

SPECTRA OF MEASURES AND WANDERING VECTORS

DORIN ERVIN DUTKAY AND PALLE E.T. JORGENSEN

(Communicated by Alexander Iosevich)

ABSTRACT. We present a characterization of the sets that appear as Fourier spectra of measures in terms of the existence of a strongly continuous representation of the ambient group that has a wandering vector for the given set.

1. INTRODUCTION

Definition 1.1. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by

$$e_\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi i \lambda \cdot x}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d . We say that the measure μ is *spectral* if there exists a set Λ in \mathbb{R}^d , called a *spectrum* of μ , such that the set $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mu)$. A Lebesgue measurable subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^d is called *spectral* if the renormalized Lebesgue measure on Ω is spectral. We say that Ω *tiles* \mathbb{R}^d by translations if there exists a set \mathcal{T} in \mathbb{R}^d such that $\{\Omega + t : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{R}^d (up to Lebesgue measure zero).

A finite subset A of \mathbb{R}^d is called spectral if the measure $\frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a \in A} \delta_a$ is spectral, where δ_a is the Dirac measure at a .

Fuglede's conjecture [8] asserts that a Lebesgue measurable subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^d is spectral if and only if it tiles \mathbb{R}^d by translations. Tao [21] found a union of cubes, in dimension 5 or higher, which is spectral but does not tile. Later, Tao's counterexample was improved by Matolcsi and his collaborators [7, 13], to disprove Fuglede's conjecture in both directions, down to dimension 3. In dimension 1 and 2, the conjecture is still open in both directions.

Lebesgue measure is not the only measure that provides examples of spectral sets. In [12], Jorgensen and Pedersen showed that the Hausdorff measure on a fractal Cantor set with scale 4 is also spectral and a spectrum has the form:

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n 4^k l_k : l_k \in \{0, 1\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

but there are many more spectra for the same measure as shown in [4]. Many more examples of fractal spectral measures have been constructed since [5, 15, 20].

Received by the editors September 4, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A32, 05B45, 43A25.

Key words and phrases. Fuglede conjecture, spectrum, unitary one-parameter groups, spectral pairs, locally compact abelian groups, Fourier analysis, wandering vector.

Finite spectral sets of integers are closely tied [14] to a conjecture of Coven and Meyerowitz [2] on translational tilings of \mathbb{Z} .

In this paper we focus on the following question: which sets appear as spectra of some measure? The main result is a characterization of spectra of measures in terms of the existence of a strongly continuous representation of the ambient group which has a *wandering vector* for the given set. Wandering vectors are vectors that generate orthonormal bases under the action of some system of unitary operators. They are ubiquitous throughout mathematics [1, 3, 9–11].

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{U} be a family of unitary operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We say that a vector $v_0 \neq 0$ in \mathcal{H} is a *wandering vector* if $\{Uv_0 : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an orthogonal family of vectors.

We keep a higher level of generality and work with locally compact abelian groups.

Definition 1.3. Let Γ be a locally compact abelian group and let G be its dual group (of all continuous characters); we will write $G = \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $\widehat{G} = \widehat{\widehat{\Gamma}} \approx \Gamma$ where the isomorphism $\widehat{\widehat{\Gamma}} \approx \Gamma$ is the Pontryagin duality theorem; see [19]. For a point $\gamma \in \Gamma$, write

$$(1.1) \quad \langle \gamma, g \rangle = e_\gamma(g), \quad (g \in G).$$

We say that a subset S of Γ is a *spectrum* for a Borel probability measure μ_0 on G if the set $\{e_\gamma : \gamma \in S\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mu_0)$.

Because of the interest in Fourier frames [18] and since it does not affect the simplicity of the statement of our theorem, we formulate it not just for orthonormal bases of exponential functions but also for frames.

