

EIGENVALUES FOR A FOURTH ORDER ELLIPTIC PROBLEM

LINGJU KONG

(Communicated by Joachim Krieger)

ABSTRACT. We study the fourth order nonlinear eigenvalue problem with a $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)}^2 u + a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u = \lambda w(x)f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $p(x) > 1$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\Delta_{p(x)}^2 u = \Delta(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2}\Delta u)$ is the $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator, and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. Under some appropriate conditions on the functions p, a, w, f , we prove that there exists $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ such that any $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$ is an eigenvalue of the above problem. Our analysis mainly relies on variational arguments based on Ekeland's variational principle and some recent theory on the generalized Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Differential equations and variational problems with nonstandard $p(x)$ -growth conditions have many applications in mathematical physics such as in the modelling of electrorheological fluids and of other phenomena related to image processing, elasticity, and the flow in porous media ([11, 20, 23]). Such problems have been studied by many authors in the literature. The reader is referred to [1, 2, 5–8, 12, 13, 18, 19] for some recent work on this subject. It is well known that problems with $p(x)$ -growth conditions possess more complicated nonlinearities than the constant case. For instance, it is not homogeneous, and thus many techniques which can be applied when $p(x)$ is a positive constant fail to work in this new setting.

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of weak solutions of the following fourth order nonlinear elliptic equation with a $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)}^2 u + a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u = \lambda w(x)f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 1$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $p(x) > 1$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\Delta_{p(x)}^2 u = \Delta(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2}\Delta u)$ is the so-called $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $a \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is nonnegative, $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, and $w \in L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ for some $r \in C(\overline{\Omega})$.

Received by the editors February 4, 2013 and, in revised form, March 25, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35J66, 35J40, 35J92, 47J10.

Key words and phrases. Critical points, $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator, eigenvalues, weak solutions, Ekeland's variational principle.

Several variations of problem (1.1) have been studied in the literature. For instance, Ayoujil and El Amrouss [1, 2] studied the problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)}^2 u = \lambda |u|^{q(x)-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In [1], the case $p(x) = q(x)$ was considered. By the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann principle on C^1 -manifolds, the existence of a sequence of eigenvalues was proved. Let Λ be the set of all nonnegative eigenvalues. It was shown that $\sup \Lambda = \infty$. Sufficient conditions were also found to guarantee that $\inf \Lambda = 0$. We comment that when $p(x) = p > 1$ (a positive constant), we always have $\inf \Lambda > 0$. In [2], using the Mountain Pass Theorem and Ekeland’s variational principle, several existence criteria for eigenvalues were established for problem (1.2) when $p(x) \neq q(x)$. Recently, the existence of three weak solutions of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)}^2 u + a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u = f(x, u) + \lambda g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

was investigated in [5] by using Ricceri’s variational principle ([6, 21]). In a recent paper [13], the present author studied the existence of weak solutions to the problem

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)}^2 u + a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u = \lambda (b(x)u^{\gamma(x)-1} - c(x)u^{\beta(x)-1}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

by applying variational arguments.

We also want to point out that when $p(x)$ is a positive constant, a number of variations of problem (1.2) have been investigated in the literature. See, for example, [3, 9, 10, 15, 16] and the references therein.

In this paper, by using simple variational arguments based on Ekeland’s variational principle and the theory of the generalized Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces, we study the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues for problem (1.1) in a neighborhood of the origin. More precisely, under some appropriate conditions, we show that there exists $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ such that any $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1). For more applications of Ekeland’s variational principle to other problems, see, for example, [2, 12, 19]. Our result is partly motivated by these nice papers.

In the remainder of this section, we recall some definitions and basic properties of variable spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, where Ω is given as in problem (1.1). The presentation here can be found in, for example, [1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14, 22].

Let

$$C_+(\bar{\Omega}) = \{h : h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ and } h(x) > 1 \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}\}.$$

Throughout this paper, for any $h \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, we use the notation

$$h^+ := \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x) \quad \text{and} \quad h^- := \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x).$$

Let $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ be fixed. We define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$\begin{aligned} &L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \\ &= \left\{ u : u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function such that } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, equipped with the so-called Luxemburg norm

$$|u|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

$L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space. It is clear that when $p(x) = p > 1$ (a positive constant), the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ becomes the well-known Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$ and the norm $|u|_{p(x)}$ reduces to the stand norm $|u|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |u|^p)^{1/p}$ in $L^p(\Omega)$.

