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ABSTRACT. In a case study on asymptotics of spectral quantities of Schrödinger operators in fractional Sobolev spaces on the circle we show how a nonlinear version of the Riesz-Thorin theorem on the interpolation of linear operators can be applied.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to derive asymptotics of spectral quantities of Schrödinger operators on the circle for potentials in fractional Sobolev spaces by a suitable theorem on nonlinear interpolation which we now state in the set-up used for our applications.

For any $0 \leq s < \infty$ denote by $H^s_C \equiv H^s(T, \mathbb{C})$ the complex Sobolev space of order $s$ of the 1d torus $T = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Its elements can be represented by a Fourier series $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\varphi}(k)e^{2\pi ikx}$, where $\hat{\varphi}(k) := \int_0^1 \varphi(x)e^{-2\pi ikx}dx \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\|\varphi\|_s := \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |k|)^{2s} |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty$.

Note that $H^s_C \hookrightarrow H^{s'}_C$ for any $0 \leq s \leq s'$. Denote by $B^s_C(R) \subseteq H^s_C$ the open ball of radius $R$, centered at 0. Furthermore, for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ denote by $\ell^{p,t}_C \equiv \ell^{p,t}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ the complex sequence space $\ell^{p,t}_C := \{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \mid \|\xi\|_{p,t} < \infty \}$ where $\|\xi\|_{p,t} := \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} ((1 + |j|)^{t} |\xi_j|)^p \right)^{1/p}$.

Note that $\ell^{p,t}_C \hookrightarrow \ell^{p,t'}_C$ for any $0 \leq t \leq t'$. Finally, for $b > a \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta > 0$, assume that $F : B^\infty_C(R) \rightarrow \ell^{p,a+\beta b}_C$ is an analytic map, bounded by $M_a > 0$, so that for some $M_b > 0$,

\begin{equation}
\sup_{\varphi \in B^\infty_C(R)} \|F(\varphi)\|_{p,a+\beta b} \leq M_b.
\end{equation}
By the characterization of analytic maps with sequence spaces as their range (cf. e.g. [3] Appendix A) and the analyticity of $F$ it follows from [1] that $F|_{B^b_2(R)} : B^b_2(R) \to \ell^{p,\alpha+\beta b}_\mathbb{C}$ is analytic as well. Hence, equivalently, we are given the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
B^a_2(R) & \xrightarrow{F} & \ell^{p,\alpha+\beta a}_\mathbb{C} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
B^b_2(R) & \xrightarrow{F|_{B^b_2(R)}} & \ell^{p,\alpha+\beta b}_\mathbb{C}
\end{array}
$$

where the horizontal maps $F$ and $F|_{B^b_2(R)}$ are analytic,

$$
\sup_{\varphi \in B^a_2(R)} \| F(\varphi) \|_{p,\alpha+\beta a} \leq M_a, \quad \sup_{\varphi \in B^b_2(R)} \| F(\varphi) \|_{p,\alpha+\beta b} \leq M_b,
$$

and the vertical arrows denote the standard inclusions of the corresponding spaces.

The following theorem is an instance of an extension of the Riesz-Thorin theorem (cf. e.g. [1]) to nonlinear maps and is inspired by a special case treated in [6] Appendix 2).

**Theorem 1.1.** Under the assumptions stated above, $F$ interpolates between $B^a_2(R)$ and $B^b_2(R)$. More precisely, for any $s = (1-\lambda) a + \lambda b$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $F(B^a_2(R)) \subseteq \ell^{p,\alpha+\beta s}_\mathbb{C}$ and $F|_{B^a_2(R)} : B^a_2(R) \to \ell^{p,\alpha+\beta s}_\mathbb{C}$ is an analytic map, bounded by $M_a^{1-\lambda} M_b^\lambda$.

