

COMPLEX HERMITE POLYNOMIALS: THEIR COMBINATORICS AND INTEGRAL OPERATORS

MOURAD E. H. ISMAIL AND PLAMEN SIMEONOV

(Communicated by Jim Haglund)

ABSTRACT. We consider two types of Hermite polynomials of a complex variable. For each type we obtain combinatorial interpretations for the linearization coefficients of products of these polynomials. We use the combinatorial interpretations to give new proofs of several orthogonality relations satisfied by these polynomials with respect to positive exponential weights in the complex plane. We also construct four integral operators of which the first type of complex Hermite polynomials are eigenfunctions and we identify the corresponding eigenvalues. We prove that the products of these complex Hermite polynomials are complete in certain L_2 -spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider two types of complex Hermite polynomials. The first type is simply the Hermite polynomials in the complex variable z , that is, $\{H_n(z)\}$. These polynomials have been introduced in the study of coherent states [4], [6]. They are defined by [18], [11],

$$(1.1) \quad H_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{n! (-1)^k}{k! (n-2k)!} (2z)^{n-2k}, \quad z = x + iy.$$

The second type are the polynomials $\{H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})\}$ defined by the generating function

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z}) \frac{u^m v^n}{m! n!} = \exp(uz + v\bar{z} - uv).$$

These polynomials were introduced by Itô [14] and also appear in [7], [1], [4], [19], and [8]. They are essentially the same as in [5, (2.6.6)]. Equation (1.2) yields the

Received by the editors January 25, 2013 and, in revised form, May 31, 2013 and July 18, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05A15, 05A18, 33C45, 45P05; Secondary 42A65.

Key words and phrases. Complex Hermite polynomials, matchings of multisets, orthogonality, combinatorics of linearization of products, eigenvalues, eigenfunctions, integral operators, completeness.

The first author's research was supported by the NPST Program of King Saud University; project number 10-MAT1293-02 and King Saud University in Riyadh and by the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong grant # CityU 1014111.

explicit formula

$$(1.3) \quad H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m \wedge n} (-1)^k k! \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k} z^{m-k} \bar{z}^{n-k}.$$

One simple combinatorial interpretation of the polynomials $\{H_n(x/2)\}$ is apparent from (1.1):

$$(1.4) \quad H_n(x/2) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}(n)} (-1/2)^{\text{edge}(\pi)} x^{\text{fix}(\pi)}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(n)$ is the set of all unordered matchings π of n vertices, $\text{edge}(\pi)$ is the number of edges in π (pairs of matched by π vertices) and $\text{fix}(\pi)$ is the number of vertices fixed by π (vertices not matched to other vertices by π).

It is clear that the explicit formula (1.1) is equivalent to the generating function ([18], [11]),

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n(z)}{n!} t^n = \exp(2zt - t^2).$$

The complex Hermite polynomials $\{H_n(z)\}$ satisfy the orthogonality relation ([20], [15]),

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H_m(x + iy) H_n(x - iy) e^{-ax^2 - by^2} dx dy = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}} 2^n n! \left(\frac{a+b}{ab}\right)^n \delta_{m,n}$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad 0 < a < b, \quad \frac{1}{a} = 1 + \frac{1}{b}.$$

The polynomials $\{H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})\}$ satisfy the orthogonality relation ([8]),

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H_{m,n}(x + iy, x - iy) \overline{H_{p,q}(x + iy, x - iy)} e^{-x^2 - y^2} dx dy = m! n! \delta_{m,p} \delta_{n,q}.$$

Let k be a fixed positive integer and let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ be a k -tuple of non-negative integers, that is, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$. Set $|\mathbf{n}| = \sum_{j=1}^k n_j$. Azor, Gillis, and Victor [3], and Godsil [9], independently, found a combinatorial interpretation of the integrals

$$(1.9) \quad A(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{n}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2} \prod_{j=1}^k H_{n_j}(x) dx$$

as the number of inhomogeneous perfect matchings of a multiset with k sets (components) of sizes (number of elements) n_1, \dots, n_k .

By multiset we mean a collection of sets or components. The elements of each set are labeled (distinguishable). A matching of one or more multisets is perfect if each element is matched to another element, and inhomogeneous if each element is matched to an element from a different set. The pairs of matched elements (edges) are unordered (unoriented). The matching is weighted if a weight is assigned to each pair of matched elements in the matching, and the weight of the matching is the product of the weights of its edges. The total weight of a collection of matchings is the sum of their weights. In what follows we shall often skip the adjective ‘‘inhomogeneous’’ when it is clear from the context that the matchings considered are inhomogeneous. We say that a multiset has size $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ if it

has k components of respective sizes n_1, \dots, n_k . We allow some but not all of the multiset components to be empty.

The numbers in (1.9) are also the coefficients in the linearization of products of Hermite polynomials when expanded in Hermite polynomials; see [2] and [11, Chapter 9] for references and motivation. Large parameter asymptotics of such coefficients were studied in [13] from a combinatorial point of view. The Hermite polynomials of a real variable have combinatorial properties. The combinatorics of their moments, explicit representation, and three term recurrence relation is available in Viennot’s Lecture Notes [21]. The combinatorics of the general case of Sheffer orthogonal polynomials is in [16]; see also [22]. A general approach to the combinatorics of linearization coefficients is in [16].

