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Abstract. We discuss several kinds of Willmore surfaces of flat normal bundle in this paper. First we show that every S-Willmore surface with flat normal bundle in $S^n$ must be located in some $S^3 \subset S^n$, from which we characterize the Clifford torus as the only non-equatorial homogeneous minimal surface in $S^n$ with flat normal bundle, which improves a result of K. Yang. Then we derive that every Willmore two sphere with flat normal bundle in $S^n$ is conformal to a minimal surface with embedded planer ends in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We also point out that for a class of Willmore tori, they have a flat normal bundle if and only if they are located in some $S^3$. In the end, we show that a Willmore surface with flat normal bundle must locate in some $S^6$.

1. Introduction

The fundamental work of Bryant on Willmore surfaces [2] introduces several directions for the study of Willmore surfaces. The existence of dual surfaces reveals the transforming properties of Willmore surfaces, generalized in many papers, for example [7,3,13]. The harmonicity of conformal Gauss map leads to the use of integrable systems in this field [3,9,23,6]. Also, the classification theorem of Willmore 2-spheres is generalized in all kinds of ways [3,6,7,14,16,18,17]. Although there has been much interesting progress on this topic, it is still not easy for people to have a lot of knowledge on Willmore surfaces, mainly due to the complexity of Willmore equations and the lack of powerful tools. Then people began to understand Willmore surfaces with special conformal properties, see [4,7,15,11] for instance.

In this paper we want to see what will happen if a Willmore surface is of flat normal bundle. This problem is motivated by the following considerations. Firstly, a theorem in [4] tells us that isothermic Willmore surfaces in $S^n$ can be reduced to some $S^4$. So it is natural to ask what will happen if we weaken the isothermic condition to be of flat normal bundle, which is also a conformal invariant property. Secondly, in another interesting paper [11], it was shown that Willmore tori in $S^4$ with non-trivial normal bundle (hence non-flat normal bundle) are in fact given by holomorphic data. This also enables us to consider Willmore surfaces of flat normal bundle (the simplest one of those of trivial normal bundle). The last reason is related to the classification problem of Willmore spheres. Willmore spheres in $S^3$...
and in $S^4$ (which are all S-Willmore) have been classified and studied in detail in the papers of Bryant [2], Ejiri [7], Musso [18], Montiel [17], etc. Ejiri also classified S-Willmore spheres in $S^n$ for all $n \geq 3$. In a recent work, Dorfmeister and the author showed that there exist non-S-Willmore Willmore spheres in $S^6$ and gave a classification of Willmore spheres in $S^6$ by the use of loop group methods. However, the geometric meaning of the classification stays unclear. So we want to have a look at Willmore spheres in a geometric way, which leads us to the consideration of Willmore spheres of flat normal bundle.

It is not easy to show the existence or non-existence of Willmore surfaces with flat normal bundle. An example is the work of Yang [24] on homogeneous minimal surfaces with flat normal bundle in $S^n$, where he reduced such surfaces into some real analytic variety. This did not give a sufficient description of such surfaces. For our case, we add some further conditions. First we assume that the Willmore surfaces are S-Willmore. Then we show that they must reduce to some $S^3 \subset S^n$. Noticing that minimal surfaces in $S^n$ are S-Willmore, we simplify the result of [24]. In fact we show that the Clifford torus is the only homogeneous minimal surface in $S^n$ with flat normal bundle. Second we consider Willmore two spheres with flat normal bundle. Then by a detailed computation, we show that such surfaces will also reduce to some $S^3 \subset S^n$. Third we focus on surfaces in $S^{2n+1}$ derived from the Hopf bundle $\pi: S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n$, showing that such surfaces must locate in some $S^3$ if they are assumed of flat normal bundle. In the end, to compare with [3], similar to the treatment in [3], by use of detailed discussion on the integrable equations, we show that every Willmore surface with flat normal bundle locates in some $S^6$, which is weaker than the results in [3].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we quickly review the main theory of Willmore surfaces and give some basic description of surfaces of flat normal bundle. Then we do the same with S-Willmore surfaces with flat normal bundle and Willmore spheres with flat normal bundle respectively in Section 3 and Section 4. In Section 5 we discuss the surfaces related to the Hopf bundle. Then we end the paper by giving some more discussion on the integrable equations in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

