

ORBIT EQUIVALENT SUBSTITUTION DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND COMPLEXITY

S. BEZUGLYI AND O. KARPEL

(Communicated by Bryna Kra)

ABSTRACT. For any primitive proper substitution σ , we give explicit constructions of countably many pairwise non-isomorphic substitution dynamical systems $\{(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that they all are (strong) orbit equivalent to (X_{σ}, T_{σ}) . We show that the complexity of the substitution dynamical systems $\{(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})\}$ is the essential difference that prevents them from being isomorphic. Given a primitive (not necessarily proper) substitution τ , we find a stationary simple properly ordered Bratteli diagram with the least possible number of vertices such that the corresponding Bratteli-Vershik system is orbit equivalent to (X_{τ}, T_{τ}) .

1. INTRODUCTION

The seminal paper [11] answered, among other outstanding results, the question of orbit equivalence of *uniquely ergodic* minimal homeomorphisms of a Cantor set. It was proved that two such minimal systems, (X, T) and (Y, S) , are orbit equivalent if and only if *the clopen values sets* $S(\mu) = \{\mu(E) : E \text{ clopen in } X\}$ and $S(\nu) = \{\nu(F) : F \text{ clopen in } Y\}$ coincide, where μ and ν are the unique invariant measures with respect to T and S , respectively. It is well known now that Bratteli diagrams play an extremely important role in the study of homeomorphisms of Cantor sets because any minimal (and even aperiodic) homeomorphism of a Cantor set is conjugate to the Vershik map acting on the path space of a Bratteli diagram [11], [12], [14]. This realization turns out to be useful in many cases, in particular for the study of substitution dynamical systems, because the corresponding Bratteli diagrams are of the simplest form. It was proved in [7] that the class of minimal substitution dynamical systems coincides with Bratteli-Vershik systems of stationary simple Bratteli diagrams. Later on, it was shown in [3] that a similar result is true for aperiodic dynamical systems. These facts allow us to easily find the clopen values set $S(\mu)$ for a substitution dynamical system in terms of the matrix of substitution (see [4] and subsection 2.2). In order to construct a minimal substitution dynamical system which is orbit equivalent to a given one, (X_{σ}, T_{σ}) (in other words, a simple stationary Bratteli diagram B_{σ}), one has to find another stationary simple Bratteli diagram B such that the clopen values set $S(\mu)$ is kept unchanged, where μ is a unique T_{σ} -invariant measure. Moreover, if one wants to have a substitution dynamical system which is strongly orbit equivalent to (X_{σ}, T_{σ}) , then additionally the dimension group of the diagram B_{σ} must be unchanged. Of course, we are not

Received by the editors January 10, 2012 and, in revised form, September 18, 2012 and January 3, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 37B10; Secondary 37A20, 37B05.

©2014 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

interested in the case when powers of σ are considered since it leads trivially to conjugate substitution systems.

We focus here on the study of (strong) orbit equivalence of minimal substitution dynamical systems. Aperiodic non-minimal substitution systems were considered before in [2]. There were built countably many substitution dynamical systems such that their full ergodic invariant measures were homeomorphic (and hence the measures had the same clopen values sets $S(\mu)$) but the systems were pairwise not orbit equivalent. The non-minimality of the systems was essential for the paper [2]. We note that the simplest case for minimal systems when the invariant measure μ has rational $S(\mu)$ and λ is an integer that was studied in [17]. There were found numerical complete invariants for topological orbit equivalence in such a class of systems and the assumption of rationality of $S(\mu)$ was important for the proofs.

The main results of the present paper are as follows. Let (X_σ, T_σ) be a minimal substitution dynamical system and let B_σ be a stationary simple Bratteli diagram corresponding to (X_σ, T_σ) . We give an explicit construction of countably many substitutions $\{\zeta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ defined on the Bratteli diagrams $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, obtained by telescoping B_σ , such that the systems $\{(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are strong orbit equivalent to (X_σ, T_σ) and pairwise non-isomorphic. In the other construction, we build pairwise non-isomorphic orbit equivalent minimal substitution dynamical systems by using alphabets of different cardinality.

In both constructions we use the complexity function $n \mapsto p_\sigma(n)$ to distinguish non-isomorphic systems. Recall that the function $p_\sigma(n)$ counts the number of words of length n in the infinite sequence invariant with respect to σ . In the first construction, the incidence matrices of built substitution systems are the powers of the incidence matrix of the substitution system (X_σ, T_σ) . In the case of a fixed alphabet, the complexity function can be made to increase by enlarging the length of substitution and an appropriate permutation of letters. Using this method, we produce a countable family of pairwise non-isomorphic strong orbit equivalent substitution systems. In the second construction, the complexity of the systems is forced to grow by increasing the number of letters in the alphabet. In other words, for a proper substitution σ defined on the alphabet \mathcal{A} , we find countably many proper substitutions $\{\zeta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ on alphabets \mathcal{A}_n of different cardinality such that (X_σ, T_σ) is orbit equivalent to $(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})$, but the set $\{(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ consists of pairwise non-isomorphic substitution dynamical systems.

Given the Bratteli-Vershik system on a simple stationary diagram (B, \leq) , we find an orbit equivalent stationary Bratteli-Vershik system with the least possible number of vertices. This number is the degree of the algebraic integer λ , the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of the matrix transpose to the incidence matrix of B .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Minimal Cantor systems. A minimal Cantor system is a pair (X, T) where X is a Cantor space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a minimal homeomorphism; i.e. for every $x \in X$ the set $Orb_T(x) = \{T^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in X .

Given a minimal Cantor system (X, T) and a clopen $A \subset X$, let $r_A(x) = \min\{n \geq 1 : T^n(x) \in A\}$ be a continuous integer-valued map defined on A . Then $T_A(x) = T^{r_A(x)}$ is a homeomorphism of A , and (A, T_A) is a Cantor minimal system and is said to be *induced* from (X, T) .

There are several notions of equivalence for minimal Cantor systems.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, T) and (Y, S) be two minimal Cantor systems. Then:

(1) (X, T) and (Y, S) are *conjugate* (or *isomorphic*) if there exists a homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $h \circ T = S \circ h$.

(2) (X, T) and (Y, S) are *orbit equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $h(\text{Orb}_T(x)) = \text{Orb}_S(h(x))$ for every $x \in X$. In other words, there exist functions $n, m: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $x \in X$, $h \circ T(x) = S^{n(x)} \circ h(x)$ and $h \circ T^{m(x)} = S \circ h(x)$. The functions n, m are called the orbit cocycles associated to h .

(3) (X, T) and (Y, S) are *strong orbit equivalent* if they are orbit equivalent and each of the corresponding orbit cocycles has at most one point of discontinuity.