The proof of Theorem [1.1] presented in Section 2, uses in a crucial way the assumption that $F$ is analytic on a ball in a complex (Hilbert) space. The proof does not apply to nonlinear maps

$$
F : B^a_2(R) \cap H^s(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \to \ell^{p,\alpha+\beta s}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})
$$

which are merely real analytic. In the sequel we would like to discuss a type of problem where nevertheless Theorem [1.1] can be applied to real analytic nonlinear maps.

For $q$ in $L^2_0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) = \{ q \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \mid \int_0^1 q(x) dx = 0 \}$ let $L(q)$ be the Schrödinger operator $L(q) := -d_x^2 + q$. The Dirichlet spectrum of $L(q)$, considered on the interval $[0, 1]$, is real and consists of simple eigenvalues. We list them in increasing order $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \ldots$. Furthermore denote by $M(x, \lambda)$ the fundamental solution of $L(q)$, i.e. the $2 \times 2$ matrix valued function satisfying $L(q) M = \lambda M, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $M(0, \lambda) = \text{Id}_{2 \times 2}$.

$$
M(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
 y_1(x, \lambda) & y_2(x, \lambda) \\
 y_1'(x, \lambda) & y_2'(x, \lambda)
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

When evaluated at $\lambda = \mu_n$, the Floquet matrix $M(1, \lambda)$ is lower triangular, hence its eigenvalues are given by $y_1(1, \mu_n)$ and $y_2'(1, \mu_n)$. By the Wronskian identity, they satisfy $y_1(1, \mu_n)y_2'(1, \mu_n) = 1$. By deforming the potential $q$ to the zero potential along the straight line $tq, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, one sees that $(-1)^n y_1(1, \mu_n) > 0$. Hence the Floquet exponents are given by $\pm \kappa_n$ where

$$
\kappa_n := - \log((-1)^n y_1(1, \mu_n))
$$

and log denotes the principal branch of the logarithm. It turns out that together the $\mu_n$’s and $\kappa_n$’s form a system of canonical coordinates for $L^2_0$ (see [3]). The $\kappa_n$’s also play an important role in proving the property of 1-smoothing of the periodic KdV equation [4]. We want to determine the asymptotics of the $\kappa_n$’s as $n \to \infty$.

To state them, introduce for any $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ the Sobolev spaces

$$
H^s_0 = H^s_0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) = H^s(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^2_0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})
$$
and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx.$$ 

In [3], the following theorem was proved.

**Theorem 1.2** ([3]). For any $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$\kappa_n = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \left( \langle q, \sin 2\pi nx \rangle + \frac{1}{n^{N+1}\ell_n^2} \right)$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $H^N_0$. Here $\ell_n^2$ denotes the $n$'th component of a sequence in $\ell^2 \equiv \ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})$.

Our aim is to extend Theorem 1.2 to any fractional order Sobolev space $H^s_0$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. To this end we need to extend various quantities to the complex Hilbert space $H^s_{0,C} := \{ q \in H^s_C \mid \int_0^1 q(x)dx = 0 \}$. Let $L^2_{0,C} \equiv H^0_{0,C}$ and denote by $B^0_{0,C}(R)$ the complex ball $B^0_{0,C}(R) := \{ q \in H^s_{0,C} \mid \|q\| < R \}$. In Section 3 we prove the following

**Theorem 1.3.** For any $R > 0$ there exists $n_R > 0$ so that for any $n > n_R$, $\kappa_n$ can be extended analytically to $B^0_{0,C}(R)$. Moreover, for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the sequence $(\kappa_n)_{n>n_R}$ satisfies the estimate

$$\kappa_n = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \left( \langle q, \sin 2\pi nx \rangle + \frac{1}{n^{N+1}\ell_n^2} \right)$$

uniformly on $B^N_{0,C}(R)$.

At the end of Section 3 we show that Theorem 1.3 allows us to apply Theorem 1.1 to generalize Theorem 1.2 to any fractional order Sobolev space.