The first combinatorial interpretation of complex Hermite polynomials that we will discuss involves two colored multisets. The following theorem will be proved in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that we have two colored multisets. The first, of color I, has size $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ and the second, of color II, has size $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$. We match elements from different sets, and to each pair of matched elements we assign weight 1 if the elements have the same color. We assign weight $1/a + 1/b$ if the elements have different colors.*

Then the total weight of all perfect matchings of this type is the number $B(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ defined by

$$(1.10) \quad B(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{m}|+|\mathbf{n}|)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \prod_{j=1}^k [H_{m_j}(x + iy)H_{n_j}(x - iy)] e^{-ax^2-by^2} dx dy.$$

Observe that the orthogonality relation (1.6) follows immediately from Theorem 1.1.

The following theorem was first proved by K. Gorska [10].

Theorem 1.2. *Let a and b satisfy conditions (1.7). Then the complex Hermite polynomials $\{H_n(z)\}$ satisfy the orthogonality relations*

$$(1.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H_m(x + iy)H_n(x + iy)e^{-ax^2-by^2} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H_m(x - iy)H_n(x - iy)e^{-ax^2-by^2} dx dy = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}} 2^n n! \delta_{m,n}.$$

The orthogonality relations in Theorem 1.2 play a fundamental role in the construction of coherent states (for example see [20]).

A generating function for the numbers $\{B(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})\}$ is derived in Section 2. Using this generating function, we establish the combinatorial interpretation of these numbers given by Theorem 1.1 and then we show that the orthogonality relations (1.6) and (1.11) are simple consequences of this combinatorial interpretation and the generating function (2.1). In Section 2 we also give a generalization of the two-color multiset combinatorics to arbitrary number of colored multisets. At the end of Section 2 we treat a special case of this multiset combinatorics when each multiset consists of a single set.

Concerning the polynomials $\{H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})\}$, the following combinatorial result is proved in Section 3.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that we have two color I multisets B_1 and B_2 and two color II multisets R_1 and R_2 , each having k components. Multisets B_1 and B_2 have sizes \mathbf{m} and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ and multisets R_1 and R_2 have sizes \mathbf{n} and $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$, respectively. Consider all inhomogeneous perfect matching of these four multisets where each element is matched to an element of different color. Elements from the same index components of B_1 and R_1 cannot match each other, and the same restriction holds for B_2 and R_2 . Then the number of perfect matchings of this type is*

$$(1.12) \quad I(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \prod_{j=1}^k H_{m_j, n_j}(x + iy, x - iy) H_{p_j, q_j}(x + iy, x - iy) e^{-x^2 - y^2} dx dy.$$

In Section 3 we first derive an exponential generating function for integrals involving products of polynomials $H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})$, and as a particular case, a generating function for the numbers $\{I(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})\}$. The former generating function is used to prove a more general version of Theorem 1.3 for even number of colored multisets which is then specialized to the case of four colored multisets in Theorem 1.3.

In Section 4 we construct two integral operators and two operators of Fourier type acting on weighted L_2 -spaces with weights $e^{-ax^2 - by^2}$ and constants a and b satisfying (1.7). We show that the polynomials $\{H_n(x + iy)\}$ are eigenfunctions for these operators and we find the corresponding eigenvalues. In Section 4 we also prove that the set $\{H_m(x + iy)H_n(x - iy)\}$ is complete in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2, e^{-ax^2 - by^2})$, and we compute the moments of $e^{-ax^2 - by^2}$.

In our proofs we shall use the following version of the exponential formula for exponential generating functions [17].

Theorem 1.4. *Let S_1, \dots, S_k be sets of sizes $|S_j| = n_j, j = 1, \dots, k$, and let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n})$ be the collection of all inhomogeneous perfect matchings of the elements of these k sets, where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$. Let also $\{w\} = \{w_{j_1, j_2}, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq k\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. To each pair of matched objects (edge) from sets S_{j_1} and S_{j_2} (with $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq k$) the weight w_{j_1, j_2} is assigned, and to each $\pi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n})$ the weight that is the product of the weights of the edges in π is assigned. Let $A_{\{w\}}(\mathbf{n})$ be the sum of the weights of all perfect matchings in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n})$. Then*

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} A_{\{w\}}(\mathbf{n}) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j^{n_j}}{n_j!} = \exp \left[\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq k} w_{j_1, j_2} x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \right].$$

2. COMBINATORIAL INTERPRETATIONS OF THE POLYNOMIALS $\{H_n(z)\}$

We first derive an exponential generating function of the numbers $\{B(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})\}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that a and b satisfy (1.7). Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} B(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{s_j^{m_j} t_j^{n_j}}{m_j! n_j!} = \exp \left[\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (s_i s_j + t_i t_j) + \frac{a+b}{ab} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} s_i t_j \right].$$