(4) (X, T) and (Y, S) are *Kakutani equivalent* if they both have clopen subsets such that the corresponding induced systems are conjugate.

(5) (X, T) and (Y, S) are *Kakutani orbit equivalent* if they both have clopen subsets such that the corresponding induced systems are orbit equivalent.

2.2. Bratteli diagrams.

Definition 2.2. A *Bratteli diagram* is an infinite graph $B = (V, E)$ such that the vertex set $V = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} V_i$ and the edge set $E = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i$ are partitioned into disjoint subsets V_i and E_i such that:

- (i) $V_0 = \{v_0\}$ is a single point;
- (ii) V_i and E_i are finite sets;
- (iii) there exist a range map r and a source map s from E to V such that $r(E_i) = V_i$, $s(E_i) = V_{i-1}$, and $s^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$, $r^{-1}(v') \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in V$ and $v' \in V \setminus V_0$.

The pair (V_i, E_i) or just V_i is called the i -th level of the diagram B . A sequence of edges $(e_i : e_i \in E_i)$ such that $r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$ is called a *path*. We denote by X_B the set of all infinite paths starting at the vertex v_0 . This set is endowed with the standard topology turning X_B into a Cantor set.

Given a Bratteli diagram $B = (V, E)$, we define a sequence of incidence matrices $F_n = (f_{vw}^{(n)})$ of B : $f_{vw}^{(n)} = |\{e \in E_{n+1} : r(e) = v, s(e) = w\}|$, where $v \in V_{n+1}$ and $w \in V_n$. Here and thereafter $|V|$ denotes the cardinality of the set V . A Bratteli diagram is called *stationary* if $F_n = F_1$ for every $n \geq 2$.

A Bratteli diagram $B' = (V', E')$ is called the *telescoping* of a Bratteli diagram $B = (V, E)$ to a sequence $0 = m_0 < m_1 < \dots$ if $V'_n = V_{m_n}$ and E'_n is the set of all paths from $V_{m_{n-1}}$ to V_{m_n} , i.e. $E'_n = E_{m_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ E_{m_n} = \{(e_{m_{n-1}}, \dots, e_{m_n}) : e_i \in E_i, r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})\}$.

Observe that every vertex $v \in V$ is connected to v_0 by a finite path, and the set $E(v_0, v)$ of all such paths is finite. A Bratteli diagram is called *simple* if for any $n > 0$ there exists $m > n$ such that any two vertices $v \in V_n$ and $w \in V_m$ are connected by a finite path.

A Bratteli diagram $B = (V, E)$ is called *ordered* if every set $r^{-1}(v)$, $v \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} V_n$, is linearly ordered. Given an ordered Bratteli diagram $(B, \leq) = (V, E, \leq)$, any two paths from $E(v_0, v)$ are comparable with respect to the lexicographical order [12]. We call a finite or infinite path $e = (e_i)$ *maximal (minimal)* if every e_i is maximal (minimal) amongst the edges from $r^{-1}(r(e_i))$. A simple ordered Bratteli diagram (B, \leq) is *properly ordered* if there are unique maximal and minimal infinite paths. Any simple stationary Bratteli diagram can be properly ordered. A Bratteli diagram $B = (V, E, \leq)$ is called *stationary ordered* if it is stationary and the partial linear order on E_n does not depend on n .

Let $(B, \leq) = (V, E, \leq)$ be a simple properly ordered stationary Bratteli diagram. Define a minimal homeomorphism $\phi_B: X_B \rightarrow X_B$ as follows. Let $\phi_B(x_{\max}) = x_{\min}$. If $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \neq x_{\max}$, let k be the smallest number so that x_k is not a maximal edge. Let y_k be the successor of x_k (hence $r(x_k) = r(y_k)$). Set $\phi_B(x) = (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots)$, where (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}) is the minimal path in $E(v_0, s(y_k))$. The resulting minimal Cantor system (X_B, ϕ_B) is called a *Bratteli-Vershik system*. If (B', \leq') is a telescoping of (B, \leq) which preserves the lexicographical order, then the Bratteli-Vershik systems (X_B, ϕ_B) and $(X_{B'}, \phi_{B'})$ are isomorphic.

Definition 2.3. Let $B = (V, E)$ be a Bratteli diagram. Two infinite paths $x = (x_i)$ and $y = (y_i)$ from X_B are called *tail equivalent* if there exists i_0 such that $x_i = y_i$ for all $i \geq i_0$. Denote by \mathcal{R} the tail equivalence relation on X_B .

A Bratteli diagram is simple if the tail equivalence relation \mathcal{R} is minimal; i.e. for arbitrary path $x \in X_B$ the set $\{y \in X_B : y \text{ is tail equivalent to } x\}$ is dense in X_B . Denote $X_w^{(n)}(\bar{e}) := \{x = (x_i) \in X_B : x_i = e_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$, where $\bar{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \in E(v_0, w)$, $n \geq 1$. A measure μ on X_B is called \mathcal{R} -invariant if for any two paths \bar{e} and \bar{e}' from $E(v_0, w)$ and any vertex w , one has $\mu(X_w^{(n)}(\bar{e})) = \mu(X_w^{(n)}(\bar{e}'))$. The measure invariant for a stationary Bratteli-Vershik system is \mathcal{R} -invariant.

In the paper, we will consider only simple stationary Bratteli diagrams. Let $A = F^T$ be the matrix transpose to the incidence matrix of a diagram B . Let λ be a Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_K)^T$ be the corresponding positive eigenvector such that $\sum_{i=1}^K x_i = 1$. Suppose B has no multiple edges between levels 0 and 1. Then there is an ergodic probability measure μ defined by λ and x that satisfies the relation

$$\mu(X_i^{(n)}(\bar{e})) = \frac{x_i}{\lambda^{n-1}},$$

where $i \in V_n$ and \bar{e} is a finite path with $r(\bar{e}) = i$. Therefore, the clopen values set for μ has the form

$$S(\mu) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^K k_i^{(n)} \frac{x_i}{\lambda^{n-1}} : 0 \leq k_i^{(n)} \leq h_i^{(n)}; n = 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

where $h_i^{(n)} = |E(v_0, v_i)|$, $v_i \in V_n$. Let $H(x)$ be the additive subgroup of \mathbb{R} generated by x_1, \dots, x_K . We have $S(\mu) = (\bigcup_{N=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda^N} H(x)) \cap [0, 1]$ (see [2]). It is easy to see that $\lambda H(x) \subset H(x)$ and $\lambda^m \in H(x)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [2]).

