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Abstract. As a generalization of formulas given in earlier papers by Demailly-Ein-Lazarsfeld, Eisenstein and Takagi, we prove a subadditivity formula for multiplier ideals associated to log pairs.

Introduction

Multiplier ideals satisfy vanishing theorems, making them a fundamental tool in higher-dimensional algebraic geometry. They are defined as follows: let $(X, \Delta)$ be a log pair; that is, let $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on a normal variety $X$ over a field of characteristic zero such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let $a \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ be an ideal sheaf and $t > 0$ be a real number. Suppose that $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a log resolution of $(X, \Delta, a)$; that is, $\pi$ is a proper birational morphism with $\tilde{X}$ nonsingular such that $a\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-F)$ is invertible and $\text{Exc}(\pi) \cup \text{Supp}(\pi^{-1}_*\Delta) \cup \text{Supp}(F)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Then the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^t)$ of $a$ with exponent $t$ for the pair $(X, \Delta)$ is $\mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^t) = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}([K_{\tilde{X}} - \pi^*(K_X + \Delta) - tF]) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$.

Demailly, Ein and Lazarsfeld [4] formulated a subadditivity property of multiplier ideals on nonsingular varieties, which states that the multiplier ideal of the product of two ideal sheaves is contained in the product of their individual multiplier ideals. Their formula has many interesting applications in algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, such as Fujita’s approximation theorem (see [8] and [13, Theorem 10.3.5]) and its local analogue (see [6]), a problem on the growth of symbolic powers of ideals in regular rings (see [5]), etc. Later, Takagi [18] and Eisenstein [7] generalized their formula to the case of $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein varieties, that is, the case when $\Delta = 0$ in the above definition of multiplier ideals. In this article, we study a further generalization to the case of log pairs, when the importance of multiplier ideals is particularly highlighted. The following is our main result.

Theorem (Theorems 2.3 and 3.5). Let $X$ be a normal variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that $r(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier for some integer $r \geq 1$. Let $\text{Jac}_X$ denote the Jacobian ideal sheaf of $X$. Then

$$\text{Jac}_X \cdot \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^s b^t \mathcal{O}_X(-r\Delta)^{1/r}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^s) \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); b^t)$$

for any ideal sheaves $a, b \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ and for any real numbers $s, t > 0$.
We give two proofs of this. The first proof is a refinement of the argument in [18]. We give a subadditivity formula for big generalized test ideals, which itself is interesting from the point of view of algebraic geometry and commutative algebra in positive characteristic. Then we use a correspondence between multiplier ideals and big generalized test ideals (see [10] and [17]) to obtain the assertion. In the second proof, we employ the same method as that used in [7]. We pull back the problem to the product $X \times X$ and then the desired formula on $X$ is obtained by restricting to the diagonal. We use a factorizing embedded resolution to compute the restriction of a multiplier ideal on $X \times X$ to the diagonal.

An interesting application of our formula is found in [2].

1. Preliminaries on big generalized test ideals

In this section, we briefly review the definition and basic properties of big generalized test ideals, which we will need later. The reader is referred to [9], [10], [17] and [11] for details. The reader interested only in an algebro-geometric proof of our result can skip this section and go directly to Section 3.

Throughout this paper, all schemes are Noetherian, excellent and separated. A graded family of ideal sheaves $a_\bullet = \{a_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ on an integral scheme $X$ means a collection of nonzero ideal sheaves $a_m \subseteq O_X$, satisfying $a_0 = O_X$ and $a_k a_l \subseteq a_{k+l}$ for all $k, l \geq 1$. For example, given an ideal sheaf $a \subseteq O_X$ and a real number $t \geq 0$, $a_\bullet = \{a^{[tm]}\}$ is a graded family of ideal sheaves on $X$. Another example of graded families of ideal sheaves is $I_\Delta^{(*)} = \{O_X(-\lceil m\Delta \rceil)\}$, where $\Delta$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on a normal scheme $X$.