**Theorem 1.4.** For any $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

$$\kappa_n = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \left( \langle q, \sin 2\pi nx \rangle + \frac{1}{n^{s+1}\ell_n^2} \right)$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $H^s_0$.

By the same method one can show that similar results hold for many other spectral quantities. We state without proof another such result. For $q \in L^2_0$, the periodic spectrum of $L(q)$ on the interval $[0, 2]$ is real and discrete. When listed in increasing order and with their multiplicities the eigenvalues satisfy

$$\lambda_0 < \lambda_1^- \leq \lambda_1^+ < \lambda_2^- \leq \lambda_2^+ < \ldots.$$ 

Using [3, Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4], one then shows with the help of Theorem 1.1 that the following result holds.

**Theorem 1.5.** For any $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

$$\left( \lambda_n^+ + \lambda_n^- \right)/2 - \mu_n = \langle q, \cos 2\pi nx \rangle + \frac{1}{n^{s+1}\ell_n^2}$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $H^s_0$. 

Related work. In the context of nonlinear PDEs, Tartar obtained a nonlinear interpolation theorem in [12] which later was slightly improved in [2, Theorem 1]. However, for nonlinear maps such as the ones encountered in the analysis of Schrödinger operators, the assumptions of these theorems are not satisfied and hence they cannot be applied. See also [7] and the references therein.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses arguments of the proof of the Riesz-Thorin theorem on the interpolation of linear operators (see e.g. [4]). The key ingredient is Hadamard’s three line theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 As the case \( p = 1 \) is similar we restrict our attention to the case when \( 1 < p < \infty \). For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \), denote by \( F_k \) the \( k \)th component of \( F \). As \( F : B^p_{\infty}(R) \to \ell^p_{\alpha + \beta b} \) is analytic, \( F_k : B^p_{\infty}(R) \to \mathbb{C} \) is analytic. In particular, the restriction \( F_k|_{B^p_{\infty}(R)} \) of \( F_k \) to \( B^p_{\infty}(R) \subseteq B^p_{\infty}(R) \) is analytic for any \( a \leq s \leq b \). Hence once the claimed bound for \( F|_{B^p_{\infty}(R)} \) is established, the analyticity of \( F|_{B^p_{\infty}(R)} : B^p_{\infty}(R) \to \ell^p_{\alpha + \beta s} \) will follow (cf. e.g. [3, Appendix A]). To prove the claimed bound consider \( \varphi \in B^p_{\infty}(R) \) and define the complex family

\[
\varphi_z(x) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle k \rangle^s - z \hat{\varphi}(k)e^{2\pi ixk}
\]

where \( z = u + iv \in \mathbb{C} \), \( u, v \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \langle k \rangle := 1 + |k| \). As \( u \) and \( v \) are real we see that for any \( \varphi \in B^p_{\infty}(R) \),

\[
\|\varphi_{u+iv}\|_u^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle k \rangle^{2u} |\langle k \rangle^{-u-iv+s} \hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle k \rangle^{2s} |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 = \|\varphi\|_s^2 < R^2.
\]

In particular, for any \( v \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\|F(\varphi_{a+iv})\|_{p,\alpha + \beta a} \leq M_a \quad \text{and} \quad \|F(\varphi_{b+iv})\|_{p,\alpha + \beta b} \leq M_b.
\]

Similarly, for any \( a \leq u \leq b \) and \( v \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\|\varphi_{u+iv}\|_u \leq \|\varphi_{u+iv}\|_u = \|\varphi\|_s < R.
\]

Hence \( \varphi_{a+iv} \in B^p_{\infty}(R) \) for any \( a \leq u \leq b \) and any \( v \in \mathbb{R} \). In particular, \( F(\varphi_{a+iv}) \in \ell^p_{\alpha + \beta a} \) and

\[
\|F(\varphi_{u+iv})\|_{p,\alpha + \beta a} \leq M_a.
\]