Proof. Using (1.5) and (1.10) we write the left hand side of (2.1) as
(2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ax^2-by^2} \prod_{j=1}^k \exp[-s_j^2/2 + \sqrt{2}(x+iy)s_j - t_j^2/2 + \sqrt{2}(x-iy)t_j] dx dy \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k (s_j^2 + t_j^2) + \frac{1}{2a} \left[\sum_{j=1}^k (s_j + t_j)\right]^2 - \frac{1}{2b} \left[\sum_{j=1}^k (s_j - t_j)\right]^2\right] \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\left[-a \left[x - \frac{1}{a\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k (s_j + t_j)\right]^2 - b \left[y - \frac{i}{b\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k (s_j - t_j)\right]^2\right] dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that by (1.7) the exponential expression in the second line of (2.2) reduces to the right hand side of (2.1). Next, for $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the integral evaluation

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\alpha(y-\beta)^2} dy = \sqrt{\pi/\alpha}.$$

If $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, (2.3) follows by setting $x = \sqrt{2\alpha}(y - \beta)$ and using the Gaussian integral $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2/2} dx = 1$. If $\beta \notin \mathbb{R}$, (2.3) follows by taking the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ in

$$\int_{\gamma(M)} e^{-\alpha z^2} dz = 0$$

where $M > 0$ and $\gamma(M)$ is the simple, closed, positively oriented contour formed by the boundary of the parallelogram with vertices $\pm M$ and $\pm M - \beta$.

By (2.3), the last integral in (2.2) equals π/\sqrt{ab} . This completes the verification of (2.1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The theorem follows from the generating function (2.1) and Theorem 1.4. □

Orthogonality relation (1.6) follows from the special case $k = 1$ of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Orthogonality relations (1.11) follow from Theorems 1.1 and 2.1 with $k = 2$ by taking either $\mathbf{m} = (m, n)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (0, 0)$ or $\mathbf{m} = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (m, n)$. □

We now come to the combinatorics of the polynomials $\{H_n(x + y)\}$.

Theorem 2.2. *The Hermite polynomials $\{H_n(x + y)\}$ have the explicit form*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad H_n((x + y)/2) &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}(n)} (-1/2)^{\text{edge}(\pi)} (x + y)^{\text{fix}(\pi)} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}(n)} (-1/2)^{\text{edge}(\pi)} \binom{\text{fix}(\pi)}{\text{fixRed}(\pi)} x^{\text{fixRed}(\pi)} y^{\text{fixBlue}(\pi)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{fixRed}(\pi)$ is the number of fixed points which we color red and $\text{fixBlue}(\pi)$ is the number of fixed points which we color blue.

Proof. Equation (2.4) follows from equation (1.4) in which we arbitrarily color the fixed points of π in red or blue colors. □

2.1. Combinatorics involving colored multisets. The generating function in Theorem 2.1 and the combinatorial interpretation in Theorem 1.1 can be extended to any number of multisets. As a multivariable analog of the numbers in (1.10) we define the numbers

$$(2.5) \quad B(\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_s) = \frac{2^{-\alpha_s \sum_{\nu=1}^s |\mathbf{n}_\nu|} \prod_{l=1}^s a_l^{1/2}}{\pi^{s/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \prod_{\nu=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^k H_{n_{\nu,j}}(L_\nu(\vec{x})) e^{-\sum_{l=1}^s a_l x_l^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_s$$

where $\mathbf{n}_\nu = (n_{\nu,1}, \dots, n_{\nu,k}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$, $\nu = 1, \dots, s$, $\alpha_s \geq 0$, $a_l > 0$, $l = 1, \dots, s$, $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_s)$, and

$$(2.6) \quad L_\nu(\vec{x}) = \sum_{l=1}^s \omega_{\nu,l} x_l, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, s$$

are linear functions with complex coefficients satisfying the conditions

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{l=1}^s \frac{\omega_{\nu,l}^2}{a_l} = 1, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, s.$$

Theorem 2.3. *The numbers defined by (2.5)–(2.7) have the generating function*

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_{\nu,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq \nu \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq k}}^\infty B(\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_s) \prod_{\nu=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{t_{\nu,j}^{n_{\nu,j}}}{n_{\nu,j}!} = \exp \left[2^{-2\alpha_s} \sum_{\substack{(\nu_1, j_1) \neq (\nu_2, j_2) \\ 1 \leq \nu_1, \nu_2 \leq s, 1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq k}} \left[\sum_{l=1}^s \frac{\omega_{\nu_1, l} \omega_{\nu_2, l}}{a_l} \right] t_{\nu_1, j_1} t_{\nu_2, j_2} \right].$$

Proof. By (2.5) and (1.5) the left hand side of (2.8) times $\pi^{s/2} / \prod_{l=1}^s a_l^{1/2}$ equals

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^s a_i x_i^2} \prod_{\nu=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^k \sum_{n_{\nu,j}=0}^\infty H_{n_{\nu,j}}(L_\nu(\vec{x})) \frac{[2^{-\alpha_s} t_{\nu,j}]^{n_{\nu,j}}}{n_{\nu,j}!} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^s a_i x_i^2} \prod_{\nu=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^k \exp[2^{1-\alpha_s} L_\nu(\vec{x}) t_{\nu,j} - 2^{-2\alpha_s} t_{\nu,j}^2] dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \exp \left[-2^{-2\alpha_s} \sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k t_{\nu,j}^2 \right] \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp \left[-\sum_{l=1}^s a_l x_l^2 + 2^{1-\alpha_s} \sum_{l=1}^s \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_{\nu,l} t_{\nu,j} \right] x_l \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \exp \left[-2^{-2\alpha_s} \sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k t_{\nu,j}^2 + 2^{-2\alpha_s} \sum_{l=1}^s \frac{1}{a_l} \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_{\nu,l} t_{\nu,j} \right]^2 \right] \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp \left[-\sum_{l=1}^s a_l \left[x_l - \frac{2^{-\alpha_s}}{a_l} \sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_{\nu,l} t_{\nu,j} \right]^2 \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_s. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.7) the exponential expression in line five of (2.9) reduces to the right hand side of (2.8), and by (2.3) the integral in line six of (2.9) reduces to $\prod_{l=1}^s \sqrt{\pi/a_l}$. This completes the verification of (2.8). \square

