

q -CONJUGACY CLASSES IN LOOP GROUPS

DONGWEN LIU

(Communicated by Lev Borisov)

ABSTRACT. This paper discusses the twisted conjugacy classes in loop groups. We restrict to classical groups and give some explicit classifications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $k((t))$ be the field of formal Laurent power series over some field k of characteristic zero, let $q \in k^\times$ which is not a root of unity, and let σ_q be the automorphism of $k((t))$ defined by $\sigma_q : \alpha(t) \mapsto \alpha(qt)$. For an algebraic group G over k write $G(k((t)))$ for the $k((t))$ -points of G . Then σ_q also acts on $G(k((t)))$ by change of variable, namely $\sigma_q(g(t)) = g(qt)$. One can define a q -conjugate action of $G(k((t)))$ on itself by

$$\mathrm{Ad}_q h : g \mapsto hg\sigma_q(h)^{-1}.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to classify the q -conjugacy classes in $G(k((t)))$ for $G = GL_n, SL_n, Sp_{2n}$ or O_n . Our main tool is the so-called D_q -modules, and the classification is based on the principle that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of n -dimensional D_q -modules and the set of q -conjugacy classes in $GL_n(k((t)))$. Similarly if we equip D_q -modules with symplectic or symmetric forms, then we are also able to classify q -conjugacy classes in $Sp_{2n}(k((t)))$ and $O_n(k((t)))$.

The motivation to classify the q -conjugacy classes in loop groups is that we can interpret them as ordinary conjugacy classes in a larger group. As explained in [2], consider the semidirect product $G(k((t))) \rtimes k^\times$ where $q \in k^\times$ acts on $G(k((t)))$ as σ_q . Note that $x, y \in G(k((t)))$ are q -conjugate if and only if the elements (x, q) and (y, q) of $G(k((t))) \rtimes \langle q \rangle$ are conjugate under $G(k((t)))$. A detailed study of conjugacy classes in $G(k((t))) \rtimes k^\times$, as well as its central extensions, ought to be quite useful for generalizing the theory of automorphic forms to infinite dimensional groups.

Another important feature of q -conjugacy classes is their geometric interpretation. More precisely, there exist very close connections between q -conjugacy classes in $G(\mathbb{C}((t)))$ and holomorphic principle G -bundles on Tate's elliptic curve $E_q = \mathbb{G}_m/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ over \mathbb{C} , where $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $|q| < 1$. We mention here that G -bundles over elliptic curves have been well understood; see [1], [7]. We shall summarize some results along this line in the last section.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first review the results on D_q -modules in [11] which essentially classify the q -conjugacy classes in $GL_n(\mathbb{C}((t)))$.

Received by the editors December 2, 2010 and, in revised form, March 30, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22E67.

Key words and phrases. Loop groups, conjugacy classes.

©2012 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

We shall generalize the results to the case that the ground field is not algebraically closed, using some Galois arguments. We also discuss the classification in the central extension of $GL_n(k((t)))$ and introduce a new category of D_q -modules. Section 3 deals with symplectic and orthogonal groups and gives the classification theorem. In the last section we discuss principal bundles over elliptic curves and we shall focus on regular semi-stable case.

2. D_q -MODULES AND q -CONJUGACY CLASSES IN GL_n

2.1. Loop groups without central extensions. First assume that k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero and that $q \in k^\times$ is not a root of unity. Let us recall the definition and classification of D_q -modules, or the so-called difference modules, following [2], [11], [12], [13]. Equivalently this classifies the q -conjugacy classes in $GL_n(k((t)))$ in this case. Later we will consider the non-algebraically closed case.

Definition 2.1. A D_q -module over $k((t))$ is a pair (V, Φ) , where V is a finite dimensional vector space over $k((t))$ and Φ is a σ_q -semilinear bijection $V \rightarrow V$, i.e.

$$\Phi(\alpha v) = \sigma_q(\alpha)\Phi(v), \quad \forall \alpha \in k((t)), v \in V,$$

where $\sigma_q \in \text{Aut}_k k((t))$ is given by $t \mapsto qt$.

D_q -modules over $k((t))$ form a tensor category $\mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$, where morphisms between two objects (V, Φ) and (V', Φ') are all the $k((t))$ -linear maps $\rho : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $\Phi' \circ \rho = \rho \circ \Phi$.

Proposition 2.2. *There is a bijection between the set of q -conjugacy classes in $GL_n(k((t)))$ and the set of isomorphism classes of n -dimensional D_q -modules over $k((t))$.*

Proof. Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over k and let $V_{k((t))} = V \otimes_k k((t))$. For any element $g \in GL(V_{k((t))})$ we get a D_q -module $(V_{k((t))}, \Phi_g)$, where $\Phi_g = g \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes \sigma_q)$. If g' is q -conjugate to g , i.e. $g' = hg\sigma_q(h)^{-1}$ for some $h \in G(k((t)))$, then the two D_q -modules we get are isomorphic. Conversely, given a D_q -module (W, Φ) , the matrix of Φ under a basis of W gives an element g in $GL_n(k((t)))$. Another choice of the basis gives a q -conjugate of g . Then we get a q -conjugacy class in $GL_n(k((t)))$. Two D_q -modules give the same class if and only if they are isomorphic. It is easy to check that the two constructions are inverse to each other and give the required bijection. \square

The following cyclic vector lemma is well known, which essentially appears in Birkhoff's work [3]. The article [6] includes an elegant proof. See also [9].

Lemma 2.3. *Let (V, Φ) be an n -dimensional D_q -module over $k((t))$. Then V contains a cyclic vector v ; i.e. $\{v, \Phi v, \dots, \Phi^{n-1}v\}$ is a $k((t))$ -basis of V .*

Let $k((t))_q[T]$ be the non-commutative polynomial ring in one indeterminate T over $k((t))$, whose underlying abelian group is the same as $k((t))[T]$ but the commutation rule is given by $T\alpha = \sigma_q(\alpha)T$ for all $\alpha \in k((t))$. The lemma implies that V is isomorphic to $k((t))_q[T]/(f(T))$ for some $f(T) = T^n + a_1T^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ with $a_i \in k((t))$ and $a_n \neq 0$, and Φ is given by left multiplication by T .

Before we proceed we would like to digress a bit and review some other familiar examples.

(i) If V is a finite dimensional vector spaces over some field k and $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ is in $\text{End}_k(V)$, then V under Φ decomposes into a direct sum of submodules, each of which is isomorphic to $k[T]/(f^e)$ for some irreducible polynomial $f(T) \in k[T]$ and some integer $e \geq 1$, and Φ acts by multiplication by T . If we assume that $\Phi \in \text{Aut}_k(V)$, then $f(T) \neq T$ in each summand of the decomposition. The theory of Jordan canonical forms classifies ordinary conjugacy classes in $GL(V)$.