Let $X$ be an integral scheme of prime characteristic $p$. For each integer $e \geq 1$, we denote by $F^e : X \to X$ or $F^e : O_X \to F^e_\ast O_X$ the $e$-th iteration of the absolute Frobenius morphism on $X$. We say that $X$ is $F$-finite if $F : X \to X$ is a finite morphism. For example, a field $K$ of characteristic $p > 0$ is $F$-finite if and only if $[K : K^p]$ is finite. Given an ideal sheaf $I \subseteq O_X$, for each $q = p^e$, we denote by $I^{[q]} \subseteq O_X$ the ideal sheaf identified with $I \cdot F^e_\ast O_X$ via the identification $F^e_\ast O_X \cong O_X$.

We give the definition of big generalized test ideals, using a generalization of tight closure [10], [17]. First we recall the definition of a generalization of tight closure.

**Definition 1.1** ([10] Definition 6.1], [17] Definition 2.1], [16] Definition 2.16). Let $X$ be a $d$-dimensional $F$-finite normal integral affine scheme of characteristic $p > 0$, $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ and $a_\bullet$ be a graded family of ideals on $X$.

(i) Let $I \subseteq O_X$ be a nonzero ideal. Then the $(\Delta, a_\bullet)$-tight closure $I^{(\Delta, a_\bullet)}$ of $I$ is defined to be the ideal of $O_X$ consisting of all $z \in O_X$ for which there exists a nonzero element $c \in O_X$ such that

$$ca_{q-1}z^q \in I^{[q]}O_X(\lceil (q-1)\Delta \rceil)$$

for all large $q = p^e$.

(ii) Denote by $E = \bigoplus_x H^d_x(\omega_X)$ the direct sum, taken over all closed points $x \in X$, of the $d$-th local cohomology modules of the canonical module $\omega_X$ of $X$ with support on $x$. For each integer $e \geq 1$, let

$$F^e_\ast : E = \bigoplus_x H^d_x(O_X(K_X)) \to \bigoplus_x H^d_x(O_X(p^eK_X))$$

be the pullback map.
be the map induced by the $e$-times iterated Frobenius map $F^e: \mathcal{O}_X \to F^e \mathcal{O}_X$. Then the $(\Delta, a_\bullet)$-tight closure $0^*_{\mathcal{E}}(\Delta, a_\bullet)$ of the zero submodule in $\mathcal{E}$ is defined to be the submodule of $\mathcal{E}$ consisting of all $z \in \mathcal{E}$ for which there exists a nonzero element $c \in \mathcal{O}_X$ such that

$$ca_{q-1}F^e_\mathcal{E}(z) = 0 \text{ in } \bigoplus_x H^d_x(\mathcal{O}_X(qK_X + [(q-1)\Delta]))$$

for all large $q = p^e$.

(iii) We say that a nonzero element $c \in \mathcal{O}_X$ is a big sharp test element for the triple $(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$ if for all $z \in 0^*_{\mathcal{E}}(\Delta, a_\bullet)$, we have

$$ca_{q-1}F^e_\mathcal{E}(z) = 0 \text{ in } \bigoplus_x H^d_x(\mathcal{O}_X(qK_X + [(q-1)\Delta]))$$

for every $q = p^e$. Big sharp test elements always exist (see [16, Lemma 2.17]).

**Proposition-Definition 1.2** ([11] Definition-Proposition 3.3]; cf. [9] Lemma 2.1]). Let the notation be the same as in Definition 1.1. Then each of the following conditions defines the same ideal, which is called the big generalized test ideal for the triple $(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$ and denoted by $\tau_b(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$.

(a) $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_X} 0^*_{\mathcal{E}}(\Delta, a_\bullet)$.

(b) The ideal generated by all big sharp test elements for $(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$.

(c) For any integer $e_0 \geq 1$, the sum

$$\sum_{c \geq e_0} \sum \phi_c(F^e_\mathcal{E}(ca_{p^e-1}))),$$

where $\phi_c$ ranges over all elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F^e_\mathcal{E} \mathcal{O}_X([(p^e-1)\Delta]), \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $c$ is a big sharp test element for $(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$.

When $\Delta = 0$, we denote this ideal simply by $\tau_b(X, a_\bullet)$. When $a_\bullet = \{a^{t/m}\}$ for a nonzero ideal $a \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ and a real number $t > 0$, we denote this ideal by $\tau_b(X, \Delta, a^t)$.