2.3. Substitution dynamical systems. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ be a finite alphabet. Let \mathcal{A}^* be the collection of finite non-empty words over \mathcal{A} . Denote by $\Omega = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the set of all two-sided infinite sequences on \mathcal{A} . A substitution σ is a map $\sigma: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$. It extends to maps $\sigma: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by concatenation. Denote by T the shift on Ω : $T(\dots x_{-1}x_0x_1\dots) = \dots x_{-1}x_0x_1\dots$.

Let $A_\sigma = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^s$ be the incidence matrix associated to σ where a_{ij} is the number of occurrences of a_i in $\sigma(a_j)$. Clearly, $A_{\sigma^n} = (A_\sigma)^n$ for every $n \geq 0$. A substitution σ is called *primitive* if there is n such that for each $a_i, a_j \in \mathcal{A}$, a_j appears in $\sigma^n(a_i)$. Note that σ is primitive if and only if A_σ is a primitive matrix. If it happens that $|\sigma(a)| = q$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then the substitution σ is said to be of constant length q . For $x \in \Omega$, let $L_n(x)$ be the set of all words of length n occurring

in x . Set $L(x) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_n(x)$. The language of σ is the set L_σ of all finite words occurring in $\sigma^n(a)$ for some $n \geq 0, a \in \mathcal{A}$. Set $X_\sigma = \{x \in \Omega : L(x) \subset L_\sigma\}$.

Throughout this paper we will consider only primitive substitutions σ such that X_σ is a Cantor set. The dynamical system (X_σ, T_σ) , where T_σ is the restriction of T to the T -invariant set X_σ , is called *the substitution dynamical system* associated to σ . It is well known (see [16]) that every primitive substitution generates a minimal and uniquely ergodic dynamical system.

The proofs of the following statements can be found in [16]. For every integer $p > 0$ the substitution σ^p defines the same language as σ , hence the systems (X_σ, T_σ) and $(X_{\sigma^p}, T_{\sigma^p})$ are isomorphic. Substituting σ^p for σ if needed, we can assume that there exist two letters $r, l \in \mathcal{A}$ such that r is the last letter of $\sigma(r)$, l is the first letter of $\sigma(l)$ and $rl \in L_\sigma$. The sequence $\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^n(r.l) \in X_\sigma$ is a fixed point of σ (that is, $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$) and $\omega_{-1} = r, \omega_0 = l$. Then $X_\sigma = \overline{Orb_T(\omega)}$.

The *complexity* of $u \in \Omega$ is the function $p_u(n)$ which associates to each integer $n \geq 1$ the cardinality of $L_n(u)$. It is easy to see that

$$(2.1) \quad p_u(k+1) - p_u(k) = \sum_{w \in L_k(u)} (\text{Card} \{a \in \mathcal{A} : wa \in L_{k+1}(u)\} - 1).$$

The sequence u is called *minimal* if every word occurring in u occurs in an infinite number of places with bounded gaps. A fixed point of a primitive substitution is always minimal (see [8, 16]). Let X_u be the set of all sequences $x \in \Omega$ such that $L_n(x) = L_n(u)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a primitive substitution σ with the fixed point u , we have $X_\sigma = X_u = \overline{Orb_T(u)}$. Hence $p_x(n) = p_u(n)$ for every n and every $x \in X_u$. Sometimes we will denote p_u by p_σ to stress that the complexity function is defined by σ .

The following results can be found in [6, 8, 16].

Theorem 2.4. (1) *If the symbolic systems (X_u, T) and (X_v, T) associated to minimal sequences u and v are topologically conjugate, then there exists a constant c such that, for all $n > c$,*

$$p_u(n - c) \leq p_v(n) \leq p_u(n + c).$$

Hence a relation $p_u(n) \leq an^k + \bar{o}(n^k)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ is preserved by conjugacy (isomorphism).

(2) *Let ζ be a primitive substitution and p the complexity function of a fixed sequence $u = \zeta(u)$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $p(n) \leq Cn$ for every $n \geq 1$.*

(3) *Let $u \in \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and p be the complexity function of u . Suppose that there exists $a > 0$ such that $p(n) \leq an$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then*

$$p(n+1) - p(n) \leq Ksa^3$$

for all $n \geq n_0$, where K does not depend on u .

Definition 2.5. A substitution σ on an alphabet \mathcal{A} is called *proper* if there exists an integer $n > 0$ and two letters $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for every $c \in \mathcal{A}$, a is the first letter and b is the last letter of $\sigma^n(c)$.

For every primitive substitution ζ , there exists a proper substitution σ such that the substitution systems (X_ζ, T_ζ) and (X_σ, T_σ) are isomorphic. The substitution σ is built using the method of return words (see [7]). The following theorem establishes the link between incidence matrices of ζ and σ .

Theorem 2.6 ([17]). *Let ζ be a non-proper primitive substitution and let λ be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of its incidence matrix A_ζ . Let σ be the corresponding proper substitution built by means of return words. Then the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A_σ is λ^k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Stationary Bratteli diagrams are naturally related to substitution dynamical systems (primitive substitutions are considered in [7], [10], and the non-primitive case is studied in [3]). More precisely, let $(B, \leq) = (V, E, \leq)$ be a stationary ordered Bratteli diagram with no multiple edges between levels 0 and 1. Choose a stationary labeling of V_n by an alphabet \mathcal{A} : $V_n = \{v_n(a) : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$, $n > 0$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ consider the ordered set (e_1, \dots, e_s) of edges with range $v_n(a)$, $n \geq 2$. Let (a_1, \dots, a_s) be the corresponding ordered set of the labels of the sources of these edges. The map $a \mapsto a_1 \cdots a_s$ from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}^* does not depend on n and determines a substitution called the substitution read on (B, \leq) . Conversely, for any substitution dynamical system we can build the corresponding ordered stationary Bratteli diagram. The following theorem, proved in [7], shows the link between simple Bratteli diagrams and primitive substitution dynamical systems.