(ii) Let k be a finite field, and let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ be the Frobenius element. g and g' in $GL_n(\bar{k})$ are said to be σ -conjugate if $g' = hg\sigma(h)^{-1}$ for some $h \in GL_n(\bar{k})$. Then Lang's lemma says that there is only one σ -conjugacy class in $GL_n(\bar{k})$.

(iii) Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, $W = W(k)$ the ring of Witt vectors over k , $\sigma : W \rightarrow W$ the Frobenius endomorphism of W , and K the fraction field of W . An F -isocrystal on k is a finite dimensional vector space V over K , together with a σ -semilinear bijection $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$. Let $K_\sigma[T]$ be the non-commutative polynomial ring in one indeterminate T over K , with commutation rule $T\alpha = \sigma(\alpha)T$ for all $\alpha \in K$. Following the proof of Proposition 2.2, we can construct a bijection from the set of σ -conjugacy classes in $GL_n(K)$ to the set of isomorphism classes of n -dimensional F -isocrystals.

Dieudonné [5] and Manin [10] proved that if k is algebraically closed, then the category of F -isocrystals is semi-simple and its simple objects are $V_{r,s} = K_\sigma[T]/(T^s - p^r)$, where $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \geq 1$, $(r, s) = 1$, and Φ is given by left multiplication by T .

The rational number $\alpha = r/s$ is called the *slope* of $V_{r,s}$. Any F -isocrystal V splits into a direct sum $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} V_\alpha$, where V_α is a direct sum of simple F -isocrystals of slope α . The dimension of V_α over K is called the *multiplicity* of α . If $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_k$ are slopes of V and λ_i is the multiplicity of the slope α_i , then the *Newton polygon* of V is the line joining the points $P_0 = (0, 0)$, $P_i = (\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j, \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j \alpha_j)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. It is known that if $k = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, then the Newton polygon of V is the ordinary Newton polygon of the polynomial $\det(t \cdot \text{Id} - \Phi^m)$.

In the same spirit as the last example, the notions of slopes and Newton polygons were also defined for D_q -modules and we shall describe them below. The comparisons between F -isocrystals and D_q -modules are quite instrumental.

Let $\nu : k((t)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the valuation with respect to t . For any D_q -module V , by the cyclic vector lemma we can assume that it is of the form $k((t))_q[T]/(f(T))$, where $f(T) = T^n + a_1T^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ with $a_n \neq 0$. Consider the convex hull of $\bigcup_{i=0}^n \{(i, \nu(a_i) + x) | x \leq 0\}$. The finite part of the boundary of this hull is called the *Newton polygon* of V . Here V is called *pure* if its Newton polygon has only one slope. Any D_q -module splits uniquely into a direct sum of pure modules. In particular any irreducible D_q -module is pure. See [12], [13] for details.

From a geometric point of view, one can identify the notion of pure with *semi-stable* and construct the Newton polygon as follows. For $V \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$ pick up an element g in the corresponding conjugacy class in $GL_n(k((t)))$ where $n = \text{rank} V$. Define the degree of V to be $\text{deg} V := \nu(\det g)$. Clearly the definition does not depend on the choice of g . For $V \neq 0$ introduce the rational number

$$\mu(V) = \text{deg} V / \text{dim} V.$$

V is called semi-stable (resp. stable) if for any nonzero proper D_q -submodule V' we have $\mu(V') \leq$ (resp. $<$) $\mu(V)$. Consider the convex hull of $\{(\dim V', \deg V' + x) \mid x \leq 0, V' \subseteq V \text{ a } D_q\text{-submodule}\}$. Then the Newton polygon is the finite part of the boundary of the convex hull.

This gives a canonical filtration, which is analogous to the well-known Harder-Narasimhan filtration [8], [13]

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_l = V$$

such that

- (i) V_i/V_{i-1} is semi-stable for $i = 1, \dots, l$,
- (ii) $\mu(V_i/V_{i-1}) > \mu(V_{i+1}/V_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, l - 1$.

The graded module grV associated to V is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l V_i/V_{i-1}$. It is known (see [11]) that $V \simeq grV$. The notion of stability coincides with that of vector bundles on elliptic curves, which will be discussed in the last section.

Let us describe the classification of D_q -modules [11]. Fix a compatible system of roots of q , i.e. $\{q^{1/n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $(q^{1/n})^m = q^{m/n}$ whenever $m \mid n$. Then we can extend the action of σ_q to $k((t^{1/n}))$ by $\sigma_q t^{1/n} = q^{1/n} t^{1/n}$. Then scalar extension gives a functor

$$ext_n : \mathcal{D}_q(k, t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{q^{1/n}}(k, t^{1/n}).$$

In fact we may extend the action of σ_q to $\overline{k((t))} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} k((t^{1/n}))$ and obtain an obvious functor

$$ext_\infty : \mathcal{D}_q(k, t) \longrightarrow \lim_n \mathcal{D}_{q^{1/n}}(k, t^{1/n}).$$

Conversely restriction of scalar from $k((t^{1/n}))$ to $k((t))$ also gives a functor

$$res_n : \mathcal{D}_{q^{1/n}}(k, t^{1/n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_q(k, t).$$

The building blocks of D_q -modules are the following objects.

Consider $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s \geq 1$ and $(r, s) = 1$. For any $\bar{\lambda} \in k^\times / q^\mathbb{Z}$ pick up a representative $\lambda \in k^\times$. Let $V_{r,s}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$ be the D_q -module $k((t))_q[T] / (T^s - \lambda t^r)$. Then it is the image of a one dimensional object of $\mathcal{D}_{q^{1/s}}(k, t^{1/s})$ under the map res_s . Let J_d be the D_q -module $k((t))_q[T] / ((T - 1)^d)$, which is the analogue of unipotent Jordan blocks.

Theorem 2.4 (M. van der Put and M. Reversat [11]). (i) *Up to isomorphism $V_{r,s}(\lambda)$ only depends on r, s and $\bar{\lambda}$ and is irreducible with slope r/s . This gives the isomorphism classes of irreducible D_q -modules over $k((t))$.*

(ii) *Any indecomposable D_q -module over $k((t))$ is isomorphic to some $J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda)$ with $(d, r, s, \bar{\lambda})$ uniquely determined.*

It is clear from the definition and Theorem 2.4 that stable D_q -modules are irreducible ones and semi-stable D_q -modules with $\mu = r/s$ are of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l J_{d_i} \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda_i)$. Notice that the category $\mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$ is not semi-simple, unlike the category of F -isocrystals.

Corollary 2.5. *q -conjugacy classes in $GL_n(k((t)))$ are parameterized by isomorphism classes of n -dimensional D_q -modules over $k((t))$ of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l J_{d_i} \otimes V_{r_i, s_i}(\lambda_i)$.*

Proposition 2.6. *The natural map*

$$q\text{-conjugacy classes in } SL_n(k((t))) \longrightarrow q\text{-conjugacy classes in } GL_n(k((t)))$$

is injective.