As \( \varphi_s = \varphi \) for any \( \varphi \in B^p_{\infty}(R) \), we need to prove the claimed estimate for \( z = s \). Denote by \( 1 < q < \infty \) the number conjugate to \( p \), i.e. \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \), and consider an arbitrary sequence \( \xi = (\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) with finite support so that \( \|\xi\|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta s)} \leq 1 \). Similarly as in the case of \( H^p_{\infty}(C) \), define for \( z = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}, u, v \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\xi_z := (\langle k \rangle^{-\beta(s-z)} \xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.
\]

Then

\[
\|\xi_{u+iv}\|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta u)}^q = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \langle k \rangle^{-\beta(s-z)} |\langle k \rangle^{-\beta(s-z)} \xi_k| \right)^q \leq 1.
\]
Similarly as above, \( \xi_s = \xi \), and for any \( a \leq u \leq b \) and \( v \in \mathbb{R} \),
\begin{equation}
\| \xi_{u+iv} \|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta) a} \leq \| \xi \|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta) b} .
\end{equation}

Denote by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) the \( \ell^2 \) dual pairing as well as its extension to \( \ell^q, -(\alpha + \beta) a \times \ell^q, -(\alpha + \beta) a \) and introduce the vertical strip
\begin{equation}
S_{a,b} := \{ z = u + iv \mid v \in \mathbb{R}, \ a < u < b \}
\end{equation}
and its closure \( \overline{S}_{a,b} \). To obtain the claimed estimate we want to apply Hadamard’s three line theorem to the following function:
\[ f : \overline{S}_{a,b} \to \mathbb{C}, \ \ z \mapsto \langle F(\varphi_z), \xi_z \rangle \]

where
\[ \langle F(\varphi_z), \xi_z \rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_k(\varphi_z) \langle k \rangle^{-(\alpha + \beta)z} \xi_k. \]

As the support of \( \xi \) is finite, the latter sum is finite, and hence the function \( f \) is well defined and \( \| \xi \|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta) b} < \infty \). In view of \( (2.4) \) and \( (2.5) \), \( f : \overline{S}_{a,b} \to \mathbb{C} \) is bounded as for any \( z \in \overline{S}_{a,b} \),
\[ |f(z)| = \| \langle F(\varphi_z), \xi_z \rangle \| \leq \| F(\varphi_z) \|_{p, \alpha + \beta a} \| \xi_z \|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta) a} \leq M_a \| \xi \|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta) b}. \]

Note that on the strip \( S_{a,b} \), the curves \( z \mapsto \xi_z \in \ell^q, -(\alpha + \beta) a \) and \( z \mapsto \varphi_z \in B^a(R) \subseteq H^a_0 \) are analytic. As \( F_k : B^a_0(R) \to \mathbb{C} \) is analytic for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) it then follows that, being a finite sum of analytic functions, is analytic on \( S_{a,b} \) and continuous on the closure \( \overline{S}_{a,b} \). Moreover, in view of \( (2.1) \) and \( (2.3) \), the following estimates hold for any \( v \in \mathbb{R} \):
\[ |f(a + iv)| \leq \| F(\varphi_{a+iv}) \|_{p, \alpha + \beta a} \| \xi_{a+iv} \|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta) a} \leq M_a \]
and
\[ |f(b + iv)| \leq \| F(\varphi_{b+iv}) \|_{p, \alpha + \beta b} \| \xi_{b+iv} \|_{q, -(\alpha + \beta) b} \leq M_b. \]

Hence we can apply Hadamard’s three line theorem to \( f \) (cf. e.g. \([9, \text{Appendix to IX.,4}]\)) to conclude that, for \( s = (1 - \lambda)a + \lambda b \) and any \( v \in \mathbb{R} \)
\[ |f(s + iv)| \leq M_a^{1 - \lambda} M_b^\lambda. \]

In particular, for \( z = s \) one has
\[ |f(s)| = \| \langle F(\varphi), \xi \rangle \| \leq M_a^{1 - \lambda} M_b^\lambda \]
where we took into account that \( \varphi_s = \varphi \) and \( \xi_s = \xi \). The claimed estimate \( \| F(\varphi) \|_{p, \alpha + \beta s} \leq M_a^{1 - \lambda} M_b^\lambda \) then follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem and the fact that \( \ell^q, -(\alpha + \beta s) \) is the dual space of \( \ell^p, \alpha + \beta s \).