Theorem 2.7. *Let (B, \leq) be a stationary, properly ordered Bratteli diagram with only simple edges between the top vertex and the first level. Let σ be the substitution read on (B, \leq) .*

(i) *If σ is aperiodic, then the Bratteli-Vershik system (X_B, ϕ_B) is isomorphic to the substitution dynamical system (X_σ, T_σ) .*

(ii) *If σ is periodic, the Bratteli-Vershik system (X_B, ϕ_B) is isomorphic to a stationary odometer.*

In [17], the following result was proved:

Theorem 2.8. *Let σ be a primitive substitution whose incidence matrix has a natural Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue. Then (X_σ, T_σ) is orbit equivalent to a stationary odometer system.*

We will need the next result (see [7, 10]):

Theorem 2.9. *Let (B, \leq) be a stationary properly ordered Bratteli diagram. Then there exists a stationary properly ordered Bratteli diagram (B', \leq') such that B' has no multiple edges between levels 0 and 1 and the systems (X_B, ϕ_B) , $(X_{B'}, \phi_{B'})$ are isomorphic.*

3. ORBIT EQUIVALENCE CLASS FOR A PRIMITIVE SUBSTITUTION

Given a primitive proper substitution σ , we build countably many pairwise non-isomorphic substitution dynamical systems $\{(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in the orbit equivalence class of (X_σ, T_σ) . Two essentially different constructions are elaborated. In the first one, we obtain countably many strong orbit equivalent substitution systems defined on the same alphabet. The second construction produces countably many orbit equivalent substitution systems with increasing cardinality of alphabets. Finally, given a primitive (not necessarily proper) substitution τ , we find a stationary simple properly ordered Bratteli diagram with the least possible number of vertices such that the corresponding Bratteli-Vershik system is orbit equivalent to (X_τ, T_τ) .

Theorem 3.1. *Let σ be a primitive proper substitution. Let (B, \leq) be the corresponding stationary properly ordered simple Bratteli diagram. Then there exist*

countably many telescoping B_n of B with proper orders \leq_n and corresponding substitutions ζ_n read on B_n such that the substitution dynamical systems $\{(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are pairwise non-isomorphic and strong orbit equivalent to (X_σ, T_σ) .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ be the alphabet for σ . Fix a number $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{\omega_r\}_{r=1}^{s^l}$ denote the set of all possible words of length l over the alphabet \mathcal{A} . For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we can consider the telescoping B_N of B with respect to a sequence $\{kN\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Later in the proof we will choose some specific N . Let $F = A^T$ be the incidence matrix of B . Then F^N is the incidence matrix of B_N . In our construction we will define a proper substitution $\zeta = \zeta(l)$ that is read on the Bratteli diagram B_N whose incidence matrix is $A_\zeta = A^N$. This means that the number of occurrences of any letter a_i in $\zeta(a_j)$ is known but we are free to choose any order of letters in the word $\zeta(a_j)$. In other words, we will change the lexicographical order \leq , which obviously determines σ^N , in order to define ζ . Since the matrix A is primitive, we can take $N = N(l)$ sufficiently large to guarantee that ζ satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) for all $1 \leq j \leq s$ the word $\zeta(a_j)$ starts with the word $a_1 a_j$ and ends with the letter a_1 ;
- (2) the word $\zeta(a_1)$ contains as subwords all words $\{\omega_i a_j\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s^l$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Obviously, it follows that ζ is a proper substitution. The two substitution dynamical systems, (X_ζ, T_ζ) and (X_σ, T_σ) , are strongly orbit equivalent. Indeed, if we consider the Bratteli diagrams corresponding to $(X_{\sigma^N}, T_{\sigma^N})$ and (X_ζ, T_ζ) as being unordered, they are identical. Hence the dimension groups associated to these minimal Cantor systems are order isomorphic by a map preserving the distinguished order unit (see Theorem 2.1 in [11]).

We need to show that for an appropriate choice of l the substitution $\zeta = \zeta(l)$ is such that the systems $(X_{\zeta(l)}, T_{\zeta(l)})$ and (X_σ, T_σ) are not isomorphic. We see that $\zeta^\infty(a_1.a_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta^n(a_1.a_1)$ is a fixed point. The parameter l should now be chosen in such a way that the complexity function p_ζ of $\zeta^\infty(a_1.a_1)$ grows essentially faster than the complexity function associated to (X_σ, T_σ) . We use the proof by contradiction. Suppose that for any l the dynamical systems (X_σ, T_σ) and $(X_{\zeta(l)}, T_{\zeta(l)})$ are isomorphic. By Theorem 2.4 (2), there exists $C > 0$ such that $p_\sigma(n) \leq Cn$ for every $n \geq 1$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.4 (1) that $p_\zeta(n) \leq (C + 1)n$ for sufficiently large n . Then, by Theorem 2.4 (3), we have $p_\zeta(n + 1) - p_\zeta(n) \leq Ks(C + 1)^3$ for sufficiently large n , where K is the universal constant which depends only on the slope $C + 1$ (see [5]). We show that we can choose the parameter l and an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ such that the difference $p_\zeta(n_k + 1) - p_\zeta(n_k)$ is larger than $Ks(C + 1)^3$ for all $k \geq 0$. Thus, we get a contradiction.

To clarify the method of the proof, we first prove the theorem in the case when σ is a substitution of constant length q . Then ζ is a substitution of length q^N .

By definition of ζ , we have $p_\zeta(1) = s$, $p_\zeta(2) = s^2$ and $p_\zeta(k) = s^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq l + 1$. The word $\zeta^2(a_1)$ contains the words $\zeta(\omega_i)\zeta(a_j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s^l$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Recall that $\zeta(a_j)$ starts with $a_1 a_j$. Thus, $\zeta^2(a_1)$ contains s^l different words $\{\zeta(\omega_i)a_1\}_{i=1}^{s^l}$, and each word can be followed by any letter from \mathcal{A} . Since $lq + 1 = |\zeta(\omega_i)| + |a_1|$, we obtain $p_\zeta(lq + 2) - p_\zeta(lq + 1) \geq s^l(s - 1)$ by (2.1).

Consider $\zeta^3(a_1)$. Then apply the previous arguments with $\zeta(a_i)$ instead of a_i . Since $|\zeta^2(\omega_i)| + |\zeta(a_1)| + |a_1| = lq^2 + q + 1$, we get $p_\zeta(lq^2 + q + 2) - p_\zeta(lq^2 + q + 1) \geq s^l(s - 1)$. Thus, we conclude by induction that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$p_\zeta(lq^m + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q^i + 1) - p_\zeta(lq^m + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q^i) \geq s^l(s - 1).$$

Taking l large enough, we can make the difference $p_\zeta(k + 1) - p_\zeta(k)$ arbitrarily large for an infinite number of values of k .

