

## PROJECTIONS IN DUALS TO ASPLUND SPACES MADE WITHOUT SIMONS' LEMMA

MAREK CÚTH AND MARIÁN FABIAN

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

*Dedicated to the 70th birthday of Charles Stegall*

ABSTRACT. G. Godefroy and the second author of this note proved in 1988 that in duals to Asplund spaces there always exists a projectional resolution of the identity. A few years later, Ch. Stegall succeeded to omit from the original proof a deep lemma of S. Simons. Here, we rewrite the condensed argument of Ch. Stegall in a more transparent and detailed way. We actually show that this technology of Ch. Stegall leads to a bit stronger/richer object—the so-called projectional skeleton—recently constructed by W. Kubiś, via S. Simons' lemma and with the help of elementary submodels from logic.

In 1988, G. Godefroy and the second-named author of this note constructed in [FG] a projectional resolution of the identity in duals to general Asplund spaces; see, e.g., [F, Definitions 1.0.1 and 6.1.5]. A few years later, Ch. Stegall presented a simplified variant of this construction by omitting from the proof the use of S. Simons' lemma [F, Lemma 8.1.3] or any other substitute for it. He published it, in a rather condensed form, in [St]. In this note, we perform his argument in full detail. Thus we believe that Ch. Stegall's approach, so far overlooked, will attract broader attention. Ch. Stegall's technology led us to construct a stronger/richer object, which includes the so-called *1-projectional skeleton*, introduced and studied recently by W. Kubiś; see [K, pages 765, 766], [KKL, pages 369, 370]. W. Kubiś' construction was based on S. Simons' lemma and was done by using elementary submodels from logic.

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be any Banach space. If  $V$  is a subspace of  $X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ , then  $x^*|_V$  means the restriction of  $x^*$  to  $V$ ; similarly, we put  $M|_V := \{x^*|_V : x^* \in M\}$  for any set  $M$  in  $X^*$ . Alaoglu's theorem asserts that the closed unit ball  $B_{X^*}$  in  $X^*$  provided with the weak\* topology is a compact space. Let  $\mathcal{C}(B_{X^*})$  denote the (Banach) space of all continuous functions on this compact space, endowed with the maximum norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Consider the multivalued mapping

$$\mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) \ni f \mapsto \{x^* \in B_{X^*} : f(x^*) = \max f(B_{X^*})\} =: \partial(f) \subset B_{X^*};$$

---

Received by the editors April 4, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B26; Secondary 46B20, 46B22.

*Key words and phrases*. Asplund space, projectional resolution of identity, projectional skeleton, weak star dentability, Jayne-Rogers selection theorem, separable reduction.

The first author was supported by Grant No. 282511/B-MAT/MFF of the Grant Agency of Charles University in Prague.

The second author was supported by grant P201/12/0290 and by RVO: 67985840.

thus  $\partial : \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ . Clearly, for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*})$  the set  $\partial(f)$  is non-empty and weak\* compact. The mapping  $\partial$  is also norm-to-weak\* upper semicontinuous. To check this, consider any weak\* open set  $W$  in  $X^*$ . We have to show that  $\{f \in \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) : \partial(f) \subset W\}$  is an open set. Assume that this is not the case. Then there exists a sequence  $f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots$  of elements in  $\mathcal{C}(B_{X^*})$  such that  $\partial(f_0) \subset W$ , that  $\|f_n - f_0\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $x_n^* \in \partial(f_n) \setminus W$ . Recalling that all the  $x_n^*$ 's belong to the (weak\* compact) set  $B_{X^*}$ , the sequence  $(x_n^*)$  has a weak\* cluster point,  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ , say. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \max f_0(B_{X^*}) &\leq \max f_n(B_{X^*}) + \|f_0 - f_n\| \\ &= f_n(x_n^*) - f_0(x_n^*) + \|f_0 - f_n\| + f_0(x_n^*) \leq 2\|f_n - f_0\| + f_0(x_n^*) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . And, since  $f_0$  is weak\* continuous,  $(f_0(x^*) \leq) \max f_0(B_{X^*}) \leq f_0(x^*)$ . We got that  $x^* \in \partial(f_0) (\subset W)$ . However, simultaneously,  $x^* \notin W$  as  $x_n^* \notin W$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; a contradiction.