We denote the Minkowski space-time $\mathbb{R}^n_1$ as $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with a Lorenzian metric $\langle x, y \rangle = -x_0y_0 + x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_ny_n$. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the light cone of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1$. One can see that the projective light cone

$$Q^n = \{ [x] \in \mathbb{R}P^{n+1} \mid x \in C^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \}$$

with the induced conformal metric is conformally equivalent to $S^n$. Also, the conformal group of $Q^n$ is exactly the orthogonal group $O(n+1, 1)/\{\pm 1\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1$ acting on $Q^n$ by $T([x]) = [Tx], \forall T \in O(n + 1, 1)$.

Let $y: M \to S^n$ be a conformal immersion from a Riemann surface $M$. Let $U \subset M$ be an open subset. A local lift of $y$ is a map $Y: U \to C^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\pi \circ Y = y$. Two different local lifts differ by a scaling, thus deriving the same conformal metric on $M$. Here we call $y$ a conformal immersion if $\langle Y_z, Y_{\bar{z}} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle Y_z, Y_z \rangle > 0$ for any local lift $Y$ and any complex coordinate $z$ on $M$. There is a
natural decomposition \( M \times \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} = V \oplus V^\perp \), where
\[
V = \text{Span}\{Y, \text{Re}Y_z, \text{Im}Y_z, Y_{zz}\}
\]
is a Lorentzian rank-4 subbundle independent of the choice of \( Y \) and \( z \), and \( V^\perp \)
is the orthogonal complement of \( V \). Note that both \( V \) and \( V^\perp \) are conformal
invariant. Their complexifications are denoted separately as \( V_C \) and \( V_C^\perp \).

Fix a local coordinate \( z \). There is a local lift \( Y \) satisfying \(|dY|^2 = |dz|^2\), called
the canonical lift (with respect to \( z \)). Choose a frame \( \{Y, Y_z, Y_{\bar{z}}, N\} \) of \( V_C \), where
\( N \in \Gamma(V) \) is uniquely determined by
\[
\langle N, Y_z \rangle = \langle N, Y_{\bar{z}} \rangle = \langle N, N \rangle = 0, \langle N, Y \rangle = -1.
\]
The map \( Gr : M \to Gr_{3,1}(R_1^{n+2}) \) defined by
\[
p \in M \mapsto V_{|p}
\]
is denoted the conformal Gauss map of \( y \) (see also [2, 4, 7, 14]).

Given frames as above and noting that \( Y_{zz} \) is orthogonal to \( Y, Y_z \) and \( Y_{\bar{z}} \), there
exist a complex function \( s \) and a section \( \kappa \in \Gamma(V_C^\perp) \) such that
\[
Y_{zz} = -\frac{s}{2}Y + \kappa.
\]
This defines two basic invariants \( \kappa \) and \( s \) depending on coordinates \( z \), the conformal
Hopf differential and the Schwarzian of \( y \) (for more discussion, see [4, 14]). The
conformal Hopf differential plays an important role in the research of Willmore
surfaces. Direct computation shows that the conformal Gauss map \( Gr \) induces a
conformal-invariant metric
\[
g := \frac{1}{4} \langle dG, dG \rangle = \langle \kappa, \bar{\kappa} \rangle |dz|^2
\]
on \( M \). Note that this metric degenerates at umbilic points of \( y \). Now we define
the Willmore functional and Willmore surfaces by use of this metric.

