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Abstract. In this paper we give Hamilton’s Laplacian estimates for the heat equation on complete noncompact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. As an application, combining Li-Yau’s lower and upper bounds of the heat kernel, we give an estimate on Laplacian form of the heat kernel on complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature that is sharp in the order of time parameter for the heat kernel on the Euclidean space.

1. Introduction

In [4], R. Hamilton established the following gradient and Laplacian estimates for a bounded positive solution to the heat equation on closed manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below.

Theorem 1.1 (R. Hamilton [4]). Let \((M, g)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature satisfying \(\text{Ric} \geq -K\) for some constant \(K \geq 0\). Assume that \(u\) is a positive solution to the heat equation with \(u \leq A\) on \(M \times [0, T]\) for some constant \(A < \infty\), where \(0 < T < \infty\). Then

\[
(1.1) \quad t \frac{\|\nabla u\|^2}{u^2} \leq (1 + 2Kt) \log \left( \frac{A}{u} \right).
\]

If we further assume \(T \leq 1\), then for \(0 \leq t \leq T\),

\[
(1.2) \quad t \frac{\Delta u}{u} \leq C(n, K) \left[ 1 + \log \left( \frac{A}{u} \right) \right].
\]

For estimate (1.2), when \(K = 0\), by choosing function \(\varphi = t\) in Hamilton’s proof of Lemma 4.1 in [4], we easily confirm that the condition “\(T \leq 1\)” can be removed. Hamilton’s estimate (1.1) shows that one can compare two different points at the same time. However the well-known Li-Yau’s gradient estimate [7] only allows comparisons between different points at different times. In [6], B. Kotschwar generalized the gradient estimate (1.1) to the case of complete, noncompact Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below. Using this generalization, Kotschwar gave an estimate on the gradient of the heat kernel for complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Moreover, this estimate is sharp in the order of \(t\) for the heat kernel on \(\mathbb{R}^n\).
Kotschwar’s result can be used to prove the monotonicity of Ni’s entropy functional (stationary metric for Perelman’s $W$-functional in [10]) in [8] for the fundamental solution to the heat equation on complete, noncompact manifolds. We would like to point out that in the course of justifying the monotonicity of Ni’s entropy functional on complete noncompact manifolds, one may need a noncompact version of Hamilton’s Laplacian estimate (1.2). However, as far as we know, perhaps no one has generalized the estimate (1.2) to the complete noncompact case. In [2], the authors only briefly sketched a proof of the estimate (1.2) for the noncompact case but didn’t give any detail. In this paper, we will provide a full detailed proof that Hamilton’s Laplacian estimate (1.2) also holds for complete, noncompact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Precisely, we show that:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Suppose $u$ is a smooth positive solution to the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = 0,$$

satisfying $u \leq A$ for some constant $A < \infty$ on $M \times [0, T]$, where $0 < T < \infty$. Then

$$t \frac{\Delta u}{u} \leq n + 4 \log \left( \frac{A}{u} \right)$$

for all $x \in M$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to the arguments of Kotschwar [6], which can be divided into two steps. In the first step, we obtain some Bernstein-type estimate of $\Delta u$, similar to the upper estimate of $|\nabla u|$ derived by Kotschwar [6] on complete noncompact manifolds. In the second step, using upper estimates of $\Delta u$ and $|\nabla u|$, we apply the maximum principle to the quantity of Hamilton’s Laplacian estimate on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds due to Karp-Ni [5] or Ni-Tam [9]. We remark that the a priori integral bound needed for the application of the maximum principle on complete noncompact manifolds has also been obtained in [3] and in [13] for a more general setting.

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following Laplacian estimate of the heat kernel on a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and $H(x, y, t)$ be its heat kernel. Then, for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(n, \delta)$ such that

$$\frac{\Delta H}{H}(x, y, t) \leq \frac{2}{t} \left[ C + 4 \frac{d^2(x, y)}{(4 - \delta)t} \right]$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $t > 0$.

**Remark 1.4.** We would like to point out that Theorem 1.3 is sharp in the order of $t$ for the heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The structure of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive Bernstein-type gradient estimates of the Laplacian for solutions to the heat equation (see Theorem 2.7). Our proof makes use of Shi’s gradient estimates [11], combining the classical cut-off function arguments. In Section 3, we finish the proof
of Theorem 1.2 by using Theorem 2.7. In Section 4, we apply Theorem 1.2 to the heat kernel and complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2. Bernstein-type estimates

In this section, we assume that \((M, g)\) is an \(n\)-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with the Ricci curvature uniformly bounded below by \(-K\) for some constant \(K \geq 0\) and suppose that \(u\) is a smooth solution to the heat equation (1.3) satisfying \(|u| \leq A\) on some open \(U \subset M\) for \(0 \leq t \leq T < \infty\). First we recall Kotschwar’s result in [6].