As in the constant exponent case, for any positive integer k , let

$$W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : D^{\alpha}u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega), |\alpha| \leq k \right\},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ is a multi-index, $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$, and $D^{\alpha}u = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}u}{\partial^{\alpha_1}x_1 \dots \partial^{\alpha_N}x_N}$. Then, equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{k,p(x)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |D^{\alpha}u|_{p(x)},$$

$W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is also a separable and reflexive Banach space. We denote by $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Throughout this paper, we let

$$X = W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

Define a norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ of X by

$$\|u\|_X = \|u\|_{1,p(x)} + \|u\|_{2,p(x)}.$$

Then, endowed with $\|\cdot\|_X$, X is a separable and reflexive Banach space. Moreover, by [22, Theorem 4.4], $\|u\|$ and $|\Delta u|_{p(x)}$ are two equivalent norms of X .

Let

$$\|u\|_a = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| \frac{\Delta u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} + a(x) \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} \quad \text{for } u \in X.$$

In view of $a^- \geq 0$, it is easy to see that $\|u\|_a$ is equivalent to the norms $\|u\|$ and $|\Delta u|_{p(x)}$ in X . In this paper, for the convenience of discussion, we use the norm $\|u\|_a$ for X .

Proposition 1.1 ([5, Proposition 2.3]). *Let $\rho_a(u) = \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx$ for $u \in X$. Then, we have*

- (a) *if $\|u\|_a \geq 1$, then $\|u\|_a^{p^-} \leq \rho_a(u) \leq \|u\|_a^{p^+}$;*
- (b) *if $\|u\|_a \leq 1$, then $\|u\|_a^{p^+} \leq \rho_a(u) \leq \|u\|_a^{p^-}$.*

Proposition 1.2 ([7, Propositions 2.4 and 2.5] or [14, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.7]). *The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, where $1/p(x) + 1/q(x) = 1$. Moreover, for $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we have the following inequality of Hölder type:*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{q^-} \right) |u|_{p(x)} |v|_{q(x)} \leq 2|u|_{p(x)} |v|_{q(x)}.$$

Moreover, if $h_i \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ with $1/h_1(x) + 1/h_2(x) + 1/h_3(x) = 1$, then, for $u \in L^{h_1(x)}(\Omega)$, $v \in L^{h_2(x)}(\Omega)$, and $w \in L^{h_3(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uvw dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{h_1^-} + \frac{1}{h_2^-} + \frac{1}{h_3^-} \right) |u|_{h_1(x)} |v|_{h_2(x)} |w|_{h_3(x)} \leq 3|u|_{h_1(x)} |v|_{h_2(x)} |w|_{h_3(x)}.$$

Proposition 1.3 ([4, Lemma 2.1]). *Let $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that $1 \leq p(x)q(x) \leq \infty$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$. Then, we have*

- (a) *if $|u|_{p(x)q(x)} \leq 1$, then $|u|_{p(x)q(x)}^{q^+} \leq \| |u|^{q(x)} \|_{p(x)} \leq |u|_{p(x)q(x)}^{q^-}$;*
- (b) *if $|u|_{p(x)q(x)} \geq 1$, then $|u|_{p(x)q(x)}^{q^-} \leq \| |u|^{q(x)} \|_{p(x)} \leq |u|_{p(x)q(x)}^{q^+}$.*

For any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, let

$$p^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Np(x)}{N-2p(x)} & \text{if } p(x) < \frac{N}{2}, \\ \infty & \text{if } p(x) \geq \frac{N}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1.4 ([1, Theorem 3.2]). *Assume that $q \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $q(x) < p^*(x)$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then, there exists a continuous and compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.*

In the next section, we state our main result and give its proof.

2. MAIN RESULT

We need the following assumptions.

(H1) There exist $k_1 \geq k_2 > 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$ and $q_1, q_2, r \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad 1 < q_1(x) \leq q_2(x) < p(x) \leq \frac{N}{2} < r(x) \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega}$$

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq tf(t) \leq k_1 |t|^{q_1(x)} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad tf(t) \geq k_2 |t|^{q_2(x)} \quad \text{for } t \in [-\delta, \delta].$$

(H2) $w \in L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ and there exists a subset $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ with $\text{meas}(\Omega_1) > 0$ such that $w(x) > 0$ for $x \in \Omega_1$, where $\text{meas}(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set.

Remark 2.1. Regarding the condition (H1), we make the following comments.