Proof. Suppose $a, b \in SL_n(k((t)))$, $c \in GL_n(k((t)))$ such that $b = c \cdot a \cdot \sigma_q c^{-1}$. Taking determinants, we see that $\det c$ is fixed by σ_q , i.e. $\det c \in k$. Set $c' = (\det c)^{-1/n} c \in SL(n, k((t)))$, then $b = c' \cdot a \cdot \sigma_q c'^{-1}$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *q-conjugacy classes in $SL_n(k((t)))$ are parameterized by classes of D_q -modules of rank n of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l J_{d_i} \otimes V_{r_i, s_i}(\lambda_i)$ such that $\sum_i d_i r_i = 0$ and $\prod_i \lambda_i^{d_i} \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Proof. $g \in GL_n(k((t)))$ can be conjugated into $SL_n(k((t)))$ if and only if $\det g$ can be written in the form $q^r u$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $u = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots$, $a_i \in k$. It suffices to consider GL_1 . Indeed, there exists $u_1 \in k[[t]]^\times$ such that $u = u_1(\sigma_q u_1)^{-1}$. Then $q^r u = (t^{-r} u_1) \sigma_q (t^{-r} u_1)^{-1}$. \square

In the rest of this subsection, we shall only assume that k is of characteristic zero, which is not necessarily algebraically closed, and $q \in k^\times$ again is not a root of unity. Note that the cyclic lemma holds for any k which is infinite.

We shall use some simple Galois descent argument. Fix an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k . Note that $\bar{k}((t))$ is not algebraic over $k((t))$, although $\mathcal{D}_q(\bar{k}, t)$ has been classified. Let us introduce $\bar{k}((t))_0 := k((t)) \otimes_k \bar{k}$, which is algebraic over $k((t))$ and $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}((t))_0/k((t))) \simeq \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Lemma 2.8. *The D_q -modules over $\bar{k}((t))_0$ have the same classification as Theorem 2.4.*

Proof. The argument in [11] still works once we prove that any one-dimensional D_q -module (V, Φ) over $\bar{k}((t))_0$ is isomorphic to $V_1(r, \lambda)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \bar{k}^\times$. Let $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$. We may write $\Phi v = \lambda u t^r v$, where $\lambda \in \bar{k}^\times$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and u lies in the multiplicative group $U := \{1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots \mid a_i \in \bar{k}\} \cap \bar{k}((t))_0$. Then u can be written as $u_1 \sigma_q u_1^{-1}$ for some $u_1 \in U$. In fact if K is a finite extension of k containing all the coefficients in u , then the coefficients in u_1 are also in K . Hence we get $\Phi(u_1 v) = \lambda t^r (u_1 v)$. This proves that (V, Φ) is isomorphic to $V_1(r, \lambda)$. \square

Let $\mathcal{D}_q(\bar{k}, t)_0$ be the category of D_q -modules over $\bar{k}((t))_0$ and let $\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}$ be the functor of scalar extension:

$$\text{ext}_{\bar{k}} : \mathcal{D}_q(k, t) \xrightarrow{\otimes_k \bar{k}} \mathcal{D}_q(\bar{k}, t)_0.$$

Again we denote by $V_{r,s}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{D}_q(\bar{k}, t)_0$ the D_q -module $\bar{k}((t))_{0,q}[T]/(T^s - \lambda t^r)$, $\lambda \in \bar{k}^\times$, where $\bar{k}((t))_{0,q}[T] = k((t))_q[T] \otimes_k \bar{k}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(r, s) = 1$, $s \geq 1$. Let S be the set of monic irreducible polynomials over k with non-zero constant terms. Then we have the bijection

$$S \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{k}^\times / \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k),$$

where $\bar{k}^\times / \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ is the set of Galois orbits in \bar{k}^\times under the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Indeed associated to $f \in S$ there is a Galois orbit \mathcal{O}_f in \bar{k}^\times , which is the set of roots of f in \bar{k}^\times . There is an action of σ_q on S , defined by $\sigma_q f(x) = q^{-\deg f} f(qx)$.

Corresponding to the bijection above, σ_q acts on $\bar{k}^\times/\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ by $\sigma_q\mathcal{O} = q^{-1}\mathcal{O}$. Modulo the action of σ_q we get

$$S/\langle\sigma_q\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{k}^\times/\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k))/\langle\sigma_q\rangle.$$

For $\bar{f} \in S/\langle\sigma_q\rangle$ let $f(x) \in S$ be a representative. For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(r, s) = 1$, $s \geq 1$ let $V_{r,s}(f) \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$ be the D_q -module $k((t))_q[T]/(f(t^{-r}T^s))$. In particular, we have $V_{r,s}(\lambda) = V_{r,s}(x - \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in k$.

More explicitly, if we write $f(x) = x^m - a_{m-1}x^{m-1} - \dots - a_0$, then

$$f(t^{-r}T^s) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}sr m(m-1)}t^{-rm}T^{sm} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} q^{-\frac{1}{2}sr j(j-1)}a_jt^{-rj}T^{sj}.$$

$V_{r,s}(f)$ can be identified with the D_q -module with basis $\{\Phi^i v_j \mid i = 0, \dots, s-1, j = 0, \dots, m-1\}$ such that

$$\Phi^s v_j = t^r v_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-2, \quad \Phi^s v_{m-1} = t^r(a_0 v_0 + \dots + a_{m-1} v_{m-1}).$$

The equivalence of the two presentations is given by $v_0 \mapsto T$. Note that v_0 is a cyclic vector.

Our classification is the following:

Theorem 2.9. (i) *Up to isomorphism $V_{r,s}(f)$ only depends on r, s and $\bar{f} \in S/\langle\sigma_q\rangle$ and is irreducible. This gives the isomorphism classes of irreducible D_q -modules over $k((t))$.*

(ii) *$\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V_{r,s}, f) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_f} V_{r,s}(\lambda)$, where \mathcal{O}_f is the set of roots of f .*

(iii) *Any indecomposable D_q -modules over $k((t))$ is isomorphic to some $J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(f)$ with (d, r, s, \bar{f}) uniquely determined.*

Proof. (ii) is easy. Using the above description of $V_{r,s}(f)$, in the \bar{k} -span of $\{v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ one can find another basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ such that $\Phi^n w_i = \lambda_i t^r w_i$ and $\mathcal{O}_f = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$. The proof of (i) consists of two steps.