We can now state and prove the following generalization of the two-color multiset combinatorics.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that we have s multisets colored in s different colors and having k components each. Let $\mathbf{n}_\nu = (n_{\nu,1}, \dots, n_{\nu,k}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ be the size of the color ν multiset, $\nu = 1, \dots, s$. We match elements from different sets and assign a weight to each pair of matched elements in the following way: When an element from component j_1 of the color ν_1 multiset is matched with an element from component j_2 of the color ν_2 multiset (with $(\nu_1, j_1) \neq (\nu_2, j_2)$), the weight assigned is*

$$(2.10) \quad 2^{1-2\alpha_s} \sum_{l=1}^s \frac{\omega_{\nu_1,l} \overline{\omega_{\nu_2,l}}}{a_l}$$

where $a_l > 0$, $l = 1, \dots, s$ and $\{\omega_{\nu,l}, 1 \leq \nu \leq s, 1 \leq l \leq s\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfy conditions (2.7), and $\alpha_s \geq 0$.

Then the total weight of all such weighted perfect matchings is $B(\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_s)$.

Proof. This result follows from the generating function (2.8) and Theorem 1.4. \square

The case $s = 2$ and $k = 1$ is worth recording separately. In this case from (2.5), (2.7), and (2.8) with $\alpha_s = \alpha_2 = 1/2$ we obtain the orthogonality relation

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H_m(\alpha x + \beta y) H_n(\gamma x + \delta y) e^{-ax^2 - by^2} dx dy = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}} 2^n n! \left(\frac{\alpha\gamma}{a} + \frac{\beta\delta}{b} \right)^n \delta_{m,n}$$

where

$$(2.12) \quad a > 0, \quad b > 0, \quad \frac{\alpha^2}{a} + \frac{\beta^2}{b} = \frac{\gamma^2}{a} + \frac{\delta^2}{b} = 1.$$

The orthogonality relations (1.6) and (1.11) are special cases of (2.11).

2.2. Combinatorics involving colored sets. As an application of Theorem 2.4, we consider the following situation. Suppose that we have a collection of s sets of different colors and sizes n_1, \dots, n_s . We match elements from different sets and assign weight to each pair of matched elements. When an element from set ν_1 is matched with an element from set ν_2 , the weight assigned is w_{ν_1, ν_2} , $1 \leq \nu_1 \neq \nu_2 \leq s$. The weight of such perfect matching is again the product of the weights of its edges.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that the matrix $W = [\delta_{j,l} + (1 - \delta_{j,l})w_{j,l}]_{j,l=1}^s$ is real, symmetric, and positive-definite. Then the total weight of all weighted perfect matchings of s colored sets is the number*

$$(2.13) \quad B(\mathbf{n}, W) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{n}|}}{\pi^{s/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \prod_{\nu=1}^s H_{n_\nu} \left(\sum_{l=1}^s \omega_{\nu,l} x_l \right) e^{-\sum_{l=1}^s x_l^2} dx_1 \dots dx_s$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ and the matrix $\Omega = [\omega_{\nu,l}]_{\nu,l=1}^s$ satisfies the matrix equation

$$(2.14) \quad \Omega \Omega^T = W.$$

Proof. Since W is positive-definite, equation (2.14) has a solution of the form $\Omega = P^T D^{1/2}$, where D is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of W , P is a unitary matrix whose rows are the corresponding eigenvectors of W , and $W = P^T D P$. Then Theorem 2.5 follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.3 with $k = 1$, $\alpha_s = 1/2$, $a_l = 1$, $l = 1, \dots, s$, and formulas (2.10) and (2.14). \square

By Sylvester’s criterion, the matrix W is positive-definite if and only if its principal minors are positive. Below we consider the special case when $w_{j,l} = w_j w_l$, $1 \leq j \neq l \leq s$.

Lemma 2.6.

$$(2.15) \quad \det[\delta_{j,l} + (1 - \delta_{j,l})w_j w_l]_{j,l=1}^s = 1 + \sum_{\nu=2}^s (-1)^{\nu-1} (\nu - 1) \sigma_{s,\nu}(w_1^2, \dots, w_s^2)$$

where $\sigma_{s,\nu}$ denotes the ν -th elementary symmetric function of s variables.