If the Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is separable, the mapping  $\partial : \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  has the following (crucial) property: For every  $\xi \in B_{X^*}$  there is an  $f \in \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*})$  such that  $\partial(f) = \{\xi\}$ . (This is the very point where the approach of Ch. Stegall starts.) Indeed, since the compact space  $(B_{X^*}, w^*)$  is then metrizable,  $\xi$  is a  $G_\delta$  point in it and Urysohn's lemma [E, Theorem 1.5.10] easily provides a suitable function  $f$ . More specifically (and without using any topological tool), such an  $f$  can be constructed by the formula

$$(1) \quad B_{X^*} \ni x^* \mapsto 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |\langle \xi, s_n \rangle - \langle x^*, s_n \rangle| =: f(x^*)$$

where  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$  is a fixed countable dense subset of the closed unit ball  $B_X$  in  $X$ . (By [F, Lemma 2.2.1(ii)] we know that the function  $\mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) \ni g \mapsto \max g(B_{X^*})$  is Gateaux differentiable at  $g := f$ .)

In what follows, let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space of an arbitrary density. The observation in the latter paragraph leads to introducing the following family of functions from  $\mathcal{C}(B_{X^*})$ . Let  $S$  be any non-empty set in  $B_X$ . By  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  we denote the family of all functions of the form

$$B_{X^*} \ni x^* \mapsto 1 - \sum_{n=1}^k 2^{-n} |a_n - \langle x^*, s_n \rangle|,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  are rational numbers in  $[-1, 1]$ , and  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k$  are elements from  $S$ ; note that  $\#\mathcal{L}(S) = \#S + \aleph_0$ . It is easy to check that each element of  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  is weak\* continuous and has maximum norm at most equal to 1. Thus  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  is a subset of (the closed unit ball of)  $\mathcal{C}(B_{X^*})$ . We can easily check that

$$(2) \quad \overline{\mathcal{L}(S)} = \overline{\mathcal{L}(S)};$$

hence, the set in (2) is separable whenever  $S$  is separable. Note that the  $f$  defined in (1) belongs to the (norm) closure of  $\mathcal{L}(\{s_1, s_2, \dots\})$ .

From now on, assume that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is an Asplund space, which (equivalently) means that the dual space  $X^*$  is weak\* dentable [Ph, Theorem 2.32]. Then, we are ready to apply the selection theorem of Jayne and Rogers [JR, Theorem 8], [F, Theorem 8.1.2] to our multivalued mapping  $\partial$  (which is already known to be norm-to-weak\* upper semicontinuous and weak\* compact valued). Thus we get a sequence  $\lambda_j : \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) \rightarrow X^*$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , of norm-to-norm continuous mappings such

that for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*})$  the limit  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j(f) =: \lambda_0(f)$  exists in the norm topology of  $X^*$  and moreover  $\lambda_0(f) \in \partial(f)$ , that is,  $f(\lambda_0(f)) = \max f(B_{X^*})$ . Now, we define the multivalued mapping

$$\mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) \ni f \longmapsto \{\lambda_1(f), \lambda_2(f), \dots\} =: \Lambda(f) \subset X^*;$$

thus  $\Lambda : \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) \longrightarrow 2^{X^*}$ . The continuity of the mappings  $\lambda_j$ 's and (2) then guarantee that

$$(3) \quad \overline{\Lambda(\overline{\mathcal{L}(\overline{S})})} = \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))}.$$

**Proposition 1** (Ch. Stegall). *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be any Asplund space and let  $V$  be any subspace of it. Then  $B_{V^*} \subset \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} \upharpoonright_V$  and  $\text{dens } V = \text{dens sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V)) = \text{dens } V^*$ ; in particular,  $B_{X^*} \subset \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_X))}$ .*

*Proof.* First, assume that  $V$  is separable. Consider any  $v^* \in B_{V^*}$ . Find a countable dense subset  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$  of  $B_V$ . Define  $f := 1 - \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} |\langle v^*, s_n \rangle - \langle \cdot, s_n \rangle|$ ; thus  $f \in \mathcal{L}(B_V)$ . Then  $f(x^*) = 1$  for every  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ , with  $x^* \upharpoonright_V = v^*$ , and  $-1 \leq f(y^*) < 1$  whenever  $y^* \in B_{X^*}$  and  $y^* \upharpoonright_V \neq v^*$ . Thus  $\partial(f) \upharpoonright_V = \{v^*\}$ , and, since  $\lambda_0(f) \in \partial(f)$ , we have that  $\lambda_0(f) \upharpoonright_V = v^*$ . Recalling that  $\|\lambda_j(f) - \lambda_0(f)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we can conclude that

$$\lambda_0(f) \in \overline{\Lambda(f)} \subset \overline{\Lambda(\overline{\mathcal{L}(B_V)})} = \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))}$$

by (3). Therefore,  $v^* = \lambda_0(f) \upharpoonright_V \in \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} \upharpoonright_V$ .