Definition 1.4. Let $A, B > 0$ and let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. A family of vectors $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ in \mathcal{H} is called a *frame with bounds A, B* if

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle e_i, f \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}).$$

A subset S of Γ is a *frame spectrum* with bounds A, B for a Borel probability measure μ_0 on G if the set $\{e_\gamma : \gamma \in S\}$ is a frame with bounds A, B for $L^2(\mu_0)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $S \subset \Gamma$ be an arbitrary subset. Then the subset S is a spectrum/frame spectrum with bounds A, B for a Borel probability measure μ_0 on G if and only if there exists a triple (\mathcal{H}, v_0, U) where \mathcal{H} is a complex Hilbert space, $v_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|v_0\| = 1$ and $U(\cdot)$ is a strongly continuous representation of Γ on \mathcal{H} such that $\{U(\gamma)v_0 : \gamma \in S\}$ is an orthonormal basis/frame with bounds A, B for \mathcal{H} .*

Moreover, in this case μ_0 can be chosen such that

$$(1.2) \quad \langle v_0, U(\xi)v_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_G e_\xi(g) d\mu_0(g) \text{ for all } \xi \in \Gamma$$

and there is an isometric isomorphism $W : L^2(G, \mu_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad We_\gamma = U(\gamma)v_0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

In section 3 we illustrate how this result can be used to determine spectral sets of a particular form. Our focus is on the techniques, more than on the examples themselves. As a corollary, we describe all spectral sets with 3 elements.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

For simplicity, we will prove Theorem 1.5 for orthonormal basis; for frames, the proof is identical, just replace the words “orthonormal basis” by the words “frame with bounds A, B ”.

Proof. Suppose S is a spectrum for μ_0 . Set $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G, \mu_0)$, v_0 = the constant function 1 in $L^2(G, \mu_0)$ and take, for $\xi \in \Gamma$, $U(\xi)$ on $L^2(G, \mu_0)$ to be the multiplication operator, i.e.,

$$(2.1) \quad (U(\xi)f)(g) = e_\xi(g)f(g) \quad (f \in L^2(G, \mu_0), \xi \in \Gamma, g \in G).$$

A simple check shows that all the requirements are satisfied and the isomorphism W is just the identity.

Conversely, suppose (\mathcal{H}, v_0, U) is a triple such that $\{U(\gamma)v_0 : \gamma \in S\}$ is orthonormal in \mathcal{H} . Then by the Stone-Naimark-Ambrose-Godement theorem (the SNAG theorem [16, 17]), there is an orthogonal projection valued measure P_U defined on the Borel subsets of G , such that

$$(2.2) \quad U(\xi) = \int_G e_\xi(g) dP_U(g) \quad (\xi \in \Gamma).$$

Now set

$$(2.3) \quad d\mu_0(g) := \|dP_U(g)v_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

and note that μ_0 will then be a Borel probability measure on G .

We prove that (1.2) holds.

Let $\xi \in \Gamma$. We have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_G e_\xi(g) d\mu_0(g) &= \int_G e_\xi(g) \|dP_U(g)v_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_G e_\xi(g) \langle v_0, dP_U(g)v_0 \rangle \\ &= \left\langle v_0, \left(\int_G e_\xi(g) dP_U(g) \right) v_0 \right\rangle = \langle v_0, U(\xi)v_0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that there is an isometric isomorphism $W : L^2(G, \mu_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ that satisfies (1.3). The fact that $\{e_\gamma : \gamma \in S\}$ is an orthonormal basis will follow from this. Define $We_\gamma = U(\gamma)v_0$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We prove that the inner products are preserved by W and this shows that W can be extended to a well defined isometry from $L^2(G, \mu_0)$ onto \mathcal{H} ; it is onto because $U(\gamma)v_0$ with $\gamma \in S$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} , and it will be defined everywhere because the functions e_γ , $\gamma \in \Gamma$ are uniformly dense on any compact subset of G so they are dense in $L^2(G, \mu_0)$. But according to (2.4), we have for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$:

$$\langle U(\gamma)v_0, U(\gamma')v_0 \rangle = \int_G \overline{e_\gamma(g)} e_{\gamma'}(g) d\mu_0(g).$$

□

Remark 2.1. In Theorem 1.5, for S to be the spectrum of some measure μ_0 , it is enough for the family $\{U(\gamma)v_0 : \gamma \in S\}$ to be an orthogonal basis for the closed

linear span of $\mathcal{H}_{v_0} := \{U(\xi)v_0 : \xi \in \Gamma\}$, because, in this case, \mathcal{H}_{v_0} is a reducing subspace for U , and one can restrict the representation to it.