Proof. Let $D_s(w_1, \dots, w_s)$ denote the determinant in (2.15). We factor out w_j from row j , $j = 1, \dots, s$. Then we multiply column l by w_l , $l = 1, \dots, s$. We get

$$D_s(w_1, \dots, w_s) = \det [\delta_{j,l} + (1 - \delta_{j,l})w_l^2]_{j,l=1}^s.$$

Therefore $D_s(w_1, \dots, w_s)$ has a unique representation of the form

$$D_s(w_1, \dots, w_s) = 1 + \sum_{\nu=2}^s c_{s,\nu} \sigma_{s,\nu}(w_1^2, \dots, w_s^2).$$

By (2.15) we have $D_s(w_1, \dots, w_{s-1}, 0) = D_{s-1}(w_1, \dots, w_{s-1})$, that is,

$$1 + \sum_{\nu=2}^{s-1} c_{s,\nu} \sigma_{s-1,\nu}(w_1^2, \dots, w_{s-1}^2) = 1 + \sum_{\nu=2}^{s-1} c_{s-1,\nu} \sigma_{s-1,\nu}(w_1^2, \dots, w_{s-1}^2).$$

Hence $c_{s,\nu} = c_{s-1,\nu}$, $\nu = 2, \dots, s - 1$. This implies $c_{s,\nu} = c_{\nu,\nu}$, $s \geq \nu \geq 2$. So it suffices to show that $c_{\nu,\nu} = (-1)^{\nu-1}(\nu - 1)$. Notice that $c_{s,s}$ is the leading coefficient of the polynomial

$$(2.16) \quad P_s(t) = D_s(\sqrt{t}, \dots, \sqrt{t}) = \det[\delta_{j,l} + (1 - \delta_{j,l})t]_{j,l=1}^s.$$

It is clear from (2.16) that $P_s^{(r)}(1) = 0$, $r = 0, \dots, s - 2$. Adding the top $s - 1$ rows to the last row in the determinant in (2.16) shows that $(1 + (s - 1)t)$ divides $P_s(t)$. Since the constant term of $P_s(t)$ is 1, we get

$$P_s(t) = (1 - t)^{s-1} (1 + (s - 1)t),$$

hence $c_{s,s} = (-1)^{s-1} (s - 1)$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *A sufficient condition for the positive definiteness of the determinant in (2.15) is $|w_j| < ((s - 2)2^{s-1} + 1)^{-1/2}$, $j = 1, \dots, s$.*

3. THE COMBINATORICS OF $\{H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})\}$

Let $\mathbf{m}_\nu = (m_{\nu,1}, \dots, m_{\nu,k}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$, $\mathbf{n}_\nu = (n_{\nu,1}, \dots, n_{\nu,k}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$, $\nu = 1, \dots, s$. Consider the integrals

$$(3.1) \quad I(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s, \mathbf{n}_s) = \pi^{-s/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \prod_{\nu=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^k H_{m_{\nu,j}, n_{\nu,j}} \left(L_\nu(\vec{x}), \overline{L_\nu(\vec{x})} \right) \times e^{-\sum_{l=1}^s x_l^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_s,$$

where $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_s)$ and $L_\nu(\vec{x}) = \sum_{l=1}^s \omega_{\nu,l} x_l$, $\nu = 1, \dots, s$, with complex coefficients satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{l=1}^s \omega_{\nu,l}^2 = 0, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, s.$$

For vectors $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s$ and $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s$ we set $\vec{\omega}\vec{\eta} = \sum_{l=1}^s \omega_l \eta_l$.

Theorem 3.1.

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{m_{\nu,j}, n_{\nu,j}=0 \\ 1 \leq \nu \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq k}} I(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s, \mathbf{n}_s) \prod_{\nu=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{t_{\nu,j}^{m_{\nu,j}} w_{\nu,j}^{n_{\nu,j}}}{m_{\nu,j}! n_{\nu,j}!} = \exp \left[\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{(\nu_1, j_1) \neq (\nu_2, j_2) \\ 1 \leq \nu_1, \nu_2 \leq s \\ 1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq k}} [\vec{\omega}_{\nu_1} \vec{\omega}_{\nu_2} t_{\nu_1, j_1} t_{\nu_2, j_2} + \overline{\vec{\omega}_{\nu_1}} \overline{\vec{\omega}_{\nu_2}} w_{\nu_1, j_1} w_{\nu_2, j_2} + 2\vec{\omega}_{\nu_1} \overline{\vec{\omega}_{\nu_2}} t_{\nu_1, j_1} w_{\nu_2, j_2}] \right] \times \exp \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{2} \vec{\omega}_\nu \overline{\vec{\omega}_\nu} - 1 \right) t_{\nu,j} w_{\nu,j} \right].$$