(a) Let

$$r'(x) = \frac{r(x)}{r(x) - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad s_i(x) = \frac{r(x)q_i(x)}{r(x) - q_i(x)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then, $1/r(x) + 1/r'(x) = 1$ and it is easy to check that (2.1) implies

$$r'(x)q_i(x) < p^*(x) \quad \text{and} \quad s_i(x) < p^*(x) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \text{ and } x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Thus, by Proposition 1.4, the embeddings $X \hookrightarrow L^{r'(x)q_i(x)}(\Omega)$ and $X \hookrightarrow L^{s_i(x)}(\Omega)$, $i = 1, 2$, are continuous and compact.

- (b) There are many functions f satisfying both (2.2) and (2.3). For instance, it is easy to check that the following are several simple examples of such functions $f(t)$:

$$f(t) = |t|^{q(x)-2}t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

or

$$f(t) = t|\sin t^{q(x)-2}|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

or

$$f(t) = |t|^{\gamma(x)-2}t - |t|^{\beta(x)-2}t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $q, \beta, \gamma \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $q(x) < p(x)$ and $\gamma(x) < \beta(x) < p(x)$ on $\overline{\Omega}$.

We say that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1) if there exists $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} u v dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} w(x) f(u) v dx = 0$$

for all $v \in X$. When λ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1), the corresponding function $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1).

We now state our main theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then, there exists $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ such that any $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1).*

Remark 2.2. By Remark 2.1 (b), we see that Theorem 2.1 can be applied to problems (1.2) and (1.3) with $b(x) = c(x)$ in Ω as well as to some other problems.

In the rest of this section, we assume that (H1) and (H2) hold and we will prove Theorem 2.1. To this end, define functionals $\Phi, \Psi, I_{\lambda} : X \rightarrow R$ by

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \left(|\Delta u|^{p(x)} + a(x) |u|^{p(x)} \right) dx,$$

$$\Psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} w(x) F(u) dx,$$

and

$$I_{\lambda}(u) = \Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u),$$

where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$.

Lemma 2.1. *We have the following:*

- (a) Φ is weakly lower semicontinuous, $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} u v dx$$

for all $u, v \in X$.

- (b) $\Phi'(u) : X \rightarrow X^*$ is of type (S_+) ; i.e., if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$, where X^* is the dual space of X , \rightarrow and \rightharpoonup denote the strong and weak convergence, respectively.

- (c) Ψ is weakly lower semicontinuous, $\Psi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} w(x) f(u) v dx$$

for all $u, v \in X$.

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) follow from [5, Proposition 2.5]. Part (c) can be proved by a standard argument, and hence the details are omitted. \square

Remark 2.3. By Lemma 2.1 (a) and (c), I_λ is (weakly) lower semicontinuous, $I_\lambda \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\langle I'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx + \int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} u v dx - \lambda \int_\Omega w(x) f(u) v dx$$

for all $v \in X$. Thus, u is a critical point of I_λ if and only if u is a weak solution of problem (1.1).

Note from Remark 2.1 (a) that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{r'(x)q_1(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous. Then, there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{r'(x)q_1(x)} \leq C \|u\|_a \quad \text{for any } u \in X.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For any $\varrho \in (0, 1/C)$, there exist $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that $I_\lambda(u) \geq \kappa$ for any $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$ and $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_a = \varrho$,*

Proof. Let $\varrho \in (0, 1/C)$ be fixed. Then, $\varrho < 1$, and from (2.4), it is clear that

$$\|u\|_{r'(x)q_1(x)} < 1 \quad \text{for any } u \in X \text{ and } \|u\|_a = \varrho.$$

By (2.2), we see that

$$0 \leq F(t) \leq \frac{1}{q_1(x)} k_1 |t|^{q_1(x)} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, for $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_a = \varrho$, from Propositions 1.1–1.3 and (2.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_\Omega \left(|\Delta u|^{p(x)} + a(x) |u|^{p(x)} \right) dx - \lambda \int_\Omega |w(x)| F(u) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|_a^{p^+} - \frac{1}{q_1^-} \lambda k_1 \int_\Omega |w(x)| |u|^{q_1(x)} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|_a^{p^+} - \frac{2}{q_1^-} \lambda k_1 |w|_{r(x)} \left\| |u|^{q_1(x)} \right\|_{r'(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|_a^{p^+} - \frac{2}{q_1^-} \lambda k_1 |w|_{r(x)} |u|_{r'(x)q(x)}^{q_1^-} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|_a^{p^+} - \frac{2}{q_1^-} \lambda k_1 C^{q_1^-} |w|_{r(x)} \|u\|_a^{q_1^-} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^+} \varrho^{p^+} - \frac{2}{q_1^-} \lambda k_1 C^{q_1^-} |w|_{r(x)} \varrho^{q_1^-} \\ (2.5) \quad &= \varrho^{q_1^-} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} \varrho^{p^+ - q_1^-} - \frac{2}{q_1^-} \lambda k_1 C^{q_1^-} |w|_{r(x)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we let