Remark 2.2. For ψ continuous and compactly supported on G we have

$$(2.5) \quad W\psi = \int_{\Gamma} \widehat{\psi}(\xi)U(\xi)v_0 \, d\xi$$

where $d\xi$ denotes the Haar measure on Γ and $\widehat{\psi}$ denotes the Fourier transform, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}(\xi) &= \int_G \overline{e_{-\gamma}(g)}\psi(g) \, dg, \text{ and by the inversion formula,} \\ \psi(g) &= \int_{\Gamma} \widehat{\psi}(\xi)e_{\xi}(g) \, d\xi, \quad (\xi \in \Gamma, g \in G). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_{\Gamma} \widehat{\psi}(\xi)U(\xi)v_0 \, d\xi, U(\gamma)v_0 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\widehat{\psi}(\xi)} \langle U(\xi)v_0, U(\gamma)v_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \, d\xi = \text{(by (2.4))} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\widehat{\psi}(\xi)} \int_G \overline{e_{\xi}(g)}e_{\gamma}(g) \, d\mu_0(g) \, d\xi = \text{(by Fubini)} = \int_G e_{\gamma}(g) \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\widehat{\psi}(\xi)e_{\xi}(g)} \, d\xi \, d\mu_0(g) \\ &= \text{(by the inversion formula)} = \int_G e_{\gamma}(g)\overline{\psi(g)} \, d\mu_0(g) = \langle \psi, e_{\gamma} \rangle_{L^2(G,\mu_0)} \\ &= \langle W\psi, We_{\gamma} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle W\psi, U(\gamma)v_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

But, since $U(\gamma)v_0, \gamma \in G$ span the entire space \mathcal{H} , equation (2.5) follows.

Remark 2.3. Let A be a finite spectral subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Theorem 1.5 and its proof shows that one can choose a strongly continuous one-parameter group $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ defined on $l^2(A)$, $U(t)$ being the multiplication by the function e_t restricted to A . Thus the spectrum of $U(t)$ is $e^{2\pi it \cdot a}$.

3. EXAMPLES

In this section we show how our result can be used to determine spectral sets of a particular form. We urge the reader to focus more on the techniques than on the examples themselves, since we believe that these techniques can be applied to more general situations.

For simplicity, we will introduce some notation and present a few techniques that we will use in this section.

We will consider finite subsets A of \mathbb{R} which we assume to be spectral. Note that, for finite sets, being spectral and being the spectrum of some measure are equivalent notions. If A has spectrum B , then B has to be finite, $|A| = |B|$ and the matrix $\frac{1}{\sqrt{|A|}}(e^{2\pi iab})_{a \in A, b \in B}$ is unitary. Hence A is also a spectrum for B . Conversely, if A is the spectrum of some measure μ_0 , then μ_0 is atomic, supported on a finite set B , and the measures of the points in the support have to be equal (see e.g. [6]) and therefore A is a spectrum for B and vice versa.

By Theorem 1.5, there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on \mathcal{H} and a vector $v_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\{U(a)v_0 : a \in A\}$ is an

orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . We will identify $a = v_a := U(a)v_0$, $a \in A$. We will denote by $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ the linear subspace generated by the vectors a_1, \dots, a_m . We also write a for the subspace $\{a\}$.

We write $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \xrightarrow{t} \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ if the unitary $U(t)$ maps the subspace $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ into the subspace $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$.

Remark 3.1. We know that the vectors $\{a : a \in A\}$ form an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Suppose $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \cup \{a'_1, \dots, a'_n\}$ and also $A = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \cup \{b'_1, \dots, b'_n\}$, both disjoint unions, distinct elements. Suppose $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \xrightarrow{t} \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. Then $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_n\} \xrightarrow{t} \{b'_1, \dots, b'_n\}$ because $U(t)$ is unitary so it maps orthogonal subspaces to orthogonal subspaces.