**Theorem 2.1** (Kotschwar [6]). Let \((M, g)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with \(\text{Ric} \geq -K\) for some constant \(K \geq 0\). Suppose \(u\) is a smooth solution to the heat equation (1.3) satisfying \(|u| \leq A\) on \(B_p(2R) \times [0, T]\) for some \(p \in M^n\) and \(A, R, T > 0\). Then there exists a constant \(C = C(n, K)\) such that

\[
t|\nabla u|^2 \leq CA^2 \left[ 1 + T \left( 1 + \frac{1}{R^2} \right) \right]
\]

holds on \(B_p(R) \times [0, T]\).

**Remark 2.2.** If \(\text{Ric} \geq 0\), from the proof course of Theorem 2.1 in [6], one shows that

\[
t|\nabla u|^2 \leq C(n)A^2 \left( 1 + \frac{T}{R^2} \right)
\]

on \(B_p(R) \times [0, T]\).

**Remark 2.3.** Letting \(R \to \infty\) in the proof course of Theorem 2.1, one immediately shows that there exists a constant \(C(n)\) such that

\[
t|\nabla u|^2 \leq C(n)A^2(1 + KT)
\]

on \(M^n \times [0, T]\).

In the above description, Kotschwar showed the first derivative estimate of the positive solution to the heat equation on complete manifolds. Below we will give an upper estimate of \(\Delta u\). Our proof is similar in spirit to the derivative estimates due to Shi [11] (see also [6]). Let

\[
F(x, t) := (C + t|\nabla u|^2)t^2|\Delta u|^2,
\]

where the constant \(C\) is to be chosen. The following lemma is useful for proving Theorem 2.7.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \((M, g)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with \(\text{Ric} \geq -K\) for some constant \(K \geq 0\). If \(0 < u \leq A\) is the solution to the heat equation (1.3) on \(B_p(2R) \times [0, T]\) for some \(p \in M\) and \(A, R, T > 0\), where \(T \leq 1\), satisfying

\[
|\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{C_*}{t}
\]

for some constant \(C_*\) on \(B_p(R) \times (0, T]\), then there exists a finite positive constant \(c := c(n, K, A, R)\) such that

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \leq \Delta F - \frac{c}{t}F^2 + \frac{C_*^2}{t}
\]

on \(B_p(R) \times (0, T]\).
Remark 2.5. The assumption $T \leq 1$ in Lemma 2.4 is used only in (2.3). By Theorem 2.1, one may choose $C_* := C(n, K)^2 [1 + T (1 + \frac{1}{R^2})]$. Also we can choose $c := C^{-1}(n) \cdot C_*^{-2}$. Moreover, if $R \to \infty$, then $\lim_{R \to \infty} c$ is a finite positive constant. Note that here the constant $C(n, K)$ may be different from the one in Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.6. When $K = 0$, from (2.3), we see that the assumption $T \leq 1$ can be replaced by $T < \infty$. In this case, from Remark 2.2, one can choose $C_* := C(n)A^2 (1 + \frac{T}{R^2})$ and $c := C^{-1}(n) \cdot C_*^{-2}$. If $R \to \infty$, then $\lim_{R \to \infty} c$ can still be a finite positive constant, independent on $T$.

Proof. At first, the evolution formula of $t|\nabla u|^2$ is

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (t|\nabla u|^2) = -2t|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - 2tRic(\nabla u, \nabla u) + |\nabla u|^2
$$

$$
\leq -t|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - \frac{t}{n} |\Delta u|^2 - 2tRic(\nabla u, \nabla u) + |\nabla u|^2
$$

$$
\leq -t|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - \frac{t}{n} |\Delta u|^2 + (2Kt + 1)|\nabla u|^2,
$$

where we used $Ric \geq -K$. Then we compute that

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |\Delta u|^2 = -2|\nabla \Delta u|^2
$$

and hence

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (t^2|\Delta u|^2) = -2t^2|\nabla \Delta u|^2 + 2t|\Delta u|^2.
$$

By the assumption of this lemma, we can choose $C$ in (2.2) such that $C = 8C_*$, which implies that $8t|\nabla u|^2 \leq C$. Combining the above equations yields