**Definition 2.1.** The Willmore functional of \( y \) is defined as the area of \( M \) with
respect to the metric above:
\[
W(y) := 2i \int_M \langle \kappa, \bar{\kappa} \rangle dz \wedge d\bar{z}.
\]
An immersed surface \( y : M \to S^n \) is called a Willmore surface if it is a critical
surface of the Willmore functional with respect to any variation of the map \( y : M \to S^n \).

To compare with the traditional definition, let \( x : M \to \mathbb{R}^n \) be the spherical
projection of \( y \) into \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( H, K \) denote the mean curvature and Gauss curvature
of \( x \). Then one can easily verify that
\[
W(y) = W(x) = \int_M (H^2 - K) dM,
\]
coinciding with the original definition of a Willmore functional.

Let \( D \) denote the normal connection and \( \psi \in \Gamma(V_C^\perp) \) any section of the normal
bundle. The structure equations are given as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
Y_{zz} &= -\frac{s}{2}Y + \kappa, \\
Y_{z\bar{z}} &= -\langle \kappa, \bar{\kappa} \rangle Y + \frac{s}{2}N, \\
N_z &= -2\langle \kappa, \bar{\kappa} \rangle Y_z - 8Y_{\bar{z}} + 2D_{\bar{z}}\kappa, \\
\psi_z &= D_z\psi + 2\langle \psi, D_z\kappa \rangle Y - 2\langle \psi, \kappa \rangle Y_{\bar{z}}.
\end{align*}
\]
The conformal Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations as integrable conditions are

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} s_{\bar{z}} = 3\langle \kappa, D_{\bar{z}}\bar{\kappa} \rangle + \langle D_{\bar{z}}\kappa, \bar{\kappa} \rangle , \\
\text{Im}(D_{z}D_{\bar{z}}\kappa + \frac{s}{2}\kappa) = 0 , \\
R^{D}_{zz}\psi = D_{z}D_{\bar{z}}\psi - D_{\bar{z}}D_{z}\psi = 2\langle \psi, \kappa \rangle \bar{\kappa} - 2\langle \psi, \bar{\kappa} \rangle \kappa .
\end{align*}
\]

It is well known that Willmore surfaces are characterized as follows \[2, 4, 7, 21\].

**Theorem 2.2.** For a conformal immersion \( y : M \to S^{n+2} \), the following three conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( y \) is Willmore.

(ii) The conformal Gauss map \( G \) is a harmonic map into \( G_{3,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+3}) \).

(iii) The conformal Hopf differential \( \kappa \) of \( y \) satisfies the Willmore condition as below, which is stronger than the conformal Codazzi equation (6):

\[
D_{z}D_{\bar{z}}\kappa + \frac{\bar{s}}{2}\kappa = 0 .
\]

There is a special type of Willmore surface called an S-Willmore surface, first introduced by Ejiri in \[7\] as the one with dual surfaces.

**Definition 2.3.** A Willmore immersion \( y : M^2 \to S^n \) is called an S-Willmore surface if its conformal Hopf differential satisfies

\[
D_{z}\kappa || \kappa ;
\]

i.e. there exists some function \( \mu \) on \( M \) such that

\[
D_{z}\kappa + \mu \kappa = 0 .
\]

We also need the following lemma on surfaces in \( S^n \) with flat normal bundle.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( y : M \to S^n \) be a conformal immersion, with all conformal data as above. Suppose that \( y \) has no umbilic points. Then \( x \) is of flat normal bundle if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis \( \{ \psi_{\alpha} \} , \alpha = 3, \cdots, n \) of \( V^{\perp} \) such that

\[
\kappa = k_{3}\psi_{3} .
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \{ \hat{\psi}_{\alpha} \} , \alpha = 3, \cdots, n \), be an orthonormal basis of \( V^{\perp} \). Assume that

\[
\kappa = \sum_{\alpha} \hat{k}_{\alpha}\hat{\psi}_{\alpha} .
\]