Also, suppose we have $\{a_1, \dots, a_p\} \xrightarrow{t} \{c_1, \dots, c_k, d_1, \dots, d_l\}$ and in addition $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_k\} \xrightarrow{t} \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$, where $\{a_1, \dots, a_p, a'_1, \dots, a'_k\}$ are all distinct. Then $\{a_1, \dots, a_p\} \xrightarrow{t} \{d_1, \dots, d_l\}$, because $U(t)$ is unitary so $\{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$ must be mapped into the orthogonal complement of $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ in $\{c_1, \dots, c_k, d_1, \dots, d_l\}$.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$ be an orthogonal decomposition of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We say that a unitary U on \mathcal{H} permutes the subspaces $\{V_i\}$ if there exists a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $UV_i = V_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We say that U permutes *non-trivially* if the permutation σ is not the identity.

Lemma 3.3. Let $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group on \mathcal{H} . Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a, b \neq 0$. Suppose $U(a)$ and $U(b)$ permute some subspaces $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i = \mathcal{H}$, one of them non-trivially. Then a/b is rational.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that a/b is irrational. Then the set $M := \{ma + nb : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Also, since $U(ma + nb) = U(a)^m U(b)^n$ it follows that $U(ma + nb)$ also permutes the subspaces V_i . Let σ_t be the permutation associated to $U(t)$ for $t \in M$. We show that every $U(t)$ permutes the subspaces V_i .

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Approximate t by a sequence t_n in M . Pick $v \in V_1$ with $\|v\| = 1$. We have $U(t_n)v \in V_{\sigma_{t_n}(1)}$ and $U(t_n)v \rightarrow U(t)v$. This implies that $U(t_n)v$ are close together for n large. But then $\sigma_{t_n}(1)$ and $\sigma_{t_m}(1)$ are equal for n, m large because, otherwise $U(t_n)v \in V_{\sigma_{t_n}(1)}$ and $U(t_n)v \rightarrow U(t)v$ would lie in orthogonal subspaces and therefore the distance between them would be $\sqrt{2}$ by Pythagora's theorem. Consequently, $U(t)v$ must lie in the same subspace as $U(t_n)v$ for n large. Varying v , we see that $U(t)$ permutes the subspaces $\{V_i\}$. This argument shows also that σ_t and σ_s are identical if t is close to s . But then the function $t \mapsto \sigma_t$ is locally constant. Since \mathbb{R} is connected, this means that σ_t is constant. But σ_0 is the identity and one of σ_a or σ_b is not. The contradiction implies that a/b is rational. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let S be a subset of \mathbb{R} . Assume in addition that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $S \subset \alpha\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose there exists a unitary U on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a vector $v_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\{U^s v_0 : \alpha s \in S\}$ is an orthonormal basis for v_0 . Then there exists a measure μ_0 on $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha})$ such that S is a spectrum for μ_0 .

Proof. The dual of the group $\alpha\mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathbb{T} = [0, \frac{1}{\alpha})$ with addition modulo $\frac{1}{\alpha}$; the duality pairing is $\langle t, k\alpha \rangle = e^{2\pi i t k \alpha}$ for $t \in [0, \frac{1}{\alpha}), k \in \mathbb{Z}$. U gives a representation of $\alpha\mathbb{Z}$ on \mathcal{H} by $U(\alpha k) = U^k$. According to Theorem 1.5, there is a measure μ_0 on $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha})$ such that S is a spectrum for μ_0 , in this duality. But the characters on $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha})$ are just

restrictions of characters on \mathbb{R} (which are the exponential functions e_t); therefore the result follows. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $A = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 2, a\}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0, 1, \dots, n - 2$. Then A is spectral if and only if a is rational and in its reduced form $a = p/q$, with $(p + q) \equiv 0 \pmod n$.*

Proof. Assume that A is spectral and use the notation described above. We have $0 \xrightarrow{1} 1, 1 \xrightarrow{1} 2, \dots, n - 3 \xrightarrow{1} n - 2$. With the rules in Remark 3.1, we obtain that $a \xrightarrow{1} \{0, a\}$. Then $1 \xrightarrow{a^{-1}} a \xrightarrow{1} \{0, a\}$ so $1 \xrightarrow{a} \{0, a\}$. But since $0 \xrightarrow{a} a$ we get with Remark 3.1 that $1 \xrightarrow{a} 0$. Then $a \xrightarrow{1-a} 1 \xrightarrow{a} 0$ so $a \xrightarrow{1} 0$. Then the only possibility for $n - 2$ is $n - 2 \xrightarrow{1} a$.