To prove the theorem in the general case, denote $q_m = |\zeta^m(a_1)|$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $D_m = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} |\zeta^m(a_i)|$ and $d_m = \min_{1 \leq i \leq s} |\zeta^m(a_i)|$. Since $|\omega_i| = l$, we have $ld_m \leq |\zeta^m(\omega_i)| \leq lD_m$ for any $1 \leq i \leq s^l$ and $m \geq 1$. The matrix A_ζ is strictly positive; hence there exist positive constants M_1, M_2 such that for every $m \geq 1$ we have $M_1\lambda^m \leq d_m \leq D_m \leq M_2\lambda^m$, where λ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A_ζ (see [16]). Hence $\frac{D_m}{d_m} \leq \frac{M_2}{M_1}$ for all $m \geq 1$. For $r > 0$, set $l = l(r) = \left(\left\lceil \frac{M_2}{M_1} \right\rceil + 1\right)r$. Then $l \geq r\frac{M_2}{M_1} \geq r\frac{D_m}{d_m}$ and $ld_m \geq rD_m$ for all $m \geq 1$. Thus, $\{\zeta^m(\omega_i)\}_{i=1}^{s^l}$ contains at least s^r different suffixes of length $D_m r$ and each suffix can be followed by any word from $\{\zeta^m(a_j)\}_{j=1}^s$. By the same argument as in the case of substitution of constant length, we conclude that

$$p_\zeta(D_m r + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q_i + 1) - p_\zeta(D_m r + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q_i) \geq s^r(s - 1).$$

Thus, the systems (X_σ, T_σ) and (X_ζ, T_ζ) are not isomorphic.

Recall that $p_\sigma(n) \leq Cn$ for all $n \geq 1$ and the parameter l is such that the inequality $p_{\zeta(l)}(n) \leq (C + 1)n$ does not hold for infinitely many values of n . Therefore, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $M > N$ such that $p_\zeta(M) > (C + 1)M$. Set $\zeta_1 = \zeta$. There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $p_{\zeta_1}(n) \leq C_1 n$ for every $n \geq 1$. By the same method as above, we construct ζ_{m+1} using ζ_m for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We obtain $p_{\zeta_m}(n) \leq C_m n$ for $n \geq 1$, and for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $M > N$ such that $p_{\zeta_{m+1}}(M) > (C_m + 1)M$. Hence, by Theorem 2.4, we obtain countably many pairwise non-isomorphic substitution dynamical systems $\{(X_{\zeta_m}, T_{\zeta_m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in the strong orbit equivalence class of (X_σ, T_σ) . \square

In contrast to the first construction, where the cardinality of the alphabet was fixed and which led us to a class of strongly orbit equivalent substitution systems, we will now consider a class of substitution dynamical systems defined on the alphabets of variable cardinality.

Recall that for a vector x , we denote by $H(x)$ the additive subgroup generated by the coordinates of x . For a primitive matrix $A \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, s)$ with the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue λ and the corresponding normalized eigenvector x , we denote it by $S(A) = \bigcup_{N=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda^N} H(x)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, s)$ be a primitive matrix. Then there exist primitive matrices $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, where $A_n \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, s + n)$ such that $S(A) = S(A_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let λ be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s)^T$ the corresponding normalized eigenvector. We construct an $(s + 1) \times (s + 1)$ matrix A_1 such that A_1 satisfies the condition of the lemma and $y = (x_1, \dots, x_s, \lambda - 1)^T$

is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of A_1 . Since x is the normalized eigenvector of A , we have $\sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}x_j = \lambda x_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}x_j = \lambda$. Then

$$\lambda - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^s x_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a_{ij} - 1 \right) \in H(x_1, \dots, x_s).$$

Denote by $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ the entries of the matrix A^k . We have

$$\lambda^k x_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^s \left(a_{ij}^{(k)} - \left(\sum_{l=1}^s a_{lj} - 1 \right) \right) x_j + \lambda - 1.$$

Clearly, all the coefficients $a_{ij}^{(k)} - (\sum_{l=1}^s a_{lj} - 1)$ are positive integers for sufficiently large k . We have $\lambda^k(\lambda - 1) = \sum_{j=1}^s (\sum_{i=1}^s (a_{ij}^{(k+1)} - a_{ij}^{(k)}))x_j$. It is obvious that the numbers $\sum_{i=1}^s (a_{ij}^{(k+1)} - a_{ij}^{(k)})$ are positive integers for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Hence we define

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{(k)} - (\sum_{i=1}^s a_{i1} - 1) & \dots & a_{1n}^{(k)} - (\sum_{i=1}^s a_{i,n} - 1) & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}^{(k)} - (\sum_{i=1}^s a_{i1} - 1) & \dots & a_{nn}^{(k)} - (\sum_{i=1}^s a_{i,n} - 1) & 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^s (a_{i1}^{(k+1)} - a_{i1}^{(k)}) & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^s (a_{i,n}^{(k+1)} - a_{i,n}^{(k)}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to check that $A_1 y = \lambda^k y$. Since $\lambda - 1 \in H(x_1, \dots, x_s)$, we have $H(y_1, \dots, y_{s+1}) = H(x_1, \dots, x_s)$. The normalized Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of A_1 is $z = \frac{1}{\lambda} y$. Since $\lambda H(x) \subset H(x)$, we see that $S(A) = S(A_1)$.

To complete the proof, we note that the construction of A_{n+1} uses A_n in the same way as the construction of A_1 uses A . □

Theorem 3.3. *Let σ be a proper substitution. Then there exist countably many proper substitutions $\{\zeta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that (X_σ, T_σ) is orbit equivalent to $(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})$ but the systems $\{(X_{\zeta_n}, T_{\zeta_n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are pairwise non-isomorphic.*

Proof. Let A be the incidence matrix of substitution σ defined on an alphabet $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$. Let λ be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s)^T$ be the normalized Perron-Frobenius eigenvector. By Theorem 2.4, there exists $C > 0$ such that $p_\sigma(n) \leq Cn$ for every $n \geq 1$. We can assume $C \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C + 1 > s$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists a primitive matrix $\tilde{A} \in Mat(\mathbb{N}, C + 2)$ such that $S(\tilde{A}) = S(A)$. We define a primitive substitution ζ on the alphabet $\{a_1, \dots, a_{C+2}\}$ such that $A_\zeta = \tilde{A}$. We can always assume that $(\tilde{A})_{1i} \geq 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, C + 2$; otherwise we would take the power of \tilde{A} instead of \tilde{A} . We require also that the word $\zeta(a_j)$ starts with the letters $a_1 a_j$ and ends with the letter a_1 for all $j = 1, \dots, C + 2$. Then ζ is a proper primitive substitution.

Denote by $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta^n(a_1 a_1)$ the unique fixed point for ζ . Since ζ is primitive, the sequence u contains $\zeta^k(a_j) = \zeta^{k-1}(a_1)\zeta^{k-2}(a_1)\dots\zeta(a_1)a_1 a_j \dots a_1$ as a subword for $k \geq 1$ and $j = 1, \dots, C + 2$. We have $p_u(1) = C + 2$. Since all letters $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{C+2}$ can follow the word $\zeta^{k-1}(a_1)\zeta^{k-2}(a_1)\dots\zeta(a_1)a_1$ for any k , we have

$p_u(n + 1) - p_u(n) \geq C + 1$ for $n \geq 1$ by (2.1). It follows that $p_u(n) > (C + 1)n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, by Theorem 2.4, the systems (X_σ, T_σ) and (X_ζ, T_ζ) are not isomorphic.