**Remark 1.3.** (1) Given graded families of ideals $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ on $X$, we can define the ideal $\tau_b(X, \Delta, a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ in the same manner as above.

(2) ([19, Remark 1.4]) $\tau_b(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$ is equal to the unique maximal element among the set of ideals $\{\tau_b(X, \Delta, a_p^{1/p^e})\}_{e \geq 0}$ with respect to inclusion. If $a_\bullet$ is a descending filtration, then $\tau_b(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$ is equal to the unique maximal element among the set of ideals $\{\tau_b(X, \Delta, a_m^{1/m})\}$.

(3) Since the formation of $\tau_b(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$ commutes with localization (see [9, Proposition 3.1]), we can define the ideal sheaf $\tau_b(X, \Delta, a_\bullet)$ when $X$ is a nonaffine scheme by gluing over affine charts.

Hara–Yoshida [10] and Takagi [17] proved a correspondence between multiplier ideals and big generalized test ideals. In order to state their results, we briefly recall how to reduce things from characteristic zero to characteristic $p > 0$. We refer the reader to [11, Chapter 2] and [15, Section 3.2] for details.

Let $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on a normal variety $X$ over a field $k$ of characteristic zero. Let $a \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ be an ideal sheaf and $t > 0$ be a real number. Then a model of $(X, \Delta, a)$ over a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra $A$ of $k$ is a triple $(X_A, \Delta_A, a_A)$ of a normal integral scheme $X_A$ of finite type over $A$, an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Delta_A$ on $X_A$ and an ideal sheaf $a_A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X_A}$ such that $X_A \times_{\text{Spec } A} \text{Spec } k \cong X$, $\rho^*\Delta_A = \Delta$.
and $\rho^{-1}a_A = a$, where $\rho : X \to X_A$ is a natural projection. Given a closed point 
$\mu \in \text{Spec } A$, we denote by $X_\mu$ (resp., $\Delta_\mu$, $a_\mu$) the fiber of $X_A$ (resp., $\Delta_A$, $a_A$) over $\mu$. Note that $X_\mu$ is a scheme of finite type over the residue field $\kappa(\mu)$ of $\mu$, which is 
a finite field.

**Theorem 1.4** ([17, Theorem 3.2], [10, Theorem 6.8]). Let $X$ be a normal variety 
over a field $k$ of characteristic zero and $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that 
$K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let $a$ be a nonzero ideal sheaf on $X$ and $t > 0$ be a real number. Given any model $(X_A, \Delta_A, a_A)$ over a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra $A$ of 
k, there exists an open subset $W \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ (depending on $t$) such that 
\[ J((X, \Delta); a^t)_\mu = \tau_b(X_\mu, \Delta_\mu, a^t_\mu) \]
for every closed point $\mu \in W$.

2. A Proof Using Big Generalized Test Ideals

In this section, we will give a subadditivity formula for multiplier ideals associated to log pairs, using big generalized test ideals. We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $X$ be an $F$-finite normal integral affine scheme of characteristic 
p > 0 and $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$. Let $a, b \subseteq O_X$ be ideals and $s, t > 0$ be real numbers.

1. For each integer $e \geq 1$, 
\[
\tau_b(X, a^e)F_e^s(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil p^e \Delta \rceil)) \subseteq \tau_b(X, \Delta, a^e)F_e^s\mathcal{O}_X.
\]
2. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\Delta} = \{\mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil m \Delta \rceil)\}$ be the graded family of ideals associated to $\Delta$. Then one has 
\[
\tau_b(X, a^s)a^e \tau_b(X, \Delta, a^e b^t \mathcal{I}_{\Delta}) \subseteq \tau_b(X, \Delta, a^s)\tau_b(X, \Delta, b^t).
\]