Hence, if we denote by $v_{n-1} := v_a$ we have that $v_k \xrightarrow{1} v_{(k+1) \bmod n}$ and $v_k \xrightarrow{m} v_{(k+m) \bmod n}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$. So $U(m)$ permutes cyclically the one-dimensional subspaces generated by $v_k, k = 0, \dots, n - 1$.

Next, we compute how $U(a)$ acts on these subspaces. We have $0 \xrightarrow{a} a$. For $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 2\}$ we have $k \xrightarrow{a-k} a \xrightarrow{k} (k + n - 1) \bmod n = k - 1$ so $k \xrightarrow{a} k - 1$. The only remaining possibility for a is $a \xrightarrow{a} n - 1$. Thus $v_k \xrightarrow{a} v_{(k-1) \bmod n}$, for $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$.

So $U(a)$ permutes cyclically the subspaces v_k . With Lemma 3.3 we see that a has to be rational, $a = p/q$, irreducible, for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $qa = p$ so $U(qa) = U(p)$. Then, apply this operator to the subspace of v_0 , since $v_0 \xrightarrow{qa} v_{-q \bmod n}$ and $v_0 \xrightarrow{p} v_{p \bmod n}$ we get that $-q \equiv p \pmod n$ so $p + q \equiv 0 \pmod n$.

For the converse, assume a has the given form. We will define a unitary operator $U(\frac{1}{q})$ on $l^2(\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\})$ as in Lemma 3.4. Since p and q are relatively prime, there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $kp + lq = 1$. Let δ_i be the canonical basis in $l^2(\{0, \dots, n - 1\})$. Define $U(\frac{1}{q})\delta_i = \delta_{(i+l-k) \bmod n}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Then define $U(\frac{1}{q}) = U(\frac{1}{p})^j$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have

$$U(1)\delta_i = U(\frac{1}{q})^q \delta_i = \delta_{(i+ql-qk) \bmod n} = \delta_{(i+1-k(p+q)) \bmod n} = \delta_{(i+1) \bmod n}.$$

Also

$$U(a)\delta_i = U(\frac{1}{q})^p \delta_i = \delta_{(i+pl-pk) \bmod n} = \delta_{(i-1+l(p+q)) \bmod n} = \delta_{(i-1) \bmod n}.$$

Then, we see that $\{U(0)\delta_0, \dots, U(n - 2)\delta_0, U(a)\delta_0\} = \{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{n-2}, \delta_{n-1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis. With Lemma 3.4 we get that A is spectral. \square

We consider now spectral sets A with 3 elements. The spectral property is invariant under translations and scaling. Therefore, using a translation we can assume that 0 is in A and then, rescaling, we can assume that also 1 is in A .

Corollary 3.6. *Consider a set A with 3 elements $A = \{0, 1, a\}$. Then a is spectral if and only if a is rational and if $a = p/q$ in its reduced form, then $p + q$ is divisible by 3.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was done while the second-named author was visiting the University of Central Florida. We are grateful to the UCF-Math Department for their hospitality

and support. The authors are pleased to thank Professors Deguang Han and Qiyu Sun for helpful conversations. The second author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, via a University of Iowa VIGRE grant. This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#228539 to the first author).