We will apply induction to produce a needed sequence of substitutions. For $\zeta_1 := \zeta$, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $p_{\zeta_1}(n) \leq C_1 n$ for every $n \geq 1$. By the same method as above, we can construct ζ_{m+1} using ζ_m for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S(A_{\zeta_m}) = S(A)$. We obtain $C_m n \leq p_{\zeta_{m+1}}(n) \leq C_{m+1} n$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 1$. Hence, by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.2, we obtain countably many pairwise non-isomorphic substitution dynamical systems $\{(X_{\zeta_m}, T_{\zeta_m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in the orbit equivalence class of (X_σ, T_σ) . \square

In the case of a primitive substitution, we find a stationary simple properly ordered Bratteli diagram with the least possible number of vertices such that the corresponding dynamical systems are orbit equivalent. In the case of a primitive substitution whose incidence matrix has a natural Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue, the corresponding diagram has only one vertex on each level (see Theorem 2.8). We recall some notions and results from [2].

Let $A \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, s)$ be a primitive matrix. Let λ be a Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s)^T$ be the normalized Perron-Frobenius eigenvector. Denote by k the degree of the algebraic integer λ . The number field $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ is a subfield of \mathbb{R} whose elements are written as $\{a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_{k-1}\lambda^{k-1} : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. The numbers $1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{k-1}$ form a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ as a vector space over \mathbb{Q} . If we need to emphasize that a real number $y = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_{k-1}\lambda^{k-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ is considered as a vector $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1})^T \in \mathbb{Q}^k$, we will use the notation \mathbf{y} . Let \mathbf{n} denote the vector $(1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{k-1})^T \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Then, for any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Q}^k$, the corresponding number $y \in \mathbb{R}$ can be written as $y = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n} \rangle$.

Let B be a stationary simple Bratteli diagram with incidence matrix $F = A^T$ and no multiple edges between levels 0 and 1. Let μ be its unique ergodic probability \mathcal{R} -invariant measure. Let $G(S(\mu))$ be an additive subgroup of reals generated by $S(\mu)$. It is not hard to see that $S(A) = G(S(\mu)) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$.

Let $p(\lambda)$ be the polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ such that $\lambda^{-1} = p(\lambda)$. The map $y \mapsto p(\lambda)y$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ determines a linear transformation in the vector space \mathbb{Q}^k . Let D be the matrix which corresponds to this transformation. The following results can be found in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [2]. The matrix $D \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{Q}, k)$ is a non-singular matrix, and for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^k$ the vector $D\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^k$ corresponds to the number $\frac{x}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $C = D^{-1}$. The matrix C has only one eigenvector \mathbf{y}_1 with eigenvalue λ , the absolute value of any other eigenvalue of C is less than λ , and \mathbf{y}_1 is the only eigenvector of C that is not orthogonal to \mathbf{n} . Obviously, we can assume $\langle \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{n} \rangle > 0$. Denote by $\pi = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k : \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0\}$. The iterations of C drive any ray which is not in π to the limit ray generated by \mathbf{y}_1 ; the iterations of $D = C^{-1}$ do the opposite. More precisely, if $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \neq 0$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Q}^k$, then the angle between the line generated by $D^N \mathbf{y}$ and π can be made arbitrarily small when N tends to infinity.

Remark 3.4. For $A \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, s)$, let λ be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue for A and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s)^T$ be the corresponding eigenvector. Then $\deg \lambda = \deg \lambda^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, since the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A^m is λ^m and $A^m x = \lambda^m x$, we have $\deg \lambda^m = \dim(\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}) = \deg \lambda$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $A \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, s)$ be a primitive matrix. Let λ be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A . Let $k \geq 2$ be the degree of algebraic number λ . Then there exists a primitive matrix $\tilde{A} \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, k)$ such that $S(\tilde{A}) = \alpha S(A)$ for some positive $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$. Moreover, k is the least possible dimension for which this equality holds.*

Proof. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s)^T$ be the normalized Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of A . Let $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s)$ be the lattice in \mathbb{R}^k generated by $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s$. Then $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s) \subset \mathbb{Q}^k$ corresponds to the group $H(x_1, \dots, x_s) \subset \mathbb{R}$. There exist $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_k \in \mathbb{Q}^k$ such that $\Lambda(\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_k) = \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s)$ (see [15]). Since $1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{k-1} \in H(x)$, the vectors $\{\mathbf{f}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ form a basis of \mathbb{Q}^k . By changing \mathbf{f}_i to $-\mathbf{f}_i$, we can make all vectors $\{\mathbf{f}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ satisfy the inequality $\langle \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{n} \rangle > 0$. Since D is a non-singular linear transformation of \mathbb{Q}^k , the vectors $\{D^N \mathbf{f}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ form a basis of \mathbb{Q}^k for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Lambda(D^N \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, D^N \mathbf{f}_k) = \Lambda(D^N \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, D^N \mathbf{x}_s)$. Consider the cone

$$K(D^N \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, D^N \mathbf{f}_k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i D^N \mathbf{f}_i : \beta_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, k \right\}.$$

Recall that \mathbf{y}_1 is an eigenvector of C such that $\langle \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{n} \rangle > 0$ and the iterations of C drive any ray which is not in π to the limit ray generated by \mathbf{y}_1 . There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the vectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s$ lie in the cone $K(D^N \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, D^N \mathbf{f}_k)$. Then there exists an integer $M > 0$ such that $D^{N-M} \mathbf{f}_i \in K(D^N \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, D^N \mathbf{f}_k)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since $\lambda H(x) \subset H(x)$, we also have $D^{N-M} \mathbf{f}_i \in \Lambda(D^N \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, D^N \mathbf{f}_k)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_k$ are linearly independent, there exist positive integers $\{\tilde{a}_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^k$ such that $D^{N-M} \mathbf{f}_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_{ij} D^N \mathbf{f}_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Set $z_i = \langle D^N \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{n} \rangle$ and $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$. Then

$$z = \left(\frac{z_1}{\sum_{l=1}^k z_l}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{\sum_{l=1}^k z_l} \right)^T \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

is a normalized Perron-Frobenius eigenvector for \tilde{A} with eigenvalue λ^M . Setting $\alpha = \sum_{l=1}^k z_l$, we obtain that $\alpha S(A) = S(\tilde{A})$ because $H(z_1, \dots, z_k) = \frac{1}{\lambda^N} H(x_1, \dots, x_s)$.