Since \( y \) has flat normal bundle, we have that

\[
0 = R^{D}_{zz}\psi = 2\langle \psi, \kappa \rangle \bar{\kappa} - 2\langle \psi, \bar{\kappa} \rangle \kappa , \forall \psi \in \Gamma(V^{\perp}) .
\]

So for any \( \hat{\psi}_{\alpha} \),

\[
\langle \hat{\psi}_{\alpha}, \kappa \rangle \bar{\kappa} - 2\langle \hat{\psi}_{\alpha}, \bar{\kappa} \rangle \kappa = \sum_{\beta} (\hat{k}_{\alpha}\bar{\hat{k}}_{\beta} - \bar{\hat{k}}_{\alpha}\hat{k}_{\beta})\hat{\psi}_{\beta} = 0 ,
\]

forcing

\[
\hat{k}_{\alpha}\bar{\hat{k}}_{\beta} - \bar{\hat{k}}_{\alpha}\hat{k}_{\beta} = 0 , \forall \beta .
\]

Since \( y \) is umbilic free and \( \sum |\hat{k}_{\beta}|^2 > 0 \), we may assume that \( \hat{k}_{\alpha} = |\hat{k}_{\alpha}|e^{i\theta} \neq 0 , \theta \in \mathbb{R} \). Then from the above equation we have that

\[
\hat{k}_{\beta} = |\hat{k}_{\beta}|e^{i\theta} , \forall \beta .
\]

So

\[
\kappa = e^{i\theta}\hat{\kappa} , \hat{\kappa} \in \Gamma(V^{\perp}) .
\]

Choose a new frame \( \{ \psi_{\alpha} \} , \alpha = 3, \cdots, n \), of \( V^{\perp} \), with \( \psi_{3} = \frac{1}{|\kappa|} \hat{\kappa} \). We have finished the proof. \( \square \)
We recall the definition of isothermic surfaces under these moving frames; see [4, 14] for more details.

**Definition 2.5.** A conformal immersion $y : M \to S^n$ is called an isothermic surface if there exist some coordinate $z$ and canonical lift $Y$ such that the corresponding conformal Hopf differential is real, i.e., $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa$.

The condition that $\kappa$ is real is equivalent to the condition that $\kappa = f\bar{\kappa}$, with $\bar{\kappa}$ real and $f$ a holomorphic function; see [3, 10, 14, 22].

**Remark 2.6.** As to the difference between surfaces with flat normal bundle and isothermic surfaces, let $k_3 = |k_3|e^{i\theta}$. Then by use of the elementary properties of holomorphic functions, $x$ is isothermic if and only if $\theta$ is a harmonic function, i.e., $\theta_{zz} = 0$.

We recall that a nice description of isothermic Willmore surfaces has been given in [4], which is useful in Section 4.

**Theorem 2.7** ([4]). Let $f : M \to S^n$ be an isothermic Willmore surface with $n \geq 3$. Then either $f$ is minimal in 3-space or an isothermic Willmore surfaces in 4-space described by an ODE: the equation describing elastica or a Painlevé-type equation for the Hopf differential with radial symmetry.

3. **S-Willmore surfaces with flat normal bundle**

A special class of Willmore surfaces are S-Willmore surfaces, those having dual surfaces; see Definition 2.3. Standard examples of S-Willmore surfaces include minimal surfaces in three space forms $\mathbb{R}^n, S^n, H^n$. For S-Willmore surfaces with flat normal bundle, we have that

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $y : M \to S^n$ be an S-Willmore surface with flat normal bundle. Then there exists a 3-dimensional sphere $S^3 \subset S^n$ such that $y(M) \subset S^3$.