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) F = (C + t|\nabla u|^2) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (t^2|\Delta u|^2) \right]
$$

$$
+ \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (C + t|\nabla u|^2) \right] t^2|\Delta u|^2
$$

$$
- 2t^3 \nabla (|\nabla u|^2) \cdot \nabla (|\Delta u|^2)
$$

$$
\leq (C + t|\nabla u|^2) (-2t^2|\nabla \Delta u|^2 + 2t|\Delta u|^2)
$$

$$
+ \left[ -t|\nabla \nabla u|^2 - \frac{t}{n} |\Delta u|^2 + (2Kt + 1)|\nabla u|^2 \right] t^2|\Delta u|^2
$$

$$
+ 8t^3 |\nabla u||\nabla \nabla u| \cdot |\Delta u||\nabla \Delta u|
$$

$$
\leq -18t^3|\nabla u|^2|\nabla \Delta u|^2 - \frac{t^3}{n} (\Delta u)^4 - t^3 |\nabla \nabla u|^2 (\Delta u)^2
$$

$$
+ 2t(\Delta u)^2(C + t|\nabla u|^2) + (2Kt + 1)t^2|\nabla u|^2|\Delta u|^2
$$

$$
+ t^3 |\nabla \nabla u|^2 \cdot |\Delta u|^2 + 16t^3 |\nabla u|^2 \cdot |\nabla \Delta u|^2,
$$
where we used the Schwarz inequality. Since \( t \leq T \leq 1 \), the above formula becomes
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) F \leq -\frac{t^3}{n} (\Delta u)^4 + (2Kt + 1) Ct |\Delta u|^2 + 4Ct(\Delta u)^2 \\
\leq -\frac{t^3}{n} (\Delta u)^4 + (2K + 1) Ct |\Delta u|^2 + 4Ct(\Delta u)^2 \\
\leq -\frac{c}{t} F^2 + \frac{18n(1+K^2)C^2}{t},
\]
(2.3)
where in the last inequality we used
\[
t^3 \frac{(\Delta u)^4}{2n} + \frac{18n(1+K^2)C^2}{t} \geq C(2K + 1)t |\Delta u|^2 + 4Ct(\Delta u)^2.
\]
Here \( c := c(n, K, A, R) \) depends on \( n, K, A \) and \( R \). For example, we may choose \( c := C^{-1}(n) \cdot C^{-2} \). Then the result follows.

Using Lemma 2.4, we prove the following Laplacian estimate for the positive solution to the heat equation.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let \((M, g)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with \( \text{Ric} \geq -K \) for some constant \( K \geq 0 \). Let \( u \) be a positive solution to the heat equation (1.3) with \( u \leq A \) on \( B_p(2R) \times (0, T] \) for some \( p \in M^n \) and \( A, R, T > 0 \), where \( A < \infty \) and \( T \leq 1 \). Then there exists a constant \( C = C(n, K) \) such that
\[
t|\Delta u| \leq CA \left[ 1 + T \left( 1 + \frac{1}{R^2} \right) \right]^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{T}{R^2} \right)^{1/2}
\]
on \( B_p(R) \times [0, T] \).

**Remark 2.8.** When \( K = 0 \), the assumption \( T \leq 1 \) can be replaced by \( T < \infty \). In this case, from Remark 2.6 estimate (2.4) can be rewritten by a simple version:
\[
t|\Delta u| \leq C(n)A \left( 1 + \frac{T}{R^2} \right)
\]
on \( B_p(R) \times [0, T] \). If we further let \( R \to \infty \), then
\[
t|\Delta u| \leq C(n)A
\]
on \( M \times [0, T] \).