**Proof.** (1) Let $c \in \mathcal{O}_X$ be a big sharp test element for both $(X, a^t)$ and $(X, \Delta, a^t)$. By Proposition-Definition [17,2] 
\[
\tau_b(X, a^e)F_e^s(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil p^e \Delta \rceil))
= \sum_{e' \geq e} \sum_{\phi_{e'}} F_e^s(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil p^e \Delta \rceil))\phi_{e'}(F_{e'}^s(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil t(p^{e'-1}) \rceil)));
\]
where $\phi_{e'}$ ranges over all elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F_{e'}^s \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. For all elements $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil p^e \Delta \rceil)$, since $s^{p^{e'-1}} \in \mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil p^{e'} \Delta \rceil)$, 
\[
F_s^s s \cdot \phi_{e'} : F_s^s \mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil (p^{e'} - 1) \Delta \rceil) \to F_s^e \mathcal{O}_X \phi_{e'} \mathcal{O}_X
\]
is viewed as an element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F_s^e \mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil (p^{e'} - 1) \Delta \rceil), \mathcal{O}_X)$. Thus, applying Proposition-Definition [17,2] again, one has 
\[
\sum_{e' \geq e} \sum_{\phi_{e'}} F_{e'}^s(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil p^{e'} \Delta \rceil))\phi_{e'}(F_{e'}^s(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil t(p^{e'-1}) \rceil))
\subseteq \sum_{e' \geq e} \sum_{\psi_{e'}} \psi_{e'}(F_{e'}^s(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil t(p^{e'-1}) \rceil)))
\]
\[
= \tau_b(X, \Delta, a^e),
\]
where $\psi_{e'}$ ranges over all elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F_{e'}^s \mathcal{O}_X(-\lceil (p^{e'} - 1) \Delta \rceil), \mathcal{O}_X)$. 


(2) Since the formation of big generalized test ideals commutes with localization and completion (see [9, Propositions 3.1 and 3.2]), we may assume that \( (X, x) = \text{Spec } R \), where \((R, \mathfrak{m})\) is a \(d\)-dimensional complete local ring of characteristic \( p > 0 \). Let \( E = H^d_x(\omega_X) \) be the \( d\)-th local cohomology module of \( \omega_X \) with support on \( x \) and let \( F^e_E : E = H^d_x(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \to H^d_x(\mathcal{O}_X(p^eK_X)) \) be the map induced by the \( e\)-times iterated Frobenius map \( F^e : \mathcal{O}_X \to F^e_0\mathcal{O}_X \). Then by local duality, the assertion is equivalent to saying that

\[
\left( 0^s(\Delta, b^t I^*_\Delta) : \tau_b(X, a^s)^{a^s} \right)_E \supseteq \left( 0^s(\Delta, b^t) : \tau_b(X, \Delta, a^s) \right)_E.
\]

Let \( z \in \left( 0^s(\Delta, b^t) : \tau_b(R, \Delta, a^s) \right)_E \). Then there exists a nonzero element \( c \in \mathcal{O}_X \) such that

\[
c^b[F(t(q-1))] \tau_b(X, \Delta, a^s)^{[q]} F^e_E(z) = 0 \text{ in } H^d_x(\mathcal{O}_X(qK_X + [(q - 1)\Delta]))
\]

for all large \( q = p^e \). Fix any nonzero element \( \delta \in \mathcal{O}_X(-[\Delta]) \). By the definition of \( a^s\)-tight closure and (1), there exists another nonzero element \( c' \in \mathcal{O}_X \) such that

\[
c' \delta a^{[s(q-1)]} \mathcal{O}_X(-[(q - 1)\Delta]) (\tau_b(X, a^s)^{a^s})^{[q]} \subseteq c' a^{[s(q-1)]} \mathcal{O}_X(-[q\Delta]) (\tau_b(X, a^s)^{a^s})^{[q]}
\]

\[
\subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(-[q\Delta]) \tau_b(X, a^s)^{[q]}
\]

\[
\subseteq \tau_b(X, \Delta, a^s)^{[q]}
\]

for all large \( q = p^e \). Therefore, one has

\[
c c' \delta a^{[s(q-1)]} b[F(t(q-1))] \mathcal{O}_X(-[(q - 1)\Delta]) (\tau_b(X, a^s)^{a^s})^{[q]} F^e_E(z) = 0
\]

in \( H^d_x(\mathcal{O}_X(qK_X + [(q - 1)\Delta])) \) for all large \( q = p^e \). That is, \( \tau_b(X, a^s)^{a^s} z \subseteq 0^s(\Delta, b^t, I^*_\Delta) \).