**Proof.** Since $y$ is Willmore, it is totally umbilic or has an open dense subset $M_0 \subset M$ without umbilic points. (This has been shown in [2] when $n = 3$. For a complete version of $S^n$ one may consult Proposition 5 in [20].) From Lemma 2.4 on $M_0$, we assume that $\kappa = k_3\psi_3$. The S-Willmore condition reads

$$D_3\kappa \parallel \kappa,$$

forcing

$$D_3\psi_3 = 0, \text{ on } M_0.$$

So $\{Y, Y_x, Y_z, N, \psi_3\} \subset \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{Y, Y_x, Y_z, N, \psi_3\}$. Solving this PDE with initial condition, we see that $y(M_0)$ is in some 3-space $S^3 \subset S^n$. Since $M_0 \subset M$ is open and dense and Willmore surfaces are real-analytical, we have that $y(M) \subset S^3$. □

**Remark 3.2.** There exist Willmore tori in $S^3$ (hence with flat normal bundle) which are not isothermic; see [10, 8].

There are also many examples of Willmore tori full in $S^{2n+1}$, $n > 1$; see [4, 5] and [12] for instance. However, all of these examples have non-trivial (hence non-flat) normal bundle. See Section 5 for more discussion.

Applying to minimal surfaces in $S^n, H^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^n$, one obtains directly that

**Corollary 3.3.** Minimal surfaces in $S^n(H^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^n)$ with flat normal bundle must be contained in some 3-dimensional subspace $S^3(H^3$ or $\mathbb{R}^3)$ of $S^n(H^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^n)$.
In [24], Yang showed that the totality of non-equatorial homogeneous minimal surfaces in $S^{2+p}$ with flat normal connections is parametrized by some real algebraic variety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{2p}$. Here we can show that this real algebraic variety in fact contains only one point. To be concrete, we have that

**Theorem 3.4.** All non-equatorial homogeneous minimal surfaces in $S^n$ with flat normal connections are isometric to the Clifford torus in some $S^3 \subset S^n$.

**Proof.** The proof comes from the fact that the Clifford torus is the only non-totally geodesic minimal surface with constant Maurer-Cartan form under some parametrization. □

4. Willmore spheres with flat normal bundle

First we recall an important global holomorphic differential form defined for a Willmore surface, which is essential in the classification of Willmore spheres. For the details, we refer to [2, 7, 14, 16, 17, 18]. Here we use the definition from Ma [14].

**Lemma 4.1** ([14]). Let $y : M \rightarrow S^n$ be a Willmore surface. Then

(9) $\Omega dz^6 := (\langle Dz\kappa, \kappa \rangle^2 - \langle Dz\kappa, Dz\kappa \rangle \langle \kappa, \kappa \rangle)dz^6$

is a global defined holomorphic 6-form on $M$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $y : S^2 \rightarrow S^n$ be a Willmore sphere with flat normal bundle. Then $y$ is conformal to a complete, genus 0 minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with embedded flat ends.

**Proof.** Since $M = S^2$, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, all holomorphic forms vanish on $S^2$. So we have that

$$\langle \langle Dz\kappa, \kappa \rangle^2 - \langle Dz\kappa, Dz\kappa \rangle\langle \kappa, \kappa \rangle \rangle = 0.$$ 

Let $\{\psi_\alpha\}$, $\alpha = 3, \cdots, n$, be an orthonormal basis of $V^\perp$ such that

$$\kappa = k_3\psi_3.$$ 

Then

$$Dz\kappa = k_3z\psi_3 + k_3Dz\psi_3.$$ 

So

$$|k_3|^2|k_3z|^2 - (|k_3z|^2 + |k_3|^2\langle Dz\psi_3, Dz\psi_3 \rangle)|k_3|^2 = 0,$$

forcing

$$|k_3|^4\langle Dz\psi_3, Dz\psi_3 \rangle = 0.$$ 

Since $y$ is totally umbilic or $k_3 \neq 0$ on an open dense subset $M_0 \subset S^2$, we have that $y$ is totally umbilic or

$$\langle Dz\psi_3, Dz\psi_3 \rangle = 0.$$ 

If $y$ is totally umbilic, $\kappa \equiv 0$. So $\{Y, Y_z, Y_{\bar{z}}, N\}_z \subset \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{Y, Y_z, Y_{\bar{z}}, N\}$. Solving this PDE, we see that $y$ is contained in some $S^2 \subset S^n$; that is, it is conformal to a 2-dimensional round sphere. Now we suppose that