(a) $V_{r,s}(f)$ is irreducible: Let U be a non-zero D_q -submodule of $V_{r,s}(f)$. With notation as above, $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on the \bar{k} -span of $\{v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$, and we may assume that $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ permutes $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ transitively. This implies that $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ permutes the summands in (ii) transitively. Consider the composition

$$\varphi_i : \text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(U) \hookrightarrow \text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V_{r,s}(f)) \xrightarrow{\pi_i} V_{r,s}(\lambda_i).$$

One of the φ_i 's, hence all of them, is surjective. It follows easily that $\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(U) = \text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V_{r,s}(f))$ by considering the decomposition of $\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(U)$.

(b) Any irreducible object $V \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$ is of this form, and $V_{r,s}(f) \simeq V_{r,s}(g)$ if $\bar{f} = \bar{g} \in S/\langle\sigma_q\rangle$: Take an irreducible submodule of $\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V) \in \mathcal{D}_q(\bar{k}, t)_0$, which is of the form $V_{r,s}(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \bar{k}^\times$. Let $f \in S$ be the minimal polynomial of λ over k , and let K be the Galois extension of k generated by all roots of f . Let v be the cyclic vector of $V_{r,s}(\lambda)$ so that $\Phi^s v = \lambda t^r v$. Then v is defined over a finite extension L of K ; i.e. $v \in V \otimes_k L$. We may assume L/K is Galois and then there exist some $\alpha \in L$ such that $v' := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} \sigma(\alpha v) \neq 0$. Let us still write v for v' .

Then $v \in V \otimes_k K$ and $\Phi^s v = \lambda t^r v$.

Utilizing the same trick again we may assume that $\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)} \sigma v \neq 0$. Define

$$u_j = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)} \sigma(\lambda^j v), \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Then $u_j \in V$ and satisfy the relations

$$\Phi^s u_j = t^r u_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, m - 2, \quad \Phi^s u_{m-1} = t^r (a_0 u_0 + \dots + a_{m-1} u_{m-1}).$$

This implies that the $\Phi^i u_j$'s span V since V is irreducible and there is a non-zero morphism from $V_{r,s}(f)$ to V which maps v_j to u_j . Therefore (ii) implies $V \simeq V_{r,s}(f)$. If $g \in S$ and $\bar{f} = \bar{g} \in S/\langle \sigma_q \rangle$, then $\lambda \equiv \mu \pmod{q^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ for some $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_g$. Since $V_{r,s}(\lambda) \simeq V_{r,s}(\mu)$, the above proof also implies that $V \simeq V_{r,s}(g)$. Then $V_{r,s}(f) \simeq V \simeq V_{r,s}(g)$.

We only sketch the proof of (iii). Assume that $V \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$ is indecomposable and that $\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V) \simeq \sum_i J_{d_i} \otimes V_{r_i, s_i}(\lambda_i)$. The action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ permutes the quadruples $\{(d_i, r_i, s_i, \lambda_i)\}$, and indecomposability of V implies that the action has a single orbit. Therefore we can assume that $\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V) \simeq \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_f} J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda)$ for some $f \in S$. Then using some simple calculations one can prove that $V \simeq J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(f)$. \square

Let us end this subsection with some remarks and computations on Galois cohomology. The following result follows from a standard argument [14].

Proposition 2.10. *Given $V \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$, there is a bijective map from the isomorphism classes of $V' \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$ such that*

$$\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V') \simeq \text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V)$$

to $H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \text{Aut}(\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V)))$.

Recall that $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on $\text{Aut}(\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V))$ by $\eta \mapsto \sigma(\eta) = \sigma \circ \eta \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Theorem 2.9 implies that $H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \text{Aut}(\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V)))$ is trivial for any irreducible $V \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$. It would be interesting to investigate the cocycles and coboundaries explicitly.

Lemma 2.11. $\text{End}(V_{r,s}(\lambda)) = \bar{k}$ for any $\lambda \in \bar{k}^\times$.

Proof. It is equivalent to show $\text{Aut}(V_{r,s}(\lambda)) = \bar{k}^\times$. A direct proof is to compute the twisted centralizer in $GL_n(\bar{k}((t)))$ of the matrix representing $V_{r,s}(\lambda)$. Here we use another approach which reveals more results. Let

$$U = \{u \in V_{r,s}(\lambda) \mid \Phi^s u = \lambda t^r u\}.$$

Clearly U contains a cyclic vector v ; hence $\text{End}(V_{r,s}(\lambda)) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\bar{k}}(U)$ by restriction. We shall show that U is one dimensional over \bar{k} . In fact assume that

$$u = a_0 v + a_1 \Phi v + \dots + a_{s-1} \Phi^{s-1} v \in U, \quad a_i \in \bar{k}((t)).$$

Then applying Φ^s to both sides we get

$$\sigma_q^s a_i = q^{-ri} a_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, s - 1.$$

Since $(r, s) = 1$ it follows that $a_i = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $a_0 \in \bar{k}$. Hence $U = \bar{k}v$. \square

For simplicity assume that $k(\lambda)$ is a Galois extension of k , and let

$$G = \text{Gal}(k(\lambda)/k).$$

Then

$$\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V) \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} V_{r,s}(\sigma \lambda).$$

The lemma implies that

$$\text{Aut}(\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V)) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \bar{k}^\times.$$

The action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ factors through G and is given by

$$\sigma : (f_\tau)_\tau \mapsto (\sigma f_{\sigma^{-1}\tau})_\tau, \quad (f_\tau)_\tau \in \bigoplus_{\tau \in G} \bar{k}^\times.$$

To compute cocycles and coboundaries, for a map $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V))$ let us write $f(\sigma) = (f_\sigma^\sigma)_\tau$. Then f is a coboundary if there exists some $(g_\tau)_\tau$ such that

$$f_\tau^\sigma = \sigma g_{\sigma^{-1}\tau} \cdot g_\tau^{-1}.$$

Some simple calculation shows that if f is a cocycle, then

$$f_\tau^\sigma = \tau \left(f_1^{\tau^{-1}\sigma} (f_1^{\tau^{-1}})^{-1} \right).$$

We can choose $g_\tau = \tau f_1^{\tau^{-1}}$. Therefore every cocycle is a coboundary, and we verified the triviality of $H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \text{Aut}(\text{ext}_{\bar{k}}(V)))$ in this case.

2.2. Central extensions of loop groups. It is known that in general a Chevalley group G over $k((t))$ has non-trivial central extensions

$$1 \longrightarrow k^\times \longrightarrow \widetilde{G}(k((t))) \xrightarrow{\pi} G(k((t))) \longrightarrow 1,$$

e.g. via the tame symbols. We shall not discuss the general case but use the following construction for $G = GL_n$.

A lattice L of an n -dimensional $k((t))$ -vector space V is a free $k[[t]]$ -submodule of rank n . In other words L is a $k[[t]]$ -span of a basis of V . Any two lattices L_1, L_2 in V are *commensurable*, which means the quotients $L_1/(L_1 \cap L_2)$ and $L_2/(L_1 \cap L_2)$ are finite dimensional over k . For example any lattice in $k((t))^n$ is commensurable with $k[[t]]^n$.