Second, assume that  $V$  is non-separable (provided that  $X$  is non-separable). Consider any  $v^* \in B_{V^*}$ . Find  $\xi \in B_{X^*}$  so that  $\xi \upharpoonright_V = v^*$ . We shall construct countable sets  $S_0 \subset S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots \subset B_V$  and separable subspaces  $Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \dots \subset V$  as follows. Pick any countable subset  $S_0$  of  $B_V$  and any separable (rather infinite-dimensional) subspace  $Z_0 \subset V$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be given and assume that we have found  $S_{m-1}$  and  $Z_{m-1}$ . Clearly,  $\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S_{m-1}))$  is a countable subset of  $X^*$ . Find then a countable set  $S_{m-1} \subset S_m \subset B_V$  such that  $\overline{S_m} \supset B_X \cap Z_{m-1}$  and that

$$(4) \quad \|\xi - x^*\| = \sup \{ \langle \xi, s \rangle - \langle x^*, s \rangle : s \in S_m \} \text{ for every } x^* \in \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S_{m-1})).$$

Then put  $Z_m := \text{sp}(Z_{m-1} \cup S_m)$ . Do so for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and finally put  $S := S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots$  and  $Z := \overline{Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup \dots}$ . Clearly,  $S$  is a countable set,  $Z$  is a separable subspace of  $V$ , and  $\overline{S} = B_Z$ . The ‘‘separable’’ case says that  $\xi \upharpoonright_Z \in \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_Z))} \upharpoonright_Z$ . By (3) we get that  $\xi \upharpoonright_Z$  actually belongs to the set  $\overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))} \upharpoonright_Z$ . Pick  $x^* \in \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))}$  so that  $\xi \upharpoonright_Z = x^* \upharpoonright_Z$ . For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  find  $x_i^* \in \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))$  so that  $\|x^* - x_i^*\| < \frac{1}{i}$ . A moment reflection reveals that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $x_i^* \in \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S_{m_i-1}))$ . Then, by (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi - x_i^*\| &= \sup \{ \langle \xi, s \rangle - \langle x_i^*, s \rangle : s \in S_{m_i} \} \\ &\leq \|\xi \upharpoonright_Z - x_i^* \upharpoonright_Z\| = \|x^* \upharpoonright_Z - x_i^* \upharpoonright_Z\| \leq \|x^* - x_i^*\| < \frac{1}{i} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $\xi \in \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))} \subset \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))}$ , and so,  $v^* = \xi \upharpoonright_V \in \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} \upharpoonright_V$ .

Finally, consider any subspace  $V$  of  $X$ . Choosing a dense subset  $M$  of  $B_V$ , with  $\#M = \text{dens } V$ , we have by (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dens } V &\leq \text{dens } V^* \leq \text{dens } \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} \upharpoonright_V \leq \text{dens } \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} = \text{dens sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V)) \\ &= \text{dens } \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(M))} \leq \# \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(M)) = \#M = \text{dens } V. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

