$, $\pi_F(\mu)$ is a ψ'_2 -measure with constant $C(r)$.*
- (ii) *If $k = n^\delta, \frac{\beta}{2} < \delta < 1$, then there exists $A_k \subseteq G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(A_k) > 1 - \exp(-ck)$ such that for every $F \in A_k$, $\pi_F(\mu)$ is a $\psi'_{\alpha(\delta,\beta)}$ -measure with constant $C(r)$, where $\alpha(\delta, \beta) = \frac{2\beta\delta}{(2\delta-\beta)+\beta\delta}$.*

4.2. The estimate for $\alpha(\delta, \beta)$ in Theorem 4.1 is optimal in the following sense: let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n which is ψ_β and has the property that there exists $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ such that $h_{Z_q(\mu)}(\theta) \simeq q^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ for all $1 \leq q \leq n$. Then, Lemma 2.1 shows that for $k = n^\delta \geq n^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ we have

$$(4.1) \quad w_k(Z_k(\mu)) \simeq \sqrt{k/n} R(Z_k(\mu)) \simeq \sqrt{k/n} k^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = k^{\frac{1}{\alpha(\beta,\delta)}}.$$

Then, using (3.17) and the Paley-Zygmund inequality, we can check that there exists $A_k \subseteq G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(A_k) > \exp(-ck)$ such that for every $F \in A_k$ there exists $\theta \in S_F$ such that $h_{Z_k(\mu)}(\theta) \geq ck^{\frac{1}{\alpha(\beta,\delta)}}$.

4.3. For every $p \geq 1$ we consider the convex body $K_p(\mu)$ (introduced by K. Ball in [1]) with gauge function

$$(4.2) \quad \|x\|_{K_p(\mu)} := \left(\frac{p}{f_\mu(0)} \int_0^\infty f_\mu(rx)r^{p-1}dr \right)^{-1/p}.$$

Let $1 \leq k < n$ and $F \in G_{n,k}$. For $\theta \in S_F$ we define

$$(4.3) \quad \|\theta\|_{B_{k+1}(\mu,F)} := \|\theta\|_{K_{k+1}(\pi_F(\mu))}.$$

For all $1 \leq q \leq k < n$ and $F \in G_{n,k}$, one has (see [18] and [3])

$$(4.4) \quad f_{\pi_F(\mu)}(0)^{\frac{1}{k}} Z_q(\pi_F(\mu)) \simeq f_\mu(0)^{\frac{1}{n}} Z_q(\widetilde{B}_{k+1}(\mu, F)).$$

If μ is isotropic, then $\widetilde{B}_{k+1}(\mu, F)$ is an isotropic convex body in F . In particular, the case $q = 2$ of (4.4) shows that

$$(4.5) \quad f_{\pi_F(\mu)}(0)^{\frac{1}{k}} \simeq f_\mu(0)^{\frac{1}{n}} L_{B_{k+1}(\mu,F)}.$$

Since the ψ_α and ψ'_α norms are equivalent for convex bodies, as an immediate consequence of the above formulas we get the following version of Theorem 4.1:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\beta \in (1, 2)$ and let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n which is ψ_β with constant $r > 0$.*

- (i) *If $k \leq n^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$, then there exists $A_k \subseteq G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(A_k) > 1 - \exp(-cn^{\frac{\beta}{2}})$ such that for every $F \in A_k$, $\widetilde{B}_{k+1}(\mu, F)$ is a ψ_2 -body with constant $C(r)$.*
- (ii) *If $k = n^\delta$, $\frac{\beta}{2} < \delta < 1$, then there exists $A_k \subseteq G_{n,k}$ with measure $\nu_{n,k}(A_k) > 1 - \exp(-ck)$ such that for every $F \in A_k$, $\widetilde{B}_{k+1}(\mu, F)$ is a $\psi_{\alpha(\delta,\beta)}$ -body with constant $C(r)$, where $\alpha(\delta, \beta) = \frac{2\beta\delta}{(2\delta-\beta)+\beta\delta}$.*

4.4. It was mentioned in §2.5 that if μ is an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n , then $I_{-q}(\mu) \simeq I_q(\mu)$ for every $1 \leq q \leq q_*(\mu)$. If μ is a ψ_β -measure, then $q_*(\mu) \geq cn^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$. This gives the lower bound

$$(4.6) \quad I_{-q}(\mu) \geq c\sqrt{n}$$

for all $q \leq n^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$. Using the results of this note, we can give some non-trivial lower bounds for $I_{-q}(\mu)$ when $q \gg n^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$. Let f be the density of μ . We start with a formula from [18, Proposition 4.6]: taking into account (4.5) we see that for every $1 \leq k < n$,

$$(4.7) \quad I_{-k}(\mu) \simeq \sqrt{n} \left(\int_{G_{n,k}} L_{B_{k+1}(\mu,F)}^k d\nu_{n,k}(F) \right)^{-\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Then, what we need is an upper bound for the quantity

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{G_{n,k}} L_{B_{k+1}(\mu,F)}^k d\nu_{n,k}(F)$$

in the case $k = n^\delta$, $\delta \in (\frac{\beta}{2}, 1)$. We now use the following fact (see [6, Theorem 2.5.4]): If $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and C is an isotropic convex body in \mathbb{R}^k which is ψ_α with constant $r > 0$, then

$$(4.9) \quad L_C \leq cr^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} k^{\frac{2-\alpha}{4}} \log k.$$

From Lemma 3.2 we know that for every $1 \leq q \leq k$, we have $w_k(Z_q(\mu)) \leq cq^{1/\alpha_*}$, where $\alpha_* = \frac{2\beta\delta}{(2\delta-\beta)+\beta\delta}$.