(10) $$\langle Dz\psi_3, Dz\psi_3 \rangle = 0.$$ 

For the same reason, just as in Proposition 5 in [20], $Dz\psi_3 \equiv 0$ or $Dz\psi_3 \neq 0$ on an open dense subset $M_1$ of $S^2$. For the first case, the same as in the proof of
Theorem 3.1, we have that \( y \) is contained in some \( S^3 \subset S^n \). For the second case, we assume that 

\[ D_z \psi_3 = b_{34} \bar{E}_4 \]

with 

\[ b_{34} = \bar{b}_{34}, \ E_4 \in \Gamma(V^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}), \ \langle \psi_3, E_4 \rangle = \langle \psi_3, \bar{E}_4 \rangle = \langle E_4, E_4 \rangle = 0, \ \langle E_4, \bar{E}_4 \rangle = 2. \]

Suppose that 

\[ D_z \bar{E}_4 = b_{44} \bar{E}_4 - 2b_{34} \psi_3 + \cdots, \]

so 

\[ D_z \bar{E}_4 = -b_{44} \bar{E}_4 - 2b_{34} \psi_3 + \cdots. \]

Then the Willmore equation reads 

\[
\begin{align*}
    k_{3z3} + \frac{s}{2} k_3 &= 0, \\
    2k_{3z} b_{34} + k_3 b_{34z} + k_3 b_{34} \bar{b}_{44} &= 0, \\
    0 = D_z D_z \psi_3 - D_z D_z \bar{\psi}_3 \Rightarrow b_{34z} = b_{34} \bar{b}_{44}.
\end{align*}
\]

So we have that 

\[ 2k_{3z} b_{34} + 2k_3 b_{34z} = 2(k_3 b_{34})_{z} = 0. \]

Since \( b_{34} \neq 0 \) on \( M_1 \) and \( b_{34} = \bar{b}_{34} \), one may assume that \( b_{34} > 0 \). Now let \( w = z(w) \) satisfy 

\[ \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial w} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{b_{34} k_3}. \]

Let 

\[ \hat{Y} = \frac{1}{|z_w|} Y. \]

Then \( \hat{Y} \) is the canonical lift with respect to \( w \) and 

\[ \hat{\kappa} = \hat{Y}_{ww} + \frac{s}{2} \hat{Y} = \frac{1}{b_{34} |z_w|} \psi_3, \ \Rightarrow \ \hat{\kappa} = \bar{\kappa}. \]

So \( y \) is isothermic. By Theorem 3.4 in [4], we reduce \( y \) into some \( S^4 \) of \( S^n \). For \( S^4 \) to be satisfied in \( S^4 \), one must have that \( D_z \psi_3 \equiv 0 \); hence \( y \) is contained in some \( S^3 \). By the classical theorem of Bryant in [2], \( y \) is conformal to some complete genus 0 minimal surface in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with embedded flat ends. \( \square \)

5. Willmore surfaces in \( S^{2n+1} \) derived from the Hopf bundle

Since the work of Pinkall in [19], many examples of Willmore tori are obtained from the Hopf bundle \( \pi : S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n \); see [1], [5]. In this section, we will show that all such surfaces must locate in some \( S^3 \) if they are of flat normal bundle.