Proof. By (3.1) and (1.2) the left hand side of (3.3) times $\pi^{s/2}$ equals

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \prod_{\nu=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^k \left[\sum_{m_{\nu,j}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{\nu,j}=0}^{\infty} H_{m_{\nu,j}, n_{\nu,j}} \left(L_\nu(\vec{x}), \overline{L_\nu(\vec{x})} \right) \frac{t_{\nu,j}^{m_{\nu,j}} w_{\nu,j}^{n_{\nu,j}}}{m_{\nu,j}! n_{\nu,j}!} \right] e^{-\sum_{l=1}^s x_l^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^s \left[L_\nu(\vec{x}) \sum_{j=1}^k t_{\nu,j} + \overline{L_\nu(\vec{x})} \sum_{j=1}^k w_{\nu,j} - \sum_{j=1}^k t_{\nu,j} w_{\nu,j} \right] - \sum_{l=1}^s x_l^2 \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp \left[\sum_{l=1}^s \left[-x_l^2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k (\omega_{\nu,l} t_{\nu,j} + \overline{\omega_{\nu,l}} w_{\nu,j}) x_l \right] - \sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k t_{\nu,j} w_{\nu,j} \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \exp \left[\frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^s \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k (\omega_{\nu,l} t_{\nu,j} + \overline{\omega_{\nu,l}} w_{\nu,j}) \right]^2 - \sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k t_{\nu,j} w_{\nu,j} \right] \times \prod_{l=1}^s \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[- \left[x_l - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k (\omega_{\nu,l} t_{\nu,j} + \overline{\omega_{\nu,l}} w_{\nu,j}) \right]^2 \right] dx_l.$$

By (3.2) the exponential expression in line four of (3.4) reduces to the exponential expression on the right hand side of (3.3), while by (2.3) each integral in line five of (3.4) equals $\sqrt{\pi}$. □

The combinatorial interpretation of the polynomials $\{H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})\}$ follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that we have s color I multisets B_1, \dots, B_s and s color II multisets R_1, \dots, R_s , each having k components. Multisets B_1, \dots, B_s have sizes $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ and multisets R_1, \dots, R_s have sizes $\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_s \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$, respectively. Consider all inhomogeneous perfect matchings of these $2s$ multisets with weights assigned in the following way: If the matched elements are from components j_1 of B_{ν_1} and j_2 of B_{ν_2} , the weight is $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_{\nu_1}\bar{\omega}_{\nu_2}$; if they are from components j_1 of R_{ν_1} and j_2 of R_{ν_2} , the weight is $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_{\nu_1}\bar{\omega}_{\nu_2}$; if they are from components j_1 of B_{ν_1} and j_2 of R_{ν_2} , the weight is $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_{\nu_1}\bar{\omega}_{\nu_2} - \delta_{\nu_1, \nu_2}\delta_{j_1, j_2}$.*

The total weight of all such weighted perfect matchings is $I(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s, \mathbf{n}_s)$.

Proof. The theorem follows from the generating function (3.3) and Theorem 1.4. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$. The integrals in (1.12) have the exponential generating function*

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_j, \mathbf{n}_j, \mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{q}_j = 0 \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}}^{\infty} I(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{u_j^{m_j} v_j^{n_j} w_j^{p_j} t_j^{q_j}}{m_j! n_j! p_j! q_j!} \\ = \exp \left[\sum_{1 \leq j_1 \neq j_2 \leq k} (u_{j_1} + w_{j_1})(v_{j_2} + t_{j_2}) + \sum_{j=1}^k (u_j t_j + v_j w_j) \right].$$

This result follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 with $s = 2$, $\mathbf{m}_1 = \mathbf{m}$, $\mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{n}$, $\mathbf{m}_2 = \mathbf{p}$, $\mathbf{n}_2 = \mathbf{q}$, variables $t_{1,j} = u_j$, $w_{1,j} = v_j$, $t_{2,j} = w_j$, $w_{2,j} = t_j$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, and linear functions $L_1(\vec{x}) = L_2(\vec{x}) = x + iy$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. This theorem is a special case of Theorem 3.2 with $s = k = 2$ and $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_2 = (1, i)$. It also follows from the generating function (3.5) and Theorem 1.4. □

Corollary 3.4. *The polynomials $\{H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})\}$ satisfy the orthogonality relation*

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H_{m,n}(x + iy, x - iy) H_{p,q}(x + iy, x - iy) e^{-x^2 - y^2} dx dy = m! n! \delta_{m,q} \delta_{n,p}.$$

This corollary is an immediate consequence of the case $k = 1$ of equations (1.12) and (3.5).

It is important to note that (1.3) implies the symmetry relation

$$(3.7) \quad \overline{H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})} = H_{n,m}(z, \bar{z}).$$

Then orthogonality relation (1.8) follows from equations (3.6) and (3.7).

4. INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In this section we discuss integral operators related to the Poisson kernel for $\{H_n(z)\}$. Integral operators associated with the polynomials $\{H_{m,n}(z, \bar{z})\}$ are studied in [12].

The Poisson kernel for the polynomials $\{H_n(z)\}$ is ([11, Section 4.7]),

$$(4.1) \quad K_r(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(z) H_n(w) \frac{r^n}{2^n n!} = (1 - r^2)^{-1/2} \exp \left(\frac{2zwr - (z^2 + w^2)r^2}{1 - r^2} \right).$$

Let a and b satisfy conditions (1.7). Define the integral operator T_1 by

$$(4.2) \quad (T_1 f)(x + iy) = \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_r(x + iy, \xi - i\eta) f(\xi + i\eta) e^{-a\xi^2 - b\eta^2} d\xi d\eta.$$

It is clear from (4.1) and (1.6) that

$$(4.3) \quad (T_1 H_n)(z) = \left(\frac{a + b}{ab}\right)^n r^n H_n(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Another natural integral operator to consider is

$$(4.4) \quad (T_2 f)(x + iy) = \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_r(x + iy, \xi - i\eta) f(\xi - i\eta) e^{-a\xi^2 - b\eta^2} d\xi d\eta.$$