Then, the argument of Lemma 3.1 shows that the probability that $R(Z_q(B_{k+1}(\mu, F))) > csq^{1/\alpha_*}$ is less than s^{-k} . It follows that for every $s \geq 1$ we have

$$(4.10) \quad \sup_{\theta \in S_F} \|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_{\psi_{\alpha_*}(B_{k+1}(\mu, F))} \leq c_1 s$$

on a subset $B_{k,s}$ of $G_{n,k}$ of measure $\nu_{n,k}(B_{k,s}) \geq 1 - s^{-k}$. Therefore,

$$(4.11) \quad L_{B_{k+1}(\mu, F)} \leq c_2 s^{\frac{\alpha_*}{2}} k^{\frac{2-\alpha_*}{4}} \log k$$

for all $F \in B_{k,s}$. Set $m(k) = c_2 k^{\frac{2-\alpha_*}{4}} \log k$. Then, we can estimate the integral (4.7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_{n,k}} L_{B_{k+1}(\mu, F)}^k d\nu_{n,k}(F) &= \int_0^\infty kt^{k-1} \nu_{n,k}(F : L_{B_{k+1}(\mu, F)} \geq t) dt \\ &\leq m^k(k) + \int_{m(k)}^\infty kt^{k-1} \nu_{n,k}(F : L_{B_{k+1}(\mu, F)} \geq t) dt \\ &= m^k(k) \left[1 + \int_1^\infty ks^{\frac{(k-1)\alpha_*}{2}} \nu_{n,k}(F : L_{B_{k+1}(\mu, F)} \geq m(k)s^{\frac{\alpha_*}{2}}) ds \right] \\ &\leq m^k(k) \left[1 + \frac{k\alpha_*}{2} \int_1^\infty s^{\frac{(k-1)\alpha_*}{2}} s^{\frac{\alpha_*}{2}-1} s^{-k} ds \right] \\ &= m^k(k) \left[1 + \frac{k\alpha_*}{2} \int_1^\infty s^{-1-k(1-\frac{\alpha_*}{2})} ds \right] \\ &\simeq m^k(k) \simeq \left(k^{\frac{2-\alpha_*}{4}} \log k \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this information into (4.7) we get

$$(4.12) \quad I_{-k}(\mu) \geq \frac{c\sqrt{n}}{k^{\frac{2-\alpha_*}{4}} \log k} \geq \frac{c}{\log n} n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta(2-\alpha_*)}{4}}.$$

Using our estimate for $\alpha_* = \alpha(\delta, \beta)$, we finally get the following:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\beta \in [1, 2]$ and let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n , which is a ψ_β -measure with constant $r > 0$.*

- (1) *If $k \leq n^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$, then $I_{-k}(\mu) \geq c(r)\sqrt{n}$.*
- (2) *If $k = n^\delta$ for some $\delta \in (\frac{\beta}{2}, 1)$, then*

$$(*) \quad I_{-k}(\mu) \geq c(r) \frac{n^{\frac{(1-\delta)(2\delta-\beta)+\beta\delta}{2[(2\delta-\beta)+\beta\delta]}}}{\log n}.$$

Final remark. In Theorem 4.3, we can actually obtain a stronger estimate. For an isotropic convex body C in \mathbb{R}^s , let $C_1 = C \cap (4\sqrt{s}L_C)B_2^s$ and $\overline{C} = \widetilde{C}_1$. For any $F \in G_{n,k}$ we consider the body $\overline{B_{k+1}(K, F)}$ and, using the estimates from Lemma 3.2, we observe that

- (i) $h_{Z_q(\overline{B_{k+1}(K, F)})}(\theta) \leq c\sqrt{q}L_{B_{k+1}(K, F)}$, for $1 \leq q \leq (\frac{n}{k})^\beta$,
- (ii) $h_{Z_q(\overline{B_{k+1}(K, F)})}(\theta) \leq c\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}q^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}L_{B_{k+1}(K, F)}$, for $(\frac{n}{k})^\beta \leq q \leq n^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$,

(iii) $h_{Z_q(\overline{B_{k+1}(K,F)})}(\theta) \leq c\sqrt{k}L_{B_{k+1}(K,F)}$, for $n^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \leq q \leq k$.