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\lambda} = \frac{\varrho^{p^+ - q_1^-}}{2\varrho^+} \frac{q_1^-}{2k_1 C^{q_1^-} |w|_{r(x)}},$$

then, for any $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$ and $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_a = \varrho$, there exists $\kappa = \frac{\varrho^{p^+}}{2p^+} > 0$ such that $I_\lambda(u) \geq \kappa$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.3. *There exists $\phi \in X$ such that $\phi \geq 0$, $\phi \neq 0$, and $I_\lambda(t\phi) < 0$ for $t > 0$ small enough.*

Proof. Let $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ be given as in (H2). Then, (2.1) implies that $q_2(x) < p(x)$ on $\overline{\Omega}_1$. If we let $\hat{q} = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}_1} q_2(x)$ and $\hat{p} = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}_1} p(x)$, then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\hat{q} + \epsilon_0 < \hat{p}$. Moreover, since $q_2 \in C(\overline{\Omega}_1)$, there exists an open set $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$ such that $\text{meas}(\Omega_2) > 0$ and $|q_2(x) - \hat{q}| < \epsilon_0$ for $x \in \Omega_2$. Thus, $q_2(x) < \hat{q} + \epsilon_0 < \hat{p}$ in Ω_2 .

Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be nontrivial such that $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset \Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$, $\phi \geq 0$, and $\phi \neq 0$ in Ω_2 . Note from (2.3) that

$$F(t) \geq \frac{1}{q_2(x)} k_2 |t|^{q_2(x)} \quad \text{for } t \in [-\delta, \delta].$$

Then, for $0 < t < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta}{\max_{x \in \Omega_2} \phi(x)} \right\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(t\phi) &= \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)} \left(|\Delta(t\phi)|^{p(x)} + a(x)|t\phi|^{p(x)} \right) dx - \lambda \int_\Omega w(x)F(t\phi)dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{t^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \left(|\Delta\phi|^{p(x)} + a(x)|\phi|^{p(x)} \right) dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega_2} w(x)F(t\phi)dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\hat{p}}}{p^-} \int_{\Omega_2} \left(|\Delta\phi|^{p(x)} + a(x)|\phi|^{p(x)} \right) dx - \lambda k_2 \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{t^{q_2(x)}}{q_2(x)} w(x)|\phi|^{q_2(x)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\hat{p}}}{p^-} \int_{\Omega_2} \left(|\Delta\phi|^{p(x)} + a(x)|\phi|^{p(x)} \right) dx - \frac{\lambda k_2 t^{\hat{q} + \epsilon_0}}{q_2^+} \int_{\Omega_2} w(x)|\phi|^{q_2(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $I_\lambda(t\phi) < 0$ for $0 < t < \eta^{1/(\hat{p} - \hat{q} - \epsilon_0)}$, where

$$0 < \eta < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta}{\max_{x \in \Omega_2} \phi(x)}, \frac{\lambda k_2 p^- \int_{\Omega_2} w(x)|\phi|^{q_2(x)} dx}{q_2^+ \int_{\Omega_2} \left(|\Delta\phi|^{p(x)} + a(x)|\phi|^{p(x)} \right) dx} \right\}.$$

Here, we point out that

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \left(|\Delta\phi|^{p(x)} + a(x)|\phi|^{p(x)} \right) dx > 0.$$

In fact, if this is not true, then

$$\int_\Omega \left(|\Delta\phi|^{p(x)} + a(x)|\phi|^{p(x)} \right) dx = 0.$$

By Proposition 1.1, we have $\|\phi\|_a = 0$, and so $\phi \equiv 0$ in Ω , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

The proof of our main result will utilize Ekeland’s variation principle, which is recalled below.

Lemma 2.4 ([17, Theorem 4.1]). *Let M be a complete metric space and let $J : M \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a lower semicontinuous functional, bounded from below, and not identically equal to ∞ . Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and $z \in M$ be such that*

$$J(z) \leq \inf_M J + \epsilon.$$

Then, there exists $v \in M$ such that

$$J(v) \leq J(z) \leq \inf_M J + \epsilon,$$

$$d(z, v) \leq 1,$$

and for any $u \neq v$ in M ,

$$J(v) < J(u) + \epsilon d(v, u),$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the distance between two elements in M .