**Proof of Theorem 2.7.** As in [17] (see also [6] or [12]), for any \( p \in M \) and \( R > 0 \), we may choose a cut-off function with \( \eta(x) = 1 \) on \( B_p(R) \) and supported in \( B_p(2R) \) satisfying
\[
|\nabla \eta|^2 \leq \frac{C_3}{R^2} \eta
\]
and
\[
\Delta \eta \geq -\frac{C_3}{R^2}
\]
for some \( C_3 = C_3(n) > 0 \). Letting \( G := \eta F \), we compute that
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) G \leq \frac{\eta}{t} (-cF^2 + C_2^2) - \Delta \eta \cdot F - 2\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla F,
\]
where we used the Schwarz inequality. Since \( t \leq T \leq 1 \), the above formula becomes
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) F \leq -\frac{t^3}{n} (\Delta u)^4 + (2Kt + 1) Ct |\Delta u|^2 + 4Ct(\Delta u)^2 \\
\leq -\frac{t^3}{n} (\Delta u)^4 + (2K + 1) Ct |\Delta u|^2 + 4Ct(\Delta u)^2 \\
\leq -\frac{c}{t} F^2 + \frac{18n(1+K^2)C^2}{t},
\]
(2.3)
where \( C_\ast = C(n, K)A^2 \left[ 1 + T \left( 1 + \frac{1}{R^2} \right) \right] \). Assume that at a point \((x_0, t_0)\) the function \( G \) attains its positive maximum in \( B(p, 2R) \times (0, T] \). Then at \((x_0, t_0)\) we have

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) G \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \nabla G = \eta \nabla F + F \nabla \eta.
\]

Therefore at \((x_0, t_0)\) we have

\[
0 \leq \frac{1}{t} \left[ -c(\eta F)^2 + C_\ast^2 \eta \right] - \Delta \eta \cdot \left( \eta F \right) + 2F|\nabla \eta|^2
\]

and hence

\[
(2.9) \quad cG^2(x_0, t_0) \leq C_\ast^2 + 3\frac{C_3}{R^2} G(x_0, t_0)t_0.
\]

Since

\[
3\frac{C_3}{R^2} G(x_0, t_0)t_0 \leq \frac{c}{2} G^2(x_0, t_0) + \frac{8C_3^2}{cR^4} t_0^2,
\]

inequality \(2.9\) implies that

\[
\frac{c}{2} G^2(x_0, t_0) \leq C_\ast^2 + \frac{8C_3^2}{cR^4} t_0^2.
\]

Therefore for any \((x, t) \in B_p(R) \times [0, T]\),

\[
G^2(x, t) \leq G^2(x_0, t_0) \leq 2c^{-1} C_\ast^2 + c^{-2} \frac{16C_3^2}{R^4} t_0^2.
\]

Since \( c := C^{-1}(n) \cdot C^{-2}_\ast \), we have that

\[
G^2(x, t) \leq C(n)C_\ast^4 + \frac{C(n)}{R^4} T^2 C_\ast^4
\]

for any \((x, t) \in B_p(R) \times [0, T]\). This implies

\[
G(x, t) \leq C(n)C_\ast^2 + \frac{C(n)}{R^2} TC_\ast^2
\]

for any \((x, t) \in B_p(R) \times [0, T]\). By the definitions of \( C_\ast \) and \( G \), we have

\[
8C_\ast t^2 |\Delta u|^2 \leq C(n)C_\ast^2 + \frac{C(n)}{R^2} TC_\ast^2,
\]

and therefore

\[
t^2 |\Delta u|^2 \leq C(n, K)A^2 \left[ 1 + T \left( 1 + \frac{1}{R^2} \right) \right] \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{T}{R^2} \right)
\]

for any \((x, t) \in B_p(R) \times [0, T]\), which completes the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, by using gradient and Laplacian estimates of the previous section, we apply a maximum principle on complete noncompact manifolds due originally to Karp and Li [5] (see also Ni-Tam [9]) to finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Theorem 3.1 (Karp-Li [5] and Ni-Tam [9]). Let \((M, g)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. Suppose \(f(x, t)\) is a smooth function on \(M \times [0, T]\), \(0 < T < \infty\), such that
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) f(x, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad f(x, t) \leq 0.
\]
Let \(f_+(x, t) := \max\{f(x, t), 0\}\). Assume that
\[
(3.1) \quad \int_0^T \int_M e^{-ar^2(x)} f_+^2(x, t) \, d\mu dt \leq 0
\]
for some constant \(a > 0\), where \(r(x)\) is the distance to \(x\) from some fixed \(p \in M\). If \(f(x, 0) \leq 0\) for all \(x \in M\), then \(f(x, t) \leq 0\) for all \((x, t) \in M \times [0, T]\).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Following Hamilton’s proof [4] with a little modification, we define \(u_\epsilon = u + \epsilon\) satisfying \(\epsilon < u_\epsilon < A + \epsilon\) and the function
\[
P(x, t) := t \left( \Delta u_\epsilon + \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon} \right) - u_\epsilon \left( n + 4 \log \frac{A}{u_\epsilon} \right).
\]
By [4], we have
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) P \leq -\frac{2t}{nu_\epsilon} \left( \Delta u_\epsilon - \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon} \right)^2 + \left( \Delta u_\epsilon - \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon} \right) - 2 \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon}.
\]
By Hamilton’s arguments, we easily have that
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) P \leq 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad P \geq 0.
\]
This fact can be obtained by the following three cases:

1. If \(\Delta u_\epsilon \leq \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon}\), then we are done.
2. If \(\frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon} \leq \Delta u_\epsilon \leq 3 \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon}\), then we are also done.
3. If \(3 \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon} \leq \Delta u_\epsilon\), then when \(P \geq 0\), we have
\[
2 \left( \Delta u_\epsilon - \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon} \right) \geq \Delta u_\epsilon + \frac{|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon} \geq \frac{nu_\epsilon}{t},
\]
and hence we are completely done.

Now, obviously, we have
\[
P(x, 0) < 0.
\]
By our assumptions on \(u_\epsilon\), we also have
\[
P_+(x, t) \leq t \left( \Delta u_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 \right),
\]
where \(P_+(x, t) := \max\{P(x, t), 0\}\). Thus, using estimates (2.1) and (2.6), for any \(p \in M^n\) and \(T, R > 0\), we have
\[
\int_0^T \int_{B_p(R)} e^{-r^2(x)} P_+^2(x, t) \, d\mu dt
\]
\[
\leq \int_0^T \int_{B_p(R)} e^{-r^2(x)} \left[ t \left( \Delta u_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 \right) \right]^2 \, d\mu dt
\]
\[
\leq \left( C(n)A + \frac{C(n)A^2}{\epsilon} \right) \int_0^T \int_M e^{-r^2(x)} \, d\mu dt.
\]
Since \( Ric \geq 0 \), by the Bishop volume comparison theorem, we have that
\[
\int_0^T \int_{B_p(R)} e^{-r^2(x)} d\mu dt < \infty.
\]
Then by letting \( R \to \infty \), we conclude that
\[
\int_0^T \int_M e^{-r^2(x)} d\mu dt < \infty
\]
and hence
\[
\int_0^T \int_{B_p(R)} e^{-r^2(x)} P^2(x, t) d\mu dt < \infty.
\]
By the maximum principle for the complete noncompact manifold, we conclude that \( P(x, t) \leq 0 \) for all \( t \leq T \), and hence the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 follows. \( \Box \)

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.3 follows from that of Theorem 1 in [6] with little modification, but is included for completeness.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Let \( H(x, y, t) \) be the heat kernel of the heat equation on \((M, g)\). For any \( t > 0 \) and \( y \in M \), we set \( u(x, s) := H(x, y, s + t/2) \), and then \( u \) is a smooth, positive solution to the heat equation on \([0, T)\). By [7], for any \( \delta > 0 \) there exists a constant \( C_1 = C_1(\delta) > 0 \) such that
\[
\text{exp} \left( \frac{-d^2(x, y)}{(4-\delta)(s+t/2)} \right) \leq u(x, s) \leq \frac{C_1}{\text{Vol}(B_y(\sqrt{s+t/2}))}
\]
for all \( x, y \in M \), and \( s \geq 0 \).

Letting
\[
A := \frac{C_1}{\text{Vol}(B_y(\sqrt{t/2}))},
\]
the latter part of inequality (4.1) implies \( u \leq A \) for all \( x \) and \( s \). Since \( Ric \geq 0 \), there exists a positive constant \( C_2 := C_2(n) \) such that
\[
\text{Vol}(B_y(\sqrt{s+t/2})) \leq \text{Vol}(B_y(\sqrt{t})) \leq C_2 \text{Vol}(B_y(\sqrt{t/2}))
\]
for all \( 0 \leq s \leq t/2 \). Thus, by the front part of inequality (4.1) and Theorem 1.2, we have
\[
s \frac{\Delta u}{u} \leq n + 4 \log \left( \frac{A}{u} \right) \leq n + 4 \log(C_1^2 C_2) + \frac{4d^2(x, y)}{(4-\delta)(s+t/2)}
\]
on \( M \times [0, t/2] \). Setting \( C = n + 4 \log(C_1^2 C_2) \) and \( s = t/2 \) from above, we conclude that
\[
(t/2) \frac{\Delta H}{H}(x, y, t) = (t/2) \frac{\Delta u}{u}(x, t/2) \leq C + \frac{4d^2(x, y)}{(4-\delta)t}
\]
for all \( x, y \in M \) and \( t > 0 \). \( \Box \)
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