This implies that $\overline{B_{k+1}(K,F)}$ is a ψ_2 -body with constant $O(n^{\frac{2\delta-\beta}{4}})$. Inserting this information in the proof of Theorem 4.3 and using the fact, proved in [3], that if C is a ψ_2 -body with constant r , then $L_C \leq cr\sqrt{\log(er)}$ in the place of (4.9), one can prove the following fact: Let $\beta \in [1, 2]$ and let μ be an isotropic log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n which is a ψ_β -measure with constant $r > 0$.

(i) If $k \leq n^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$, then $I_{-k}(\mu) \geq cr\sqrt{n}$.

(ii) If $k = n^\delta$ for some $\delta \in (\frac{\beta}{2}, 1)$, then

$$(**) \quad I_{-k}(\mu) \geq cr \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2\delta-\beta}{4}}}{\sqrt{\log\left((crn)^{\frac{2\delta-\beta}{4}}\right)}}.$$

Using this result, we can also slightly improve the small probability estimate

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2 \leq c\varepsilon\sqrt{n}\}) \leq \varepsilon^{\sqrt{n}}$$

from [18]. Using (**) one can show that if μ is an isotropic log-concave measure in \mathbb{R}^n , then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$(4.13) \quad \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2 \leq c\varepsilon\sqrt{n}\}) \leq \varepsilon^{\sqrt{n}} \min\left\{1, \varepsilon^{n^{\delta(\varepsilon, n)}}\right\},$$

where $\delta(\varepsilon, n) = \frac{\log(\varepsilon^{-2})}{\log n} - \log \log n$. We omit the detailed proofs of these assertions. We would also like to mention that these estimates are optimal up to our current knowledge on L_K and a logarithmic in the dimension term.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the referee for useful comments regarding the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. K. M. Ball, *Logarithmically concave functions and sections of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n* , *Studia Math.* **88** (1988), 69–84. MR932007 (89e:52002)
2. C. Borell, *Convex set functions in d -space*, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **6** (1975) 111–136. MR0404559 (53:8359)
3. N. Dafnis and G. Paouris, *Small ball probability estimates, ψ_2 -behavior and the hyperplane conjecture*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **258** (2010), 1933–1964. MR2578460 (2011a:60111)
4. B. Fleury, *Concentration in a thin Euclidean shell for log-concave measures*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **259** (2010), 832–841. MR2652173
5. B. Fleury, O. Guédon and G. Paouris, *A stability result for mean width of L_p -centroid bodies*, *Advances in Mathematics* **214** (2007), 865–877. MR2349721 (2008g:52012)
6. A. Giannopoulos, *Notes on isotropic convex bodies*, *Warsaw University Notes* (2003).
7. B. Klartag, *A central limit theorem for convex sets*, *Invent. Math.* **168** (2007), 91–131. MR2285748 (2008d:60034)
8. B. Klartag, *Power-law estimates for the central limit theorem for convex sets*, *J. Funct. Analysis* **245** (2007), 284–310. MR2311626 (2008e:60049)
9. B. Klartag, *On nearly radial marginals of high-dimensional probability measures*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **12** (2010), 723–754. MR2639317
10. A. Litvak, V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Averages of norms and quasi-norms*, *Math. Ann.* **312** (1998), 95–124. MR1645952 (2000c:46013)
11. E. Lutwak and G. Zhang, *Blaschke-Santaló inequalities*, *J. Differential Geom.* **47** (1997), 1–16. MR1601426 (2000c:52011)

12. E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *L^p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **56** (2000), 111–132. MR1863023 (2002h:52011)
13. V. D. Milman, *A new proof of A. Dvoretzky's theorem in cross-sections of convex bodies* (Russian), Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **5** (1971) 28–37. MR0293374 (45:2451)
14. V. D. Milman and A. Pajor, *Isotropic positions and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n -dimensional space*, Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (1987–88), Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1376**, Springer, Berlin (1989), 64–104. MR1008717 (90g:52003)
15. V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Asymptotic Theory of Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1200** (1986), Springer, Berlin. MR856576 (87m:46038)
16. V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Global versus local asymptotic theories of finite-dimensional normed spaces*, Duke Math. Journal **90** (1997), 73–93. MR1478544 (98m:46005)
17. G. Paouris, *Concentration of mass on convex bodies*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **16** (2006), 1021–1049. MR2276533 (2007k:52009)
18. G. Paouris, *Small ball probability estimates for log-concave measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
19. G. Paouris, *On the existence of supergaussian directions on convex bodies*, preprint.
20. G. Pisier, *The Volume of Convex Bodies and Banach Space Geometry*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **94**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1989). MR1036275 (91d:52005)
21. P. Pivovarov, *On the volume of caps and bounding the mean-width of an isotropic convex body*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **149** (2010), 317–331. MR2670218

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, PANEPISTIMIOUPOLIS 157 84, ATHENS, GREECE

E-mail address: `aggiannop@math.uoa.gr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843

E-mail address: `grigoris.paouris@yahoo.co.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, PANEPISTIMIOUPOLIS 157 84, ATHENS, GREECE

E-mail address: `petvalet@math.uoa.gr`