*Remark 2.* Proposition 1 is crucial for dropping S. Simons’ lemma from the original construction of a projectional resolution of the identity in duals to Asplund spaces; see [FG] modulo [F1]. Indeed, in [FG], instead of the mapping  $\partial : \mathcal{C}(B_{X^*}) \rightarrow 2^{B_{X^*}}$ , there is considered the (so-called duality) mapping  $X \ni x \mapsto \{x^* \in S_{X^*} : \langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x\|\} =: J(x)$ . This  $J : X \rightarrow 2^{S_{X^*}}$  is also norm-to-weak\* upper semicontinuous and weak\* compact valued. Hence, the Jayne-Rogers theorem [F, Theorem 8.1.2] yields norm-to-norm continuous mappings  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  (now) from  $X$  into  $X^*$  such that for every  $x \in X$  the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n(x) =: D_0(x)$  exists in the norm topology of  $X^*$  and moreover  $\langle D_0(x), x \rangle = \|x\|$ . Yet, there is no obvious guarantee that the set  $D_0(X)$  is equal to, or at least norm-dense in the unit sphere  $S_{X^*}$ . Here, S. Simons’ lemma enters the argument and remedies the situation by showing that  $D_0(X)$  is linearly dense in all of  $X^*$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a non-separable Asplund space and let  $Z \subset X$  be an infinite-dimensional subspace with  $\text{dens } Z < \text{dens } X$ . Then there exists an overspace  $\overline{Z} \subset V \subset X$ , with  $\text{dens } V = \text{dens } Z$ , such that the restriction mapping  $\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V)) \ni x^* \mapsto x^*|_V =: R(x^*) \in V^*$  is a (surjective) isometry.*

*Proof.* Put  $\aleph := \text{dens } Z$ . By induction, we shall construct sets  $S_0 \subset S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots \subset B_X$ , all of cardinality  $\aleph$ , as follows. Let  $S_0$  be a dense subset of  $B_Z$ , with  $\#S_0 = \aleph$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed and assume that we have already found  $S_{m-1}$ . We know that  $\#\mathcal{L}(S_{m-1}) = \aleph$ . Hence  $\overline{\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S_{m-1}))}$  is a subspace of  $X^*$ , with density  $\aleph$ ; let  $M$  be a dense set in it, with  $\#M = \aleph$ . Find a set  $S_{m-1} \subset S_m \subset B_X$ , with  $\#S_m = \aleph$ , so big that  $\text{sp } S_{m-1} \cap B_X \subset \overline{S_m}$  and that  $\|\xi\| = \sup \langle \xi, S_m \rangle$  for every  $\xi \in M$ . Then, of course,  $\|x^*\| = \sup \langle x^*, S_m \rangle$  for every  $x^* \in \text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S_{m-1}))$ . This finishes the induction (step).

Having constructed the  $S_m$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $S := S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots$  and  $V := \overline{\text{sp } S}$ ; then  $\#S = \aleph$  and  $V$  is a subspace of  $X$ , with density  $\aleph$ . We shall show that this  $V$ , together with the corresponding  $R$ , serve for the conclusion of our proposition. Consider any  $x^* \in \text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. It is easy to verify that  $\overline{S} = B_V$ . By (3),  $\overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} = \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))}$ , and hence  $\overline{\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} = \overline{\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))}$ . Thus  $x^*$  belongs to  $\overline{\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))}$ . Then find  $\xi \in \text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S))$  so that  $\|x^* - \xi\| < \varepsilon$ . We remark that  $\xi$  belongs even to  $\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(S_{m-1}))$  for some big  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^*\| - \varepsilon &< \|\xi\| = \sup \langle \xi, S_m \rangle \leq \sup \langle \xi, B_V \rangle \\ &= \|R(\xi)\| < \|R(x^*)\| + \varepsilon \leq \|x^*\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|x^*\| = \|R(x^*)\|$ . We proved that  $R$  is an isometry. That  $R$  is surjective follows immediately from Proposition 1. □

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $V$  be a subspace of an Asplund space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  such that the restriction mapping  $\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V)) \ni x^* \mapsto x^*|_V =: R(x^*) \in V^*$  is a (surjective) isometry. Then the mapping  $X^* \ni x^* \mapsto R^{-1}(x^*|_V) =: P(x^*)$  is a linear norm-1 projection,  $P(X^*) = \overline{\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))}$ ,  $\text{dens } P(X^*) = \text{dens } V$ , and  $\overline{V}^{w^*} = P^*(X^{**})$ .*