As a consequence of the above lemma, we obtain a subadditivity formula for big generalized test ideals. We stress that \( K_X + \Delta \) is not necessarily \( \mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier in Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( X \) be a normal integral scheme essentially of finite type over an \( F\)-finite field and \( \Delta \) be an effective \( \mathbb{Q}\)-divisor on \( X \). Let \( I^{(\bullet)}_\Delta = \{ \mathcal{O}_X(-[m\Delta]) \} \) denote the graded family of ideal sheaves associated to \( \Delta \) and \( \text{Jac}_X \) denote the Jacobian ideal sheaf of \( X \). Then

\[
\text{Jac}_X \cdot \tau_b \left( X, \Delta, a^s b^t I^{(\bullet)}_\Delta \right) \subseteq \tau_b \left( X, \Delta, a^s \right) \tau_b \left( X, \Delta, b^t \right)
\]

for any ideal sheaves \( a, b \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X \) and for any real numbers \( s, t > 0 \).

**Proof.** The question is local, so we may assume that \( X \) is affine. Since \( \text{Jac}_X \subseteq \tau_b(X, a^s)^{a^s} \) by [18] Lemma 2.6, the assertion immediately follows from Lemma 2.1 (2).

Before formulating a subadditivity property of multiplier ideals, we recall the definition of asymptotic multiplier ideal sheaves. Let \( \Delta \) be an effective \( \mathbb{Q}\)-divisor on a normal variety \( X \) over a field of characteristic zero such that \( K_X + \Delta \) is \( \mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier. Let \( a_\bullet = \{ a_m \} \) be a graded family of ideal sheaves on \( X \). Then the **asymptotic multiplier ideal sheaf** \( \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a_\bullet) \) of \( a_\bullet \) for the pair \((X, \Delta)\) is defined to be the
unique maximal member among the family of ideal sheaves \( \{ J((X, \Delta); a^m) \} \) with respect to inclusion. We refer the reader to [13] Chapter 10 for details.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( X \) be a normal variety over a field of characteristic zero and \( \Delta \) be an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( X \) such that \( K_X + \Delta \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier. Let \( \mathcal{I}^{(\bullet)} = \{ \mathcal{O}_X(-[m\Delta]) \} \) denote the graded family of ideal sheaves associated to \( \Delta \) and let \( \text{Jac}_X \) denote the Jacobian ideal sheaf of \( X \). Then

\[
\text{Jac}_X \cdot J((X, \Delta); a^s b^t \mathcal{I}^{(\bullet)}) \subseteq J((X, \Delta); a^s) J((X, \Delta); b^t)
\]

for any ideal sheaves \( a, b \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X \) and for any real numbers \( s, t > 0 \).

**Proof.** Take sufficiently large and divisible \( m \) such that

\[
J((X, \Delta); a^s b^t \mathcal{I}^{(\bullet)}) = J((X, \Delta); a^s b^t \mathcal{O}_X (-[m\Delta])^{1/m}).
\]

It follows from a combination of Remark 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 2.2 that for a model \((X_A, \Delta_A, a_A, b_A)\) over a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z} \)-subalgebra \( A \) of \( k \), there exists an open subset \( W \subseteq \text{Spec} \, A \) such that

\[
(\text{Jac}_X)_\mu \cdot J((X, \Delta); a^s b^t \mathcal{O}_X (-[m\Delta])^{1/m})_\mu
\]

\[
= \text{Jac}_{X_\mu} \cdot \tau_b(X_\mu, \Delta_\mu, a^s_\mu b^t_\mu \mathcal{O}_{X_\mu} (-[m\Delta_\mu])^{1/m})
\]

\[
\subseteq \text{Jac}_{X_\mu} \cdot \tau_b(X_\mu, \Delta_\mu, a^s_\mu b^t_\mu \mathcal{I}^{(\bullet)}_\mu)
\]

\[
\subseteq \tau_b(X_\mu, \Delta_\mu, a^s_\mu) \cdot \tau_b(X_\mu, \Delta_\mu, b^t_\mu)
\]

\[
= J((X, \Delta); a^s)_\mu \cdot J((X, \Delta); b^t)_\mu
\]

for all closed points \( \mu \in W \). This implies that

\[
\text{Jac}_X \cdot J((X, \Delta); a^s b^t \mathcal{O}_X (-[m\Delta])^{1/m}) \subseteq J((X, \Delta); a^s) J((X, \Delta); b^t).
\]

\[\square\]