Similarly, from (4.1) and (1.11) it follows that

$$(4.5) \quad (T_2 H_n)(z) = r^n H_n(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In order to justify the analysis leading to (4.3) and (4.5), we assume $|r| < 1$. On the other hand it is known ([11, Section 4.6]) that the Fourier transform has eigenvalues i^n and eigenfunctions $e^{-x^2/2} H_n(x)$. Thus one is tempted to let $r = i$ in (4.2) and (4.4). This is indeed possible and we state and prove this fact in Theorem 4.1 below. The proof of Theorem 4.1 uses the representation of the monomials in terms of the Hermite polynomials. Multiplying both sides of equation (1.5) by e^{t^2} and equating the coefficients of t^n on both sides of the resulting equation, we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad z^n = \frac{n!}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{H_{n-2k}(z)}{k!(n-2k)!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Theorem 4.1.

$$(4.7)$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iz(\xi - i\eta) + (z^2 + (\xi - i\eta)^2)/2} H_n(\xi + i\eta) e^{-a\xi^2 - b\eta^2} d\xi d\eta = \left(\frac{a + b}{ab} i\right)^n H_n(z),$$

$$(4.8)$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iz(\xi - i\eta) + (z^2 + (\xi - i\eta)^2)/2} H_n(\xi - i\eta) e^{-a\xi^2 - b\eta^2} d\xi d\eta = i^n H_n(z),$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where a and b satisfy conditions (1.7).

Proof. The left hand sides of (4.7)–(4.8) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} e^{z^2/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_m(z/\sqrt{2})}{m!} \left(\frac{i(\xi - i\eta)}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^m H_n(\xi \pm i\eta) e^{-a\xi^2 - b\eta^2} d\xi d\eta \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} e^{z^2/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_m(z/\sqrt{2})}{m!} \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^m \\
 (4.9) \quad & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{m!}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{H_{m-2k}(\xi - i\eta)}{k!(m-2k)!} \right) H_n(\xi \pm i\eta) e^{-a\xi^2 - b\eta^2} d\xi d\eta \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} e^{z^2/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{n+2j}(z/\sqrt{2}) \left(\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n+2j} \frac{1}{j!n!} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}} 2^n n! \left(\frac{b \pm a}{ab} \right)^n \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{b \pm a}{ab\sqrt{2}} i \right)^n e^{z^2/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n+2j}(z/\sqrt{2})}{j!} (-1/8)^j
 \end{aligned}$$

where we applied (1.5) to get the first line, (4.6) to get the third line, and the orthogonality relations (1.6) and (1.11) to get the fourth line. To evaluate the j -sum in the last line of (4.9) we use the integral representation ([11, Equation (4.6.41)]),

$$(4.10) \quad H_n(iz) = \frac{(2i)^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(u-z)^2} u^n du.$$

Formula (4.10) leads to the evaluation of the j -sum in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n+2j}(z/\sqrt{2})}{j!} (-1/8)^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(u+iz/\sqrt{2})^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2iu)^{n+2j} (-1/8)^j}{j!} du \\
 (4.11) \quad &= \frac{(2i)^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(u+iz/\sqrt{2})^2 + u^2/2} u^n du = \frac{(2i)^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(u/\sqrt{2} + iz)^2} u^n du \\
 &= \frac{(2i)^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} (\sqrt{2})^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(v+iz)^2} v^n dv = (\sqrt{2})^{n+1} e^{-z^2/2} H_n(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Formulas (4.7)–(4.8) now follow from (4.9) and (4.11). □

Corollary 4.2. *Consider the integral operators*

$$(4.12) \quad (F_{1,2}f)(z) = \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iz(\xi - i\eta) + (z^2 + (\xi - i\eta)^2)/2} f(\xi \pm i\eta) e^{-a\xi^2 - b\eta^2} d\xi d\eta$$

where $a > 1/2$ and $b > 1/2$ satisfy conditions (1.7). Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the polynomial $H_n(z)$ is an eigenfunction of the operators F_1 and F_2 with eigenvalues $\left(\frac{a+b}{ab}i\right)^n$ and i^n , respectively.

One important problem is the expansion of functions in suitable bases for Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 4.3. *The polynomials $\{H_m(x + iy)H_n(x - iy), m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ form a basis for the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2, e^{-ax^2 - by^2})$ with a and b related via (1.7).*

Proof. Clearly the polynomials $\{H_m(x + iy)H_n(x - iy), m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ span the space of all polynomials of x and y . Let $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2, e^{-ax^2 - by^2})$. We may assume that f

has compact support in which case it is easy to see that f can be uniformly approximated on \mathbb{R}^2 by linear combinations of functions of the form $g(x)h(y)$ where g and h are continuous functions of compact support. But each of these latter functions can be uniformly approximated on \mathbb{R} by weighted polynomials $e^{-ax^2}p_m(x)$ and $e^{-by^2}q_n(y)$. Then, the linear combinations of $g(x)h(y)$ are uniformly approximated on \mathbb{R}^2 by the corresponding linear combination of the products of these weighted polynomials. \square

Finally, we record the formulas for the moments of $e^{-ax^2-by^2}$ with a and b related via (1.7).