*Proof.* The first three statements concerning  $P$  immediately follow from the definition of it. The “density” statement is contained in Proposition 1. It remains to prove the last equality. That  $V \subset P^*(X^{**})$  follows from the definition of  $P$ ; hence  $\overline{V}^{w^*} \subset P^*(X^{**})$ . Assume there exists  $x^{**} \in P^*(X^{**}) \setminus \overline{V}^{w^*}$ . The Hahn-Banach

separation theorem yields an  $x^* \in X^*$  such that  $\langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle \neq 0$  and  $x^* \upharpoonright_V \equiv 0$ . But

$$\langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle = \langle P^*(x^{**}), x^* \rangle = \langle x^{**}, P(x^*) \rangle = \langle x^{**}, R^{-1}(x^* \upharpoonright_V) \rangle = 0,$$

a contradiction. □

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $V_1, V_2$  be two subspaces of an Asplund space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  such that  $V_1 \subset V_2$  and that the restriction mappings  $\overline{\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_{V_i}))} \ni x^* \mapsto x^* \upharpoonright_{V_i} =: R_i(x^*) \in V_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are (surjective) isometries. Define  $P_i : X^* \rightarrow X^*$  by  $P_i(x^*) = R_i^{-1}(x^* \upharpoonright_{V_i})$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $P_1 \circ P_2 = P_1$  ( $= P_2 \circ P_1$ ), and  $(P_2 - P_1)(X^*)$  is isometrical with  $(V_2/V_1)^*$ .*

*Proof.* (i) From the definition of  $P_i$ 's we have immediately that  $P_2 \circ P_1 = P_1$ . Now, consider any  $x^* \in X^*$  and any  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ . Since  $\overline{V_1}^{w^*} = P_1^*(X^{**})$  by Proposition 4, there is a net  $(v_\tau)_{\tau \in T}$  in  $V_1$  which weak\* converges to  $P_1^*(x^{**})$ . Then, using the definition of  $R_2$  and the inclusion  $V_1 \subset V_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_2^* \circ P_1^*(x^{**}), x^* \rangle &= \langle P_1^*(x^{**}), P_2(x^*) \rangle = \lim_{\tau \in T} \langle P_2(x^*), v_\tau \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\tau \in T} \langle R_2^{-1}(x^* \upharpoonright_{V_2}), v_\tau \rangle = \lim_{\tau \in T} \langle x^*, v_\tau \rangle = \langle P_1^*(x^{**}), x^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $P_2^* \circ P_1^* = P_1^*$ , that is,  $P_1 \circ P_2 = P_1$ . The ‘‘isometrical’’ statement can be shown as in the proof of [F, Proposition 6.1.9(iv)]. □

Now we are armed to construct a projectional resolution of the identity on the dual to every Asplund space. But we prefer to present a bit stronger/richer statement.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a non-separable Asplund space. Then there exist a family  $\mathcal{V}$  of subspaces of  $X$  and a family  $\{Y_V : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$  of subspaces of  $X^*$  such that*

- (i)  $\bigcup \{V : V \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \text{dens } V = \aleph\} = X$  and  $\bigcup \{Y_V : V \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \text{dens } V = \aleph\} = X^*$  for every infinite cardinal  $\aleph < \text{dens } X$ ;
- (ii) if  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ , there is  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V \supset V_1 \cup V_2$  and  $\text{dens } V = \max\{\text{dens } V_1, \text{dens } V_2\}$ ;
- (iii) for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  the assignment  $Y_V \ni x^* \mapsto x^* \upharpoonright_V =: R_V(x^*) \in V^*$  is a surjective isometry, and hence the mapping  $X^* \ni x^* \mapsto R_V^{-1}(x^* \upharpoonright_V) =: P_V(x^*)$  is a norm-1 linear projection on  $X^*$ , with range  $Y_V$ , and  $\text{dens } P_V(X^*) = \text{dens } V$ ;
- (iv)  $\overline{V}^{w^*} = P_V^*(X^{**})$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ ;
- (v)  $\mathcal{V}$  is complete in the following sense: if  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal, and  $\{V_\alpha : 1 \leq \alpha < \gamma\}$  is an increasing long sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ , then  $V := \bigcup_{1 \leq \alpha < \gamma} V_\alpha$  belongs to  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $Y_V = \bigcup_{1 \leq \alpha < \gamma} Y_{V_\alpha}$ ;
- (vi) if  $V, U \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $V \subset U$ , then  $Y_V \subset Y_U$ ,  $P_V \circ P_U = P_V$  ( $= P_U \circ P_V$ ), and  $(P_U - P_V)(X^*)$  is isometrical with  $(U/V)^*$ .

*Proof.* For every subspace  $V$  of  $X$  we put  $Y_V := \overline{\text{sp } \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))}$  and we consider the assignment  $Y_V \ni x^* \mapsto x^* \upharpoonright_V =: R_V(x^*) \in V^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  consist of all subspaces  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $R_V : Y_V \rightarrow V^*$  is a surjective isometry.

