

## THE KALTON CENTRALIZER ON $L_p[0, 1]$ IS NOT STRICTLY SINGULAR

JESÚS SUÁREZ DE LA FUENTE

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Kalton centralizer on  $L_p[0, 1]$ , for  $0 < p < \infty$ , is not strictly singular: in all cases there is a Hilbert subspace on which it is trivial. Moreover, for  $0 < p < 2$  there are copies of  $\ell_q$ , with  $p < q < 2$ , on which it becomes trivial. This is in contrast to the situation for  $\ell_p$  spaces, in which the Kalton-Peck centralizer is strictly singular.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [7, Cor. 4.8, Thm. 6.4] Kalton and Peck obtained an extremal solution to the 3-space problem for Hilbert spaces by showing the existence of a non-trivial exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ell_2 \xrightarrow{j} Z_2 \xrightarrow{q} \ell_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

in which the quotient map  $q$  is strictly singular. Recall that an operator  $q : Z \rightarrow Y$  acting between quasi-Banach spaces is said to be strictly singular if its restriction to any infinite dimensional subspace of  $Z$  is not an isomorphism. The correspondence between exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$  and quasi-linear maps  $\Omega$  from  $Z$  to  $Y$  (see the Preliminaries section below) allows one to naturally define [4, Prop. 3] a quasi-linear map  $\Omega$  (from  $Z$  to  $Y$ ) to be strictly singular if its restrictions to infinite dimensional subspaces of  $Z$  are never trivial. Of course, strictly singular quasi-linear maps correspond to exact sequences with a strictly singular quotient map. The Kalton quasi-linear map is given on  $L_p(\mu)$  spaces, roughly speaking, by the rule

$$\Omega_p(f) = f \log \frac{|f|}{\|f\|_p},$$

for  $f \in L_p(\mu)$ . If  $\mu$  is the counting measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $L_p(\mu) = \ell_p$  and  $\Omega_p$  is known as the Kalton-Peck centralizer. For this choice of  $\mu$ , Kalton and Peck proved that  $\Omega_p$  is strictly singular if  $1 < p < \infty$  [7, Thm. 6.4]. Thus, we focus on when  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on the unit interval. In this case,  $\Omega_p$  fails to be strictly singular for  $0 < p < \infty$ , in contrast to the previous situation. In all cases the centralizer is trivial when restricted to the copy of  $\ell_2$  spanned by the Rademacher (or Gaussian) functions. Moreover, for  $0 < p < q < 2$ , the centralizer is also trivial when restricted to the copy of  $\ell_q$  spanned in  $L_p$  by a sequence of independent  $q$ -stable random variables.

---

Received by the editors March 31, 2011 and, in revised form, October 20, 2011, October 24, 2011, November 16, 2011 and December 8, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B20, 46B07, 46A16.

The author was partially supported by MTM2010-20190-C02-01 and Junta de Extremadura CR10113 “IV Plan Regional I+D+i”, Ayudas a Grupos de Investigación.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The basic theory of quasi-linear maps and twisted sums of quasi-Banach spaces can be seen in [3, 7]. Let us recall a few basic facts. An exact sequence of quasi-Banach spaces is a diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{j} X \xrightarrow{q} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

formed by quasi-Banach spaces and linear continuous operators such that the image of each arrow coincides with the kernel of the next one. The middle space  $X$  is also called a *twisted sum* of  $Y$  and  $Z$ . It follows from the definition that  $Y$  is a subspace of  $X$  and, thanks to the open mapping theorem,  $Z$  is isomorphic to  $X/Y$ . The sequence is said to be trivial if  $j(Y)$  is complemented in  $X$ , in which case  $X$  is isomorphic to  $Y \oplus Z$ . The theory developed by Kalton [5] and Kalton and Peck [7] establishes that there is a correspondence between exact sequences (or twisted sums) and *quasi-linear* maps. By a quasi-linear map  $\Omega$  from  $Z$  to  $Y$ , we mean a map satisfying

$$\|\Omega(z + z') - \Omega(z) - \Omega(z')\|_Y \leq K(\|z\| + \|z'\|),$$

for some constant  $K$  and all  $z, z' \in Z$ . The correspondence between  $X$  (algebraically  $Y \oplus Z$ ) and  $\Omega$  is clearly expressed by the formula

$$\|(y, z)\|_X = \|y - \Omega z\|_Y + \|z\|_Z.$$

We usually write  $X = Y \oplus_\Omega Z$ . With this correspondence,  $\Omega$  is *trivial* ([3, Corollary 1.5.e]) if and only if there is a linear map (not necessarily bounded)  $L : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\sup_{z \in B(Z)} \|\Omega(z) - L(z)\| < \infty.$$

In other words,  $\Omega$  is trivial if and only if it is at a finite distance from a linear map (see [3, page 15] for the precise definition of distance).