3. **AN ALGEBRO-GEOMETRIC PROOF**

In this section, employing the same method as that used in [7], we give an algebro-geometric proof of the above subadditivity formula for multiplier ideals. Throughout this section, let \( X \) be a \( d \)-dimensional normal variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and \( \Delta \) be an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( X \) such that \( K_X + \Delta \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier. For a closed subscheme \( Z \) of \( X \), we denote by \( \mathcal{I}_Z \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X \) the defining ideal sheaf of \( Z \) in \( X \).

First we recall the definition of factorizing embedded resolutions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( Z \) be a reduced closed subscheme of \( X \) which is not contained in the singular locus \( \text{Sing}(X) \) of \( X \). A **factorizing embedded resolution** of \( Z \) in \( X \) is a proper birational morphism \( f : \overline{X} \to X \) with \( \overline{X} \) smooth such that

(a) \( f \) is an isomorphism at every generic point of \( Z \subseteq X \),

(b) the exceptional locus \( \text{Exc}(f) \) is a simple normal crossing divisor,

(c) the strict transform \( \overline{Z} \) of \( Z \) in \( \overline{X} \) is smooth and has simple normal crossings with \( \text{Exc}(f) \),

(d) \( \mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_X(-R_Z) \), where \( R_Z \) is an \( f \)-exceptional divisor on \( \overline{X} \).

Such a resolution always exists (see [3]).
Lemma 3.2 (cf. [7 Lemma 3.6]). Let $a \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ be an ideal sheaf and $t > 0$ be a real number. Let $Z \subseteq X$ be a reduced equidimensional closed subscheme of codimension $c$, none of whose components is contained in $\text{Sing}(X) \cup \text{Supp}(\Delta) \cup \text{Supp}(V(a))$. Let $f : \overline{X} \to X$ be a log resolution of $(X, \Delta, a)$ which is simultaneously a factorizing embedded resolution of $Z$ in $X$ so that $\mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}} = \mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-R_Z)$, where $\overline{Z}$ is the strict transform of $Z$ in $\overline{X}$. Put

$$B := [K_{\overline{X}} - f^*(X_X + \Delta) - t \cdot f^{-1}(V(a)) - c \cdot R_Z].$$

Then the restriction map

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(B) \to f|_{\overline{Z}}_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}}(B|_{\overline{Z}})$$

is surjective.

Proof. It suffices to show that $R^1f_* (\mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(B)) = 0$. Let $g : Y \to \overline{X}$ be the blowup of $\overline{X}$ along $\overline{Z}$ with reduced exceptional divisor $E$, and denote by $h = (g \circ f) : Y \to X$ the composite morphism. Since

$$(\mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_Y)g^* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(B) = \mathcal{O}_Y([g^*K_{\overline{X}} - h^*(X_X + \Delta) - t \cdot h^{-1}(V(a)) - c \cdot g^*R_Z - E])$$

$$(\mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_Y)g^* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(B) = \mathcal{O}_Y([K_Y - h^*(X_X + \Delta) - t \cdot h^{-1}(V(a)) - c \cdot h^{-1}(X)])$$

it follows from the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem that

$$R^i h_* ((\mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_Y)g^* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(B)) = R^i g_* ((\mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_Y)g^* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(B)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$. We use the Leray spectral sequence to conclude that

$$R^i f_* (\mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(B)) = R^i f_* (g_* ((\mathcal{I}_Z \mathcal{O}_Y)g^* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(B))) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$. □

Definition 3.3. Given any positive integer $r$ such that $r(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier, consider the natural map

$$\rho_{r, \Delta} : (\Omega^d_X)^{\otimes r} \to \mathcal{O}_X(rK_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X(r(K_X + \Delta)).$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ be the ideal sheaf so that $\text{Im} \rho_{r, \Delta} = \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta} \mathcal{O}_X(r(K_X + \Delta))$. Note that if $\text{Jac}_X$ is the Jacobian ideal sheaf of $X$, then $\text{Jac}_X \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-r\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta}$.