- (i) and (ii) are guaranteed by Propositions 3 and 1.
- (iii) follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{V}$  via Propositions 1 and 4.
- (iv) follows from Proposition 4.

(v) Assume the premise here holds. (3) and some elementary reasoning yield

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V)) &\subset \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} = \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} B_{V_\alpha}))} = \overline{\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} B_{V_\alpha}))} \\ &= \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_{V_\alpha}))} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \text{sp}\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_{V_\alpha}))} = \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} Y_{V_\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad Y_V = \overline{\text{sp}\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(B_V))} = \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} Y_{V_\alpha}}.$$

It remains to show that  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , that is, that the mapping  $R_V : Y_V \rightarrow V^*$  is a surjective isometry. By Proposition 1 and (5),  $R_V$  is surjective. Further, fix any  $x^* \in Y_V$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Find  $\xi \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} Y_\alpha$  such that  $\|x^* - \xi\| < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\xi$  belongs to  $Y_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < \gamma$ . Now, as  $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^*\| - \varepsilon &< \|\xi\| = \|R_{V_\alpha}(\xi)\| = \|\xi \upharpoonright_{V_\alpha}\| \leq \|\xi \upharpoonright_V\| \\ &< \|x^* \upharpoonright_V\| + \varepsilon = \|R_V(x^*)\| + \varepsilon \leq \|x^*\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|x^*\| = \|R_V(x^*)\|$  and the mapping  $R_V$  is shown to be an isometry. Thus  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ .

(vi) immediately follows from Proposition 5.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a non-separable Asplund space. Then  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$  admits*

- (i) [FG] a projectional resolution of the identity, and also
- (ii) [K] a 1-projectional skeleton.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\mu$  be the first ordinal with  $\#\mu = \text{dens } X$ . Find a dense subset  $\{x_\alpha : \omega < \alpha < \mu, \alpha \text{ is non-limit}\}$  in  $X$ . By (i) find a separable element  $v_\omega \in X$ . Let  $\gamma \in (\omega, \mu]$  be any ordinal and assume that we already found  $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$  for every  $\omega \leq \alpha < \gamma$ . Assume first that  $\gamma$  is non-limit. By (i) find a  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V \ni x_\gamma$  and  $\text{dens } V = \text{dens } V_{\gamma-1}$ . By (ii) find a  $V_\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V_\gamma \supset V \cup V_{\gamma-1}$  and  $\text{dens } V_\gamma = V_{\gamma-1}$ . Second, assume that  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal. Then put  $V_\gamma = \overline{\bigcup_{\omega < \alpha < \gamma} V_\alpha}$ . By (v), we have that  $V_\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $Y_{V_\gamma} = \overline{\bigcup_{\omega \leq \alpha < \gamma} Y_{V_\alpha}}$ . Now we can immediately verify that  $\{P_{V_\alpha} : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$  is a projectional resolution of the identity on  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$ ; see, e.g. [F, Definition 6.1.5].

(ii) We note that the relation “ $\subset$ ” on the family  $\mathcal{V}$  from Theorem 6 is a directed partial order, which is moreover  $\sigma$ -complete. Thus, the family  $\{P_V : V \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \text{dens } V = \aleph_0\}$  is a 1-projectional skeleton on  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$  in the sense of the definition in [KKL, pages 369, 370].  $\square$

*Remark 8.* 1. A 1-projectional skeleton in the dual to an Asplund space was originally constructed by W. Kubiś [K]. He started from the existence of the so-called *projectional generator* in the dual to an Asplund space [F, Proposition 8.2.1] and then he proceeded using elementary submodels from logic. It should be noted that the proof of [F, Proposition 8.2.1] is based on S. Simons’ lemma and on the Jayne-Rogers selection theorem [JR, Theorem 8].