**3. Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4**

Before proving Theorem 1.3 we need to make some preparatory considerations. Note that for \( q \) in \( L^2_0, C \) the operator \( L(q) \) is no longer symmetric with respect to the \( L^2 \)-inner product \( \langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) \, dx \). The Dirichlet spectrum is still discrete, but the eigenvalues might be complex valued and multiple. We list them according to their algebraic multiplicities and in lexicographic ordering
\[ \mu_1 \preceq \mu_2 \preceq \mu_3 \preceq \ldots \]
where for any complex numbers \( a, b \)
\[ a \preceq b \text{ iff } [\text{Re } a < \text{Re } b] \text{ or } [\text{Re } a = \text{Re } b \text{ and } \text{Im } a \leq \text{Im } b]. \]
By [8] there exists for any \( R > 0 \) an integer \( m_R > 0 \) so that for any \( q \in L^2_{0,\mathbb{C}} \) with \( \|q\| := \langle q, q \rangle^{1/2} < R \) the Dirichlet eigenvalues \( \mu_n \equiv \mu_n(q), n \geq 1 \), satisfy the following estimates:

\[
|\mu_n - n^2 \pi^2| < \pi/4 \quad \forall \ n > m_R
\]

and

\[
|\mu_n| < m_R^2 \pi^2 + \pi/4 \quad \forall 1 \leq n \leq m_R.
\]

In particular, for any \( n > m_R \), \( \mu_n \) is a simple Dirichlet eigenvalue of \( L(q) \) and hence analytic on the complex ball \( B^0_{0,\mathbb{C}}(R) = \{ q \in L^2_{0,\mathbb{C}} \ | \ |q| < R \} \). To see that \( \kappa_n \) can be analytically extended to \( B^0_{0,\mathbb{C}}(R) \) for \( n \) sufficiently large we first note that by [8], the Floquet matrix \( M(1, \lambda, q) \) is analytic on \( \mathbb{C} \times L^2_{0,\mathbb{C}} \) and, with \( \lambda = \nu^2, y_1(1, \nu^2, q) \) satisfies the following estimate:

\[(3.1) \quad |y_2(1, \nu^2, q) - \cos \nu| \leq \frac{1}{|\nu|} \exp \left( |\Im \nu| + |q| \right) . \]

As for \( n > m_R \), one has \( |\mu_n - n^2 \pi^2| < \pi/4 \). It follows that \( \nu_n \equiv \nu_n(q) = \sqrt[4]{\mu_n(q)} \) is well defined. Here \( \sqrt[4]{\cdot} \) denotes the principal branch of the square root. Then for any \( n > m_R \) and \( q \in B^0_{0,\mathbb{C}}(R) \), \( \nu_n = n\pi \sqrt[4]{1 + z_n} \), where \( z_n = \frac{\mu_n - n^2 \pi^2}{2} \) satisfies \( |z_n| \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi n^2} \leq \frac{1}{10} \) and thus

\[
|\sqrt[4]{1 + z_n} - 1| = \left| \frac{\sqrt[4]{1 + z_n} - 1)(\sqrt[4]{1 + z_n} + 1)}{\sqrt[4]{1 + z_n} + 1} \right| \leq |z_n|
\]

or

\[
|\nu_n - n\pi| \leq \frac{\pi}{4n} \leq \frac{1}{4n} \quad \text{and} \quad |\Im \nu_n| \leq \frac{1}{4n}.
\]