Let L_0 be the lattice $k[[t]]^n$. Since $gL_0/(L_0 \cap gL_0)$ is finite dimensional over k , we can define the top wedge power $\wedge^{\text{top}}(gL_0/L_0 \cap gL_0)$, which is a one-dimensional vector space over k . Let $\det(L_0, gL_0)$ be the tensor product

$$\bigwedge^{\text{top}}(gL_0/L_0 \cap gL_0) \otimes_k \bigwedge^{\text{top}}(L_0/L_0 \cap gL_0)^{-1},$$

where $()^{-1}$ denotes the dual vector space. Also, let $\det(L_0, gL_0)^\times$ be the set of non-zero vectors in $\det(L_0, gL_0)$ which form a k^\times -torsor. Now define the group

$$\widetilde{GL}_n(k((t))) = \{(g, \omega_g) : g \in GL_n(k((t))), \omega_g \in \det(L_0, gL_0)^\times\}.$$

The multiplication in the group is given by

$$(g, \omega_g)(h, \omega_h) = (gh, \omega_g \wedge g\omega_h),$$

where $g\omega_h$ is the image of ω_h under the natural map

$$\det(L_0, hL_0) \xrightarrow{g} \det(gL_0, ghL_0)$$

and $\omega_g \wedge g\omega_h$ is defined by the isomorphism $\det(L_0, gL_0) \wedge \det(gL_0, ghL_0) \rightarrow \det(L_0, ghL_0)$.

σ_q preserves L_0 and hence induces the maps

$$gL_0/L_0 \cap gL_0 \longrightarrow (\sigma_q g)L_0/L_0 \cap (\sigma_q g)L_0, \quad L_0/L_0 \cap gL_0 \longrightarrow L_0/L_0 \cap (\sigma_q g)L_0.$$

Therefore σ_q induces the map $\det(L_0, gL_0) \rightarrow \det(L_0, (\sigma_q g)L_0)$ and hence acts on the group $\widetilde{GL}_n(k((t)))$. To describe the q -conjugacy classes in $\widetilde{GL}_n(k((t)))$, we introduce the following category $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_q(k, t)$.

An object of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_q(k, t)$ is a quadruple (V, Φ, L, ω) where (V, Φ) forms a D_q -module, L is a lattice of V and $\omega \in \det(L, \Phi L)^\times$. A morphism between two objects (V, Φ, L, ω) and (V', Φ', L', ω') is given by a pair (ρ, u) where $\rho : (V, \Phi) \rightarrow (V', \Phi')$ is a morphism of D_q -modules and $u \in \det(L', \rho L)$ such that $u \wedge \rho\omega \wedge \Phi'u^{-1} = \omega'$.

Let us define the composition of morphisms. If $(\rho', u') : (V', \Phi', L', \omega') \rightarrow (V'', \Phi'', L'', \omega'')$ is another morphism in $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_q(k, t)$, then the composition $(\rho'', u'') = (\rho', u') \circ (\rho, u)$ is given by the pair $(\rho' \circ \rho, u' \wedge \rho'u)$. It is easy to check that $u'' \wedge \rho''\omega \wedge \Phi''u''^{-1} = \omega''$.

Proposition 2.12. *There is a bijection between the set of q-conjugacy classes in $\widetilde{GL}_n(k((t)))$ and the set of isomorphism classes of n-dimensional D_q -modules in $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_q(k, t)$.*

Proof. Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over k , and let $V_{k((t))} = V \otimes_k k((t))$. Then $\widetilde{GL}_n(k((t)))$ can be realized as the group $\widetilde{GL}(V_{k((t))})$, which consists of all the pairs (g, ω_g) with $g \in GL(V_{k((t))})$ and $\omega_g \in \det(V_{k[[t]]}, gV_{k[[t]]})^\times$, where $V_{k[[t]]} := V \otimes_k k[[t]]$. Corresponding to $(g, \omega_g) \in \widetilde{GL}(V_{k((t))})$ we get a D_q -module $(V_{k((t))}, \Phi_g, V_{k[[t]]}, \omega_g)$ where $V_{k((t))}$ and Φ_g are defined as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. Notice that $gV_{k[[t]]} = \Phi_g V_{k[[t]]}$ since $\text{id}_V \otimes \sigma_q$ preserves $V_{k[[t]]}$. It is easy to check that the D_q -modules we get from q -conjugates of (g, ω_g) are isomorphic.

Conversely, given an object (W, Φ, L, ω) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_q(k, t)$, choose a basis of L and use it to identify L with $L_0 = k[[t]]^n$. Let g be the matrix of Φ under this basis, and define ω_g to be the image of ω under the isomorphism $\det(L, \Phi L) \simeq \det(L_0, gL_0)$. Then different choices of the basis of L give q -conjugates of (g, ω_g) in $\widetilde{GL}_n(k((t)))$. Two objects in $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_q(k, t)$ give the same q -conjugacy class if and only if they are isomorphic. \square

Classifying the q -conjugacy classes in $\widetilde{GL}_n(k((t)))$ is equivalent to computing the fibre of the following map π :

$$q\text{-conjugacy classes in } \widetilde{GL}_n(k((t))) \xrightarrow{\pi} q\text{-conjugacy classes in } GL_n(k((t))).$$

For simplicity assume that k is algebraically closed. Our result is

Theorem 2.13. *Let \mathcal{O} be a q-conjugacy class in $GL_n(k((t)))$ which corresponds to the D_q -module $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l J_{d_i} \otimes V_{r_i, s_i}(\lambda_i)$. Then*

- (i) $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ consists of a single class if $r_i \neq 0$ for some i ,
- (ii) $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ is a k^\times -torsor if all r_i 's are zero.

Proof. Pick $g \in \mathcal{O}$. First note that the conjugate $(h, \omega_h)(g, \omega_g)\sigma_q(h, \omega_h)^{-1}$ does not depend on the choice of ω_h ; hence we may write it as $\widetilde{\text{Ad}}_q h(g, \omega_g)$. If $\text{Ad}_q h_1(g) = \text{Ad}_q h_2(g)$, then $h := h_2^{-1}h_1$ lies in the twisted centralizer of g , i.e. $\text{Ad}_q h(g) = g$. Equivalently h corresponds to an automorphism of the D_q -module V .

If $r_i \neq 0$, let h correspond to the automorphism of V which acts by a non-zero scalar α on $J_{d_i} \otimes V_{r_i, s_i}(\lambda_i)$ and by identity on other summands. Then $\widetilde{\text{Ad}}_q h(g, \omega_g) = (g, \alpha^{-r_i d_i} \omega_g)$. This proves (i) since α is arbitrary.