Proposition 5.1. Let \( \gamma = \gamma(t) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}P^n \) be a regular curve in \( \mathbb{C}P^n \). Let \( x = \pi^{-1} \gamma : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to S^{2n+1} \) be the \( S^1 \)-invariant surface in \( S^{2n+1} \) derived by \( \gamma \) via the Hopf bundle. If \( x \) is of flat normal bundle, by some conformal transform, \( x \) can be located in some \( S^3 \subset S^{2n+1} \) and \( \gamma \) is in some \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^n \). So if we further assume that \( x \) is Willmore, \( x \) must be one of the examples given in [19].
Proof. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the $n$-dimensional complex vector space with inner product
\[ \langle Z, W \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_j \bar{w}_j, \ Z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \ W = (w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}. \]

Then $S^{2n+1} = \{ Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} | \langle Z, Z \rangle = 1 \}$ is the standard sphere of constant curvature one and $\pi : S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is the well-known Hopf bundle with fiber $S^1$. It is well known that every fiber $S^1$ is totally geodesic in $S^{2n+1}$.

Note that although $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a complex space, here it should be seen as a $2n + 2$-dimensional real space. We use $\sqrt{-1}$ to be the imaginary unit used in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and use $i$ to denote the complex structure of $M$ as above.

It is easy to check that for any $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, $\sqrt{-1}Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is perpendicular to $Z$.

Since $\gamma$ is a regular curve in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, there exists a lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$, $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \to S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that
\[ \tilde{\gamma}_t = \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\gamma} \perp \sqrt{-1} \tilde{\gamma}. \]

We also assume that $t$ is an arc parameter; that is, $\langle \tilde{\gamma}_t, \tilde{\gamma}_t \rangle = 1$. Let $\xi = \tilde{\gamma}_t$. Then we have that
\[ \xi_t = k_1 \sqrt{-1} \xi + k_2 \eta - \tilde{\gamma}, \]
with $\eta$ some unit section perpendicular to $\tilde{\gamma}$, $\sqrt{-1} \tilde{\gamma}$, $\xi$, $\sqrt{-1} \xi$.

Now $x$ can be written as $x = e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \tilde{\gamma}$, and $z = t + i \theta$ is a complex coordinate of $x$. So
\[
\begin{cases}
    x_t = e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \xi, \\
    x_\theta = e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \sqrt{-1} \tilde{\gamma}, \\
    x_{\theta \theta} = -e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \tilde{\gamma}, \\
    x_{tt} = k_1 e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \sqrt{-1} \xi + k_2 e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \eta - e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \tilde{\gamma}, \\
    x_{t \theta} = e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \sqrt{-1} \xi.
\end{cases}
\]

Then the vector-valued Hopf differential $\Omega dz^2 := (x_{zz} \mod \{ x_t, x_\theta \}) dz^2$ of $x$ is
\[ \frac{1}{4} \left( k_1 e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \sqrt{-1} \xi + k_2 e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \eta - 2 i e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \sqrt{-1} \xi \right). \]

From the relation between $\Omega$ and the conformal Hopf differential $\kappa$ of $x$ (see [22]), together with Lemma 2.4, we see that $x$ is of flat normal bundle if and only if
\[ k_1 e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \sqrt{-1} \xi + k_2 e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \eta \]
and $-i e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \sqrt{-1} \xi$ are linear dependent, i.e. $k_2 = 0$. That is, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is located in some $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Then the proposition follows directly.

Remark 5.2. From the above, by direct computation, we have that
\[ W(x) = \int_M \left( \frac{k_1^2 + k_2^2}{4} + 1 \right) dt d\theta. \]

Note that there has been enough description of $\gamma$ in [1] and [5]. Hence we omit further computations here and focus just on the ones of flat normal bundle.

A similar discussion shows that the examples in [12] cannot have flat normal bundle. Here we omit such a discussion.