These estimates are used in the asymptotics of \( y_1(1, \nu_n^2, q) \). As \( (-1)^n \cos \nu_n = 1 + (\nu_n - n\pi) \int_0^1 \sin(t(\nu_n - n\pi)) dt \) one concludes that \( |(-1)^n \cos \nu_n - 1| \leq \frac{1}{4n} \) for any \( n > m_R \) and as \( |\nu_n| \geq n\pi - \frac{1}{4n} \geq 2n \) it follows from (3.1) that

\[
|(-1)^n y_1(1, \nu_n, q) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{4n} + \frac{1}{2n} \exp \left( \frac{1}{4n} + R \right)
\]

for any \( n > m_R \) and \( |q| < R \). Now choose \( n_R \geq m_R \) so large that

\[
\frac{1}{4n} + \frac{1}{2n} \exp \left( \frac{1}{4n} + R \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n > n_R.
\]

As a consequence, for any \( q \in L^2_{0,\mathbb{C}} \) with \( |q| < R \) and any \( n > n_R \),

\[
\kappa_n = -\log ((-1)^n y_1(1, \mu_n, q))
\]

is well defined. We thus have proved.

**Lemma 3.1.** For any \( R > 0 \) there exists \( n_R > 0 \) so that \( \forall n > n_R \)

\[
\kappa_n : B^0_{0,\mathbb{C}}(R) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad q \mapsto -\log ((-1)^n y_1(1, \mu_n, q))
\]

is well defined and analytic.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** In view of Lemma 3.1 it remains to prove for any \( R > 0 \), and any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \),

\[
\sum_{n > n_R} n^{2N+2} \left| 2\pi n \kappa_n - \langle q, \sin 2n\pi x \rangle \right|^2
\]
is bounded on \( B^N_{0,C}(R) \). Going through the arguments of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [3], one sees that this is indeed the case.

It remains to show Theorem 1.4. As already mentioned in the introduction, we will use the result of Theorem 1.1 on nonlinear interpolation.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** By Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.4 holds in the case where \( s \) is an integer. Let \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( N < s < N + 1 \). For any given \( R > 0 \) choose \( n_R > 0 \) as in Theorem 1.3. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that there exists \( M_R > 0 \) such that for any \( q \in L^2_0 \) with \( \|q\| < R \) and any \( 1 \leq n \leq n_R \)

\[
|2\pi n \kappa_n - \langle q, \sin 2\pi nx \rangle| \leq M_R
\]

and therefore

\[
(\sum_{n \leq n_R} n^{2(s+1)} |2\pi n \kappa_n - \langle q, \sin 2\pi nx \rangle|^2)^{1/2} \leq n_R^{s+3/2} M_R.
\]

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.3, for any \( n > n_R, \kappa_n \) extends analytically to \( B^N_{0,C}(R) \) and there exist constants \( M_{N,R}, M_{N+1,R} \) so that

\[
\left( \sum_{n > n_R} n^{2(N+1)} |2\pi n \kappa_n - \langle q, \sin 2\pi nx \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq M_{N,R} \quad \forall q \in B^N_{0,C}(R)
\]

as well as

\[
\left( \sum_{n > n_R} n^{2(N+2)} |2\pi n \kappa_n - \langle q, \sin 2\pi nx \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq M_{N+1,R} \quad \forall q \in B^{N+1}_{0,C}(R).
\]

Hence Theorem 1.4 applies and implies that with \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \) chosen so that \( s = (1 - \lambda)N + \lambda(N + 1) \),

\[
\left( \sum_{n > n_R} n^{2(s+1)} |2\pi n \kappa_n - \langle q, \sin 2\pi nx \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq M_{s,R} \quad \forall q \in B^s_{0,C}(R)
\]

where \( M_{s,R} = (M_{N,R})^{(1-\lambda)}(M_{N+1,R})^{\lambda} \). From this together with (3.2), the claimed result follows.
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