REFERENCES

- [1] Boris Begun, *Weakly wandering vectors for abelian unitary actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **25** (2005), no. 5, 1471–1483, DOI 10.1017/S0143385705000039. MR2173428 (2006e:37008)
- [2] Ethan M. Coven and Aaron Meyerowitz, *Tiling the integers with translates of one finite set*, J. Algebra **212** (1999), no. 1, 161–174, DOI 10.1006/jabr.1998.7628. MR1670646 (99k:11032)
- [3] Guizhen Cui, Wenjuan Peng, and Lei Tan, *On the topology of wandering Julia components*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **29** (2011), no. 3, 929–952, DOI 10.3934/dcds.2011.29.929. MR2773159 (2012c:37095)
- [4] Dorin Ervin Dutkay, Deguang Han, and Qiyu Sun, *On the spectra of a Cantor measure*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 1, 251–276, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2008.12.007. MR2509326 (2010f:28013)
- [5] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen, *Fourier frequencies in affine iterated function systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **247** (2007), no. 1, 110–137, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2007.03.002. MR2319756 (2008f:42007)
- [6] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Chun-Kit Lai, *Uniformity of measures with Fourier frames*, Adv. Math. **252** (2014), 684–707, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2013.11.012. MR3144246
- [7] Bálint Farkas, Máté Matolcsi, and Péter Móra, *On Fuglede’s conjecture and the existence of universal spectra*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **12** (2006), no. 5, 483–494, DOI 10.1007/s00041-005-5069-7. MR2267631 (2007h:52022)
- [8] Bent Fuglede, *Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem*, J. Functional Analysis **16** (1974), 101–121. MR0470754 (57 #10500)
- [9] Deguang Han and D. Larson, *Wandering vector multipliers for unitary groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 8, 3347–3370, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-01-02795-7. MR1828609 (2002c:46116)
- [10] Deguang Han and David R. Larson, *Frames, bases and group representations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2000), no. 697, x+94, DOI 10.1090/memo/0697. MR1686653 (2001a:47013)
- [11] Kou Hei Izuchi, *Wandering subspaces and quasi-wandering subspaces in the Bergman space*, New York J. Math. **17A** (2011), 301–305. MR2782741 (2012m:46028)
- [12] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Steen Pedersen, *Dense analytic subspaces in fractal L^2 -spaces*, J. Anal. Math. **75** (1998), 185–228, DOI 10.1007/BF02788699. MR1655831 (2000a:46045)
- [13] Mihail N. Kolountzakis and Máté Matolcsi, *Complex Hadamard matrices and the spectral set conjecture*, Collect. Math. (2006), no. Vol. Extra, 281–291. MR2264214 (2007h:52023)
- [14] I. Laba, *The spectral set conjecture and multiplicative properties of roots of polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **65** (2002), no. 3, 661–671, DOI 10.1112/S0024610702003149. MR1895739 (2003e:42015)
- [15] Izabella Laba and Yang Wang, *On spectral Cantor measures*, J. Funct. Anal. **193** (2002), no. 2, 409–420, DOI 10.1006/jfan.2001.3941. MR1929508 (2003g:28017)
- [16] George W. Mackey, *Harmonic analysis and unitary group representations: the development from 1927 to 1950*, L’émérgence de l’analyse harmonique abstraite (1930–1950) (Paris, 1991), Cahiers Sémin. Hist. Math. Sér. 2, vol. 2, Univ. Paris VI, Paris, 1992, pp. 13–42. MR1187300
- [17] George W. Mackey, *Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2004. With a foreword by A. S. Wightman; Reprint of the 1963 original. MR2021668 (2005b:81004)
- [18] Joaquim Ortega-Cerdà and Kristian Seip, *Fourier frames*, Ann. of Math. (2) **155** (2002), no. 3, 789–806, DOI 10.2307/3062132. MR1923965 (2003k:42055)
- [19] Walter Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990. Reprint of the 1962 original; A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR1038803 (91b:43002)

- [20] Robert S. Strichartz, *Mock Fourier series and transforms associated with certain Cantor measures*, J. Anal. Math. **81** (2000), 209–238, DOI 10.1007/BF02788990. MR1785282 (2001i:42009)
- [21] Terence Tao, *Fuglede's conjecture is false in 5 and higher dimensions*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), no. 2-3, 251–258, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2004.v11.n2.a8. MR2067470 (2005i:42037)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, 4000 CENTRAL FLORIDA BOULEVARD, P.O. BOX 161364, ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32816-1364

E-mail address: `Dorin.Dutkay@ucf.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 14 MACLEAN HALL, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA 52242-1419

E-mail address: `jorgen@math.uiowa.edu`