Now we show that if $P \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, l)$ such that $S(P) = \alpha S(A)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then $l \geq k$. First, we show that $\deg \beta = d \geq k$. Assume that the converse holds. Suppose $\deg \beta = d < k$. Recall that $1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{k-1} \in H(x_1, \dots, x_s)$. We have $S(P) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$. Then the elements of $S(P) = \alpha S(A)$ can be represented as some vectors of \mathbb{Q}^d . In particular, $\alpha, \alpha\lambda, \dots, \alpha\lambda^{k-1}$ can be represented as $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in \mathbb{Q}^d$. Since $d < k$, the vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Q} ; hence there exist rational numbers $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \mathbf{v}_i = 0$. Returning from \mathbb{Q}^d to \mathbb{R} we obtain $\alpha \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lambda^i = 0$. But then the algebraic degree of λ is less than k . This is a contradiction, and hence $d \geq k$. Since β is a root of characteristic polynomial for P , the dimension of P is not less than k . □

Remark 3.6. Given a Perron number λ with $\deg \lambda = k$, we show that there exists a primitive matrix $\tilde{A} \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, k)$ such that λ^M is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of \tilde{A} for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$. In [13], it was shown that there may not exist a matrix $\tilde{A} \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, k)$ with the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue λ (see Example 3.13 below).

Recall that a stationary Bratteli diagram may have multiple edges between levels 0 and 1. The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 3.7. *Let σ be a primitive substitution whose incidence matrix has a Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue λ and $k = \deg \lambda$. Then (X_σ, T_σ) is orbit equivalent to a Bratteli-Vershik system defined on a stationary Bratteli diagram with k vertices on each level. Moreover, there is no stationary Bratteli-Vershik system with less than k vertices which is orbit equivalent to (X_σ, T_σ) .*

Proof. Suppose σ is not a proper substitution. Then, by Theorem 2.6, there exists a proper substitution ζ with incidence matrix A_ζ such that (X_ζ, T_ζ) is isomorphic to (X_σ, T_σ) and the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A_ζ is λ^d for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. By Remark 3.4, we have $\deg \lambda = \deg \lambda^d = k$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that σ is a proper substitution.

We will use the notation from Lemma 3.5. Let A be the incidence matrix for σ . There exist a primitive matrix $\tilde{A} \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, k)$ and a positive number $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ such that $S(\tilde{A}) = \alpha S(A)$. Let (B, \leq) be a stationary ordered Bratteli diagram corresponding to σ and let μ be the unique invariant measure for the Bratteli-Vershik system (X_B, ϕ_B) . Suppose \tilde{B} is the stationary Bratteli diagram with incidence matrix $\tilde{F} = \tilde{A}^T$ and no multiple edges between levels 0 and 1. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s)^T$ be a normalized Perron-Frobenius eigenvector for A . The diagram \tilde{B} has k vertices and $\frac{1}{\alpha}(\langle D^N \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{n} \rangle, \dots, \langle D^N \mathbf{f}_k, \mathbf{n} \rangle)^T$ is the normalized Perron-Frobenius eigenvector for \tilde{A} . Since the vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s$ lie in the positive cone $K(D^N \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, D^N \mathbf{f}_k)$ and in the lattice $\Lambda(D^N \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, D^N \mathbf{f}_k)$, each \mathbf{x}_i is a linear combination of $\{D^N \mathbf{f}_j\}_{j=1}^k$ with natural coefficients. We make a finite change between the zero and first levels of \tilde{B} and obtain B_1 as follows. If $\mathbf{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^k b_{ij} D^N \mathbf{f}_j$, then let B_1 have $\sum_{i=1}^s b_{ij}$ edges between v_0 and the j -th vertex of the first level. Let \leq_1 be a proper order on B_1 . Let ν be the unique invariant measure for (X_{B_1}, ϕ_{B_1}) . Then (B_1, \leq_1) is a stationary Bratteli diagram such that $G(S(\nu)) = G(S(\mu))$. Hence the Bratteli-Vershik system on (B_1, \leq_1) is orbit equivalent to (X_σ, T_σ) . Let $\tilde{\leq}$ be any proper order on \tilde{B} . Note that the proper substitution systems associated to (B, \leq) and $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{\leq})$ are Kakutani orbit equivalent. Indeed, two Bratteli-Vershik systems associated to properly ordered Bratteli diagrams are Kakutani equivalent if and only if one diagram can be obtained from the other by a finite change, i.e. by doing a finite number of finite telescopings and adding and/or removing a finite number of edges (see [7]). By Lemma 3.5, there is no stationary Bratteli-Vershik system on the diagram with less than k vertices which is orbit equivalent to (X_σ, T_σ) . \square

Remark 3.8. The diagram (B_1, \leq_1) has multiple edges between levels 0 and 1. By Theorem 2.9, there exists a stationary Bratteli diagram (B_2, \leq_2) such that B_2 has no multiple edges between levels 0 and 1, and Bratteli-Vershik systems (X_{B_1}, ϕ_{B_1}) , (X_{B_2}, ϕ_{B_2}) are isomorphic. Let A_2 be the matrix transpose to the incidence matrix of B_2 . Then $S(A_2) = S(A)$.

The corollary easily follows from the proof of Theorem 3.7 and Remark 3.8.

A *Perron number* is a real algebraic integer greater than one, that is, larger than the absolute value of any of its Galois conjugates [13].

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be a Perron number and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^s \subset \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \cap (0, \infty)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^s x_i = 1$. Let $H = H(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ be the additive group generated by x_1, \dots, x_s . Suppose $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^s$ are vectors in \mathbb{Q}^k corresponding to $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^s$ and $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^s$ denotes the set of all rational linear combinations of $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^s$.*

- (i) If $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{x_i\}_{i=1}^s = \mathbb{Q}^k$ and $\lambda^M H \subset H$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a primitive matrix A with natural entries such that $S(A) = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^N} H(x_1, \dots, x_s)$.
- (ii) If $\lambda H \subset H$, then there exists a primitive matrix A with natural entries such that $S(A) = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^N} H(x_1, \dots, x_s)$.

Proposition 3.10. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^s \subset \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^s x_i = 1$. Let $H(x) = H(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ be an additive group generated by x_1, \dots, x_s . Let $Y = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \{\{y_j\}_{j=1}^l \subset \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty) : \sum_{j=1}^l y_j = 1 \text{ and } H(y) = H(x)\}$. Then Y is a finite set.

Proof. Let $x_i = \frac{p_i}{q}$, where $\text{gcd}(p_1, \dots, p_s) = 1$. We have $H(x) = \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \{\{y_j\}_{j=1}^l \subset \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty) : \sum_{j=1}^l y_j = 1 \text{ and } H(y) = \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}\}$. Hence $y_i = \frac{q_i}{q}$ for some $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^l q_i = q$. Since the number of partitions of q into natural numbers is finite, we obtain $|Y| < \infty$. □

More results, which are related to the two statements above, can be found in [13].