Proposition 4.4.

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x \pm iy)^{2n+1} e^{-ax^2-by^2} dx dy = 0,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x \pm iy)^{2n} e^{-ax^2-by^2} dx dy = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}} (1/2)_n.$$

Proof. By orthogonality relation (1.11) and the fact that $H_0(z) = 1$, the moment of $(x \pm y)^n$ is the integral of the coefficient of $H_0(x \pm iy)$ on the right hand side of (4.6). \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work started at the XXIX International Colloquium on Group-Theoretic Methods in Physics where Andrzej Horzela spoke about the 2D-Hermite polynomials and raised the question of studying their combinatorics. The authors thank S. Twareque Ali, Andrzej Horzela, Karol Penson, and Franciszek Szafraniec for references and discussions. The authors thank Vilmos Totik for the argument in Theorem 4.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Twareque Ali, F. Bagarello, and G. Honnouvo, *Modular structures on trace class operators and applications to Landau levels*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010), no. 10, 105202, 17, DOI 10.1088/1751-8113/43/10/105202. MR2593994 (2011h:81115)
- [2] Richard Askey, *Orthogonal polynomials and special functions*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, Pa., 1975. MR0481145 (58 #1288)
- [3] Ruth Azor, J. Gillis, and J. D. Victor, *Combinatorial applications of Hermite polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), no. 5, 879–890, DOI 10.1137/0513062. MR668329 (84d:33012)
- [4] Nicolae Cotfas, Jean Pierre Gazeau, and Katarzyna Górska, *Complex and real Hermite polynomials and related quantizations*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010), no. 30, 305304, 14, DOI 10.1088/1751-8113/43/30/305304. MR2659624 (2011h:81121)
- [5] Charles F. Dunkl and Yuan Xu, *Orthogonal polynomials of several variables*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 81, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. MR1827871 (2002m:33001)
- [6] Jean Pierre Gazeau and Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec, *Holomorphic Hermite polynomials and a non-commutative plane*, J. Phys. A **44** (2011), no. 49, 495201, 13, DOI 10.1088/1751-8113/44/49/495201. MR2860876 (2012m:81099)
- [7] Allal Ghanmi, *A class of generalized complex Hermite polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **340** (2008), no. 2, 1395–1406, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.10.001. MR2390939 (2009e:33025)
- [8] A. Ghanmi, *Operational formulae for the complex Hermite polynomials $H_{p,q}(z, \bar{z})$* , Integral Transforms and Special Functions **340** (2013).
- [9] C. D. Godsil, *Hermite polynomials and a duality relation for matching polynomials*, Combinatorica **1** (1981), no. 3, 257–262, DOI 10.1007/BF02579331. MR637830 (83e:05015)

- [10] K. Gorska, private communication.
- [11] Mourad E. H. Ismail, *Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005. With two chapters by Walter Van Assche; With a foreword by Richard A. Askey. MR2191786 (2007f:33001)
- [12] Mourad E. H. Ismail, *Analytic properties of complex Hermite polynomials*, preprint, 2013.
- [13] Mourad E. H. Ismail and Plamen Simeonov, *Asymptotics of generalized derangements*, Adv. Comput. Math. **39** (2013), no. 1, 101–127, DOI 10.1007/s10444-011-9271-7. MR3068596
- [14] Kiyosi Itô, *Complex multiple Wiener integral*, Jap. J. Math. **22** (1952), 63–86 (1953). MR0063609 (16,151b)
- [15] Dmitri Karp, *Holomorphic spaces related to orthogonal polynomials and analytic continuation of functions*, Analytic extension formulas and their applications (Fukuoka, 1999/Kyoto, 2000), Int. Soc. Anal. Appl. Comput., vol. 9, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 169–187. MR1830382 (2002c:46054)
- [16] Dongsu Kim and Jiang Zeng, *A combinatorial formula for the linearization coefficients of general Sheffer polynomials*, European J. Combin. **22** (2001), no. 3, 313–332, DOI 10.1006/eujc.2000.0459. MR1822720 (2001k:05023)
- [17] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin. MR1676282 (2000k:05026)
- [18] Gábor Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, 4th ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII. MR0372517 (51 #8724)
- [19] K. Thirulogasanthar, G. Honnouvo, and A. Krzyżak, *Coherent states and Hermite polynomials on quaternionic Hilbert spaces*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010), no. 38, 385205, 13, DOI 10.1088/1751-8113/43/38/385205. MR2718325 (2012c:81106)
- [20] S. J. L. van Eijndhoven and J. L. H. Meyers, *New orthogonality relations for the Hermite polynomials and related Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **146** (1990), no. 1, 89–98, DOI 10.1016/0022-247X(90)90334-C. MR1041203 (91i:33004)
- [21] X. G. Viennot, *Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux*, Lecture Notes, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montreal, 1983.
- [22] Jiang Zeng, *Weighted derangements and the linearization coefficients of orthogonal Sheffer polynomials*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **65** (1992), no. 1, 1–22, DOI 10.1112/plms/s3-65.1.1. MR1162485 (93c:05003)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32816
 – AND – KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, RIYADH, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: mourad.eh.ismail@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON-DOWNTOWN, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002
E-mail address: simeonovp@uhd.edu