Lemma 3.4 (cf. [7 Lemma 4.5]). Let $f : \overline{X} \to X$ be a birational morphism with $X$ smooth and $\text{Jac}_f$ be the Jacobian ideal sheaf of $f$. Given an integer $r \geq 1$ such that $r(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier, one has

$$\text{Jac}_f^r = \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-r(K_{\overline{X}} - f^*(X_X + \Delta))).$$

Proof. First note that by the definition of $\text{Jac}_f$, the image of the natural map $f^*(\Omega^d_X)^{\otimes r} \to \mathcal{O}_X(rK_{\overline{X}})$ coincides with $\text{Jac}_f^r \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(rK_{\overline{X}})$. Consider the decomposition $r(K_{\overline{X}} - f^*(X_X + \Delta)) = K_+ - K_-$, where $K_+, K_-$ are effective divisors on $\overline{X}$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_X(-K_-) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{O}_X(rK_X) \\
\mathcal{O}_X(r(K_X + \Delta)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-K_-) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{O}_X(rK_X) \\
\end{array}
$$
Computing the images of these maps, we see that
\[ \text{Jac}_f^r \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-K_-) = \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta} \mathcal{O}_X(-K_+), \]
which gives the assertion. \qed

Now we state a subadditivity formula for multiplier ideals involving the ideal sheaf \( \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta} \).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( X \) be a normal variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and \( \Delta \) be an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( X \) such that \( r(K_X + \Delta) \) is Cartier for an integer \( r \geq 1 \). Let \( \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta} \) be the ideal sheaf given in Definition 3.3. Then
\[ \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^*b^! \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta}^{1/r}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^*) \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); b^!)(*) \]
for any ideal sheaves \( a, b \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X \) and for any real numbers \( s, t > 0 \). In particular,
\[ \text{Jac}_X \cdot \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^*b^! \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta}^{1/r}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^*) \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); b^!), \]
where \( \text{Jac}_X \) is the integral closure of the Jacobian ideal sheaf of \( X \) and \( \mathcal{I}_{\Delta}^{(s)} = \{ \mathcal{O}_X(-[m\Delta]) \} \) is the graded family of ideal sheaves associated to \( \Delta \).

**Proof.** Let \( p_1, p_2 : X \times X \to X \) be the natural projections. We regard \( X \) as a closed subvariety of \( X \times X \) via the diagonal embedding \( X \hookrightarrow X \times X \). Since
\[ J((X, \Delta); a^* \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); b^!)) = J((X \times X, p_1^* \Delta + p_2^* \Delta); (p_1^{-1}a)^s(p_2^{-1}b)^t)|_X, \]
it is enough to show that
\[ \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); a^*b^! \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta}^{1/r}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}((X \times X, p_1^* \Delta + p_2^* \Delta); (p_1^{-1}a)^s(p_2^{-1}b)^t)|_X. \]
Let \( \pi : \tilde{X} \to X \) be a log resolution of \( \Delta, a, b \) and \( \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta} \) so that \( \mathcal{I}_{r, \Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-F_{r, \Delta}) \), and denote by \( g = \pi \times \pi : \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \to X \times X \) the product morphism. Note that the restriction of \( g \) to the diagonal is nothing but \( \pi \). Let \( h : Y \to \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \) be a morphism such that the composite morphism \( \tilde{f} = (h \circ g) : Y \to X \times X \) is a factoring embedded resolution of \( X \) in \( X \times X \) and \( \mathcal{I}_X \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \mathcal{O}_Y(-R_X) \), where \( \tilde{X} \) is the strict transform of \( X \) in \( Y \):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
Y \xrightarrow{h} \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{g} X \times X \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{h|X} X \xrightarrow{\pi} X
\end{array}
\]