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be defined by (2.6) and $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \inf_{\partial B_\rho(0)} I_\lambda > 0,$$

where $B_\rho(0)$ is the ball in X centered at the origin and of radius ρ , and $\partial B_\rho(0)$ is the boundary of $B_\rho(0)$. For any $u \in B_\rho(0)$, by an argument similar to those used in obtaining (2.5), we can derive that

$$(2.8) \quad I_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|_a^{p^+} - \frac{2}{q_1^-} \lambda k_1 C^{q_1^-} |w|_{r(x)} \|u\|_a^{q_1^-}.$$

Note from Lemma 2.3 that there exists $\phi \in X$ such that $I_\lambda(t\phi) < 0$ for $t > 0$ small enough. Then, from (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that

$$-\infty < \underline{c}_\lambda := \inf_{B_\rho(0)} I_\lambda < 0.$$

Let

$$0 < \epsilon < \inf_{\partial B_\rho(0)} I_\lambda - \underline{c}_\lambda.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 to the functional $I_\lambda : \overline{B_\rho(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we see that there exists $u_\epsilon \in \overline{B_\rho(0)}$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad \underline{c}_\lambda \leq I_\lambda(u_\epsilon) \leq \underline{c}_\lambda + \epsilon$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad I_\lambda(u_\epsilon) < I_\lambda(u) + \epsilon \|u - u_\epsilon\|_a \quad \text{for } u \neq u_\epsilon.$$

Since $I_\lambda(u_\epsilon) \leq \underline{c}_\lambda + \epsilon < \inf_{\partial B_\rho(0)} I_\lambda$, we have $u_\epsilon \in B_\rho(0)$.

Now, define a functional $J_\lambda : \overline{B_\rho(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$J_\lambda(u) = I_\lambda(u) + \epsilon \|u - u_\epsilon\|_a.$$

Obviously, by (2.10), u_ϵ is a minimum point of J_λ , and so

$$\frac{J_\lambda(u_\epsilon + tv) - J_\lambda(u_\epsilon)}{t} \geq 0$$

for $t > 0$ small enough and all $v \in B_\rho(0)$. Then,

$$\frac{I_\lambda(u_\epsilon + tv) - I_\lambda(u_\epsilon)}{t} + \epsilon \|v\| \geq 0$$

for $t > 0$ small enough and all $v \in B_\rho(0)$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\langle I'_\lambda(u_\epsilon), v \rangle + \epsilon \|v\| \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in B_\rho(0).$$

Hence, $\|I'_\lambda(u_\epsilon)\|_{X^*} \leq \epsilon$. This, together with (2.9), implies that there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset B_\rho(0)$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad I_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow \underline{c}_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Obviously, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X . Then, by the reflexivity of X , there exists $u_0 \in X$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in X . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle| &\leq |\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle| + |\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_0 \rangle| \\ &\leq \| \langle I'_\lambda(u_n) \| \|u_n\| + \| \langle I'_\lambda(u_n) \| \|u_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (2.11) and the fact that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X , it follows that

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle = 0.$$

Now, we claim that

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle = 0.$$

In fact, from (2.2) and Propositions 1.2 and 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle| &= \left| \int_\Omega w(x) f(u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_\Omega |w(x)| |f(u_n)| |u_n - u_0| dx \\ &\leq k_1 \int_\Omega |w(x)| |u_n|^{q_1(x)-1} |u_n - u_0| dx \\ &\leq 3k_1 |w|_{r(x)} \left| |u_n|^{q_1(x)-1} \right|_{\frac{q_1(x)}{q_1(x)-1}} |u_n - u_0|_{s_1(x)} \\ &\leq 3k_1 |w|_{r(x)} \max \left\{ |u_n|_{q_1(x)}^{q_1^+ - 1}, |u_n|_{q_1(x)}^{q_1^- - 1} \right\} |u_n - u_0|_{s_1(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_1(x)$ is defined in Remark 2.1 (a). Then, by the continuous and compact embedding of $X \hookrightarrow L^{q_1(x)}(\Omega)$ and $X \hookrightarrow L^{s_1(x)}(\Omega)$, we see that (2.13) holds. Now, from (2.12) and (2.13), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle = 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1 (b), we have $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in X . Hence, from (2.11), we see that

$$I_\lambda(u_0) = \underline{c}_\lambda < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\lambda(u_0) = 0.$$