2. Let  $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2, \dots$  be a sequence of equivalent norms on an Asplund space  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$  be corresponding families found in Theorem 6 for these norms. It is not difficult to show that the family  $\mathcal{V} := \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{V}_i$  (is not only non-empty but that it even) satisfies all the conditions (i) – (vi) of Theorem 6; see the proof of [BM, Proposition 1.1]. Then Corollary 7 provides one projectional resolution of the

identity and one 1-projectional skeleton on  $X^*$  which can be related to any of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2, \dots$

3. Theorem 6 can also be proved with the help of tools from [FG] (where S. Simons' lemma was used). Indeed, let the mappings  $D_n : X \rightarrow X^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be from Remark 2 (they come from the Jayne-Rogers theorem) and define  $D : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  by  $D(x) = \{D_1(x), D_2(x), \dots\}$ ,  $x \in X$ . For every subspace  $W$  of  $X$  put  $Y_W := \overline{\text{sp} D(W)}$  and consider the assignment  $Y_W \ni x^* \mapsto x^*|_W =: R_W(x^*) \in W^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  consist of all subspaces  $W$  of  $X$  such that  $R_W : Y_W \rightarrow W^*$  is a surjective isometry. From [FG, pages 145, 146], where S. Simons' lemma and other things were used, we know that

$$(6) \quad \overline{\text{sp} D(W)}|_W = W^* \quad \text{for every subspace } W \subset X.$$

(This is a weakened analogue of our Proposition 1.) By [F1, Lemma 1], for every  $Z \subset X$ , with  $\text{dens } Z < \text{dens } X$  there is a subspace  $Z \subset W \subset X$ , with  $\text{dens } W = \text{dens } Z$ , such that  $R_W$  is an isometry from  $Y_W$  into  $W^*$ . (This is an analogue of our Proposition 3.) Using this, we easily get that  $\overline{\text{sp} D(W)}|_W = \text{sp} D(W)|_W$ , and by (6),  $R_W : Y_W \rightarrow W^*$  is a surjective isometry. Thus  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . This way we get the properties (i) – (iv) and (vi) listed in Theorem 6. (v) can be proved as in Theorem 6, now from the norm-to-norm continuity of the  $D_n$ 's.

4. We use this opportunity to fix some inaccuracy in the book [F]. In the proof of [F, Proposition 8.2.1], on page 152, the equality (2) should read as  $\overline{\text{sp} \Phi(B_0)}|_Y = Y^*$  and the set  $\Delta$  should be defined as  $\overline{\Phi(B_0)}|_Y \cap B_{Y^*}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank W. Kubiś for discussing the topic of this note.

#### REFERENCES

- [BM] Jonathan M. Borwein and Warren B. Moors, *Separable determination of integrability and minimality of the Clarke subdifferential mapping*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 1, 215–221, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-99-05001-7. MR1622793 (2000c:49025)
- [E] Ryszard Engelking, *General topology*. translated from the Polish by the author. Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 60. [Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 60], PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977. MR0500780 (58 #18316b)
- [F] Marián J. Fabian, *Gâteaux differentiability of convex functions and topology*, Weak Asplund spaces; Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1997. MR1461271 (98h:46009)
- [F1] M. Fabián, *On projectional resolution of identity on the duals of certain Banach spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **35** (1987), no. 3, 363–371, DOI 10.1017/S0004972700013344. MR888895 (88h:46023)
- [FG] Marián Fabián and Gilles Godefroy, *The dual of every Asplund space admits a projectional resolution of the identity*, Studia Math. **91** (1988), no. 2, 141–151. MR985081 (90b:46032)
- [JR] J. E. Jayne and C. A. Rogers, *Borel selectors for upper semicontinuous set-valued maps*, Acta Math. **155** (1985), no. 1-2, 41–79, DOI 10.1007/BF02392537. MR793237 (87a:28011)
- [KKL] Jerzy Kąkol, Wiesław Kubiś, and Manuel López-Pellicer, *Descriptive topology in selected topics of functional analysis*, Developments in Mathematics, vol. 24, Springer, New York, 2011. MR2953769
- [K] Wiesław Kubiś, *Banach spaces with projectional skeletons*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **350** (2009), no. 2, 758–776, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.07.006. MR2474810 (2009k:46026)

- [Ph] Robert R. Phelps, *Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability*, 2nd ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1364, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. MR1238715 (94f:46055)
- [St] Charles Stegall, *Spaces of Lipschitz functions on Banach spaces*, Functional analysis (Essen, 1991), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 150, Dekker, New York, 1994, pp. 265–278. MR1241683 (94k:46074)

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 18675 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail address:* `marek.cuthm@gmail.com`

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail address:* `fabian@math.cas.cz`