Although Kalton-Peck construction can be developed for certain class of spaces, we are only interested in  $L_p(\mu)$  spaces. This is enough for our purposes. The Kalton quasi-linear map is given for  $f \in L_p(\mu)$  by the formula

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega_p(f) = f \log \frac{|f|}{\|f\|_p},$$

with the convention  $0 \cdot \log 0 = 0$ . If  $\mu$  is the counting measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $L_p(\mu) = \ell_p$  and  $\Omega_p$  is known as the Kalton-Peck centralizer. In what follows,  $\Omega_p$  will denote the map given by (2.1) and  $L_p$  will denote  $L_p[0, 1]$  endowed with the Lebesgue measure. The map  $\Omega_p$  has an extra property on  $L_p$ : it is an  $L_\infty$ -centralizer (see [6] for an excellent exposition about centralizers). This means basically that the expression

$$\|\Omega_p(af) - a\Omega_p(f)\|_p \leq K\|a\|_\infty\|f\|_p$$

holds for some constant  $K$ , every  $a \in L_\infty$  and  $f \in L_p$ . In practice, this property reveals that the corresponding twisted sum  $L_p \oplus_{\Omega_p} L_p$  is an  $L_\infty$ -module.

## 3. THE HILBERT COPY

Kalton and Peck proved that their centralizer is strictly singular on  $\ell_p$  for  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Furthermore, it has been proved in [2] that the same holds true for  $\ell_p$  with  $0 < p < \infty$ . The situation for  $L_p$  is the opposite:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega_p$  be the Kalton centralizer (2.1) on  $L_p$  for  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then  $\Omega_p$  is trivial when restricted to the copy of  $\ell_2$  spanned in  $L_p$  by the Rademacher (or Gaussian) functions.*

*Proof.* We perform the Rademacher case. The embedding  $j : \ell_2 \rightarrow L_p$  is given for a point  $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  by the rule

$$j(a) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i a_i.$$

That  $j$  is an into isomorphism follows from Khintchine’s inequality. Fix  $0 < p < \infty$  and a point such that  $\|j(a)\|_p = 1$ . Let us consider the random variable  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(t) = \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i(t) a_i \right|^p \left| \log \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i(t) a_i \right| \right|^p$$

if  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i(t) a_i$  converges and  $f(t) = 0$  otherwise. It is a routine calculation to check that

$$\|\Omega_p(ja)\|_p^p = \mathbb{E}_\mu f,$$

where  $\mu$  denotes the Lebesgue measure. So let us write

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E}_\mu f = \int_0^1 |f(t)| d\mu(t) = \int_0^\infty \mu\{t \in [0, 1] : |f(t)| \geq s\} ds.$$

For the last equality, see [10, (13.8)]. Now observe that if  $|f(t)| > s$  for  $s \geq 1$ , then  $|\sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i(t) a_i| > 1$  and thus  $\log |\sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i(t) a_i| > 0$ . Consequently, from  $|f(t)| > s$  for  $s \geq 1$  it must be  $|\sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i(t) a_i| \geq s^{\frac{1}{2p}}$ . Therefore, we deduce that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{E}_\mu f \leq 1 + \int_1^\infty \mu \left\{ t \in [0, 1] : \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i(t) a_i \right| \geq s^{\frac{1}{2p}} \right\} ds.$$

Since  $0 < c \leq \|a\|_2 \leq C < \infty$ , the bound (3.2) and the exponential decay of the tails of the distribution of the sums of Rademacher functions [8, (4.1)] yield the upper bound:

$$(3.3) \quad 1 + 2 \int_1^\infty \exp \left\{ -\frac{s^{\frac{1}{p}}}{2C^2} \right\} ds.$$

The change of variable  $u = s^{\frac{1}{p}}$  shows that the expression (3.3) is a constant that can be estimated in terms of the  $\Gamma$  function. All together this yields, by homogeneity, that we have for any  $a \in \ell_2$ :

$$(3.4) \quad \|\Omega_p(ja)\|_p \leq K_p \|a\|_{\ell_2}.$$

This means, in particular, that  $\Omega_p \circ j$  is a finite distance (see [3, page 15]) from the linear map identically 0 and thus  $\Omega_p \circ j$  is trivial by using [3, Corollary 1.5.e]. The Gaussian case is analogous since the proof is based on the exponential behaviour of the tails of the distribution [8]. □

4. THE  $\ell_q$  COPIES FOR  $0 < p < q < 2$

There is an analogue of Proposition 3.1 replacing  $\ell_2$  copies by  $\ell_q$  copies whenever  $0 < p < q < 2$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\Omega_p$  be the Kalton centralizer on  $L_p$  for  $0 < p < 2$ . For every  $p < q < 2$ ,  $\Omega_p$  is trivial when restricted to the copy of  $\ell_q$  spanned in  $L_p$  by a sequence of independent and identically distributed  $q$ -stable random variables.*