If all r_i 's are zero, then we may assume $g \in GL_n(k)$, $\omega_g \in k^\times$. Then twisted centralizers of g also lie in $GL_n(k)$ and hence fix (g, ω_g) . This proves (ii). \square

3. CONJUGACY CLASSES IN SYMPLECTIC AND ORTHOGONAL GROUPS

In this section we will give our classification of symplectic (resp. orthogonal) D_q -modules over $k((t))$, whose isomorphism classes are in one-to-one correspondence with the q -conjugacy classes in symplectic (resp. orthogonal) groups over $k((t))$. Throughout this section we assume that k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero and that $q \in k^\times$ is not a root of unity.

Definition 3.1. A symplectic (resp. orthogonal) D_q -module over $k((t))$ is a triple $(V, \Phi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ such that $(V, \Phi) \in \mathcal{D}_q(k, t)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a non-degenerate symplectic (resp. symmetric) form on V such that $\langle \Phi v, \Phi w \rangle = \sigma_q \langle v, w \rangle, \forall v, w \in V$.

We can define the category $\mathcal{D}_q(k, t)_S$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_q(k, t)_O$) of symplectic (resp. orthogonal) D_q -modules, where morphisms between two objects $(V, \Phi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and $(V', \Phi', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ are given by $k((t))$ -linear maps $\rho : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $\Phi' \circ \rho = \rho \circ \Phi$ and $\langle \rho v, \rho w \rangle' = \langle v, w \rangle$.

Given a $2n$ - (resp. n -) dimensional vector space V over k and a non-degenerate symplectic (resp. symmetric) bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V , there is a unique extension of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to $V_{k((t))} := V \otimes_k k((t))$ by linearity. We define the group $Sp_{2n}(k((t)))$ (resp. $O_n(k((t)))$) to be the $k((t))$ -points of $Sp(V)$ (resp. $O(V)$). Then σ_q acts on this group by $(\sigma_q g)(v \otimes \alpha) := \alpha(\text{id}_V \otimes \sigma_q)(gv)$ for all $v \in V, \alpha \in k((t))$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_q^0(k, t)_S$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_q^0(k, t)_O$) be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(q, t)_S$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(q, t)_O$), which consists of D_q -modules with bilinear form arising in this way, i.e. arising from scalar extension of a bilinear form on a vector space over k .

The notions defined above are independent of the choice of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V , since we assume that k is algebraically closed.

Proposition 3.2. *There is a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of $2n$ - (resp. n -) dimensional D_q -modules in $\mathcal{D}_q^0(k, t)_S$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_q^0(k, t)_O$) and the set of q -conjugacy classes in $Sp_{2n}(k((t)))$ (resp. $O_n(k((t)))$).*

Proof. For $g \in Sp_{2n}(k((t)))$ we have constructed the D_q -module $(V_{k((t))}, \Phi_g)$ in the proof of Proposition 2.2. But we have $\langle \Phi_g v, \Phi_g w \rangle = \langle g \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes \sigma_q)v, g \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes \sigma_q)w \rangle = \langle (\text{id}_V \otimes \sigma_q)v, (\text{id}_V \otimes \sigma_q)w \rangle = \sigma_q \langle v, w \rangle$ for all $v, w \in V_{k((t))}$. This implies that $(V_{k((t))}, \Phi_g, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \in \mathcal{D}_q^0(k, t)_S$. Conversely, given a D_q -module $(W, \Phi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ in $\mathcal{D}_q^0(k, t)_S$, by assumption we can write $W = V_{k((t))}$ for some k -vector space V , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is obtained from scalar extension of a symplectic form on V . Then $\langle \Phi v, \Phi w \rangle = \sigma_q \langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for any $v, w \in V$, since $\langle v, w \rangle \in k$. Therefore we get an element in $Sp(V_{k((t))}) = Sp_{2n}(k((t)))$. It is easy to check that these constructions give the required bijection. The proof for the orthogonal case is similar. \square

Let us denote $k^\times/q^\mathbb{Z}$ by $E_q(k)$, and the 2-torsion points of $E_q(k)$ by $E_q[2]$. Then $E_q[2] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. In fact $E_q[2] = \{\pm 1 \bmod q^\mathbb{Z}, \pm\sqrt{q} \bmod q^\mathbb{Z}\}$. If $k = \mathbb{C}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ for some prime p and $q \in k^\times$ with $|q| < 1$, then $E_q(k)$ can be identified with the k -points of Tate's elliptic curve $E_q = \mathbb{G}_m/q^\mathbb{Z}$ defined over $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$; see [15].

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 3.3. *Any symplectic (resp. orthogonal) D_q -module has an orthogonal decomposition such that each summand is isomorphic to one of the following objects:*

(i) $J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda) \oplus J_d \otimes V_{-r,s}(\lambda^{-1})$, where either $\lambda \notin E_q[2]$ or $r \neq 0$, $J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda)$ and $J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda^{-1})$ are isotropic and dual to each other with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

- (ii) $J_d \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda) \oplus J_d \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda)$ where $\bar{\lambda} \in E_q[2]$ and d is odd (resp. even) and the two copies of $J_d \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda)$ are isotropic and dual to each other with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.
- (iii) $J_d \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda)$ where $\bar{\lambda} \in E_q[2]$ and d is even (resp. odd).

Remark 3.4. Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 imply that the natural map

$$q\text{-conjugacy classes in } Sp_{2n}(k((t))) \longrightarrow q\text{-conjugacy classes in } GL_{2n}(k((t)))$$

is injective. The same is true for the orthogonal group.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.3. We start from a lemma on the decomposition of D_q -modules.

Lemma 3.5. *If $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ is a decomposition of a D_q -module with V_1 indecomposable and isotropic, then there exists a D_q -submodule V'_1 of V such that $V_1 \cap V'_1 = 0$ and $V'_1 \simeq V_1^\vee := \text{Hom}_{k((t))}(V_1, k((t)))$ via the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. In particular V'_1 is also indecomposable and isotropic, and $V_1 \oplus V'_1$ is non-degenerate.*

Proof. Let v be a cyclic vector of V_1 . Then $v, \Phi v, \dots, \Phi^{d-1}v$ is a $k((t))$ -basis of V_1 , where $d = \dim V_1$. Here $V \simeq V^\vee$ via $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$; hence we can pick $v' \in V$ such that $\langle v', v \rangle = 1, \langle v', \Phi^i v \rangle = 0, i = 1, \dots, d - 1$, and $v' \perp V_2$. Let V'_1 be the D_q -module generated by v' .

We first prove that $\dim V'_1 = d$. Here $V'_1 \subset V_2^\perp$ implies that $\dim V'_1 \leq d$. For the other direction we prove that $v', \Phi v', \dots, \Phi^{d-1}v'$ are linearly independent over $k((t))$. Suppose that

$$a_0 v' + a_1 \Phi v' + \dots + a_{d-1} \Phi^{d-1} v' = 0,$$

where $a_i \in k((t))$. Pairing with $\Phi^{d-1}v, \dots, v$ successively, we see that $a_{d-1} = \dots = a_0 = 0$. Hence $\dim V'_1 \geq d$.