Put \( B = K_Y - \tilde{f}^*(K_{X \times X} + p_1^* \Delta + p_2^* \Delta) \) and denote by \( d \) the dimension of \( X \). It then follows from Lemma 3.2 that
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}|_{\tilde{X}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}((B - s \cdot f^{-1}(V(p_1^{-1}a)) - t \cdot f^{-1}(V(p_2^{-1}b)) - d \cdot R_X)|_{\tilde{X}}) \\
= f_* \mathcal{O}_Y((B - s \cdot f^{-1}(V(p_1^{-1}a)) - t \cdot f^{-1}(V(p_2^{-1}b)) - d \cdot R_X)|_{X}) \\
\subseteq f_* \mathcal{O}_Y((B - s \cdot f^{-1}(V(p_1^{-1}a)) - t \cdot f^{-1}(V(p_2^{-1}b)))|_{X}) \\
= \mathcal{J}((X \times X, p_1^* \Delta + p_2^* \Delta); (p_1^{-1}a)^s(p_2^{-1}b)^t)|_X.
\end{align*}
\]
Claim.

\[(B - d \cdot R_X)|_X \geq K_X - f|_X^*(K_X + \Delta) - \frac{1}{r} \cdot h|_X^*F_{r,\Delta}.\]

**Proof of Claim.** Applying Lemma 3.4 to \(h, \pi\) and \(f|_X\), one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Jac}_h &= O_Y(-K_{Y/\bar{X}\times\bar{X}}), \\
\text{Jac}_s &= O_{\bar{X}}(-r(K_{\bar{X}} - \pi^*(K_X + \Delta)) - F_{r,\Delta}), \\
\text{Jac}_f &= O_X(-r(K_X - f|_X^*(K_X + \Delta)) - h|_X^*F_{r,\Delta}).
\end{align*}
\]

It follows from [7, Lemma 6.3] (\(X\) is assumed to be normal and \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Gorenstein in [7], but the same statement holds when \(X\) is only normal) that

\[\text{Jac}_h|_X (\text{Jac}_\pi \cdot O_X)^2 \subseteq \text{Jac}_f|_X \cdot O_X(-d \cdot R_X|_X),\]

which is equivalent to saying that

\[
\begin{align*}
-K_{Y/\bar{X}\times\bar{X}}|_X - 2 \cdot h|_X^*K_{\bar{X}} + 2 \cdot f|_X^*(K_X + \Delta) - 2 \cdot r \cdot h|_X^*F_{r,\Delta} \\
\leq -K_X + f|_X^*(K_X + \Delta) - \frac{1}{r} \cdot h|_X^*F_{r,\Delta} - d \cdot R_X|_X.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that \((K_{\bar{X}} - \tilde{h}(\pi^*(K_X + p_s^\ast \Delta + p_1^\ast \Delta))|_\bar{X} = 2(K_{\bar{X}} - \pi^*(K_X + \Delta)).\) Thus, substituting this equality to the above inequality, one has

\[K_{\bar{X}} - f|_X^*(K_X + \Delta) - \frac{1}{r} \cdot h|_X^*F_{r,\Delta} \leq (B - d \cdot R_X)|_X.\]

By the above claim, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}( (X, \Delta); a^sb^t \mathcal{T}^{1/r}_{r,\Delta} ) \\
= f|_X^*O_X([K_X - f|_X^*(K_X + \Delta) - s \cdot f|_X^{-1}(V(a)) \\
- t \cdot f|_X^{-1}(V(b)) - \frac{1}{r} \cdot h|_X^*F_{r,\Delta}] \\
\subseteq f|_X^*O_X([B - s \cdot f|_X^{-1}(V(p_1 a)) - t \cdot f|_X^{-1}(V(p_2 b)) - d \cdot R_X]|_X) \\
\subseteq \mathcal{J}( (X \times X, p_1^\ast \Delta + p_2^\ast \Delta); (p_1 a)^s(p_2 b)^t )|_X.
\end{align*}
\]

**Remark 3.6.** The inclusion (*) in Theorem 3.5 involves not the Jacobian ideal sheaf but its integral closure, so Theorem 3.5 is a little bit stronger than Theorem 2.3 in this sense. We do not know at the moment how to prove the inclusion (*) using big generalized test ideals. The difficulty is illustrated in the fact that big generalized test ideals are not necessarily integrally closed (see [14]).
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