Example 3.11. Here is an example illustrating Theorem 3.3. Let B_0 be a stationary simple Bratteli diagram with the matrix A_0 transpose to the incidence matrix of the diagram where

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let \leq_0 be a proper order for B_0 and σ be a substitution read on (B_0, \leq_0) . For example, choose

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} a \mapsto ab, \\ b \mapsto abb. \end{cases}$$

Since substitution σ is Sturmian, we have $p_{\sigma}(n) = n + 1$ (see [9]). By Lemma 3.2, we build a primitive matrix $A_1 \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, 3)$ such that $S(A_1) = S(A)$:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 8 & 13 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider a proper order \leq_1 on B_1 with the following substitution ζ read on (B_1, \leq_1) :

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} a \mapsto abbccccccc, \\ b \mapsto abbccccccccccc, \\ c \mapsto ab. \end{cases}$$

It can be proved that $p_{\zeta}(n) \geq 3n$. Hence we already obtain that (X_{σ}, T_{σ}) and (X_{ζ}, T_{ζ}) are non-isomorphic orbit equivalent systems.

Remark 3.12. Let λ be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A_0 and x be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector. Let μ be the unique \mathcal{R} -invariant measure for the corresponding diagram. Then $\lambda H(x) = H(x)$ and $G(S(\mu)) = H(x)$ (see [2]).

Example 3.13. The following example concerns Lemma 3.5. Lind [13] pointed out an example of Perron number λ ($\lambda \approx 3.8916$ is the Perron root of the equation $f(t) = t^3 + 3t^2 - 15t - 46$ and $\text{deg } \lambda = 3$) such that there exists no matrix $A \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, 3)$ with Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue λ . The reason is that a 3-dimensional matrix with spectral radius λ has trace -3 and hence cannot be non-negative. Lemma 3.5 states that such a matrix must exist for some power of λ . Here we

present a matrix $\tilde{A} \in \text{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, 3)$ with Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue λ^M for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$. In the notation used in Lemma 3.5, we notice that

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 46 \\ 1 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\mathbf{y}_1 = (1, \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{15}{46}, \frac{1}{\lambda^2} + \frac{15}{46}\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{3}{46})^T$ has positive coordinates (see also [2]). Let $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^3$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Q}^3 ; hence $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \lambda^{i-1} > 0$. Then the iterates of matrix C drive each \mathbf{e}_i closer to \mathbf{y}_1 when M is growing; hence $C^M \mathbf{e}_i$ has all positive coordinates for sufficiently large M . We can choose $\tilde{A} = C^M$. In this specific example, it suffices to take $M \geq 49$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the referee for detailed comments on the paper, which helped to make the exposition much better.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ethan Akin, *Good measures on Cantor space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), no. 7, 2681–2722 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-04-03524-X. MR2139523 (2006e:37003)
- [2] S. Bezuglyi and O. Karpel, *Homeomorphic measures on stationary Bratteli diagrams*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 12, 3519–3548, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2011.08.009. MR2838033 (2012i:37001)
- [3] S. Bezuglyi, J. Kwiatkowski, and K. Medynets, *Aperiodic substitution systems and their Bratteli diagrams*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **29** (2009), no. 1, 37–72, DOI 10.1017/S0143385708000230. MR2470626 (2009m:37020)
- [4] S. Bezuglyi, J. Kwiatkowski, K. Medynets, and B. Solomyak, *Invariant measures on stationary Bratteli diagrams*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **30** (2010), no. 4, 973–1007, DOI 10.1017/S0143385709000443. MR2669408 (2012g:37019)
- [5] Julien Cassaigne, *Special factors of sequences with linear subword complexity*, Developments in language theory, II (Magdeburg, 1995), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996, pp. 25–34. MR1466182 (98e:68212)
- [6] Julien Cassaigne, *Complexité et facteurs spéciaux* (French, with English and French summaries), Journées Montoises (Mons, 1994). Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **4** (1997), no. 1, 67–88. MR1440670 (98c:68176)
- [7] F. Durand, B. Host, and C. Skau, *Substitutional dynamical systems, Bratteli diagrams and dimension groups*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **19** (1999), no. 4, 953–993, DOI 10.1017/S0143385799133947. MR1709427 (2000i:46062)
- [8] Sébastien Ferenczi, *Complexity of sequences and dynamical systems*, Combinatorics and number theory (Tiruchirappalli, 1996). Discrete Math. **206** (1999), no. 1-3, 145–154, DOI 10.1016/S0012-365X(98)00400-2. MR1665394 (2000f:68093)
- [9] N. Pytheas Fogg, *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics*, edited by V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit and A. Siegel, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1794, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. MR1970385 (2004c:37005)
- [10] A. H. Forrest, *K-groups associated with substitution minimal systems*, Israel J. Math. **98** (1997), 101–139, DOI 10.1007/BF02937330. MR1459849 (99c:54056)
- [11] Thierry Giordano, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau, *Topological orbit equivalence and C^* -crossed products*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **469** (1995), 51–111. MR1363826 (97g:46085)
- [12] Richard H. Herman, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau, *Ordered Bratteli diagrams, dimension groups and topological dynamics*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), no. 6, 827–864, DOI 10.1142/S0129167X92000382. MR1194074 (94f:46096)
- [13] D. A. Lind, *The entropies of topological Markov shifts and a related class of algebraic integers*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **4** (1984), no. 2, 283–300, DOI 10.1017/S0143385700002443. MR766106 (86c:58092)

- [14] Konstantin Medynets, *Cantor aperiodic systems and Bratteli diagrams* (English, with English and French summaries), *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **342** (2006), no. 1, 43–46, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2005.10.024. MR2193394 (2006g:37011)
- [15] Melvyn B. Nathanson, *Additive number theory*, Inverse problems and the geometry of sum-sets. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 165, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. MR1477155 (98f:11011)
- [16] Martine Queffélec, *Substitution dynamical systems—spectral analysis*, 2nd ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1294, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. MR2590264 (2011b:37018)
- [17] Hisatoshi Yuasa, *On the topological orbit equivalence in a class of substitution minimal systems*, *Tokyo J. Math.* **25** (2002), no. 2, 221–240, DOI 10.3836/tjm/1244208850. MR1948661 (2003k:37024)

INSTITUTE FOR LOW TEMPERATURE PHYSICS, KHARKOV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: `bezuglyi@ilt.kharkov.ua`

INSTITUTE FOR LOW TEMPERATURE PHYSICS, KHARKOV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: `helen.karpel@gmail.com`