*Proof.* Take  $\theta$  to be a  $q$ -stable random variable and denote by  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  a sequence of independent copies. It is well known (see e.g. [1, Chapter 6] or the corollary appearing in [11, p. 94]) that  $\|\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i\|_p = \|\theta_1\|_p (\sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_i|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ , so the map  $j : \ell_q \rightarrow L_p[0, 1]$  given by  $j(a) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i$  is an into isomorphism. Assume  $\|\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i\|_p = 1$ ; then observe that

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| \Omega \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i \right) \right\|_p^p = \int_0^\infty \mu \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i \right|^p \left| \log \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i \right|^p \right| > t \right\} dt.$$

For  $t > 1$  it must be  $|\log |\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i|| = \log |\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i|$ . On the other hand, notice that for any  $\alpha > 1$  there exists  $t_\alpha$  depending only on  $\alpha$  such that  $\log t \leq \sqrt[\alpha]{t}$  for all  $t \geq t_\alpha$ . Given  $q$ , since  $\frac{q}{p} > 1$ , pick  $\alpha > 1$  such that  $\frac{q}{p} > \alpha$ .

*Claim.*  $\forall \alpha > 1 \exists t_\alpha > 1 : \forall t \geq t_\alpha, s \log s > t \implies s > \sqrt[\alpha]{t}$ .

To prove the claim, assume to the contrary that

$$\exists \alpha > 1 : \forall T > 1, \exists t \geq T, \exists s > 0 : s \log s > t \text{ but } s \leq \sqrt[\alpha]{t}.$$

Using the expressions  $s \log s > t$  and  $s \leq \sqrt[\alpha]{t}$  we find that

$$t^\alpha < s^\alpha (\log s)^\alpha \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha t (\log t)^\alpha,$$

and thus

$$(4.2) \quad t^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \log t.$$

Making  $T$  range over, for example, the natural numbers, one may construct sequences  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  (with  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , verifying that  $s_n \log s_n > t_n$  but  $s_n \leq \sqrt[\alpha]{t_n}$ . Consequently, using (4.2) we find that

$$t_n^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \log t_n,$$

which is impossible because  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ . So the claim is proved. According to the claim, we may bound the integral (4.1) as

$$(4.3) \quad t_\alpha + \int_{t_\alpha}^\infty \mu \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i \right| > t^{\frac{1}{\alpha p}} \right\} dt.$$

To conclude we recall that the distribution of  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \theta_i$  is the same as the distribution of  $(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_i|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \theta_1$  (see [8, Chapter 5] or [9, 8.1]). Furthermore, we have that  $\mu \{|\theta_1| > t\} \leq ct^{-q}$ , where  $t > 0$  and  $c$  depends only on  $q$  ([9, 8.1.2]). All together this yields the following upper bound for (4.3):

$$t_\alpha + c' \int_{t_\alpha}^\infty t^{-\frac{q}{\alpha p}} dt.$$

Since by our choice  $\frac{q}{\alpha p} > 1$ , the integral above converges. By homogeneity we are done. Notice that  $\alpha$  (and thus  $t_\alpha$ ) depends only on the choice of  $q$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Félix Cabello for some very helpful conversations on the paper. He also informed the author that Nigel J. Kalton has already proved (unpublished) Proposition 3.1. He also thanks the referee for good comments.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Albiac, N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 233. Springer-Verlag. MR2192298 (2006h:46005)
2. F. Cabello, J. M. F. Castillo, J. Suárez, *On strictly singular non-linear centralizers*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012), no. 7, 3313-3321.
3. J. M. F. Castillo, M. González, *Three-space problems in Banach space theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1667. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. MR1482801 (99a:46034)
4. J. M. F. Castillo, Y. Moreno, *Singular and cosingular exact sequences of quasi-Banach spaces*, *Arch. Math.* 88 (2007), No. 2, 123-132. MR2299035 (2008b:46004)
5. N. J. Kalton, *Differentials of complex interpolation processes for Köthe function spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 333 (1992), No. 2, 479-529. MR1081938 (92m:46111)
6. N. J. Kalton, *Nonlinear commutators in interpolation theory*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1988), No. 385. MR938889 (89h:47104)
7. N. J. Kalton, N. T. Peck, *Twisted sums of sequence spaces and the three space problem*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 255 (1979), 1-30. MR542869 (82g:46021)
8. M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand, *Probability in Banach spaces*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*, 23. Springer-Verlag. MR2814399
9. V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Asymptotic theory of finite dimensional normed spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1200. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR856576 (87m:46038)
10. R. L. Schilling, *Measures, integrals and martingales*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2005. MR2200059 (2007g:28001)
11. P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach spaces for analysts*. Cambridge Studies in Advances Mathematics, 25. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. MR1144277 (93d:46001)

ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA, AVENIDA UNIVERSIDAD S/N, 10071 CÁCERES, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `jesus@unex.es`