The map $V'_1 \rightarrow V_1^\vee, v \mapsto \langle v, \cdot \rangle|_{V_1}$ is injective, hence an isomorphism. $V_1 \cap V'_1 = \emptyset$ since V_1 is isotropic. The rest of the lemma is easy to verify. \square

Let V decompose as in Corollary 2.5. Namely, assume that

$$V \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^l J_{d_i} \otimes V_{r_i, s_i}(\lambda_i).$$

We shall show that most of the indecomposable summands are isotropic and orthogonal to each other.

Recall that we have a functor

$$\text{ext}_n : \mathcal{D}_q(k, t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{q^{1/n}}(k, t^{1/n}).$$

Let us consider $\text{ext}_s(J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda))$, where $J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda)$ is a summand of V . It is easy to check that

$$\text{ext}_s(V_{r,s}(\lambda)) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_i,$$

where $V_i = k((t^{1/s}))v_i \in \mathcal{D}_{q^{1/s}}(k, t^{1/s})$ is one dimensional such that $\Phi v_i = \lambda_i t^{r/s} v_i$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ are distinct s -th roots of λ . Let us still write J_d for $\text{ext}_s(J_d)$. Then

$$\text{ext}_s(J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J_d \otimes v_i.$$

Lemma 3.6. *If we have another summand $J_{d'} \otimes V_{r',s'}(\lambda')$ of V with decomposition*

$$\text{ext}_{s'}(J_{d'} \otimes V_{r',s'}(\lambda')) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s'} J_{d'} \otimes v'_j,$$

then $J_d \otimes v_i \perp J_{d'} \otimes v'_j$ unless $s = s', r = -r'$ and $\lambda_i \lambda'_j \in q^{\mathbb{Z}/s}$.

Before we prove this lemma let us observe an elementary fact:

Lemma 3.7. *If $A \in M_{d \times d'}(k((t)))$, $\lambda \in k$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda t^\nu J_d A J_{d'}^t = \sigma_q A$, then $A = 0$ unless $\nu = 0$ and $\lambda = q^\xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$, in which case $A \in t^\xi M_{d \times d'}(k)$. Here J_d (abuse of notation) denotes the $d \times d$ unipotent Jordan block.*

Proof. Write $A = \sum_{i=i_0}^\infty A_i t^i$, $A_i \in M_{d \times d'}(k)$, $A_{i_0} \neq 0$. Then $\lambda J_d A_{i-\nu} J_{d'}^t = q^i A_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $\nu \neq 0$, say $\nu > 0$. Then one finds that $A_{i_0} = 0$, a contradiction. Now suppose $\nu = 0$. Then $\lambda J_d A_i J_{d'}^t = q^i A_i$.

In general for $U \in M_{d \times d}(k)$, $V \in M_{d' \times d'}(k)$, the linear transform $\phi_{UV} : M_{m \times m'}(k) \rightarrow M_{d \times d'}(k)$, $A \mapsto UAV$ has eigenvalues contained in

$$Sp(U)Sp(V) := \{\lambda\mu \mid \lambda \in Sp(U), \mu \in Sp(V)\}.$$

To prove this we may restrict ourselves to the case that U, V lie in the open dense (Zariski topology) subset of semisimple elements of $M_{d \times d}(k)$. Note that if $uU^t = \lambda u$, $vV = \mu v$, then $\phi_{UV}(u^t v) = \lambda\mu u^t v$. Applying this fact to J_d and $J_{d'}^t$ one sees that the claim follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$ be a basis of J_d such that $\Phi|_{J_d}$ is given by the matrix J_d . Similarly we choose the basis for $J_{d'}$. Let A be the $d \times d'$ matrix $(\langle u_k \otimes v_i, u'_l \otimes v'_j \rangle)_{k,l} \in M_{d \times d'}(k((t^{1/s, s'})))$. Applying ϕ gives

$$\lambda_i \lambda'_j t^{r/s + r'/s'} J_d A J_{d'}^t = \sigma_q A.$$

If $A \neq 0$, then the previous lemma implies that $s = s', r = -r'$, and $\lambda_i \lambda'_j = q^{\xi/s}$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $A \in t^{\xi/s} M_{d \times d'}(k)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Lemma 3.6 implies that $J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda)$ is isotropic unless $r = 0, s = 1$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in E_q[2]$, and $J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda) \perp J_{d'} \otimes V_{r',s'}(\lambda')$ unless $s = s', r = -r'$ and $\lambda \lambda' \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$. A moment's thought gives that there is a decomposition of the form

$$V = \left(\bigoplus_{r \neq 0 \text{ or } \bar{\lambda} \notin E_q[2], d, n} J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda) \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{\bar{\lambda} \in E_q[2]} \left(\bigoplus_d J_d \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda) \right)$$

such that the outmost summands are mutually orthogonal. Applying Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 to the first summand repeatedly we obtain case (i) of Theorem 3.3.

Next we treat the remaining parts. Then we can assume that $\lambda = \pm 1$ or $\lambda = \pm \sqrt{q}$; hence $\lambda^2 = q^\xi$ for $\xi = 0, 1$. By previous constructions and lemmas we know that there exists a basis $\{v_\alpha\}$ of

$$\bigoplus_d J_d \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda)$$

such that

- (a) Φ acts on this basis by a constant matrix whose semisimple part is the scalar λ ,
- (b) the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on this basis takes values in $t^\xi k$.

Then $\{t^{-\xi/2}v_\alpha\}$ forms a basis of $ext_2\left(\bigoplus_d J_d \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda)\right)$, and the pairing on the basis takes values in k . Let V be the k -span of $\{t^{-\xi/2}v_\alpha\}$. Then Φ acts on V as a unipotent map. Note that $\lambda^2 = q^\xi$.

The theory of nilpotent orbits [4] implies that there exists an orthogonal decomposition $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$ such that each V_i is one of the following, according to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ symplectic (resp. symmetric):

- (1) an indecomposable Φ -module of even (resp. odd) dimension,
- (2) a direct sum of two copies of isotropic indecomposable Φ -module of odd (resp. even) dimension, which are dual to each other.

It is clear that

$$\bigoplus_d J_d \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_i (t^{\xi/2}V_i) \otimes_k k((t))$$

gives the decomposition where the summands occur as case (ii) or (iii) of Theorem 3.3. □

4. PRINCIPAL BUNDLES OVER ELLIPTIC CURVES

We shall discuss the connection with holomorphic principal bundles over elliptic curves. In [11] it is observed that for $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times, |q| < 1$, there is a functor from the category of split D_q -modules (split means $V \simeq grV$) over $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$, the field of convergent Laurent series, to the category of vector bundles on $E_q(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times/q^\mathbb{Z}$, which is bijective on isomorphism classes of objects and respects tensor product. Moreover it is also proved that split D_q -modules over $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ have the same classification as D_q -modules over $\mathbb{C}((t))$.