As to Willmore tori of flat normal bundle, there are interesting examples in [16], which are isothermic Willmore tori in 4-dimensional Lorenzian space $Q^4_1$. It remains an interesting question whether there are similar isothermic Willmore tori full in $S^4$. 
6. Further remarks

It remains an open problem whether there are Willmore surfaces of flat normal bundle and full in $S^n$, $n > 4$. Note that for a Willmore surface with flat normal bundle, the conformal Hopf differential may not be real. Then, differently from the isothermic ones, one cannot reduce the Willmore equation to $(n - 2)$ equations on a real vector bundle $M \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. So the method used in [3] fails partially in our case. However, one still can reduce the dimension $n$ to 6 following the treatment in [3]. To be concrete, we have that

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $x : M \to S^n$, $n \geq 6$, be a Willmore surface with flat normal bundle. Then $x$ locates in some $S^6 \subset S^n$.

**Proof.** Let $\tilde{\psi}$ be a parallel orthonormal basis of the normal bundle. From Lemma 2.4, we have that

$$\kappa = e^{i\theta} \sum_{\alpha=3}^{n} \tilde{k}_\alpha \tilde{\psi}_\alpha = e^{i\theta} \tilde{k}, \quad \tilde{k}_\alpha = \bar{\tilde{k}}_\alpha.$$ 

Together with the Willmore equation, we derive that

\[
\begin{aligned}
D_3 \kappa &\in \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\tilde{k}, D_3 \tilde{k}\}, \\
D_z \kappa &\in \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\tilde{k}, D_z \tilde{k}\}, \\
D_z \tilde{k} &\in \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\text{Re}(D_z \tilde{k}), \text{Im}(D_z \tilde{k})\}, \\
D_z D_3 \kappa &\in \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\tilde{k}\}.
\end{aligned}
\]

Repeating the use of the Willmore equation and the flatness of normal bundle, we have that all the derivatives of $\kappa$ locate in the subspace

$$\text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\tilde{k}, \text{Re}(D_z \tilde{k}), \text{Im}(D_z \tilde{k}), D_z D_3 \tilde{k}\}.$$ 

Since $\{\tilde{k}, \text{Re}(D_z \tilde{k}), \text{Im}(D_z \tilde{k}), D_z D_3 \tilde{k}\}$ is a real subspace of at most four dimensions, $x$ is located in some $S^6 \subset S^n$. 

**Remark 6.2.** It remains an open problem whether there are Willmore surfaces with flat normal bundle full in $S^6$. In this case, the integrable conditions are as follows:

$$\begin{cases}
\tilde{k}_{\alpha \bar{z} z} + 2i\theta \tilde{k}_{\alpha \bar{z}} + (i\theta \bar{z}_z - \bar{\theta} z + \bar{s} z) \tilde{k}_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 3, 4, 5, 6, \\
\frac{1}{2} \bar{s} z = 2 \left( \sum_{\alpha=3}^{6} \tilde{k}_\alpha^2 \right)_{\bar{z} z} - 2i\theta z \sum_{\alpha=3}^{6} \tilde{k}_\alpha^2.
\end{cases}$$

Note that in this case, $\tilde{k}_{\alpha \bar{z} z}$ depends on $\tilde{k}_{\alpha \bar{z}}$ and $\tilde{k}_\alpha$, so the further discussion in [3] is invalid here. It remains unclear whether the above integrable equation has a non-trivial solution or not. Note that if $\tilde{k}_3$ and $\tilde{k}_4$ are given, one can solve $\theta_z$ and $s$ from the Willmore equation. Then also from the Willmore equation, $\tilde{k}_5$ and $\tilde{k}_6$ are solved. So in general, such surfaces are determined by a pair of real functions $\{\tilde{k}_3, \tilde{k}_4\}$ and two initial conditions $\tilde{k}_5(z_0) = c_5$ and $\tilde{k}_6(z_0) = c_6$ for $\tilde{k}_5$ and $\tilde{k}_6$. To fit with the conformal Gauss equation, $\{\tilde{k}_3, \tilde{k}_4\}$, $c_5$ and $c_6$ should also satisfy some equation. It remains an interesting question whether there are Willmore surfaces full in $S^6$ of flat normal bundle.
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