Therefore, u_0 is a nontrivial weak solution of problem (1.1), and so any $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

[1] A. Ayoujil and A. R. El Amrouss, *On the spectrum of a fourth order elliptic equation with variable exponent*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71** (2009), no. 10, 4916–4926, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2009.03.074. MR2548723 (2010k:35100)

[2] Abdesslem Ayoujil and Abdel Rachid El Amrouss, *Continuous spectrum of a fourth order nonhomogeneous elliptic equation with variable exponent*, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2011), No. 24, 12. MR2781059 (2012c:35098)

[3] Jiří Benedikt and Pavel Drábek, *Estimates of the principal eigenvalue of the p-biharmonic operator*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75** (2012), no. 13, 5374–5379, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2012.04.055. MR2927595

[4] David E. Edmunds and Jiří Rákosník, *Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent*, *Studia Math.* **143** (2000), no. 3, 267–293. MR1815935 (2001m:46072)

- [5] Abdel Rachid El Amrouss and Anass Ourraoui, *Existence of solutions for a boundary problem involving $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator*, Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. (3) **31** (2013), no. 1, 179–192. MR2990539
- [6] Xianling Fan and Shao-Gao Deng, *Remarks on Ricceri's variational principle and applications to the $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **67** (2007), no. 11, 3064–3075, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2006.09.060. MR2347599 (2008f:35070)
- [7] Xianling Fan and Xiaoyou Han, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations in \mathbf{R}^N* , Nonlinear Anal. **59** (2004), no. 1-2, 173–188, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2004.07.009. MR2092084 (2005h:35092)
- [8] Xianling Fan and Dun Zhao, *On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), no. 2, 424–446, DOI 10.1006/jmaa.2000.7617. MR1866056 (2003a:46051)
- [9] John R. Graef, Shapour Heidarkhani, and Lingju Kong, *Multiple solutions for a class of (p_1, \dots, p_n) -biharmonic systems*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **12** (2013), no. 3, 1393–1406, DOI 10.3934/cpaa.2013.12.1393. MR2989695
- [10] Marius Ghergu, *A biharmonic equation with singular nonlinearity*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **55** (2012), no. 1, 155–166, DOI 10.1017/S0013091510000234. MR2888446
- [11] T. C. Halsey, *Electrorheological fluids*, Science **258** (1992), 761–766.
- [12] Khaled Kefi, *$p(x)$ -Laplacian with indefinite weight*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 12, 4351–4360, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-2011-10850-5. MR2823080 (2012f:35150)
- [13] L. Kong, *On a fourth order elliptic problem with a $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator*, Appl. Math. Lett. **27** (2014), 21–25. MR3111602
- [14] Ondrej Kováčik and Jiří Rákosník, *On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$* , Czechoslovak Math. J. **41(116)** (1991), no. 4, 592–618. MR1134951 (92m:46047)
- [15] M. Lazzo and P. G. Schmidt, *Oscillatory radial solutions for subcritical biharmonic equations*, J. Differential Equations **247** (2009), no. 5, 1479–1504, DOI 10.1016/j.jde.2009.05.005. MR2541418 (2010j:35112)
- [16] Jiu Liu, ShaoXiong Chen, and Xian Wu, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of fourth-order elliptic equations in R^N* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **395** (2012), no. 2, 608–615, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.05.063. MR2948252
- [17] Jean Mawhin and Michel Willem, *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. MR982267 (90e:58016)
- [18] Mihai Mihăilescu and Vicențiu Rădulescu, *A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **462** (2006), no. 2073, 2625–2641, DOI 10.1098/rspa.2005.1633. MR2253555 (2007i:35081)
- [19] Mihai Mihăilescu and Vicențiu Rădulescu, *On a nonhomogeneous quasilinear eigenvalue problem in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 9, 2929–2937, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-07-08815-6. MR2317971 (2008i:35085)
- [20] Michael Růžička, *Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. MR1810360 (2002a:76004)
- [21] Biagio Ricceri, *Sublevel sets and global minima of coercive functionals and local minima of their perturbations*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **5** (2004), no. 2, 157–168. MR2083908 (2005d:49021)
- [22] Aibin Zang and Yong Fu, *Interpolation inequalities for derivatives in variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), no. 10, 3629–3636, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2007.10.001. MR2450565 (2009i:26025)
- [23] V. V. Zhikov, *Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory (Russian)*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **50** (1986), no. 4, 675–710, 877. MR864171 (88a:49026)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA, CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37403

E-mail address: Lingju-Kong@utc.edu