In summary, there is a formal correspondence between isomorphism classes of D_q -modules over $\mathbb{C}((t))$ and vector bundles over E_q . Now let us explain the relations with Atiyah's theorem [1] and the work of Friedman and Morgan [7].

The D_q -module J_d corresponds to the unique indecomposable vector bundle I_d of rank n over E_q all of whose Jordan-Holder constituents are isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_{E_q} .

If we denote by $M_n(r, \lambda)$ the D_q -module $\mathbb{C}((t))_q[T]/(T^n + (-1)^{n-r}\lambda^{-1}t^r)$, then $M_n(r, \lambda)$ corresponds to the unique indecomposable bundle $L_n(r, \bar{\lambda})$ over E_q of rank n such that $\det L_n(r, \bar{\lambda}) = \mathcal{O}_{E_q}((r-1)p_{\bar{1}} + p_{\bar{\lambda}})$, where $p_{\bar{1}}$ and $p_{\bar{\lambda}}$ are divisors on E_q corresponding to $\bar{1}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{C}^\times/q^\mathbb{Z}$ respectively.

Atiyah's theorem states that $I_d \otimes L_n(r, \bar{\lambda})$ exhausts all indecomposable vector bundles over E_q up to isomorphism, and any vector bundle over E_q splits into a direct sum of indecomposables ones, with the quadruples $(d, n, r, \bar{\lambda})$ uniquely determined.

Thus the notions of degree, rank, stability and Harder-Narasimhan filtration on both categories coincide. For instance, any semi-stable vector bundle of slope r/n on E_q is of the form $\bigoplus_i I_{d_i} \otimes L_n(r, \bar{\lambda}_i)$. Compare this with Section 2.

Inspired by the notion of regular vector bundles defined in [7], let us make the following

Definition 4.1. For a semi-stable $V \in \mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{C}, t), \mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{C}, t)_S$ or $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{C}, t)_O$, define

$$\tilde{gr}V := \bigoplus_i V_i/V_{i-1}$$

where the $V_i \subseteq V$ form a filtration of D_q -submodules such that the V_i/V_{i-1} are stable with $\mu(V_i/V_{i-1}) = \mu(V)$. Here V_1 and V_2 are called S -equivalent if $\tilde{g}rV_1 \simeq \tilde{g}rV_2$. Also, V is said to be regular if $\dim \text{Aut}V \leq \dim \text{Aut}V'$ for any V' which is S -equivalent to V .

Of course we take the automorphism group in the corresponding categories. Lemma 2.11 implies that $\text{End}(V_{r,s}(\lambda)) \simeq \mathbb{C}$, $\text{End}(J_d \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda)) \simeq \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^d)$ in $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{C}, t)$. Let us give some results on regular semi-stable D_q -modules, which are compatible with the description of regular bundles in [7]. It is easy to figure out the proof, which we shall not give here.

Theorem 4.2. *A semi-stable $V \in \mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{C}, t)$ is regular if and only if it is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_i J_{d_i} \otimes V_{r,s}(\lambda_i)$$

where the $\bar{\lambda}_i$'s are all distinct. In this case $\dim \text{Aut}V = \sum_i d_i$.

Theorem 4.3. *A semi-stable $V \in \mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{C}, t)_S$ is regular if and only if the image of V in $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{C}, t)$ is regular, i.e. isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_{i, \bar{\lambda}_i \notin E_q[2]} J_{d_i} \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda_i) \oplus J_{d_i} \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda_i^{-1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\bar{\lambda} \in E_q[2]} J_{2d_{\bar{\lambda}}} \otimes V_{0,1}(\lambda),$$

where the $(\bar{\lambda}_i, \bar{\lambda}_i^{-1})$'s are all distinct. In this case

$$\dim \text{Aut}V = \sum_i d_i + \sum_{\bar{\lambda} \in E_q[2]} d_{\bar{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2} \dim V.$$

We refer the reader to [7, Proposition 7.7] for the orthogonal case, which is more complicated.

Finally, we would like to mention that if we consider a general complex connected semi-simple algebraic group G , then along this line the theorem due to V. Baranovsky and V. Ginzburg [2] states that there is a bijection between the set of integral q -conjugacy classes (i.e. containing an element of $G(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$) in $G(\mathbb{C}((t)))$ and the set of isomorphism classes of semi-stable holomorphic principal G -bundles on E_q .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank his advisor, Professor Yongchang Zhu, for many enlightening and helpful discussions. He also thanks the referee for many valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **7**, 1957, 414–452. MR0131423 (24:A1274)
2. V. Baranovsky, V. Ginzburg, *Conjugacy classes in loop groups and G -bundles on elliptic curves*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 1996, no. 15, 733–751. MR1413870 (97j:20044)
3. Collected mathematical papers of George David Birkhoff, Volume 1. Dover Publications, 1968. MR0235971 (38:4271a)
4. D. H. Collingwood, W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993. MR1251060 (94j:17001)
5. J. Dieudonné, *Groupes de Lie et hyperalgèbres de Lie sur un corps de caractéristique $p > 0$* , Math. Ann. **134** (1957), 114–133. MR0098146 (20:4608)

6. L. Di Vizio, *Arithmetic theory of q -difference equations*, Invent. Math. **150** (2002), no. 3, 517–578. MR1946552 (2005a:12013)
7. R. Friedman, J. W. Morgan, *Holomorphic principal bundles over elliptic curves*, arXiv: math/9811130.
8. G. Harder, M. S. Narasimhan, *On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves*, Math. Ann. **212** (1974/75), 215–248. MR0364254 (51:509)
9. N. Katz, *A simple algorithm for cyclic vectors*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), no. 1, 65–70. MR878198 (88b:13001)
10. Y. Manin, *The theory of formal groups over fields of finite characteristic*, Russ. Math. Surv. **18**, no. 6 (1963), 1–84. MR0157972 (28:1200)
11. M. van der Put, M. Reversat, *Galois theory of q -difference equations*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **16** (2007), no. 3, 665–718. MR2379057 (2009d:39033)
12. J. Sauloy, *Systèmes aux q -différences singuliers réguliers: classification, matrice de connexion et monodromie*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **50** (2000), no. 4, 1021–1071. MR1799737 (2001m:39043)
13. J. Sauloy, *La filtration canonique par les pentes d'un module aux q -différences et le gradué associé*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), no. 1, 181–210. MR2069126 (2006d:39031)
14. J.-P. Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 67. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. MR554237 (82e:12016)
15. J. Tate, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Invent. Math. **23** (1974), 179–206. MR0419359 (54:7380)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG

Current address: School of Mathematical Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: math.dwliu@gmail.com